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Preface

Characteristics of this new grammar

My purpose in writing this new grammar has been to offer a complete and explained grammar, one that, while still being a handy grammar, user-friendly and simple, covers as much as possible. I have tried to write it in a teaching- and learning-oriented way, as practical as possible, positioning myself in the place of the usual university and college student (or a sixth-former) and thinking which kind of grammar I would like to have: one that helps me to learn the language, with explanations, with examples, etc., avoiding very advanced stages but at the same time without falling too short.

In the course of time I have observed that a lot of instructors like teaching the language directly from the grammar. Although my personal preference is using a textbook and using the grammar only as reference tool rather than using it as only teaching material, I have taken this practice into account and I have written this grammar also with it in mind, so that instructors that follow this practice may find it and its corresponding book of exercises a useful tool.

I would like to make some more comprehensive comments about its characteristics:

a) All the needed grammar: As mentioned above, without falling too short and without making the student have to go to a larger grammar to find what they need after the initial stages, but at the same time avoiding a phone book, as students want something reduced but that offers all they need to read the classical authors.

b) Teaching skills: Offering students very clear explanations of what is being presented, not just the presentation of tables and a couple of examples. I also include the same comments I make when teaching in situ in front of the students, for instance calling the students’ attention to avoid some common mistakes, to make them realise this or that similarity, this or that difference, etc. We could say that at some points it may sound as if somebody had recorded the teachers’ voice when explaining each item on the whiteboard and then had typed the explanations.

c) Clear structure: A clear division of accidence, syntax, etc. (the Index of Contents is very illustrative about this point). This helps students to learn things in an ordered way and to find each item easily. I distinguish different blocks for the nominal system, the verbal system, syntax of clauses, etc., and inside each of these blocks the classification into different sub-sections makes finding each grammatical item easy.

d) A good amount of exercises (in an additional book): Ideal for students who not only need to study Latin grammar but who want to be able to practise each one of the presented aspects. These exercises will be published as an additional book; this has the advantage of leaving the grammar on its own in a much more reduced size (and cheaper), ideal for those who want only the grammar for consultation and do not want to buy an immense amount of exercises that they will not use.

e) Basic vocabulary: This grammar offers a reduced list of the most useful terms that follow a given scheme (a declension, a verbal system, etc.) after that scheme has been presented. For instance, after deponent verbs have been presented, I offer a list of the most frequent verbs of this kind. This helps students to realize that the scheme they have learnt has not been studied just for itself, but for a given purpose: there they have the most usual terms that follow it.
Use of original authors

An important point is the use of classical authors to illustrate what has been explained. A lot of the very initial examples are made up, which has allowed me to adapt any sentence to the level of a student who is beginning to learn this or that construction, but it would be nonsense not to offer at the same time original sentences taken from classical authors, so I have considered it convenient to include, side by side with the made-up ones, real original sentences.

I have tried to be careful in this procedure of including sentences from original authors: there is nothing easier than typing a preposition in the searcher for a database of Latin texts and finding sentences in which this or that preposition is used, but I would not see much sense in offering as an example a long sentence with a relative clause in subjunctive or any other difficult structure just to show an example of this or that preposition, so that my tendency has been to choose easy sentences that illustrate what I want the student to see, avoiding unnecessary complications.

Note about the translation of Latin examples

In a few cases, the translation of Latin sentences may not sound as fluent as an English speaker would expect and they may sound somehow “forced”, but we have preferred to remain as faithful to the Latin as possible, to the detriment of English fluency, in order to help show the specific grammatical point being discussed.

Feedback

It would be a pleasure to receive comments from colleagues about any mistake they may spot or any suggestion. The way in which this book is published (Print On Demand) allows to modify the original pdf in 24-48 hours, so that any copy ordered after the new version has been modified and e-mailed to the printer will already be printed with the modification in it.

E-mail: jc210@st-andrews.ac.uk
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a) The alphabet

1/ The Latin alphabet is the same we use for English except for some letters that did not exist in Latin: w, x, y and z; the classical alphabet was this one:

   a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, l, m, n, o, p, q, r, s, t, u

Further ahead, they adopted the letters x, y and z to transcribe words of Greek origin.

   Note
   Originally, Latin had only capital letters, but Latin texts are usually published in lower case, with capital letters for proper names, first vowel after a full stop, etc.

2/ It will be observed that the -j- and the -v- are missing; the explanation is that there was a vocalic -i- and a consonantal -i- (before a vowel), and a vocalic -u- and a consonantal -u- (also before a vowel). Some texts display the consonantal -i- as a -j-, so we can find iuventus and juventus, iam and jam, etc.

With respect to the -v-, it was used as the capital letter for any -u-, either vocalic or consonantal, so that it was normal to find uesitis in lower case and VESTIS in capital letters; nevertheless, it became normal to use the -v- also in lower case to replace the consonantal -u-, so that we can also find vestis.

   Note
   Some publishers prefer to keep -u- in lower case for both the vocalic and the consonantal -u-, which may produce for instance uulnus instead of vulnus, while keeping the -v- as capital letter also for both, which may produce for instance VVLNUS.

b) Pronunciation

1/ Latin letters are pronounced as expected for an English speaker, but we should note the following:

   a/ With respect to vowels:

   a   as a in father
   e   as e in met
   i   as i in police
   o   as o in lock
   u   as o in today
b/ With respect to consonants:

c  as  $k$  in  kilometre

g  as  $g$  in  good  \(\diamond\)  Never as  $g$  in  giant.

ch  as  $k$  in  kilometre  \(\diamond\)  So, as if the  $h$  did not have any effect.

ph  as  $ph$  in  philosophy

ll  as two consecutive (but separate) $l$

h  in English-speaking countries it is pronounced, as $h$ in have, although it is also very normal to silence it, as $h$ in honest.

2/ There is no general agreement about how Latin was pronounced, the rules we have given so far are general guidelines, but it is normal to find that in some countries other pronunciation rules are followed, or even within the same country according to the educational background of the instructor; for instance, the Italian pronunciation of Latin makes the letter $c$ be pronounced like the $ch$ of chocolate, China, etc. if it precedes an $e$ or an $i$.

Another point in which there is a lack of agreement is about how to pronounce the very frequent diphthong $ae$: as an $a$ followed by an $e$, or as an $a$ followed by an $i$ (so, as the $y$ of my), although the most general tendency is the first option.

c) Accentuation

There are no graphic accents in Latin as for instance there are in French; we offer here some very basic guidelines.

1/ Most Latin words of two or more syllables stress the pronunciation (as we do with the pro of property) of their penult syllable (we have written in capital letters the syllable that should be stressed): aMicus, ROsa, DOmus, cogNOSco; but words of three syllables or more that have a short penult syllable stress the antepenult syllable: DOminus, reCIprocus (what makes a syllable long or short is the nature of the vowel in it, and what makes it difficult to know is that any vowel can be short or long; for instance, $a$ is long in irritatio, but short in agilis).

2/ Not all Latin words will have an accent on one of their syllables; for instance, the preposition inter has none: inter stresses equally the syllables in- and -ter.
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a) Definition of basic grammatical concepts: 
*case, declension and gender*

1. Concepts of *syntactical function, endings and case*

a) Concepts of *syntactical function and endings*  

1/ In comparison to Modern English, the Latin language works in a very peculiar way: like Classical Greek, Russian and other languages, Latin is a highly inflected language, which means that the words of a sentence change their ending according to the grammatical function they implement, verbal forms change according to their person, etc. While Old English was a highly inflected language, Modern English is classified as a weakly inflected language, as only some characteristics of inflection are still present in English nowadays, such as plurals, the use of pronouns, some inflected verbal forms and the possessive indicator (‘s, which derives from the Old English genitive case).

2/ Back to Modern English and Latin, observe these two sentences:

THE SLAVE SEES THE MASTER.  
THE MASTER SEES THE SLAVE.

In English, word order is crucial to indicate the role (or grammatical function) of a word in a sentence. In the first example given above, THE SLAVE is the subject (i.e. the one who performs the action), while in the second one it is the direct object (i.e. the one who is acted upon). The opposite happens with THE MASTER: it is the direct object in the first sentence, but is the subject in the second one.

3/ Observe now both sentences translated into Latin: *(servus THE SLAVE; dominus THE MASTER; videt SEES)*

- Servus videt dominum  
- Dominus videt servum

The master sees the slave.

As we can see, noun endings are different according to the function they perform in the sentence: *servus*, which is the subject in the first sentence, becomes *servum* in the second sentence, because here it is the direct object. The opposite happens with *dominum*: from being the direct object in the first sentence, it becomes *dominus* in the second one because here it is the subject of the action. Moreover, word order is very variable in Latin, as it can change on the basis of which element of the sentence you want to emphasize; for instance, the second sentence could have been presented as *dominum videt servum* or even as *videt dominum servum*.

Both of them mean THE SLAVE SEES THE MASTER: the endings -us and -um respectively are what indicates who the subject is and who the direct object is, not their position in the sentence. The sentence *Servum videt dominus* could NEVER mean THE SLAVE SEES THE MASTER just because the word SLAVE appears first in the sentence and the word MASTER appears later: the *endings* of the words is what determines who is seeing whom, not the position of the words in the sentence.
b) Concept of *case*

1/ The grammatical function of a noun in a Latin sentence (subject, direct object, etc.) is indicated by its form, not by its position in the sentence. In Latin a noun can take six different forms, according to the role it performs, and each of these forms is called a *case*. For instance, considering the two words employed in the former examples, we observed that the ending -us was used when the noun represented the role of subject: this is an example of *nominative case* (i.e. the case of the subject of a sentence). We also noted the employment of the ending -um associated with the role of direct object: this is an example of *accusative case* (i.e. the case of the direct object of a sentence). So, according to the function they must play, nouns change their form following different patterns, known as *declensions* (it must be noted that singular and plural endings of the same cases are different).

2/ There are six cases in Latin. Their names (and usual abbreviations) are as follows:

- **Nominative** Nom. or N.
- **Vocative** Voc. or V.
- **Accusative** Acc.
- **Genitive** Gen. or G.
- **Dative** Dat. or D.
- **Ablative** Abl.

2. Main syntactical functions and correspondence to cases

a) Main syntactical functions

1/ The next necessary step is to acquire a sound understanding of the main syntactical functions. We will offer two examples for each of these functions in English:

- **The subject** is the noun or pronoun that performs the action described in the sentence:
  - *The horse has good teeth.*       *The horse* is the subject of this sentence.
  - *The children came late.*       *The children* is the subject of this sentence.

- **The predicative object** indicates how or what something or somebody is:
  - *Your birthday present is nice.*       *nice* is the predicative object of this sentence.
  - *Peter is our leader.*       *our leader* is the predicative object of this sentence.

- **The addressed object** is the person (or abstract entity) that is addressed directly by somebody:
  - *"Father, come here", said the boy.*       *Father* is the addressed object.
  - *What are you doing, children?*       *children* is the addressed object.

- **The direct object** is the person (or entity, thing, etc.) who is acted upon by the subject:
  - *I have a book.*       *a book* is the direct object.
  - *I see the city.*       *the city* is the direct object.
The possessive object is the person (or entity, thing, etc.) to whom something belongs or is related:

- I see the gate of the house. 
  *of the house* is the possessive object of this sentence.
- I see Peter's father. 
  *Peter's* is the possessive object of this sentence.
  ◊ In the sense that it means *of Peter*.

The indirect object is the person (or thing) for whom or to whom something is done:

- I give this to Peter. 
  *to Peter* is the indirect object of this sentence.
- I give Peter this. 
  *Peter* is the indirect object of this sentence.
  ◊ In the sense that it means *to Peter*.
- I have brought this for Peter. 
  *for Peter* is the indirect object of this sentence.

The circumstantial object is the person (or entity, thing, etc.) related to the action in some aspect:

- I fight with a sword. 
  *with a sword* is the circumstantial object of this sentence.
  ◊ In this case, it expresses the instrument.
- I am in Italy. 
  *in Italy* is the circumstantial object of this sentence.
  ◊ In this case, it expresses the location.
- I have done this for money. 
  *for money* is the circumstantial object of this sentence.
  ◊ In this case, it expresses the reason.

Important

Students tend to confuse *direct object* and *indirect object* when nouns or personal pronouns are presented with the function of indirect object without the preposition *to*. Observe these examples:

I see him; 
*him* is the direct object
I tell him this; 
*him* is the indirect object ◊ Because it means *to him*. The direct object is *this*.

2/ Prepositions are used in Latin as well, but not so frequently as in English, because in some situations the meaning is implicitly expressed by the choice of specific cases. For instance, in the former example I see the gate of the house, the phrase *of the house* will be translated by putting *the house* in the correct case (therefore adding the necessary ending to the noun); for this reason the preposition *of* is not literally translated, as the meaning of the preposition is expressed by the corresponding case. Something similar would happen in translating the sentence I give this to Peter: the sense of the preposition *to* would be expressed by the grammatical case of the word *Peter*, reflected by its ending; in this kind of sentence, the English preposition *to* would not be translated.

3/ Other questions may come to mind now; for instance, in the sentences above there was no example featuring the prepositions *in* or *with*. Then, how will we translate *in* the field, *with* my friends, or *during* the summer? As will be explained in detail in the corresponding sections, these complements can be expressed by combinations of prepositions and specific cases, or by the choice of a particular case.
b) Correspondences between functions and cases

So, depending on the function of a word in a sentence, we will put it in a specific case, which implies a definite ending to be added to the word. The correspondences are as follows:

- **Nominative:** Used for *subjects* and *predicative objects*. So, in the sentence *The teacher sees the house*, the subject *the teacher* would be expressed by the nominative case. In the sentence *The teacher is tall*, the predicative object *tall* would also be in nominative.

- **Vocative:** Used to address or call someone (*addressed object*). So, in the sentence *Peter, come here!*, *Peter* would be expressed by the vocative case.

- **Accusative:** Used for *direct objects*. So, in the sentence *The students see the table*, the direct object *the table* would be expressed by the accusative case. With some prepositions, the accusative can also be used to express *circumstantial objects*.

- **Genitive:** Used for *possessive objects*. So, in the sentence *I like the people of this city*, the possessive object *of this city* would be expressed by the genitive case.

- **Dative:** Used for *indirect objects*. So, in the sentence *I have brought this for you*, the indirect object *for you* would be expressed by the dative case.

- **Ablative:** Used for *circumstantial objects*. So, in the sentence *I write with a pen*, the circumstantial object *with a pen* would be expressed by the ablative case.

Remember that questions on how to translate expressions like *at midday*, *in that city*, etc. will be dealt with in the corresponding sections; they will be expressed sometimes employing combinations of prepositions and cases, sometimes with a specific case.

3. **Concept of declension**

To *decline* a noun means to go through all its possible endings (six in singular and six in plural). Leaving adjectives to later analysis, Latin nouns can be classified in five groups, called *declensions*, and the words belonging to the same declension are declined following the same pattern, i.e. they adopt the same ending for each case. For instance, both the nouns we met in the previous examples (*servus* *slave* and *dominus* *master*) belong to the same declension, therefore they change their endings in the same way according to the function they must perform.

There are five declensions in Latin. The first declension is relatively easy to learn. The second one has three sub-variants (with some internal variations), but it is not very difficult. The third declension is the most complex one, as both of its main sub-variants present several different forms, or sub-categories. The fourth declension is relatively easy, just two sub-variants, and the fifth declension is again like the first one.
4. Concept of gender

There are three genders in Latin: masculine, feminine and neuter. Although in some cases the correspondence between name and gender seems to be logical (for instance, the Latin words for mother and sister are feminine, as expected, and those for father and brother are masculine, as expected), in other cases this logic does not seem to be apparent (for instance, the word for dignity is feminine, and the word for limit is masculine, while in English both would be considered neuter and we would use the pronoun it when referring to them).

The following list of nine English nouns and the gender of the corresponding Latin word shows that the gender of nouns is unpredictable and, for this reason, it must be learnt together with the noun (in the same way as a student of German must learn that in German Messer knife is neuter, Löffel spoon is masculine, and Gabel fork is feminine):

- River flumen neuter
- Wisdom sapientia feminine
- Field ager masculine
- Head caput neuter
- Fear timor masculine
- Gate porta feminine
- Eye oculus masculine
- Hatred odium neuter
- Summer aestas feminine

How to know whether a noun is masculine, feminine or neuter will be explained in the chapter devoted to declensions.

5. Lack of definite article

It will have been observed in the examples that there is no definite article in Latin equivalent to the English the.

Therefore, the word dominus could mean:

- master
- the master
- a master

The word dea could mean:

- goddess
- the goddess
- a goddess
General observations

1/ There are five declensions in Latin. The degree of difficulty between them can be represented by this simple pyramidal structure, with the bottom representing the easiest degree and the top representing the most difficult one:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degree</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>most difficult</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>easiest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To learn the declensions properly, it is convenient to have their scheme clear from the very beginning, which could be called the “map of declensions”, the way in which they are grammatically structured. Therefore, we offer here a schematic table of the declensions and their sub-types, in the same way as they will be found in this chapter:

1. 1\textsuperscript{st} declension (no sub-variants)
   a) 1\textsuperscript{st} sub-variant
   b) 2\textsuperscript{nd} sub-variant
   c) 3\textsuperscript{rd} sub-variant

2. 2\textsuperscript{nd} declension
   a) 1\textsuperscript{st} sub-variant
   b) 2\textsuperscript{nd} sub-variant

3. 3\textsuperscript{rd} declension
   a) Consonant stems
   b) -i stems

4. 4\textsuperscript{th} declension
   a) 1\textsuperscript{st} sub-variant
   b) 2\textsuperscript{nd} sub-variant

5. 5\textsuperscript{th} declension (no sub-variants)

2/ For each declension, we will highlight the case endings by writing them in bold type and by separating them from the stem of the word with a hyphen, to make it easier for the student to memorise them (our advice is not to memorise as a paradigm the whole declined word, but to memorise only the endings: -\textit{a}, -\textit{a}, -\textit{am}, -\textit{ae}, -\textit{ae}, -\textit{a} etc.).

3/ Latin nouns are usually presented by their \textit{singular nominative and genitive forms}. Even though adjectives also use inflectional models based on declensions, they will be presented in another way, introduced in the corresponding chapter.
1. 1st declension

a/ The 1st declension has no sub-types, which makes it extremely simple and easy to memorise. It is used for nouns and adjectives.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>singular</th>
<th>plural</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nom.</td>
<td>puell-a</td>
<td>puell-ae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voc.</td>
<td>puell-a</td>
<td>puell-ae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acc.</td>
<td>puell-am</td>
<td>puell-as</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen.</td>
<td>puell-ae</td>
<td>puell-arum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dat.</td>
<td>puell-ae</td>
<td>puell-is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abl.</td>
<td>puell-a</td>
<td>puell-is</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Example: *puella, -ae* GIRL

✧ We highlight the endings in bold, in order to help with their memorisation.

Notes

1/ Almost all nouns of the 1st declension are feminine, but some of them are masculine (*nauta, -ae* SAILOR, for instance).

2/ Some words may have -abus instead of -is for the dative and ablative plural, to avoid confusion with their corresponding masculine counterparts of the 2nd declension: *dea, -ae* GODDESS may have *deabus* instead of *deis*, for instance, in order to avoid the coincidence with *deis*, dative and ablative plural of *deus, -i* GOD (2nd declension).

3/ The expressions *pater familias* and *mater familias* keep an ancient genitive in -as instead of -ae.

The following list presents some of the most frequent nouns belonging to this sub-variant. Keeping with the conventional practice mentioned above, here are listed the singular nominative and genitive forms of each noun, and, except those indicated with an *m.* of masculine, all of them are feminine:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>singular</th>
<th>plural</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>agricola, -ae (m.)</td>
<td>FARMER</td>
<td>hasta, -ae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>amica, -ae</td>
<td>FRIEND</td>
<td>hora, -ae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>amicitia, -ae</td>
<td>FRIENDSHIP</td>
<td>ianua, -ae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ancilla, -ae</td>
<td>SLAVE GIRL</td>
<td>incola, -ae (m.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aqua, -ae</td>
<td>WATER</td>
<td>iniuria, -ae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>causa, -ae</td>
<td>CAUSE</td>
<td>insula, -ae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cena, -ae</td>
<td>DINNER</td>
<td>invidia, -ae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cura, -ae</td>
<td>CARE</td>
<td>ira, -ae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dea, -ae</td>
<td>GODDESS</td>
<td>lingua, -ae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fama, -ae</td>
<td>FAME</td>
<td>magistra, -ae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>familia, -ae</td>
<td>FAMILY</td>
<td>mensa, -ae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>femina, -ae</td>
<td>WOMAN</td>
<td>mora, -ae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>filia, -ae</td>
<td>DAUGHTER</td>
<td>natura, -ae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fortuna, -ae</td>
<td>FORTUNE</td>
<td>nauta, -ae (m.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gloria, -ae</td>
<td>GLORY</td>
<td>patria, -ae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pecunia, -ae</td>
<td>MONEY</td>
<td>poena, -ae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>poeta, -ae (m.)</td>
<td>POET</td>
<td>puella, -ae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>regina, -ae</td>
<td>QUEEN</td>
<td>sapientia, -ae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scientia, -ae</td>
<td>KNOWLEDGE</td>
<td>terra, -ae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>turba, -ae</td>
<td>CROWD</td>
<td>unda, -ae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>via, -ae</td>
<td>WAY</td>
<td>victoria, -ae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vita, -ae</td>
<td>LIFE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
b/ Some words have only a plural:

◊ Note that we introduce them by saying their Nom. and Gen. plural.

- divitiae, -arum WEALTH
- Athenae, -arum ATHENS
- insidia, -arum AMBUSH, PLOT
- nuptiae, -arum WEDDING

Others have one meaning in the singular and another one in the plural:

- copia, -ae ABUNDANCY / copiae, -arum TROOPS
- littera, -ae LETTER (a, b, c, …) / litterae, -arum LETTER (written message)
- vigilia, -ae WATCH / vigiliae, -arum SENTINELS

### 2. 2nd declension

The 2nd declension, like the 1st one, is also used for nouns and adjectives. It has three sub-variants:

◊ The type -us, -i
◊ The type -ø, -i
◊ The type -um, -i

#### a) First sub-variant: the type -us, -i

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>singular</th>
<th>plural</th>
<th>Example: dominus, -i MASTER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nom.</td>
<td>domin-us</td>
<td>domin-i</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voc.</td>
<td>domin-e</td>
<td>domin-i</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acc.</td>
<td>domin-um</td>
<td>domin-os</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen.</td>
<td>domin-i</td>
<td>domin-orum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dat.</td>
<td>domin-o</td>
<td>domin-is</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abl.</td>
<td>domin-o</td>
<td>domin-is</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The noun **deus, -i GOD** has some alternative forms:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>singular</th>
<th>plural</th>
<th>Note: Given its importance, it is worth keeping in mind all the possible forms that this word may adopt.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nom.</td>
<td>deus / divus</td>
<td>dei / dii / di</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voc.</td>
<td>deus / dive</td>
<td>dei / dii / di</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acc.</td>
<td>deum / divum</td>
<td>deos</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen.</td>
<td>dei / divi</td>
<td>deorum / deum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dat.</td>
<td>deo / divo</td>
<td>deis / diis / di</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abl.</td>
<td>deo / divo</td>
<td>deis / diis / di</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Notes

1/ Almost all nouns of this sub-type are masculine, but some of them are feminine.

2/ Nouns ending in -ius make their Voc. sing. by deleting the -us but without adding the usual -e: filius, Voc. fili; Antonius, Voc. Antoni. In fact what happened is that the -e became an -i because of phonetic assimilation with the -i of the end of the stem, and later both -ii merged into one, leaving a result that makes it look as if no ending -e had been added. These nouns also may contract the two -ii of the Gen. sing. (one of the stem, one of the ending) into one: filii > fili.

3/ Now that we see the coincidence in -is of the endings for the Dat./Abl. plural in the 1st and the 2nd declensions, we see why we can use -abus in the 1st declension for pairs of words that have their feminine version in the 1st decl. and their masculine version in the 2nd decl.: filia, -ae Abl. pl. filiiis, and filius, -i Abl. pl. also filii; to avoid this coincidence, we can use -abus for the feminine word (1st declension): filiabus.

The following list presents some of the most frequent nouns belonging to this sub-variant:

| amicus, -i | friend | filius, -i | son | nuntius, -i | messenger |
| animus, -i | soul | gladius, -i | sword | oculus, -i | eye |
| annus, -i | year | locus, -i | place | populus, -i | people |
| cibus, -i | food | ludus, -i | game, school | servus, -i | slave |
| deus, -i | god | modus, -i | manner | socius, -i | ally |
| discipulus, -i | student | morbus, -i | disease | somnus, -i | sleep |
| dominus, -i | master | murus, -i | wall | tyrannus, -i | tyrant |
| equus, -i | horse | numerus, -i | number | vulgus, -i | mob |

♀ The word locus, -i, in its usual meaning of place, has a neuter plural: loca, -orum. But if it means place in a book, passage, it goes on being masculine also in plural: loci, -orum.

b) Second sub-variant: the type -ø, -i

This sub-variant presents the characteristic of having a stem ending in -er and not having any ending (which we represent by -ø) for the nom. and voc. singular. Most of the nouns that belong to this sub-variant lose the -e- of -er as soon as an ending is added to the stem (which in fact means always except in nom. and voc. singular), but some others keep it.

We will use the noun puer, -i boy to illustrate the declension of the nouns that keep the -e-:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>singular</th>
<th>plural</th>
<th>Example: puer, -i boy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nom.</td>
<td>puer-ø</td>
<td>puer-i</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voc.</td>
<td>puer-ø</td>
<td>puer-i</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acc.</td>
<td>puer-um</td>
<td>puer-os</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen.</td>
<td>puer-i</td>
<td>puer-orum</td>
<td>♀ Observe: For the genitive, we show only -i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dat.</td>
<td>puer-o</td>
<td>puer-is</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abl.</td>
<td>puer-o</td>
<td>puer-is</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
And magister, -tri TEACHER is an example of nouns that lose the -e; note that showing -i for the genitive is not enough in these words: we must show enough letters to make clear that the -e has been lost.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>singular</th>
<th>plural</th>
<th>Example: magister, -tri TEACHER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nom.</td>
<td>magistr-ø</td>
<td>magistr-i</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voc.</td>
<td>magistr-ø</td>
<td>magistr-i</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acc.</td>
<td>magistr-um</td>
<td>magistr-os</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen.</td>
<td>magistr-i</td>
<td>magistr-os</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dat.</td>
<td>magistr-o</td>
<td>magistr-is</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abl.</td>
<td>magistr-o</td>
<td>magistr-is</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes**

1/ All nouns of this sub-type are masculine.

2/ There is one word, MAN, that has a stem ending in -ir instead of -er: vir, -i; it would belong to the group that keep the -e (the -i, in this case): singular vir, vir, virum, viri, viro, viro; plural viri, viri, viros, virorum, viris, viris.

3/ Sometimes this sub-type is called "sub-type in -er" instead of "sub-type in -ø", just because the stem always finishes in -er and, no ending being attached in the Nom. sing., the word will end in -er, but we must see clearly that -er is not an ending.

Some common nouns that follow this sub-type are:

| ager, agri | FIELD | magister, -tri | TEACHER |
| liber, libri | BOOK | puer, pueri | BOY |

c) Third sub-variant: the type -um, -i

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>singular</th>
<th>plural</th>
<th>Example: templum, -i TEMPLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nom.</td>
<td>templ-um</td>
<td>templ-a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voc.</td>
<td>templ-um</td>
<td>templ-a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acc.</td>
<td>templ-um</td>
<td>templ-a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen.</td>
<td>templ-i</td>
<td>templ-orum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dat.</td>
<td>templ-o</td>
<td>templ-is</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abl.</td>
<td>templ-o</td>
<td>templ-is</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes**

1/ All nouns of this sub-type are neuter.

2/ The double golden rule for neuters is: the three first cases are identical, and in plural they end in -a.
The most common nouns that follow this sub-type are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Noun</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>aurum, -i</td>
<td>neuter</td>
<td>GOLD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>auxilium, -i</td>
<td>neuter</td>
<td>HELP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bellum, -i</td>
<td>neuter</td>
<td>WAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>beneficium, -i</td>
<td>neuter</td>
<td>BENEFIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>caelum, -i</td>
<td>neuter</td>
<td>SKY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>consilium, -i</td>
<td>neuter</td>
<td>PLAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>donum, -i</td>
<td>neuter</td>
<td>GIFT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exitium, -i</td>
<td>neuter</td>
<td>DESTRUCTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>factum, -i</td>
<td>neuter</td>
<td>DEED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ferrum, -i</td>
<td>neuter</td>
<td>SWORD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>imperium, -i</td>
<td>neuter</td>
<td>COMMAND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>initium, -i</td>
<td>neuter</td>
<td>BEGINNING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iudicium, -i</td>
<td>neuter</td>
<td>JUDGEMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>odiun, -i</td>
<td>neuter</td>
<td>HATRED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>officium, -i</td>
<td>neuter</td>
<td>DUTY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oppidum, -i</td>
<td>neuter</td>
<td>TOWN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>otium, -i</td>
<td>neuter</td>
<td>LEISURE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>perfugium, -i</td>
<td>neuter</td>
<td>REFUGE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>periculum, -i</td>
<td>neuter</td>
<td>DANGER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>praemium, -i</td>
<td>neuter</td>
<td>REWARD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>principium, -i</td>
<td>neuter</td>
<td>BEGINNING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>proelium, -i</td>
<td>neuter</td>
<td>BATTLE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>signum, -i</td>
<td>neuter</td>
<td>SIGNAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>studium, -i</td>
<td>neuter</td>
<td>ZEAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>telum, -i</td>
<td>neuter</td>
<td>MISSILE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>templum, -i</td>
<td>neuter</td>
<td>TEMPLE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>verbum, -i</td>
<td>neuter</td>
<td>WORD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vitium, -i</td>
<td>neuter</td>
<td>VICE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

.observe the amount of neuter words in -ium: it should be noted that the genitive must keep both -ii: initii, iudicii, consilii, etc.

As in the 1st declension, here are words that have only plural:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Noun</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>fasti, -orum</td>
<td>neuter</td>
<td>FASTI (sacred days)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inferi, -orum</td>
<td>neuter</td>
<td>GODS OF THE UNDERWORLD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>liberi, -orum</td>
<td>neuter</td>
<td>CHILDREN (meaning sons and daughters)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>superi, -orum</td>
<td>neuter</td>
<td>GODS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delphi, -orum</td>
<td>neuter</td>
<td>DELPHI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arma, -orum</td>
<td>neuter</td>
<td>WEAPONS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

And other nouns have a different meaning in each number:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Noun</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>auxilium, -i</td>
<td>neuter</td>
<td>HELP / auxilia, -orum</td>
<td>neuter</td>
<td>AUXILIARY TROOPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>impedimentum, -i</td>
<td>neuter</td>
<td>OBSTACLE / impedimenta, -orum</td>
<td>neuter</td>
<td>BAGGAGE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>castrum, -i</td>
<td>neuter</td>
<td>CASTLE / castra, -orum</td>
<td>neuter</td>
<td>MILITARY CAMP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. 3rd declension

The 3rd declension is the most difficult one, and it is used for masculine, feminine and neuter nouns and adjectives. It has two sub-types: consonant stems and -i stems.

a) Consonant stems

1/ The main characteristic of the consonant stems is that the main stem, the stem on which we will apply the case endings, has suffered some alterations in the Nom. and Voc. singular (and also acc. sing., if the word is neuter). Sometimes the ending for these two cases will be -s and this consonant will produce some changes in the stem, sometimes there is no ending and then the stem has suffered some alteration precisely because of the lack of ending. The final result is that the Nom. / Voc. (and Acc., if neuter) sing. may look quite different from the stem to be used for the other cases, and this compels dictionaries to give almost the whole word of the genitive to make its form clear.
So, if in the 1st declension it was enough with knowing one stem (puell-, for instance) to apply the case endings on it, and it was enough also in the 2nd declension (with the exception of -er nouns that lose the -e-), in the 3rd declension we must know BOTH stems very clearly: the one for the Nom. / Voc. singular (and Acc., if neuter) and the other one (which in fact is the original one) on which we will apply the endings for the other cases (a typical mistake among beginners is to apply the endings for the other cases on the stem of the Nom. sing.).

In a summary: we must accept the Nom. sing. as it is given to us by the dictionary and then we must apply the endings for the other cases on the stem given to us by the genitive singular (after removing the genitive ending).

The consonant stems are also called *imparisyllabic* because the number of syllables in Nom. and Gen. is almost always different (for instance, *tempus* has 2 syllables and *temporis* has 3 syllables).

2/ Let's start with the declension of masculine or feminine nouns:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>singular</th>
<th>plural</th>
<th>Example:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nom.</td>
<td>miles</td>
<td>milit-ès</td>
<td>miles, -itis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voc.</td>
<td>miles</td>
<td>milit-ès</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acc.</td>
<td>milit-em</td>
<td>milit-ès</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen.</td>
<td>milit-is</td>
<td>milit-um</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dat.</td>
<td>milit-i</td>
<td>milit-ibus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abl.</td>
<td>milit-e</td>
<td>milit-ibus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Observe that we must use the Nom. sing. given to us (*miles*) ONLY for the Nom. and Voc. sing. (and acc., if the word is neuter), and the stem given by the genitive (*milit-*) to form all the other cases by adding the corresponding endings. The most common mistake in the declension of a word of this type is to write Nom. *miles*, Voc. *miles*, Acc. *milesem*, Gen. *milesis*, Dat. *milesi*, etc., applying the endings to the nominative.

★ To repeat it in other words: to decline this word, we use the first form given to us, *miles*, for the Nom. / Voc. sing., and then, from the Acc. sing. onwards and all of the plural, we must forget about *miles*, we can not use it any more, and we must use the stem given by the genitive after removing the ending -is: *milit*.

The most common masculine or feminine nouns that follow this sub-type are:

- aestas, -atis (f.) SUMMER dolor, -oris (m.) PAIN miles, -ritis (m.) SOLDIER
- aetas, -atis (f.) LIFE, AGE dux, ducis (m.) GENERAL mos, moris (m.) CUSTOM
- amor, -oris (m.) LOVE homo, -minis (m.) HUMAN BEING mulier, -eris (f.) WOMAN
- arbor, -oris (f.) TREE honor, -oris (m.) HONOUR, oratio, -onis (f.) SPEECH
- auctor, -oris (m.) AUTHOR imperator, -oris (m.) COMMANDER orator, -oris (m.) SPEAKER
- civitas, -atis (f.) STATE iudex, iudicis (m.) JUDGE paupertas, -atis (f.) POVERTY
- comes, -itis (m.) COMPANION labor, -oris (m.) WORK pax, pacis (f.) PEACE
- consul, -ulis (m.) CONSUL laus, laudis (f.) PRAISE pes, pedis (m.) FOOT
- custos, -odis (m.) GUARD lex, legis (f.) LAW plebs, plebis (f.) COMMON PEOPLE
- cupiditas, -atis (f.) DESIRE libertas, -atis (f.) FREEDOM princeps, -cipis (m.) EMPEROR
- dignitas, -atis (f.) DIGNITY lux, lucis (f.) LIGHT probitas, -atis (f.) HONESTY
The nominal system

3/ Let's see now the declension of neuter nouns:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>singular</th>
<th>plural</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nom.</td>
<td>tempor</td>
<td>tempor-a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voc.</td>
<td>tempor</td>
<td>tempor-a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acc.</td>
<td>tempor</td>
<td>tempor-a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen.</td>
<td>tempor-is</td>
<td>tempor-um</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dat.</td>
<td>tempor-i</td>
<td>tempor-ibus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abl.</td>
<td>tempor-e</td>
<td>tempor-ibus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The only difference is that, following the golden rule of neuters, the three first cases are identical (so, we will use the nominative given to us for one case more) and they end in -a in the plural.

The most common neuter nouns that follow this sub-type are:

caput, -itis   HEAD    iter, itineris   WAY
  os, oris   MOUTH
carmen, -minis SONG    ius, iuris    LAW, RIGHT
  pectus, -oris CHEST
corpus, -oris BODY    litus, -oris   SHORE
  scelus, -leris CRIME
glumen, -minis RIVER   nomen, -minis NAME
  tempus, -oris TIME
  vulner, -neris WOUND

b) -i stems

1/ The -i stems do not experience this difference between the nominative and the other cases so frequently, as the most frequent is that both stems are identical. For masculine and feminine nouns, the nominative sing. endings are -is, -es, or -er. As the variation of so many different endings for the nom. sing. does not apply in the -i stems, it is customary to present their declension with the corresponding ending for that case separated by a hyphen as any other case instead of giving it as a single unit as we did for the consonant stems.

Another characteristic of the -i stems is that their ending for the genitive plural is -ium instead of -um. The other endings are the same as for the consonant stems.

The -i stems are also called “parasyllabic” because the number of syllables in Nom. and Gen. is almost always the same one (mare: 2 syllables / maris: 2 syllables, for instance).
2/ Let's see the declension of nubes, -is (fem.) CLOUD:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>singular</th>
<th>plural</th>
<th>Gen.</th>
<th>Dat.</th>
<th>Abl.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nom.</td>
<td>nub-es</td>
<td>nub-es</td>
<td>nub-em</td>
<td>nub-i</td>
<td>nub-e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voc.</td>
<td>nub-es</td>
<td>nub-es</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acc.</td>
<td>nub-em</td>
<td>nub-es/is</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen.</td>
<td>nub-is</td>
<td>nub-iium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dat.</td>
<td>nub-i</td>
<td>nub-ibus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abl.</td>
<td>nub-e</td>
<td>nub-ibus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The most common masculine or feminine nouns that follow this sub-type are:

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>civis, -is (m./f.)</td>
<td>citi-zen</td>
<td>caedes, -is (f.)</td>
<td>slaugh-ter</td>
<td>ignis, -is (m.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>navis, -is (f.)</td>
<td>ship</td>
<td>classis, -is (f.)</td>
<td>fleet</td>
<td>piscis, -is (m.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>auris, -is (f.)</td>
<td>ear</td>
<td>collis, -is (m.)</td>
<td>hill</td>
<td>vallis, -is (f.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>avis, -is (f.)</td>
<td>bird</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3/ For neuter nouns, the usual nom. sing. endings are -e, -al, or -ar. Moreover, they present two further characteristics: the three first cases in plural end in -ia instead of -a, and the ablative sing. is -i instead of -e (neuter -i stems are very conservative in the sense of keeping the characteristic -i as much as possible), although sometimes -e can be found.

Let's see the declension of mare, -is sea:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>singular</th>
<th>plural</th>
<th>Gen.</th>
<th>Dat.</th>
<th>Abl.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nom.</td>
<td>mar-e</td>
<td>mar-ia</td>
<td>mar-is</td>
<td>mar-i/e</td>
<td>mar-ibus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voc.</td>
<td>mar-e</td>
<td>mar-ia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acc.</td>
<td>mar-e</td>
<td>mar-ia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen.</td>
<td>mar-is</td>
<td>mar-iium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dat.</td>
<td>mar-i</td>
<td>mar-ibus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abl.</td>
<td>mar-i/e</td>
<td>mar-ibus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

◊ The plural maria should be pronounced mária and not maría, which is a first name.

Apart from mare, the most common neuter noun that follows this sub-type is refe, -is net. In fact hardly any noun follows it, but it is much used (like the masc./fem. sub-type) for adjectives.

c) Consonant stems or -i stems?

The rule about the number of syllables almost always works, but there are several exceptions:

1/ These nouns are imparisyllabic, but they are declined through the -i stem sub-type (which means that they will have -ium in the gen. plural and -ia in the neuter plural for the neuter animalia). Observe that, except animal, all of them have a nominative ending with two consonants: in fact there was an -i- between these two consonants and they were parisyllabics, but the -i- was lost and, losing one syllable, they became apparently imparisyllabics:
animal, -alis (n.) | ANIMAL | pars, partis (f.) | PART
urbs, urbis (f.) | CITY | arx, arcis (f.) | CITADEL
mons, montis (m.) | MOUNTAIN | gens, gentis (f.) | RACE, PEOPLE
mens, mentis (f.) | MIND | nox, noctis (f.) | NIGHT
mors, mortis (f.) | DEATH | ars, artis (f.) | ART

2/ On the opposite, these nouns are parasyllabic, but they are declined through the consonant stem sub-type:

mater, matris (f.) | MOTHER
pater, patris (m.) | FATHER
frater, fratris (m.) | BROTHER
senex, -nis (m.) | OLD MAN

ıld Observe that FATHER, MOTHER and BROTHER form part of this group, but SISTER is not included.

d) Further observations

1/ There are some very archaic nouns, like turris, -is TOWER, that have an acc. sing. in -im instead of -em: turrim. Another well-known example is the acc. Tiberim TIBER (river).

2/ Another similar case is vis STRENGTH, but moreover it lacks genitive and dative sing. (although some grammars quote vis as a supposed genitive), and its plural is based on the stem vir-:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>singular</th>
<th>plural</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nom.</td>
<td>vis</td>
<td>vires</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voc.</td>
<td>vis</td>
<td>vires</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acc.</td>
<td>vim</td>
<td>vires</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen.</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>virium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dat.</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>viribus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abl.</td>
<td>vi</td>
<td>viribus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

▲ Confusing forms of this word with forms of vir, -i (2\textsuperscript{nd} declension) is a typical mistake.

3/ As in other declensions, there are words with a different meaning in each number:

aedis, -is | TEMPLE / aedes, -ium | HOUSE
sors, sortis | LUCK / sortes, -ium | ORACLE
finis, -is | BOUNDARY / fines, -ium | TERRITORY
ops, opis (f.) | HELP / opes, opum | WEALTH, RESOURCES

4/ And, as usual, some words have only plural:

moenia, -ium WALLS (of a city)
4. 4th declension

The 4th declension has two sub-types: one for masculine and feminine words, and one for neuters. As many of its endings are -us, it is very common to confuse it with words of the 2nd declension.

a) First sub-type: the type in -us, -us

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>singular</th>
<th>plural</th>
<th>Example: senatus, -us</th>
<th>SEnate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nom.</td>
<td>senat-us</td>
<td>senat-us</td>
<td>senat-us</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voc.</td>
<td>senat-us</td>
<td>senat-us</td>
<td>senat-us</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acc.</td>
<td>senat-um</td>
<td>senat-us</td>
<td>senat-us</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen.</td>
<td>senat-us</td>
<td>senat-uum</td>
<td>senat-uum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dat.</td>
<td>senat-ui</td>
<td>senat-ibus</td>
<td>senat-ibus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abl.</td>
<td>senat-u</td>
<td>senat-ibus</td>
<td>senat-ibus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The word domus, -us HOUSE developed some forms of the 2nd declension. Putting together all the possibilities, we have these forms:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>singular</th>
<th>plural</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nom.</td>
<td>domus</td>
<td>domus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voc.</td>
<td>domus</td>
<td>domus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acc.</td>
<td>domum</td>
<td>domus / domos</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen.</td>
<td>domus / domi</td>
<td>domum / domor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dat.</td>
<td>domui / domo</td>
<td>domibus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abl.</td>
<td>domu / domo</td>
<td>domibus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

✧ Given the frequency of this word, it is worth remembering all its possible forms.

Notes

1/ Almost all nouns that follow this sub-type are masculine, but for instance domus and manus, -us HAND, BAND (of people) are feminine.

2/ In former times, the original ending -ibus was in fact -ubus, it moved to -ibus because of influence of the 3rd declension. But some archaic words may keep it.

The most common masculine or feminine nouns that follow this sub-type are:

cursus, -us (m.) RACE, COURSE
exercitus, -us (m.) ARMY
fructus, -us (m.) FRUIT
manus, -us (f.) HAND
metus, -us (m.) FEAR
sensus, -us (m.) FEELING
vultus, -us (m.) FACE
### b) Second sub-type: the type in -u, -us

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>singular</th>
<th>plural</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nom.</td>
<td>gen-u</td>
<td>gen-ua</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voc.</td>
<td>gen-u</td>
<td>gen-ua</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acc.</td>
<td>gen-u</td>
<td>gen-ua</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen.</td>
<td>gen-us</td>
<td>gen-uum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dat.</td>
<td>gen-ui/u</td>
<td>gen-ibus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abl.</td>
<td>gen -u</td>
<td>gen-ibus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Example: **genu, -us** KNEE

Adam the possibility of either -ui or -u for the dative sing. neuter. This alternation may also be found in the first sub-type for masc./fem. (-us, -us), but it is more frequent in neuters (-u, -us).

Apart from **genu**, another common noun that follows this sub-type is **cornu, -us** HORN.

✧ Usually, **cornu** is used in the sense of Wing or FLANK of an army: **dextrum/sinistrum cornu** RIGHT/LEFT FLANK.

### 5. 5th declension

The 5th declension, like the 1st one, has only one type.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>singular</th>
<th>plural</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nom.</td>
<td>di-es</td>
<td>di-es</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voc.</td>
<td>di-es</td>
<td>di-es</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acc.</td>
<td>di-em</td>
<td>di-es</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen.</td>
<td>di-ei</td>
<td>di-erum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dat.</td>
<td>di-ei</td>
<td>di-ebus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abl.</td>
<td>di-e</td>
<td>di-ebus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Example: **dies, -ei** DAY

**Notes**

a/ All words of the 5th decl. are feminine, except **dies, -ei**, which can be masculine when it means a specific day as date rather than unit of length of time.

b/ The word **res, rei** THING will be found a lot of times in conjunction with adjectives, forming a concept that may be written as a single word (but each half must be declined independently): **respublica** THE STATE, **reipublicae** OF THE STATE, etc.

Other nouns of this declension are:

- **fides, -ei** FAITH
- **spes, -ei** HOPE
c) Adjectives

**General observations**

**a/ An adjective has gender:** In Latin, as in many other languages, if an adjective accompanies a masculine noun, it must be masculine; the adjective must be feminine if it accompanies a feminine noun, neuter if the noun is neuter. On the basis of the different classes adjectives belong to, gender will be expressed by means of different declensions.

**b/ Classes of adjectives:** There are two classes of adjectives in Latin, and each adjective belongs to one of these classes: please note that we are talking about classes, not declensions. For instance, the Latin adjective that means **good** belongs to the first class, and the adjective that means **easy** belongs to the second one.

**1. 1st class of adjectives**

**a/ The first class makes use of the 1st and 2nd declensions.** They are the so-called “2-1-2 adjectives”, as they inflect as follows:

- If the adjective is *masculine*, it follows the 2nd declension (first or second sub-variant).
- If the adjective is *feminine*, it follows the 1st declension.
- If the adjective is *neuter*, it follows the 2nd declension (third sub-variant).

The dictionary form shows the three nominative sing. forms (masc./fem./neuter). For instance,

- **bonus, -a, -um** GOOD
- **malus, -a, -um** BAD
- **miser, -a, -um** WRETCHED
- **pulcher, -chra, -chrum** NICE

In the cases in which the masculine follows the second sub-variant, like *miser* or *pulcher*, there is the possibility that in the rest of the masculine and in all the feminine and neuter the adjective loses the -e- (like in *magister, -tri*) or keeps it (like in *puer, pueri*). In case that it loses the -e-, the same is done as with a noun: for the feminine and neuter, enough letters must be given to show that the -e- has disappeared. For instance, it would be wrong to write **pulcher, -a, -um**, as this would mean **pulcher, pulchera, pulcherum**, which are wrong forms: the dictionary must say **pulcher, -chra, -chrum** to show the disappearance of the -e-.

The most frequent adjectives following the *2-1-2 scheme* are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Latin</th>
<th>English</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>adversus, -a, -um</td>
<td>OPPOSITE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aequus, -a, -um</td>
<td>EQUAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>altus, -a, -um</td>
<td>HIGH, TALL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>amicus, -a, -um</td>
<td>FRIENDLY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>antiquus, -a, -um</td>
<td>ANCIENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>avarus, -a, -um</td>
<td>GREEDY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>beatus, -a, -um</td>
<td>HAPPY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bellus, -a, -um</td>
<td>NICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>certus, -a, -um</td>
<td>CERTAIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>carus, -a, -um</td>
<td>DEAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bonus, -a, -um</td>
<td>GOOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clarus, -a, -um</td>
<td>FAMOUS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
b/ Two common mistakes:

- There are some indefinite adjectives (the indefinite adjectives is a grammatical category to be dealt with in another chapter) that have the nominatives in -us, -a, -um, but in fact they present some peculiarities in their declension. For instance, nullus, -a, -um NONE, NO ONE: it may seem that its declension is identical to that of the former adjectives, but in fact it is not.

- Another common mistake is to make noun and adjective agree in declension rather than in gender, just because it sounds better: Video altam nautam instead of Video altum nautam. Adjective and noun must agree in case, number and gender (in this example, accusative masculine singular), but do not necessarily follow the same declension; nauta SAILOR is masculine in Latin, so the adjective must be masculine as well, therefore it will follow the 2nd declension. The fact that the noun SAILOR itself belongs to the 1st declension must not affect the choice of the declension used to inflect the adjective: the choice must be based on the gender of the noun, NOT on the declension followed by the noun.

2. 2nd class of adjectives

This class of adjectives uses only the 3rd declension to inflect all genders. This class is usually subdivided into adjectives of one, of two or of three endings, but in fact it would be more accurate to say one, two or three nominatives singular.

We will start by the adjectives of two nominatives; the type of three nominatives is a small derivation from them, and those of one nominative will be explained at the end as they present some strange characteristic.
a) Adjectives of two nominatives

They are declined by the 3\textsuperscript{rd} declension -\textit{i} stem, and they follow this pattern:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>singular</th>
<th></th>
<th>plural</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nom.</td>
<td>fortis</td>
<td>forte</td>
<td>fortis</td>
<td>fortia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voc.</td>
<td>fortis</td>
<td>forte</td>
<td>fortis</td>
<td>fortia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acc.</td>
<td>fortis</td>
<td>forte</td>
<td>fortis</td>
<td>fortia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen.</td>
<td>← fortis →</td>
<td>← fortium →</td>
<td>← fortibus →</td>
<td>← fortibus →</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dat.</td>
<td>← forti →</td>
<td>← fortibus →</td>
<td>← fortibus →</td>
<td>← fortibus →</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abl.</td>
<td>← forti →</td>
<td>← fortibus →</td>
<td>← fortibus →</td>
<td>← fortibus →</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[\text{fortis, -e} \quad \text{STRONG}\]

\(\text{Observe that the three last cases are common for the three genders.}\)

\[\text{Notes}\]

1/ The masculine and feminine have identical forms.
2/ The three genders have identical forms for the last three cases.
3/ The ablative singular is -\textit{i} even if the adjective is masculine or feminine.
4/ All adjectives belonging to this type will be presented as -\textit{is}, -\textit{e}: fortis, -e.

Other frequent adjectives that follow this scheme are:

- \textit{brevis, -e}\quad \text{BRIEF}
- \textit{communis, -e} \quad \text{COMMON}
- \textit{crudelis, -e} \quad \text{CRUEL}
- \textit{difficilis, -e} \quad \text{DIFFICULT}
- \textit{dissimilis, -e} \quad \text{DIFFERENT}
- \textit{dulcis, -e} \quad \text{SWEET}
- \textit{facilis, -e} \quad \text{EASY}
- \textit{fidelis, -e} \quad \text{FAITHFUL}
- \textit{gravis, -e} \quad \text{SERIOUS}
- \textit{immortalis, -e} \quad \text{IMMORTAL}
- \textit{levis, -e} \quad \text{LIGHT}
- \textit{mirabilis, -e} \quad \text{AMAZING}
- \textit{mortalis, -e} \quad \text{MORTAL}
- \textit{omnis, -e} \quad \text{ALL}
- \textit{similis, -e} \quad \text{SIMILAR}
- \textit{talis, -e} \quad \text{SUCH}
- \textit{tristis, -e} \quad \text{SAD}
- \textit{turpis, -e} \quad \text{SHAMEFUL}
- \textit{utilis, -e} \quad \text{USEFUL}

b) Adjectives of three nominatives

A derivation from the former type; the difference is that they have a special form for Nom. and Voc. sing. masculine:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>singular</th>
<th></th>
<th>plural</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nom.</td>
<td>acer</td>
<td>acris</td>
<td>acres</td>
<td>acricia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voc.</td>
<td>acer</td>
<td>acris</td>
<td>acres</td>
<td>acricia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acc.</td>
<td>acret</td>
<td>acrem</td>
<td>acres</td>
<td>acricia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen.</td>
<td>← acris →</td>
<td>← acrium →</td>
<td>← acribus →</td>
<td>← acribus →</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dat.</td>
<td>← acri →</td>
<td>← acribus →</td>
<td>← acribus →</td>
<td>← acribus →</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abl.</td>
<td>← acri →</td>
<td>← acribus →</td>
<td>← acribus →</td>
<td>← acribus →</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[\text{Example: } \text{acer, acris, acre} \quad \text{HARSH, SHARP}\]

\[\text{As with fortis, -e, the three last cases are common for the three genders.}\]
Notes

1/ Except for the two **acer** in Nom. and Voc. singular, the rest of the declension is identical to adjectives of two nominatives.

2/ Therefore, **acris** is valid only for feminine, not for both.

Apart from **acer**, the only frequent adjective of this type is **celer, celeris, celere** SWIFT, QUICK.

c) Adjectives of one nominative

1/ Most of them follow the -i stem declension, but some of them follow the consonant stem declension (later we will indicate which ones).

They have only one nominative for the three genders, and they follow this pattern:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>singular</th>
<th></th>
<th>plural</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>masc./fem.</td>
<td>neuter</td>
<td>masc./fem.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nom.</td>
<td>→ felix</td>
<td></td>
<td>felices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voc.</td>
<td>→ felix</td>
<td></td>
<td>felices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acc.</td>
<td>felicem</td>
<td>felix</td>
<td>felices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen.</td>
<td>→ felicis</td>
<td></td>
<td>→ felicium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dat.</td>
<td>→ felici</td>
<td></td>
<td>→ felicibus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abl.</td>
<td>→ felici</td>
<td></td>
<td>→ felicibus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes

a/ As they have only one Nom. sing., the way of introducing them is by saying the Nom. and Gen. sing., as if it were a noun: **felix, -icus** HAPPY.

b/ Observe that the Acc. sing. must have two options: if the adjective accompanies a neuter noun, it must be equal to the nominative. So, except for the Acc., the singular would have only one column.

c/ Observe that the plural is identical to that of the former types.

The most frequent ones are:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>audax, -acis</td>
<td>BOLD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clemens, -entis</td>
<td>CLEMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>diligens, -entis</td>
<td>DILIGENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ferox, -ocis</td>
<td>FIERCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>imprudens, -entis</td>
<td>IMPRUDENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ingens, -entis</td>
<td>HUGE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mendax, -acis</td>
<td>LIAR, FALSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>potens, -entis</td>
<td>POWERFUL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prudens, -entis</td>
<td>PRUDENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sapiens, -entis</td>
<td>WISE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>velox, -ocis</td>
<td>SWIFT, QUICK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2/ As we have said, some adjectives of one nominative are declined through the consonant stem scheme, like this one:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>singular</th>
<th>plural</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nom.</td>
<td>vetus</td>
<td>veteres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voc.</td>
<td>vetus</td>
<td>veteres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acc.</td>
<td>veterem</td>
<td>veteres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen.</td>
<td>veteris</td>
<td>veterum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dat.</td>
<td>veteri</td>
<td>veteribus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abl.</td>
<td>vetele</td>
<td>veteribus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adjectives of one ending that follow the consonant declension are those whose nominative does NOT finish in any of these combinations:

- -ns, -ax, -ix, -ox  

- Note the consonant stem endings.

The most frequent adjectives that follow this consonant stem pattern are:

- dives, divitis  
  RICH
- inops, -opis (x)  
  POOR, NEEDY
- memor, -oris (x)  
  MINDFUL
- particeps, -cipis  
  PARTAKING
- pauper, -eris  
  POOR
- princeps, -cipis  
  FIRST, CHIEFTAIN
- pubes, -eris  
  ADULT
- sospes, -itis  
  SAFE AND SOUND
- superstes, -stitis  
  SURVIVING
- supplex, -licis (x)  
  SUPPLIANT
- vetus, -eris  
  OLD

(x) These three have the ablative sing. in -i: they were influenced by the -i stem type.

3. Position of the adjective

a/ A small number of adjectives that convey special meanings may give a different sense to the sentence according to their position, as shown in the following examples:

- In monte medio sum  
  I AM IN THE MOUNTAIN IN THE MIDDLE
  The mountain that is in the middle of a row of several mountains, for instance.
- In medio monte sum  
  I AM THE MIDDLE OF THE MOUNTAIN
  The area between the base and the summit.
- In quattuor partes divisas copias educit, duas, ut medio monte duceret, duas ...  
  HE TOOK HIS TROOPS OUT DIVIDED INTO FOUR PARTS: TWO IN ORDER TO TAKE THEM THROUGH THE MIDDLE OF THE MOUNTAIN, TWO ... (Livy, Ab Urbe Condita).
- Afranius copias educit et in medio colle sub castris constituit  
  AFRANIUS TAKES OUT HIS TROOPS AND ARRANGES THEM IN THE MIDDLE OF THE HILL UNDER HIS CAMP (Caesar, Bellum Civile).
- Prima luce medio in alveo cum stationibus hostium proelium commisit  
b/ In any case, this was not a golden rule. Observe this exception in Caesar:

- *Ipse interim in colle medio triplicem aciem instruxit*  
  *MEANWHILE, HE HIMSELF ARRANGED A TRIPLE LINE ON THE MIDDLE OF THE HILL* (Caesar, De Bello Gallico).
  
  ✧ From the larger context, it can be seen that it means *THE MIDDLE OF THE HILL, NOT THE HILL IN THE MIDDLE.*

c/ A common idiom is *in mediis undis* *IN THE MIDDLE OF THE WAVES,* although Latin writers used to write *mediis in undis:*

- *Mediis sitiemus in undis*  
  *LET'S BE THIRSTY IN THE MIDDLE OF THE WAVES* (Ovid, Metamorphoses).

## 4. Use of the adjective as a noun

a/ As in many languages, adjectives can be used as nouns. We have seen the adjective *amicus, -a, -um* *FRIENDLY,* but formerly we had seen the nouns *amica, -ae* and *amicus, -i,* both meaning *FRIEND,* which obviously are nothing else than the feminine and masculine of the adjective, used as nouns.

Maybe the most common use is *Romani* *THE ROMANS,* *Galli* *THE GAULS,* *omnia* *EVERYTHING,* etc.:

- *Labor omnia vincit*  
  *HARD WORK CONQUERS ALL* (Virgil, Georgicae).
- *Omnia circumspexit Quinctius, omnia periclitatus est*  
  *QUINCTIUS CONSIDERED EVERYTHING, TESTED EVERYTHING* (Cicero, Pro Quinctio).

Adjectives like *boni,* if used on its own, may need some free translation in English (to translate it by *THE GOOD ONES* would sound strange in English, but in fact this is the way it sounded in Latin):

- *Sed sunt in illo numero multi boni, docti, pudentes, qui ad hoc iudicium deducti non sunt*  
  *BUT THERE ARE IN THAT BODY MANY VIRTUOUS, LEARNED AND MODEST PEOPLE WHO HAVE NOT BEEN BROUGHT TO THIS TRIAL* (Cicero, Pro Flacco).

b/ Their use in neuter plural in abstract sense is also very common, and also in this case we may need some free translation in English:

- *Amo bona*  
  *I LOVE GOOD THINGS.*
- *Non facio mala*  
  *I DO NOT DO BAD THINGS.*
- *Maiorum gloria posteris quasi lumen est, neque bona neque mala eorum in occulto patitur*  
  *THE GLORY OF THE ANCESTORS IS LIKE A LIGHT FOR THE DESCENDANTS, AND IT DOES NOT LEAVE IN DARKNESS EITHER THEIR VIRTUES OR THEIR VICES* (Sallust, Bellum Iugurthinum).

The adjective *liber,* in its masculine plural form *liberi, -orum,* if used on its own, may have the meaning of *CHILDREN,* sons and daughters indistinctly:

- *Cari sunt parentes, cari liber, propinqui, familiares*  
  *PARENTS, CHILDREN, RELATIVES AND FRIENDS ARE DEAR* (Cicero, De Officiis).
To present the whole numerical system would exceed the purpose of this grammar, so we present here those that the student is more liable to find.

1. Cardinals

a/ The cardinals from 1 to 20 (after the translation, we add their representation in Roman ciphers):

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>unus, -a, -um</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>duo, duae, duo</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>tres, tria</td>
<td>III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>quattuor</td>
<td>IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>quinque</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>sex</td>
<td>VI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>septem</td>
<td>VII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>octo</td>
<td>VIII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>novem</td>
<td>IX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>decem</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>undecim</td>
<td>XI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>duodecim</td>
<td>XII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>tredecim</td>
<td>XIII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>quattuordecim</td>
<td>XIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>quindecim</td>
<td>XV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>sedecim</td>
<td>XVI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>septendecim</td>
<td>XVII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>duodeviginti</td>
<td>XVIII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>undeviginti</td>
<td>XIX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>viginti</td>
<td>XX</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that 18 and 19 are expressed by saying how many are left to reach 20.

From all of these, only 1, 2 and 3 are declined, the rest of them are indeclinable. We will see the declension of unus, -a, -um in the chapter of indefinite pronouns. Duo and tres are declined this way:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>masc.</th>
<th>fem.</th>
<th>neuter</th>
<th></th>
<th>masc./fem.</th>
<th>neuter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nom.</td>
<td>duo</td>
<td>duae</td>
<td>duo</td>
<td>Nom.</td>
<td>tres</td>
<td>tria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acc.</td>
<td>duos</td>
<td>duas</td>
<td>duo</td>
<td>Acc.</td>
<td>tres</td>
<td>tria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen.</td>
<td>duorum</td>
<td>duarum</td>
<td>duorum</td>
<td>Gen.</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>trium →</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dat.</td>
<td>duobus</td>
<td>duabus</td>
<td>duobus</td>
<td>Dat.</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>tribus →</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abl.</td>
<td>duobus</td>
<td>duabus</td>
<td>duobus</td>
<td>Abl.</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>tribus →</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Obviously, both of them follow plural patterns, and tres, tria follows the regular plural of an -is, -e adjective.

b/ From here on, 20, 30, etc. are as follows:

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>triginta</td>
<td>XXX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>quadraginta</td>
<td>XL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>quinquaginta</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>sexaginta</td>
<td>LX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>septuaginta</td>
<td>LXX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>octoginta</td>
<td>LXXX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>nonaginta</td>
<td>XC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
c/ When we have to form a compound number, for instance twenty-four or twenty-seven, we form it this way: quattuor et viginti (24), septem et viginti (27)

but twenty-eight and twenty-nine would be formed this way: duodetriginta, undetriginta (i.e., following the pattern of 18 and 19).

The same pattern would be followed with the other compounds: unit + et + tenth except for compounds ending in 8 or 9.

d/ From 100 on, they are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>centum</th>
<th></th>
<th>600</th>
<th>sescenti, -ae, -a</th>
<th>DC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
<td>ducenti, -ae, -a</td>
<td>CC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>300</td>
<td>trecenti, -ae, -a</td>
<td>CCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>400</td>
<td>quadringenti, -ae, -a</td>
<td>CD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>500</td>
<td>quingenti, -ae, -a</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Observe that from 200 on they are declined following the 2-1-2 scheme, but 100 is indeclinable.

e/ With respect to the thousands, one thousand is indeclinable; it is considered an adjective, but it is not declined:

• Mille milites vidimus WE SAW 1,000 SOLDIERS.

To say two thousand, three thousand, etc., we use milia (observe: only one -l-), which is considered a noun meaning thousands and is declined like the neuter plural of facilis:

| Nom. | milia |
| Acc. | milia |
| Gen. | milium |
| Dat. | milibus |
| Abl  | milibus |

After it, we must use the *partitite genitive*:

• Tria milia militum vidi I SAW THREE THOUSAND SOLDIERS ("... three thousands of soldiers").

⌂ Observe that we use the neuter tria, because milia is a neuter noun.

⌂ In some cases, the genitive partitive can be found also after mille:

• Mille militum vidimus WE SAW ONE THOUSAND (OF) SOLDIERS.

Let’s see some examples:

• Non quinquaginta modo, quadringentos filios habet HUNDRED (Plautus, *Bacchides*).
• Bis ex duorum bellorum flamma ferroque servata est WARS (Cicero, *In Verrem*).
NUMERAL ADJECTIVES

- Ducentos equites ei attribuit (Caesar, De Bello Gallico). HEAssigned to him two hundred horsemen

- Egressus cum tribus legionibus eum locum petit (Caesar, De Bello Gallico). AFTER departing with three legions he heads for that place

- Mille milites ... in praesidium cum frumento missi (sunt) (Livy, Ab Urbe Condita). ONE thousand soldiers were sent to the garrison with supplies

- Duas legiones Romanas et decem milia sociorum pedum, mille equites socios, sescentos Romanos Gallia prouincia ... habuit THE province of Gaul received two Roman legions, ten thousand allied infantry soldiers, one thousand allied horsemen and six hundred Roman ones (Livy, Ab Urbe Condita).

2. Ordinals

The ordinals corresponding to the 10 first positions are adjectives that follow the 2-1-2 scheme:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FIRST</th>
<th>primus, -a, -um</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SECOND</td>
<td>secundus, -a, -um</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THIRD</td>
<td>tertius, -a, -um</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOURTH</td>
<td>quartus, -a, -um</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIFTH</td>
<td>quintus, -a, -um</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIXTH</td>
<td>sextus, -a, -um</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEVENTH</td>
<td>septimus, -a, -um</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIGHTH</td>
<td>octavus, -a, -um</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NINTH</td>
<td>nonus, -a, -um</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TENTH</td>
<td>decimus, -a, -um</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Some examples of ordinals:

- Terto die Caesar vallo castra communit (Caesar, Bellum Civile). On the third day Caesar barricaded the camp with a wall

- Septimus mihi liber Originum est in manibus (Cicero, Cato Maior de Senectute). I have in my hands the seventh book of the Originés

3. Multiplicatives

They indicate the number of times that an amount or something is repeated. They are adjectives of one ending:

- duplex, -plicis DOUBLE
- triplex, -plicis TRIPLE
- quadruplex, -plicis QUADRUPLE

Also these multiplicative adverbs should be known:

- semel ONCE
- bis TWICE
- ter THRIC
- centiens ONE HUNDRED TIMES

☆ Adverbs are dealt with in another chapter, but it seemed logical to include these ones here.
Some examples:

- Liberare iuravisti me haud *semel*, *sed centiens* (Plautus, *Poenulus*).
- Rem publicam *bis* servavi
- Caesar ... *duplicem eo loco fecerat vallum* (Caesar, *Bellum Civile*).

**4. Distributives**

They indicate in which amount the subject (or object) is to be considered:

- **singuli**, -ae, -a **ONE BY ONE**
- **bini**, -ae, -a **IN GROUPS OF TWO**
- **trini**, -ae, -a **IN GROUPS OF THREE**

- Rex Creo vigiles nocturnos singulos semper locat **KING CREON ALWAYS ARRANGES SEPARATE (“ONE BY ONE”) NIGHT SENTRIES** (Plautus, *Amphitruo*).

A very important use they have is that they are used for nouns that have no singular (or that have a different meaning in singular):

- *Bina castra cepimus* **WE CAPTURED TWO CAMPS.**
- *Binas a te accepi litteras* **I RECEIVED FROM YOU TWO LETTERS** (Cicero, *Epistulae ad Familiares*).
e) Comparative and superlative

1. General observations

Usually, when the concept of “comparative” degree of adjectives is mentioned, the first type that springs to mind is the comparative of *superiority* (taller than…); however, both in English and in Latin, there are two additional types of comparatives, which will be introduced in this chapter: the comparative of *inferiority* (less tall than…) and the comparative of *equality* (as tall as…). First we will deal with the comparative of superiority and with the superlative (from now on, as happens in most grammars, when we mention just comparative we will mean comparative of superiority).

We must insist on the fact that a comparative or superlative is just an adjective and that therefore it will have to agree with its noun in gender, number and case.

2. Accidence

a) Comparative

Adjectives form their comparative form by means of the suffixes -ior / -ius added to the stem of the adjective; the resulting adjective will be declined through the 3rd declension consonant stem; no matter whether the adjective in its original form (usually called “positive form”) belongs to the 1st or 2nd class of adjectives: its comparative will be declined through the 3rd declension consonant stem; let’s see the comparative of altus-, -a, -um:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>singular</th>
<th></th>
<th>plural</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nom.</td>
<td>alt-ior</td>
<td>alt-ius</td>
<td>alt-ior-es alt-ior-a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voc.</td>
<td>alt-ior</td>
<td>alt-ius</td>
<td>alt-ior-es alt-ior-a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acc.</td>
<td>alt-ior-em alt-ius</td>
<td></td>
<td>alt-ior-es alt-ior-a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen.</td>
<td>← alt-ior-is →</td>
<td></td>
<td>← alt-ior-is →</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dat.</td>
<td>← alt-ior-i →</td>
<td></td>
<td>← alt-ior-i →</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abl</td>
<td>← alt-ior-e →</td>
<td></td>
<td>← alt-ior-e →</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes

1/ The Abl. sing, is always -e, even if the adjective must be neuter.

2/ Observe that the suffix -ius is used ONLY for the three first cases of the neuter singular; the rest of the singular and ALL of the plural use -ior, whether it is neuter or not. There is the wrong tendency to say that -ior is used for masc. and fem. and -ius for neuter, but we can see that only three of the twelve forms in neuter use -ius.
b) Superlative

The superlative is formed by adding the suffix -issim- to the stem and declining it as an adjective of the 1<sup>st</sup> class (again, no matter whether the adjective in its positive form belongs to the 1<sup>st</sup> or 2<sup>nd</sup> class of adjectives: its superlative will be declined through the -us, -a, -um scheme): alt-issim-us, -a, -um.

Some adjectives with the stem ending in -il- add -limus instead of -issimus:

- facilis, -e superl. facillimus
- difficilis, -e superl. difficillimus
- similis, -e superl. simillimus
- dissimilis, -e superl. dissimillimus

And some others, those that have a nom. masc, sing. ending in -er, add -rimus to this masculine form (and they do not elide the -e- even if the adjective in its positive form elides it):

- celeber, -bris, -bre superl. celeberrimus
- pulcher, -chra, -chrum superl. pulcherrimus

c) Irregular comparatives and superlatives

1/ Some adjectives change their stem when forming the comparative and the superlative, and moreover the usual suffix -issim- of the superlative has disappeared in most of them. The four most frequent ones change the stem completely:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Positive</th>
<th>Comparative</th>
<th>Superlative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>bonus, a, -um</td>
<td>GOOD</td>
<td>melior, -ius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>malus, -a, -um</td>
<td>BAD</td>
<td>peior, peius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>magnus, -a, -um</td>
<td>BIG</td>
<td>maior, -ius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>parvus, -a, -um</td>
<td>SMALL</td>
<td>minor, -us</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that minor, -us lacks the -i- of -ior and -ius, but it goes on being declined by the 3<sup>rd</sup> declension like the other ones.

2/ Other ones, not so frequent and that do not change their stem but just modify it partially, are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Positive</th>
<th>Comparative</th>
<th>Superlative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>vetus, -teris</td>
<td>OLD</td>
<td>vetustior, -ius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dives, divitis</td>
<td>RICH</td>
<td>ditior, -ius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>propinquus, -a, -um</td>
<td>NEAR</td>
<td>propior, -ius</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Quis me est ditior? WHO IS REACHER THAN ME? (Plautus, Aulularia).
- Tanto deteriores sunt quanto vetustiores THE OLDER THEY ARE, THE WORSE THEY ARE (Columella, De Re Rustica).
3/ A very important one:

**multus, -a, -um** MUCH (MANY in plural) has a very peculiar comparative: in the *singular*, it is **plus**, which is a neuter noun; its declension is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nom.</td>
<td>plus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acc.</td>
<td>plus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen.</td>
<td>pluris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dat.</td>
<td>[non-existent]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abl.</td>
<td>plure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If it is followed by a noun, this noun will be a *partitive genitive*:

- *Plus aquae volo* I WANT MORE (OF) WATER.
- *Cito te intelleges plus audire* QUICKLY YOU WILL REALISE THAT YOU HEAR MORE (Cato, *De Agri Cultura*).

✧ In the previous sentences, Cato has mentioned a remedy for healing people with hearing difficulties.

In the *plural*, it is an adjective, and it has a form for masculine and feminine and another one for neuter, declined like the plural of *facilis, -e* with the exception that the expected neuter ending -*ia* is just -*a* (as if it were a consonant stem):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Form</th>
<th>Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nom.</td>
<td>plures</td>
<td>/ plura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acc.</td>
<td>plures (or pluris) / plura</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen.</td>
<td>plurium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dat.</td>
<td>pluribus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abl.</td>
<td>pluribus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Its use is the expected one:

- *Plures libros habeo* I HAVE MORE BOOKS.
- *Postremo vicit sententia plurium* FINALLY THE OPINION OF THE MAJORITY PREVAILED (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).

3. Syntax

a) Comparative

1/ The comparative will usually have a second term of comparison. Observe this sentence:

**THE STUDENT IS TALLER THAN THE TEACHER.**

The second term in this comparison is **THAN THE TEACHER**. There are two ways of expressing it:

- By using *quam* (= **THAN**) and putting **THE TEACHER** in the same case as the first term of the comparison (in this sentence, **THE STUDENT**, which happens to be in nominative):

  Discipulus altior *quam magister* est.

- By putting **THE TEACHER** in ablative (without any word equivalent to **THAN**):

  Discipulus altior est *magistro.*
This second system can be used only when two objects (or people) are being compared directly and when the first element to be compared is either in nominative or accusative (as in the last example); for instance, we cannot use it to say *going home is better than going to the forum*, we must use the *quam* method:

> Melius est domum ire *quam ad forum*.

*ad forum* is a prepositional phrase, it is not a noun that we can put in ablative.

Let's see some examples:

- *Miseriorem ego* ex amore *quam te vidi neminem* (Plautus, *Casina*).
- *Neminem me fortiorem esse censebam* (Curtius Rufus, *Historiae Alexandri Magni*).
- *Qui me alter est audacior homo aut qui confidentior?* (Plautus, *Amphitruo*).
- *Ferocior etiam* *quam Romulus fuit* (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).

2/ The comparative can be used without any second term:

- *Deteriores enim iugulari cupio, meliores vincere* (Cicero, *Philippicae*).
- *Castra altiore vallo muniri iubet* (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).

Or the second term can even be another verb:

- *Peiores morimur* *quam nascimur* (Seneca iunior, *Epistulae Morales ad Lucilium*).

**b) Superlative**

The superlative can have two meanings:

1/ Absolute meaning:  
- *Caesar sapientissimus est*  

Caesar is very wise.

2/ Relative meaning:  
- *Caesar sapientissimus est*  

Caesar is the wisest ... (out of whom?).

When it is used with relative meaning, the second term of the superlative expression can be expressed in three ways:

- **genitive:**  
  - *Caesar sapientissimus est omnium ducum*  
  
Caesar is the wisest of all generals.

- **ex + ablative:**  
  - *Caesar sapientissimus est ex omnibus ducibus*  
  
Caesar is the wisest out of all generals.

- **inter + accusative:**  
  - *Caesar sapientissimus est inter omnes duces*  
  
Caesar is the wisest among all generals.
Some original examples:

- **Exercitus autem Caesaris, qui erat optimus, ...** BUT Cæsar’s army, that was the best one, ... (Cicero, *Epistulae ad Brutum*).
- **Horum omnium fortissimi sunt Belgae** THE BELGAE ARE THE BRAVEST OF ALL OF THESE (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).
- **Peritissimos belli navalis fecit Athenienses war** HE MADE THE ATHENIANS VERY EXPERT PEOPLE IN THE ART OF NAVAL (Nepos, *Vitae*).
- **... transire latissimum flumen, ascendere altissimas ripas, subire iniquissimum locum ...** TO CROSS A VERY WIDE RIVER, TO CLIMB VERY HIGH BANKS, TO GO UP TO A VERY DISADVANTAGEOUS PLACE (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).

**c) Further observations**

1/ **Comparative of inferiority**

It is formed by means of the adverbs minus ... quam:

- **Petrus minus altus est quam Antonius** PETER IS LESS TALL THAN ANTHONY.
  ◊ Note that both elements compared must be in the same case.
- **Intellectum est nostros propter gravitatem armorum ... minus aptos esse ad huius generis hostem** IT WAS PERCEIVED THAT OUR MEN, BECAUSE OF THE WEIGHT OF THEIR ARMS, ... WERE LESS SUITED FOR AN ENEMY OF THIS KIND (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).
- **... quod minus idoneis equis utebantur** ... BECAUSE THEY WERE USING HORSES LESS SUITABLE (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).
- **Eadem equestris pugna causam minus mirabilem dedit** THE CAVALRY FIGHT OFFERED A LESS SINGULAR PRETEXT (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).

2/ **Comparative of equality**

It is formed by means of the adverbs tam ... quam:

- **Petrus tam altus est quam Antonius** PETER IS AS TALL AS ANTHONY.
  ◊ Note that both elements compared must be in the same case.
- **... non tam sapiens quam ii qui nihil curant** ... NOT AS WISE AS THOSE WHO CARE FOR NOTHING (Cicero, *De Domo Sua*).

3/ **Comparative and superlative by means of adverbs**

Some adjectives do not admit the suffixes -ior, -ius, like for instance *idoneus*, -a, -um SUITABLE. These adjectives form the comparative by means of the adverbs magis/plus ... quam:

- **Librum magis idoneum habeo** I HAVE A MORE SUITABLE BOOK.
- **... et ceteris rebus de quibus magis idoneo tempore loquemur** ... AND IN OTHER MATTERS ABOUT WHICH WE WILL SPEAK AT A MORE APPROPRIATE TIME (Anon., *Rhetorica ad Herennium*).
And they form the superlative by means of the adverb *maxime*:

- *Librum maxime idoneum habeo*  
  *I have a very suitable book.*
- *Maxime idoneum ad muniendum locum credidit esse praeter amnem Avum*  
  *He considered a place near the river Avus to be the most suitable one to be fortified*  
  (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).

4/ A very common use of *quam + superlative*

*Quam + superlative* means that the person (or thing) possesses the mentioned quality in the highest intensity in which it can be possessed; this implies translating it using some additional words in English to reflect this sense. Observe the difference between both examples:

- *Socrates doctissimus est*  
  *Socrates is very wise.*
- *Socrates quam doctissimus est*  
  *Socrates is as wise as anybody can be.*
- *... quam clarissimi viri qui, illa urbe pulsi, carere ingrata civitate quam manere in improba maluerunt*  
  *... men as wise as anybody can be, who, expelled from that city, preferred to lack of an ungrateful city than to remain in a wicked one*  
  (Cicero, *De Legibus*).

5/ Singular superlative with *تقي وَإِيْكَْٰ* each meaning *all*

Sometimes, when we want to refer to a group of people qualified by a superlative (*the best students, the fastest runners, the bravest soldiers*, etc.), we can express the collectivity by means of the indefinite pronoun *تقي وَإِيْكَْٰ* each in singular and the superlative.

For instance, if we want to say *I gave a book to the best students*, we can translate it as

- *Librum dedi optimis discipulis*  
  *I gave a book to the best students.*
- *Librum dedi cuique optimo discipulo*  
  *I gave a book to each best student.*

Some examples:

- *Ferocissimus quisque iuvenum cum armis voluntarius adest*  
  *The bravest of the young men offer themselves with their weapons*  
  (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).
  *Literally, Each bravest of the young men offers himself with his weapons.*

- *Equos dehinc fortissimo cuique bellatori tradit*  
  *Hence he gives horses to the most courageous fighters*  
  (Tacitus, *Annales*).
  *Literally, ... to each most courageous fighter.*
Introductory note: Many of the pronouns presented in this chapter are adjectives in origin, but as a general rule they are referred to as “pronouns”, grammatically speaking. So if they accompany a noun, they are to be treated as adjectives (for instance, Video hanc puellam I SEE THIS GIRL) but, if they appear alone, they are to be considered as pronouns (for instance, Video hanc I SEE THIS ONE). For this reason in some of the following explanations both the terms "adjective" and "pronoun" are used indistinctly. In some cases, nevertheless, they can only be pronouns, as for instance in the case of personal pronouns I, you, etc. Moreover, most of them lack vocative.

1. Demonstrative pronouns

a) Accidence

There are three demonstrative pronouns (also called “deictic pronouns”) in Latin:

\[
\begin{align*}
\rightarrow \text{ hic, haec, hoc} & \quad \text{THIS} \\
\rightarrow \text{ iste, ista, istud} & \quad \text{THIS / THAT} \\
\rightarrow \text{ ille, illa, illud} & \quad \text{THAT}
\end{align*}
\]

In keeping with the grammar of adjectives, these are declined in singular or plural, masculine, feminine or neuter forms. As usual, if the adjective accompanies a noun, they will agree with it in gender, case and number.

In general lines, it can be said that they are irregular in the singular but they follow the usual pattern -i, -ae, -a in the plural (with some exception). But in the singular they show a characteristic shared by a lot of other pronouns: they have -ius for all genders of genitive and -i for all genders of dative.

1/ hic, haec, hoc \quad \text{THIS}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>singular</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>masc.</td>
<td>fem.</td>
<td>neuter</td>
<td>masc.</td>
<td>fem.</td>
<td>neuter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nom.</td>
<td>hic</td>
<td>haec</td>
<td>hoc</td>
<td>hi</td>
<td>haec</td>
<td>haec</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acc.</td>
<td>hunc</td>
<td>hanc</td>
<td>hoc</td>
<td>hos</td>
<td>has</td>
<td>haec</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen.</td>
<td>huius</td>
<td>huius</td>
<td>huius</td>
<td>horum</td>
<td>harum</td>
<td>horum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dat.</td>
<td>huic</td>
<td>huic</td>
<td>huic</td>
<td>his</td>
<td>his</td>
<td>his</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abl.</td>
<td>hoc</td>
<td>hac</td>
<td>hoc</td>
<td>his</td>
<td>his</td>
<td>his</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes

a/ The plural has the only exception of the Nom./Acc. haec instead of the expected ha; apart from this, it follows the -i, -ae, -a parameter.

b/ Except the genitive, all the other forms in the singular end in this characteristic -c.

c/ Observe the mentioned -ius for all Gen. sing. and -i for all Dat. sing. We will find them in most pronouns.
2/ iste, ista, istud THIS / THAT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>singular</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>plural</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>masc.</td>
<td>fem.</td>
<td>neuter</td>
<td>masc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nom.</td>
<td>iste</td>
<td>ista</td>
<td>istud</td>
<td>isti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acc.</td>
<td>istum</td>
<td>istam</td>
<td>istud</td>
<td>istorum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen.</td>
<td>istius</td>
<td>istius</td>
<td>istius</td>
<td>istorum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dat.</td>
<td>isti</td>
<td>isti</td>
<td>isti</td>
<td>istris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abl.</td>
<td>isto</td>
<td>ista</td>
<td>isto</td>
<td>istris</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes

a/ The plural is completely regular.

b/ It can be translated by THIS or THAT, but usually it conveys a pejorative meaning (iste homo THIS / THAT WICKED MAN) or a possessive meaning OF YOURS (iste liber THIS / THAT BOOK OF YOURS).

3/ ille, illa, illud THOSE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>singular</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>plural</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>masc.</td>
<td>fem.</td>
<td>neuter</td>
<td>masc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nom.</td>
<td>ille</td>
<td>illa</td>
<td>illud</td>
<td>illi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acc.</td>
<td>illum</td>
<td>illam</td>
<td>illud</td>
<td>illos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen.</td>
<td>illius</td>
<td>illius</td>
<td>illius</td>
<td>illorum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dat.</td>
<td>illi</td>
<td>illi</td>
<td>illi</td>
<td>illis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abl.</td>
<td>illo</td>
<td>illa</td>
<td>illo</td>
<td>illis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It declines completely equal to iste, ista, istud.

b) Syntax

1/ Normal use as adjective

We will find it accompanying a noun as any other adjective:

- Hunc librum non legi I HAVE NOT READ THIS BOOK.
- Erat ob has causas summa difficultas BECAUSE OF THESE REASONS THERE WAS A HUGE DIFFICULTY (Caesar, De Bello Gallico).

2/ Use of demonstrative adjectives as pronouns

As all adjectives, demonstratives can be used on their own (i.e. without accompanying a name). In this case, the gender of the adjectives will indicate its referent. For example:

- Hos video I SEE THESE ONES ➥ Masculine direct objects, such as boys, soldiers, etc.
• Has video I SEE THESE ONES ♦ Feminine direct objects, such as girls, women, etc.

• At ego amo hanc BUT I LOVE THIS ONE (Plautus, Poenulus).

• Hunc Athenienses non solum in bello, sed etiam in pace diu desideraverunt ♦ THE ATHENIANS LONGED FOR HIM (literally, FOR THIS ONE) NOT ONLY IN WAR BUT ALSO IN PEACE (Nepos, Vitae).

3/ It is very common to find demonstrative pronouns in neuter forms, where they stand for abstract concepts or imply a neuter object, as in the following examples:

• Caesar haec dixit ♦ CAESAR SAID THESE THINGS ♦ i.e. THESE WORDS or just THIS.

• Hoc amamus ♦ WE LOVE THIS.

♢ This last object can be a concept, an activity, etc., but not a person, since it is neuter; it could even refer to a proposal somebody has previously made, or to some characteristic of the discourse, which has to be clarified in the previous sentences.

• Haec elocutus dextram Philippo offert ♦ AFTER SAYING THESE THINGS, HE OFFERS HIS RIGHT HAND TO PHILIPPUS (Curtius, Historiae Alexandri Magni).

2. Personal pronouns

a) Accidence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>I</th>
<th>YOU (sing.)</th>
<th>WE</th>
<th>YOU (plur.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nom.</td>
<td>ego</td>
<td>tu</td>
<td>nos</td>
<td>vos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voc.</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>tu</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>vos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acc.</td>
<td>me</td>
<td>te</td>
<td>nos</td>
<td>vos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen.</td>
<td>mei</td>
<td>tui</td>
<td>nostri, -um</td>
<td>vestri, -um</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dat.</td>
<td>mihi</td>
<td>tibi</td>
<td>nobis</td>
<td>vobis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abl.</td>
<td>me</td>
<td>te</td>
<td>nobis</td>
<td>vobis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b) Syntax

1/ The nominative form of personal pronouns is used only to emphasise the subject of an action, for example in order to highlight a contrast with someone else’s action, as in the following example:

• Ego laboro, sed tu dormis ♦ I AM WORKING, BUT YOU ARE SLEEPING.


• Tu id semper facis, quia semper potes ♦ YOU ARE ALWAYS DOING THIS BECAUSE YOU ARE ALWAYS ABLE TO (Cicero, Pro Quinctio).

• Et tu intellegis et nos existimare possumus ♦ BOTH YOU UNDERSTAND IT AND WE CAN CONSIDER IT (Cicero, In Verrem).
2/ With respect to the other cases, they are used as we would use any noun:

- **Video mensam** I see the table / **Video te** I see you.
- **Magistro do librum** I give the book to the teacher / **Tibi do librum** I give you the book.
- **Da consuli pecuniam** Give the money to the consul! / **Da mihi pecuniam** Give me the money!

3/ As there is no third person pronoun in Latin, we can use the demonstrative hic or ille for the nominative and the anaphoric is, ea, id for any case:

- **Video eam** I see her.
- **Eis libros do** I give the books to them / I give them the books.
- **Imperator eos conlaudat** The commander extols them (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).
- **Res ipsa aspera est, sed vos non timetis eam** The matter itself is a difficult one, but you do not fear it (Sallust, *Catilinae Coniuratio*).

  ◇ Note: matter is feminine in Latin, so Latin must use *eam* for it.

- **Sex novae legiones erant scribendae. Eas ... consules scribere iussi** Six new legions had to be recruited. I ordered the consuls to recruit them (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).

### 3. Possessive pronouns

#### a) Accidence

They are declined following the 2-1-2 scheme:

- **meus, -a, -um** my
- **tuus, -a, -um** your ◇ one owner
- **suus, -a, -um** his/ her/ its own
- **noster, -tra, -trum** our
- **veste, -tra, -trum** your ◇ more than one owner
- **suus, -a, -um** their own

#### b) Syntax

1/ If the subject of the sentence is also the person who owns the objects referred to, then the possessive adjective is not used explicitly.

For example, if we want to translate into Latin the sentence I give books to my friends

- a/ we would write simply *Do libros amicis*, without any Latin word meaning *my*,
- b/ and it would be clear that I mean my friends, not somebody else’s friends.

In keeping with this principle,

- the sentence **Vides patrem** will mean **YOU SEE your father** because the subject is **you**,
- the sentence **Videt patrem** will mean **HE SEES his father** because the subject is **he**.

- **Ego ibo ad fratrem** I’ll go to my brother’s place (Plautus, *Captivi*).
- **Patrem occidit Sex. Roscius** S. Roscius killed his own father (Cicero, *Pro Roscio Amerino*).
- **Erat ea tempestate Romae Numida quidam ... qui ... profugas ex patria abierat** There was at that time in Rome a certain Numidian who had fled fugitive from his homeland (Sallust, *Bellum Iugurthinum*).
2/ The possessive suus, -a, -um is always reflexive. The owner is always the subject of the sentence:

- Caesar videt suum exercitum  
  CAESAR SEES HIS OWN ARMY
- Oculos pascat uterque suos  
  LET EACH ONE FEED HIS OWN EYES (Ovid, Amores).
- Domum suam recitantibus praebet  
  HE OFFERS HIS HOUSE TO THOSE WHO RECITE (Plinius Secundus, Epistulae).

To say POMPEIUS APPROACHES, AND CAESAR SEES HIS (POMPEIUS’) ARMY we would have to use the genitive of the anaphoric pronoun (introduced further down, in Point 4) is, ea, id:

Pompeius appropinquat et Caesar videt eius exercitum  
✧ In fact we are saying ... AND CAESAR SEES THE ARMY OF HIM.

- Ubi de eius adventu Helvetii certiores facti sunt, ... WHEN THE HELVETIANS WERE INFORMED ABOUT HIS ARRIVAL, ... (Caesar, De Bello Gallico).
  ✧ Note: de suo adventu would have meant that the Helvetians were informed about their own arrival, something that would make no sense.
- Sequens annus gravi vulnere animum domumque eius adflixit  
  THE FOLLOWING YEAR AFFLICTED HIS SOUL AND HIS HOUSE WITH A GRAVE WOUND (Tacitus, Agricola).
  ✧ Agricola’s mother died the following year.

3/ Possessive pronouns can be replaced by the genitive form of the corresponding personal pronoun, but this is not common:

- Video matrem tui  I SEE YOUR MOTHER.
  ✧ Video tuam matrem would be much more common.

4. Anaphoric pronoun

a) Accidence

In order to supply the missing personal pronoun in the third person, especially when used as an object, it is necessary to use the so-called anaphoric pronoun. The word "anaphoric" means that it refers to something or somebody already mentioned previously. Its declension is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>singular</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>plural</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>masc.</td>
<td>fem.</td>
<td>neuter</td>
<td>masc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nom.</td>
<td>is</td>
<td>ea</td>
<td>id</td>
<td>eum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acc.</td>
<td>eum</td>
<td>eam</td>
<td>id</td>
<td>eis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen.</td>
<td>eius</td>
<td>eius</td>
<td>eius</td>
<td>eis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dat.</td>
<td>ei</td>
<td>ei</td>
<td>ei</td>
<td>eis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abl.</td>
<td>eo</td>
<td>eo</td>
<td>eo</td>
<td>eis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
b) Syntax

The anaphoric pronoun overtakes the function of the non-existent 3rd person pronoun (and sometimes it can also be used with a noun, as demonstrative adjective with the meaning of ille, illa, illud or of hic haec, hoc, see 3rd example):

- *Cum eis ludo* I AM PLAYING WITH THEM.
- *Omnes cives amant eum* ALL CITIZENS LOVE HIM.
- *Eis pueris pecuniari dos* I GIVE MONEY TO THESE BOYS.  
  ◇ Observe: as if eis were his.
- *Alfenus cum eis et propter eos perit quos diligebat* ALFENUS DIES WITH THOSE AND BECAUSE OF THOSE HE APPRECIATED (Cicero, *Pro Quinctio*).
- *Ubi eos convenit?* WHERE DID HE MEET THEM? (Cicero, *Pro Roscio Amerino*).
- *Spectat eam Tereus* TEREUS BEHOLDS HER (Ovid, *Metamorphoses*).

In Latin there is no need to mention the anaphoric pronoun if it can be easily understood from the context:

- *Pecuniam tibi offero et tu accipis* I OFFER MONEY TO YOU AND YOU ACCEPT [IT].
- *Ita credo* SO I BELIEVE [IT] (Cicero, *Pro Quinctio*).

5. Identity pronouns

There are two identity pronouns:

◇ idem, eadem, idem SAME
◇ ipse, ipsa, ipsum I MYSELF, YOU YOURSELF, HE HIMSELF, SHE HERSELF, etc.

a) Accidence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>singular</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>masc.</td>
<td>fem.</td>
<td>neuter</td>
<td>masc.</td>
<td>fem.</td>
<td>neuter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nom.</td>
<td>idem</td>
<td>eadem</td>
<td>idem</td>
<td>eidem</td>
<td>eadem</td>
<td>eadem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acc.</td>
<td>eundem</td>
<td>eandem</td>
<td>idem</td>
<td>eosdem</td>
<td>easdem</td>
<td>eadem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen.</td>
<td>eiusdem</td>
<td>eiusdem</td>
<td>eiusdem</td>
<td>eorundem</td>
<td>earundem</td>
<td>eorundem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dat.</td>
<td>eidem</td>
<td>eidem</td>
<td>eidem</td>
<td>eisdem</td>
<td>eisdem</td>
<td>eisdem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abl.</td>
<td>eodem</td>
<td>eodem</td>
<td>eodem</td>
<td>eisdem</td>
<td>eisdem</td>
<td>eisdem</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

◇ About the plural: *eodem* and *eisdem* can become *iidem* and *iisdem*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>singular</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>masc.</td>
<td>fem.</td>
<td>neuter</td>
<td>masc.</td>
<td>fem.</td>
<td>neuter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nom.</td>
<td>ipse</td>
<td>ipsa</td>
<td>ipsum</td>
<td>ipsi</td>
<td>ipsae</td>
<td>ipsa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acc.</td>
<td>ipsum</td>
<td>ipsam</td>
<td>ipsum</td>
<td>ipsos</td>
<td>ipsas</td>
<td>ipsa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen.</td>
<td>ipsius</td>
<td>ipsius</td>
<td>ipsius</td>
<td>ipsorum</td>
<td>ipsarum</td>
<td>ipsorum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dat.</td>
<td>psi</td>
<td>psi</td>
<td>psi</td>
<td>ipsis</td>
<td>ipsis</td>
<td>ipsis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abl.</td>
<td>ipso</td>
<td>ipsa</td>
<td>ipso</td>
<td>ipsis</td>
<td>ipsis</td>
<td>ipsis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
b) Syntax

1/ idem, eadem, idem

It means SAME in the sense of THE SAME ONE, NOT A DIFFERENT ONE:

- Tu et ego eundem librum habemus You and I have the same book.
- Iterum eodem bello omnes copias eorum fugavit Once more, he routed in the same war all their troops (Nepos, Vitae).
- Aliis modis easdem res effere possumus We can carry out the same things through different ways (Cicero, De Fato).
- Legem recitari iussit, qua intra decem annos eundem consulem refici non liceret He orders to read out a law according to which the same consul could not be reappointed within ten years (Livy, Ab Urbe Condita).

2/ ipse, ipsa, ipsum

It implies a reinforcement of the identity of the noun it goes with:

- Caesarem ipsum vidi I saw Caesar himself.
- Volo vos ipsos haec Caesar dicere I want you yourself to say this to Caesar.
- Servos ipsos, quod ad me attinet, neque argo neque purgo The slaves themselves, in respect to what concerns me, I neither accuse nor acquit (Cicero, Pro Roscio Amerino).
- Eam intrare haud fere quisquam praeter ducem ipsum audebat Hardly anybody except the general himself dared to go into it (Livy, Ab Urbe Condita).
- Senatus ipse iudicavit The Senate itself will decide (Cicero, De Haruspicum Response).

6. Reflexive pronouns

a) Accidence

1/ In English the reflexive pronoun SELF is used in order to say that the object of a sentence is the same as the subject, e.g.: He killed himself, She bought herself a book, etc. Latin does not have special reflexive pronouns for the 1st and 2nd persons singular and plural, it uses the normal personal pronouns:

- Librum mihi emis You buy a book for me. ♦ Mihi is not reflexive.
  Librum mihi emo I buy a book for myself. ♦ Mihi has here a reflexive sense.

- Te video I see you. ♦ Te is not reflexive.
  Te vides in speculo You see yourself in the mirror. ♦ Te has here a reflexive sense.

Therefore, the reflexive pronouns for the 1st and 2nd person will be the same as the personal pronouns (obviously, nominative and vocative can not be used in a reflexive sense, as the function of the reflexive is always that of an object).
2/ But Latin has a reflexive pronoun for the 3rd person both singular and plural (curiously enough, the only person that has no personal pronoun):

- Acc. se
- Gen. sui
- Dat. sibi
- Abl. se.

It is obvious that it is related to the possessive suus, -a, -um we have seen previously.

b) Syntax

As said, it can be used only as object:

- Brutus *se necat*  
  Brutus kills himself.
- Caesar *sibi librum emit*  
  Caesar buys a book for himself.
- Ipsa *se necavit*  
  She killed herself (Hyginius, *Fabulae*).
- Locis impeditis ac silvestribus *se occultabat*  
  He hid himself in difficult and woody places (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).
- Nonnumquam ... animus *sibi falsas imagines fingit*  
  Sometimes the mind fashions false images for itself (Seneca iunior, *Epistulae Morales ad Lucilium*).

c) The indirect reflexive

1/ We may find any form of *se* used in a subordinate sentence, and then we may hesitate whether it means the subject of the main sentence or of the subject of the subordinate:

Caesar dicit Brutum *se vulneravisse*:

Is Caesar saying that Brutus has wounded him (Caesar: “BRUTUS HAS WOUNDED ME”) ... or that Brutus has wounded himself?

Usually, if we find the reflexive pronoun on its own, it will mean the subject of the main sentence instead of the subject of the subordinate inside which the reflexive pronoun is found, so in our example it would mean Caesar. This use of any form of *se* is called *indirect reflexive*.

2/ If we want to say Caesar says that Brutus has wounded himself, we will complement the reflexive with the necessary form of *ipse*:

Caesar dicit Brutum *se ipsum vulneravisse*.

3/ And, to consider all possibilities, let’s remember this:

Caesar dicit Brutum *eum vulneravisse*  
would mean Caesar says that Brutus has wounded him,

⇒ and this *him* would mean *somebody else*, not Caesar.
7. Interrogative pronoun and adjective

a) Accidence

In this case, there is a difference between the pronoun (the interrogative on its own) and the adjective (the interrogative accompanying an adjective).

1/ The interrogative pronoun is declined in this way (observe that in singular the masculine and feminine forms are identical):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>singular</th>
<th>plural</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>masc/fem.</td>
<td>neuter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nom.</td>
<td>quis</td>
<td>quid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acc.</td>
<td>quem</td>
<td>quid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen.</td>
<td>cuius</td>
<td>cuius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dat.</td>
<td>cui</td>
<td>cui</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abl.</td>
<td>quo</td>
<td>quo</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2/ When it is used as an adjective, the declension is absolutely identical to that of the relative (to be seen further ahead):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>singular</th>
<th>plural</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>masc.</td>
<td>fem.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nom.</td>
<td>qui</td>
<td>quae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acc.</td>
<td>quem</td>
<td>quam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen.</td>
<td>cuius</td>
<td>cuius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dat.</td>
<td>cui</td>
<td>cui</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abl.</td>
<td>quo</td>
<td>qua</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

✧ Observe that the plural is identical for both pronoun and adjective.

b) Syntax

Observe that in English there are not specific plural forms for who, which etc.; so, the sentences Quis hic adest? and Qui hic adsunt? will be both translated as Who is here?, even though in the second case clearly the question regards the identity of several people.

Some examples of its use as pronoun:

- *Quis* huius rei testis est? *Who is a witness of this?* (Cicero, Pro Quinctio).
- *Potionem istam cui dedisti?* To whom did you give this drink? (Quintilianus, Declamationes Minores).
- *Est auctor quis denique eorum?* Who is, then, their author? (Horace, Sermones).
- *Quae tibi manet vita?* What life is there left for you? (Catullus, Carmina).
- *Quis nunc te adibit?* Who will come to you now? (Catullus, Carmina).
Some examples of its use as adverbial:

- *Quem nunc amabis?* Whom will you love now? (Catullus, *Carmina*).
- *Cuius esse diceris?* Whose will you say that you are? (Catullus, *Armina*).
- *A quibus auxilium petam?* From whom am I to seek help? (Cicero, *Pro Roscio Amerino*).

Some examples of its use as adjective:

- *Quem hominem ... condemnasti?* What man did you condemn? (Cicero, *In Verrem*).
- *Quae civitas est in Asia ... ?* What city is there in Asia ...? (Cicero, *Pro Lege Manilia*).
- *Quem locum tuae probandae virtutis exspectas?* What opportunity of proving your bravery do you expect? (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*). ◇ Note: *locum* may mean opportunity.

- *Quibus rebus id adsecutus es?* By what means did you obtain that? (Cicero, *In Verrem*).

8. Other interrogative pronouns

In addition to the interrogative pronoun *quis, quid* (adjective *qui, quae, quod*), there are in Latin other interrogative pronouns with more specific meanings, which will be described in the following paragraphs.

a) The quantitative interrogative  *quantus, -a, -um*  HOW BIG / HOW LARGE?

1/ The accidence follows the usual 2-1-2 scheme. With respect to the meaning, we must make clear that it asks about the size, not about the quantity:

- *Quantus est exercitus Caesaris?* How large is Caesar’s army?

A sentence like  *Quantos libros habes?*  ☞ would NOT mean  How many books have you got?

☞ but  How large books have you got?

◇ As if asking whether they are small books, or large volumes, etc.

- *Sed si est tantus dolor, quantus Philoctetae?* But if the pain is so intense (“large”), how intense (“large”) is Philoctetes’ pain? (Cicero, *Tusculanae Disputationes*).
- *Paenitet te, quanto hic fuerit usui?* Do you regret what a large profit he has been to you? (Plautus, *Pseudolus*).
- *Quanti eam emit?* For how much did he buy her? (Plautus, *Epidicus*).
- *Familiam vero quantam ... habeat quid ego dicam?* Why should I mention how a large family he has? (Cicero, *Pro Roscio Amerino*).

2/ This interrogative can also be used in exclamatory sense:

- *Quantas res turbo, quantas moveo machinas!* What large turmoils I create! What large engines I set to work! (Plautus, *Miles Gloriosus*).
- *Immo vero quantus exercitus!* And indeed, what a large army! (Cicero, *Pro Flacco*).
3/ To ask HOW MANY, Latin uses the indeclinable interrogative quot:

- *Quot discipulos habes?* How many students do you have?
- *Quot sunt?* How many are they? (Plautus, *Rudens*).
- *Quotiens et quot nominibus a Syracusanis statuas auferes?* How often and for how many individuals will you take statues from the Syracusans? (Cicero, *In Verrem*).
- *Quot aratores adveniente te fuerunt agri Mutycensis?* How many cultivators of the district of Mutycensis were there when you arrived? (Cicero, *In Verrem*).
- *Hoc mihi dedit, sed ... post quot labores?* He gave it to me, but after how many toils? (Seneca iunior, *De Beneficiis*).

4/ As quantus, -a, -um, it can be used in exclamatory sense:

- *Quot quantasque virtutes ... collegit et miscuit!* How many and how great virtues he acquired and mixed! (Plinius Secundus, *Epistulae*).
- *Quot oppida in Syria, quot in Macedonia devorata sunt!* How many towns have been devoured in Syria, how many in Macedonia! (Seneca iunior, *Epistulae Morales ad Lucilium*).

**Note**

quantus, -a, -um can also have the meaning of the correlative AS LARGE AS, see the corresponding chapter.

b) The qualitative interrogative qualis, -e OF WHAT KIND?

The declension follows the 3-3 scheme of facilis, -e. This interrogative (as usual, it can also be used as exclamatory) asks about the quality of the person, thing, etc.

- *Quales amicos habes?* What kind of friends do you have?
- *Qualis est ista mens?* What kind of thought is this? (Cicero, *Tusculanae Disputationes*).
- *Meam uxorem, Libane, nescis qualis sit?* My wife, Libanus, don't you know what kind of person she is? (Plautus, *Asinaria*).
- *Cernite sim qualis!* Behold what kind of person I am! (Ovid, *Fasti*).

**Note**

qualis, -e can also have the meaning of the correlative SUCH AS, see the corresponding chapter.

c) The selective interrogative uter, utra, utrum WHICH OF THE TWO?

1/ It is used to imply that the referents are exactly two in number:

- *Uter librum vis?* Which book do you want?
  ◆ As we use this adjective, we imply that there are only two books to choose from, so we could have translated it as WHICH ONE OF THE TWO BOOKS DO YOU WANT?
- *Uter igitur nostrum est cupidior dicti?* Which of both of us is more desirous of a smart saying? (Cicero, *Pro Plancio*).
- *Uter igitur est divitior?* Which one of both is richer? (Cicero, *Paradoxa Stoicorum*).
- *Utra lex antiquior?* Which law is older? (Quintilianus, *Declamationes Minores*).
2/ It is declined like pulcher, -chra, -chrum, except in the Gen. sing. utr-ius and Dat. sing. utr-i for all genders:

- *Utri puero* librum dediti? **To which boy did you give the book?**
- *Num quid igitur aliud in iudicium venit nisi uter utri insidias fecerit?** Therefore, what else must be dealt with in this trial if not which one of both planned a plot against which one? (Cicero, Pro Milone).

3/ In the plural it is used only for words that have no singular or to mean two groups:

- *Utra castra* cepit Caesar? **Which camp did Caesar capture?**
- *Utrōs milites* mavis? **Which soldiers do you prefer?** (of two groups).

**Note**

Uter, utra, utrum can also have the indefinite meaning of *EITHER OF BOTH*, see further down in *Point 11 Other indefinite pronouns*.

**9. Relative pronoun**

**a) Accidence**

The relative pronoun inflects as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>singular</th>
<th></th>
<th>plural</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>masc.</td>
<td>fem.</td>
<td>neuter</td>
<td>masc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nom.</td>
<td>qui</td>
<td>quae</td>
<td>quod</td>
<td>qui</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acc.</td>
<td>quem</td>
<td>quam</td>
<td>quod</td>
<td>quos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen.</td>
<td>cuius</td>
<td>cuius</td>
<td>cuius</td>
<td>quorum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dat.</td>
<td>cui</td>
<td>cui</td>
<td>cui</td>
<td>quibus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abl.</td>
<td>quo</td>
<td>qua</td>
<td>quod</td>
<td>quibus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**b) Syntax**

As further detailed explanations regarding relative clauses will be given in the chapter devoted to secondary clauses, in this chapter is reported just an outline of its basic use.

Let’s see an example of *relative period*, i.e. the group made of one main sentence and a relative subordinate clause:

- *Video pueros quibus praemia dediti* **I see the boys to whom you gave prizes.**

**Explanation:**

*Quibus* **to whom** is the *relative pronoun* that introduces the secondary clause, while *pueros* **the boys** is the so-called *antecedent*, or the word to which the relative pronoun refers to. The relative pronoun and its antecedent must agree in gender and number, but not in case, as the case will depend on the function performed by the two terms in their respective sentence: *pueros* **the boys** is in accusative because it is direct object of the main sentence, while *quibus* **to whom** is in dative because it is the indirect object of the relative sentence.
Examples:

- Pueri qui heri in Circo aderant docti sunt  
  *The boys who were in the circus yesterday are clever.*

- In templo quod heri vidisti nunc cum amicis est  
  *He is now with his friends in the temple that you saw yesterday.*

- Praefuit paucis navibus, quas ex Syria iussus erat in Asiam ducere  
  *He was in command of a few ships that he had been ordered to lead from Syria to Asia.* (Nepos, Vitae).

- In senatu litteras recitavit ... in quibus scriptum erat C. Manlium arma cepisse  
  *In the Senate he read a letter in which it was written that C. Manlius had taken up arms.* (Sallust, Catilinae Coniuration).

- Sabinus cum iis copiis, quas a Caesare acceperat, in fines Unellorum pervenit  
  *Sabinus arrived at the frontier of the Unelliens with those troops that he had deceived from Caesar.* (Caesar, De Bello Gallico).

10. Indefinite relative pronoun

a/ There are two indefinite relative pronouns:

  - quicumque, quaecumque, quodcumque
  - quisquis (masc./fem.), quidquid (neuter)

The first one is declined like the relative + cumque added: quibuscumque, quemcumque, etc., and the second one is generally used only as subject, in nominative.

b/ The meaning of the indefinite relative pronoun is the one indicated by its own name, i.e. whoever, whatever, and is used in order to introduce a relative clause with a general meaning (sometimes with no antecedent):

  - Quicumque hoc dicit, sapiens est  
    *Whoever says this, is wise.*
  
  - Quicumque hoc fecit, supplicio dignus est  
    *Whoever did this deserves a punishment.* (Cicero, In Verrem).
  
  - Quemcumque rogaveris, hoc respondebit  
    *Whoever you ask will answer this.* (Cicero, Pro Cluentio).
  
  - Iuppiter te perdat, quisquis es  
    *May Iuppiter make away with you, whoever you are!* (Plautus, Pseudolus).

11. Other indefinite pronouns

Indefinites in Latin can be divided into two groups: those that derive from the relative and those that do not derive from it. With respect to the first group, those that derive from the relative, it will be observed that, while the adjective keeps a different form for each of the three genders, the pronoun has only one for masculine and feminine; nevertheless, in a few cases it can be found that the feminine form of the adjective is used also in pronominal sense (i.e., without any noun), so that grammars differ about whether the pronoun should have a feminine form of its own (that would be equal to that of the adjective) or not.

a) Indefinites that derive from the relative

1/ aliquis, aliquid (aliqui, aliqua, aliquod if adjective)

*Accidence*

It is declined like the interrogative preceded by the invariable prefix ali-, but the expected aliqua in the Nom. fem. sing. (adjectival form) and the neuter plural (in both) will be aliqua.
Syntax

It means SOME, ANY, SOMETHING, ANYTHING, SOMEBODY, etc.: something or somebody unknown but real:

- *Aliquem vidi, sed nescio quem* I SAW SOMEBODY, BUT I DO NOT KNOW WHO.
- *Pater expectat aut me aut aliquem nuntium* MY FATHER IS EXPECTING EITHER ME OR SOME MESSENGER (Plautus, Captivi).
- *... aut ipse occurrebat aut aliquos mittebat* EITHER HE HIMSELF CAME UP OR HE SENT SOME PEOPLE (Livy, Ab Urbe Condita).

2/ quis, quid (qui, qua/quae, quod if adjective)

Accidence

It is declined like the interrogative, but the quae in the Nom. fem. sing. (in the adjectival forms) and the neuter plural (in both) can be qua (note: in the former pronoun aliqui etc., these forms ending in -a were compulsory; in this pronoun quis etc., these forms are optional).

Syntax

a/ It means ANY, ANYTHING, ANYBODY, etc.: something or somebody whose existence is just possible. Usually it is used after si, nisi, ne and num. The meaning is very similar to the meaning of aliquis, but it is more indefinite. A way of explaining it is by saying that it is in fact the former pronoun aliquis but that after the mentioned words si, nisi, ne, num the prefix ali- is not applied.

This pronoun has a strong visual similarity to the interrogative quis? qui? WHO? WHAT? The context should make the distinction clear.

- *Si quis venit, dic mihi statim* IF ANYBODY COMES, TELL ME IMMEDIATELY.
- *Puerum reddat, si quis eum petat* LET [HER] GIVE UP THE CHILD, IF ANYBODY ASKS FOR HIM (Plautus, Truculentus).
- *Ne quis se commovere auderet, quantum terroris iniecit!* SO THAT NOBODY WOULD DARE TO STIR, WHAT AN AMOUNT OF TERROR HE STRUCK INTO THEM! (Cicero, In Verrem).
  ◇ Literally, SO THAT NOT ANYBODY WOULD...

b/ In the use after num, a frequent mistake in translation must be avoided:

- *Num quis negat?* DOES ANYBODY DENY [IT]? (Cicero, Pro Cluentio).

The usual mistake is translating the former example by WHO DENIES IT? In fact, the sentence that would mean WHO DENIES IT? would be Quis negat? The presence of Num tells us that quis is the indefinite pronoun, not the interrogative pronoun.

Another example:

- *Num quem tribunum pl. servi M. Tulli pulsaverunt?* DID THE SLAVES OF M. TULLIUS ATTACK ANY TRIBUNE?
  (Cicero, Pro Tullio).

The usual mistake is translating this by WHAT TRIBUNE DID THE SLAVES OF M. TULLIUS ATTACK? But in order to mean this the sentence should be Quem tribunum..., without the Num.
c/ This pronoun has a variant, with the same meaning, adding the invariable suffix -piam:

- Vide num quispiam consequitur prope nos
- Iniquum me esse quispiam dicit

See whether anybody is following us (Plautus, Rudens).
SOMEbody will say that I am unfair (Cicero, In Verrem).

The difference between this pronoun quispiam and the former two aliquis and quis is that quispiam can be used instead of either: we can see in the first example that we can use it after num (we would not be able to use aliquis after num), and in the second example we see that we can use it even if not preceded by any of the mentioned words (num, si, etc.).

3/ quidam, quiddam (quidam, quaedam, quoddam if adjective)

Accidence
Like the interrogative plus the invariable -dam, but the -d- makes any -m- change to -n-: quorundam, quendam, etc.

Syntax
It means A CERTAIN, somebody or something definite but whose specific identity is not revealed:

- Quendam virum vidi heri
- Amat mulier quaedam quendam
- Scribit ad quosdam Melitensis ut ea vasa perquirant

Yesterday I saw a certain man.
A certain woman loves a certain man (Plautus, Miles Gloriosus).
He writes to some people of Melita to look for those vessels (Cicero, In Verrem).

4/ quisque, quidque/quicque (quisque, quaeque, quodque if adjective)

Accidence
It is declined like the interrogative plus the invariable -que. Observe the double option in neuter.

Syntax
a/ It means EACH, EVERY, and usually it does not stand in the first position of a sentence:

- Suam quisque homo rem meminit
- Sua cuique civitati religio, Laeli, est, nostra nobis

Each man remembers his affairs (Plautus, Mercator).
Each city has its religion, Laelius, we have ours (Cicero, Pro Flacco).

Its use together with the adjective unus is very frequent (sometimes even forming a single word: unusquisque):

- Respondet unus quisque ut erat praeceptum

Each one answers as it had been instructed (Cicero, In Verrem).

b/ Its use with a superlative singular to mean a specific group that has some kind of highest quality is very common (see the chapter on superlatives for more examples):

- Doctissimus magister quisque aderat
- Librum dedi optimo cuique discipulo

The wisest teachers were present
Gave a book to the best students
The wisest teacher was present.
To each best student.
c/ With an ordinal, its use in a distributive sense is very common:

- Necaverunt militem decimum quemque
  - Literally, ... EACH TENTH SOLDIER.

- Decimum quemque militem sorte ductum fusti percussit
  - TAKEN OUT BY LOT (Iulius Frontinus, Strategemata).

- Igitur tertio quoque die cibus aegro commodissime datur
  - GENTLY TO THE PATIENT (Celsus, De Medicina).

They killed one of every ten soldiers

He hit with a stick one of every ten soldiers,

Therefore, every three days food is given very gently to the patient (Celsus, De Medicina).

d/ An idiomatic use:

Together with ut and a superlative in its own sentence and ita and another superlative in the other sentence, it is used to express what in English would correspond to THE MORE..., THE MORE... (observe that, while in Latin there are two superlatives, we translate them into English by using the comparative MORE, not the superlative MOST):

- Ut quisque acerbissime crudelissimeque dixit, ita quam maxime ab inimicis Caesaris conlaudatur

- In morbis corporis, ut quisque est difficillimus, ita medicus nobilissimus atque optimus quaeritur

5/ quilibet, quidlibet (quilibet, quaelibet, quodlibet if adjective)

Accidence

It is declined like the interrogative plus the invariable -libet, and this -libet can be replaced by -vis.

Syntax

The meaning is ANYONE, ANYTHING, but the difference with quis, quae, quod is that quilibet has the meaning of ANYONE YOU MAY WANT, WHOMEVER YOU WANT:

- Quilibet nautarum vectorumque tranquillo mari gubernare potest
  - ANYONE OF THE SAILORS OR OF THE PASSENGERS CAN STEER ON A QUIET SEA (Livy, Ab Urbe Condita).

- Quoslibet ex his elige
  - CHOOSE WHOMEVER YOU WANT FROM THESE ONES (Seneca, Epistulae Morales ad Lucilium).

6/ quisquam, quidquam/quicquam (only as pronoun; the equivalent adjective is ullus, -a, -um)

Accidence

It is declined like quis, quid (observe the double option in neuter) + the suffix -quam. It is considered to be used only as a pronoun, not as an adjective.

Syntax

a/ The meaning is the same one as aliquis, SOMEONE, ANYONE, SOMETHING, ANYTHING, but it is mainly used in negative sentences (or sentences that imply a negative sense):
Ronoun

- Domum suam istum non fere quisquam vocabat (Cicero, Pro Roscio Amerino).
  Hardly anyone invited him to his house.

- Negavit quemquam esse in civitate praeter se qui id efficere posset (Cicero, Pro Cluentio).
  He denied that there was anybody in the city but him who could carry it out.

Observe this question:

- Laudatum etiam vos quemquam venitis? (Cicero, In Verrem).
  Have you come to praise anybody?

The answer is expected to be “No”, and this is why, even if the sentence is not grammatically negative, quemquam has been used, because the sentence implies a negative sense.

b/ Given the usual Latin practice of advancing the negative word as much as possible, it is very frequent to find neque quisquam... AND NOT ANYBODY... to express the meaning AND NOBODY...:

- ... neque quisquam homo mihi obviam venit... AND NOBODY COMES TO MEET ME (Plautus, Rudens).
- ... neque quisquam est vulneratus... AND NOBODY WAS HURT (Nepos, Vitae).

b) Indefinites that do not derive from the relative

All of them have the usual characteristics of Gen. sing. -ius and Dat. sing. -i for all genders. There are no morphological differences between their use as pronouns or as adjectives.

To make their study easier, we can try to group them. The very first group would be formed by unus, solus and totus. Their characteristic is that they are not related to any other pronoun, it could be said that each of these three pronouns is an individuality on its own.

1/ unus, -a, -um

a/ It means ONE in the strict sense of ONLY ONE, so that the use of the adverb ONLY in translating it is very helpful:

- Unum librum habeo
  I have only one book.

- Helvetii ... impedimenta in unum locum contulerunt (Caesar, De Bello Gallico).
  The Helvetians gathered their baggage into one place.

- Orgetorigis filia atque unus e filiis captus est captured (Caesar, De Bello Gallico).
  Orgetorix’s daughter and only one of his sons were captured.

- [dixerunt] sese unis Suebis concedere (Caesar, De Bello Gallico).
  They said that they yielded only in front of the Suebii.

b/ It has plural (let’s take into account that for instance in Greek the equivalent to unus, -a, -um has no plural), with the meaning of THE ONLY ONES:

- Ubii autem, qui uni ex Transrhenanis ad Caesarem legatos miserant, ... magnopere orabant ut ... The Ubii, the only ones from those beyond the Rhine who had sent ambassadors to Caesar, earnestly entreated that... (Caesar, De Bello Gallico).
2/ solus, -a, -um

It means ALONE, but, just as in the former pronoun, the use of the adverb ONLY in translating it is very helpful. In this aspect, it may resemble the use of the former unus, -a, -um, but solus has rather a meaning of WITHOUT ANYBODY ELSE:

- *Soli Petro hoc dixi* I SAID THIS ONLY TO PETER.
- *Dein Micipsa filius regnum solus obtinuit* LATER MICIPSA, HIS SON, REIGNED ALONE (Sallust, Bellum Iugurthinum).
- *Nec solos tangit Atridas iste dolor* AND THIS PAIN AFFLICTS NOT ONLY THE ATRIDAE (Vergil, Aeneis).

3/ totus, -a, -um

It means WHOLE:

- *Totum urbem vidi* I SAW THE WHOLE CITY.
- *Scipio ... per totam urbem omnia templad deum cum populo Romano circumiit* SCIPIO, IN THE COMPANY OF THE ROMAN PEOPLE, WENT AROUND ALL THE Temples OF THE GODS THROUGH THE WHOLE CITY (Livy, Ab Urbe Condita).

The second group would be formed by alius and ullus. Their common characteristic is that they refer to more than two units of the same concept (people, things, places, etc.).

4/ alius, alia, aliud

Note on accidence: the genitive sing. is replaced by alterius (see next pronoun), because the nominative itself finishes in -ius and this would create confusion.

a/ The basic meaning is OTHER:

- *Repente alii milites venerunt* SuddENLYOTHER SOLDIERS CAME.
- *Cur dixisti testimonium in alios?* WHY DID YOU DECLARE AGAINST OTHERS? (Cicero, Pro Sulla).
- *Ipsi has aliasque provincias regitis* YOU YOURSELVES RULE THESE AND OTHER PROVINCES (Tacitus, Historiae).

b/ First special use:

It has two special uses; the first of them is its use in two consecutive sentences, with the meaning of SOME in the first one and the meaning of OTHERS in the second one:

- *Alii laborant, alii dormiunt* SOME ARE WORKING, OTHERS ARE SLEEPING.
- *Impellit alios avaritia, alios iracundia et temeritas* AVARICE IMPELS SOME, WRATH AND TEMERITY IMPEL OTHERS (Caesar, De Bello Gallico).

c/ Second special use:

The second special use is the repetition of two different forms of it within the same sentence, each form meaning a different concept:

*Alii alios libros legunt.*
The explanation is very simple: it is the former use of a form of *alius* in two consecutive sentences, but twice (one subject and one object) and with the second sentence elided.

Imagine this double sentence:

- *Alii alios libros legunt, alii alios libros legunt*  
  *SOME READ SOME BOOKS, OTHERS READ OTHER ONES.*

The two *alii* mean *SOME ... OTHERS (PEOPLE), and the two *alios* mean *SOME ... OTHER (BOOKS), in fact we are saying *SOME READ SOME BOOKS, OTHERS READ OTHER BOOKS*, but the Latin technique of repeating twice the same form of *alius* to mean *SOME ... OTHER produces in fact the same sentence repeated twice, so that the only thing Latin does is elide the second sentence.

Two more examples:

- *Aliud alios movet*  
  *A REASON MOVES SOME MEN, ANOTHER REASON MOVES OTHER MEN / DIFFERENT REASONS MOVE DIFFERENT MEN* (Plinius Secundus, *Epistulae*).

- *Sed quia divorsi redeuntes alius ab alia parte atque omnes idem significabant, consul...*  
  *BUT AS COMING FROM DIFFERENT PLACES, SOME FROM ONE PLACE AND SOME OTHERS FROM ANOTHER PLACE, ALL BROUGHT THE SAME NEWS, THE CONSUL...* (Sallust, *Bellum Iugurthinum*).

d/ Indicating reciprocity:

In the following example we find again two different forms of *alius* within the same sentence, but in this case the translation by *ONE ANOTHER / EACH OTHER* is more adequate just because both forms of *alius* refer to the same concept (thing, person or whatever):

- *Urgent itaque alii alios*  
  *THEY URGE EACH OTHER* (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).

- *In fugam versi (sunt), non agminibus, ut prius, nec alius alium respectantes*  
  *THEY TURNED ROUND IN ORDER TO FLEE, BUT NOT IN COLUMNS, AS BEFORE, NOR WAITING ONE ANOTHER* (Tacitus, *Agricola*).

5/ *ullus, -a, -um*

This is supposed to be the adjective equivalent to the pronoun *quisquam* (see above). The meaning is *ANY, SOME*, but used in sentences that either are negative or imply a negative sense:

- *Nec locus tibi *ullus* dulcior esse debet patria nec...*  
  *NEITHER ANY PLACE MUST BE DEARER FOR YOU THAN YOUR HOMELAND NOR...* (Cicero, *Epistulae ad Familiares*).

- *Cui novae calamitati *locus* *ullus* relictus?*  
  *FOR WHAT NEW CALAMITY [COULD THERE BE] ANY SPACE LEFT?* (Cicero, *Pro Sulla*).

  ◊ The answer is supposed to be *NOT ONE*, so that even if the sentence is not grammatically negative the question implies a negative sense.

- *Nec *ullus* tot malorum *finis* fuisset*  
  *AND THERE WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN ANY END TO SO MANY EVILS* (Petronius, *Satyricon*).
The next group would be formed by *alter, uter* and *uterque*. Their common characteristic is that they refer to *groups of two*.

6/ *alter, altera, alterum*

*a/* It means *the other one* (of only two):

- *Alteri consuli de insidiis dixi*  
  I told the other consul about the plot.
- *Hanc me iussit Lesbonico suo gnalo dare epistulam, et item hanc alteram suo amico Callicli iussit dare*  
  He ordered me to give this letter to his son Lesbonicus, and likewise this other one to his friend Calicles (Plautus, *Trinummus*).

*b/* It may be used twice in the same sentence, or in two consecutive sentences, in the same way we have seen above (but this time meaning clearly that there are only two options):

- *Alter alterum facit*  
  Each one (of both) does a different thing / One does one thing, the other one does another thing.
- *Alter dormit, alter laborat*  
  One is sleeping, the other one is working.
- *Alteram ille amat sororem, ego alteram*  
  He loves one of the two sisters, I love the other one (Plautus, *Bacchides*).

*c/* But this meaning of *the other one* out of only two is not a golden rule. Observe this example from Cicero, in which *alteram* is just one out of three:

- *Intellego, iudices, tris totius accusationis partis fuisse, et earum unam in ..., alteram in ..., tertiam in ... esse versatam*  
  I understand, O judges, that this accusation has three parts, and that one of them deals with..., another one deals with..., and the third one deals with... (Cicero, *Pro Murena*).

7/ *uter, utra, utrum*

It means *either*:

- *Si uter volet, recuperatores dabo*  
  If either wants it, I will assign judges (Cicero, *In Verrem*).

Remember that *uter* is also the interrogative that means *which one of the two?* Context should make it clear:

- *Uter igitur est divitior?*  
  Which one of both is richer? (Cicero, *Paradoxa Stoicorum*).

8/ *uterque, utraque, utrumque*

Declined like *uter, utra, utrum*, it means *each of both*. It is the equivalent of *quisque, quaeque, quodque* each, every but when it deals with only two:

- *Cum uterque utrique esset exercitui in conspectu, ...*  
  When each (army) was in sight of the other army, ...
  (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).
- *Suas uterque legiones reducit in castra*  
  Each one (of both) takes his legions back to the camp (Caesar, *Bellum Civile*).
12. Negative pronouns

There are four negative pronouns. The two first ones are related to the indefinite pronouns we have seen in the former section:

a) *nullus*, -a, -um

*Accidence*

It has singular and plural, and it declines following the 2-1-2 *scheme* except for the two usual characteristics of genitive singular in -ius for the three genders and dative singular in -i also for the three genders.

*Syntax*

It means *NO ONE*, *NONE*, and it is obvious that it has been formed by the contraction of *non* and *ullus* (*NOT ANYONE*). It is related to *alius* and *ullus*: all of them refer to groups of *three or more*.

- *Nullam video*  I SEE NO ONE.
  ◦ Meaning specifically a feminine agent: no girl, no female teacher, no woman, etc.
- *Nullos habuit hortos, nullam suburbanam aut maritimam sumptuosam villam*  HE HAD NO GARDENS, NO SUMP'TUOUS VILLA NEAR THE CITY OR ON THE COAST  *(Nepos, Vitae)*.
- *Nullam ab eo epistulam acceperat*  HE HAD RECEIVED NO LETTER FROM HIM  *(Curtius Rufus, Historiae Alexandri Magni)*.
- *Nullus eripiet deus te mihi*  NO GOD WILL SNATCH YOU AWAY FROM ME  *(Seneca iunior, Hercules Furens)*.

Please see the corresponding chapter on combination of negatives for the different meanings of *nullus* combined with *non*.

b) *neuter*, neutra, neutrum

*Accidence*

It is declined like *uter*, *utra*, *utrum*, but with the suffix *ne-* attached to it.

*Syntax*

1/ It means *NEITHER*, and obviously it is related to the former pronouns *alter*, *uter* and *uterque*: all of these deal with groups of two. In nowadays grammar, its use is very evident: *neuter* means *neither masculine nor feminine*.

- *Neuter neutri invidet*  NEITHER FEELS ENVY OF THE OTHER ONE  *(Plautus, Stichus)*.
- *Neuter consulum potuerat bello abesse*  NEITHER OF THE CONSULS HAD BEEN ABLE TO BE ABSENT FROM THE WAR *(Livy, Ab Urbe Condita)*.
  ◦ And we know that there were only two consuls.
- *Diu pugna neutro inclinata stetit*  FOR A LONG TIME THE FIGHT WAS FAVOURABLE TO NEITHER *(literally, IN NEITHER [SIDE])  *(Livy, Ab Urbe Condita)*.
2/ It can be used also in plural, in the sense of two groups:

- *Neutros fefellit ... hostes adpropinquare* THAT THE ENEMY WERE APPROACHING DID NOT ESCAPE THE NOTICE OF EITHER GROUP (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).

  ✷ Literally, THAT THE ENEMY WERE APPROACHING ESCAPED THE NOTICE OF NEITHER GROUP.

- *Neutris animus est ad pugnandum* NO ONE OF BOTH GROUPS HAS ANY DESIRE OF FIGHTING (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).

**c) nihil**

*Accidence*

Nihil, that means NOTHING, is a neuter singular form. Theoretically, it has only nominative and accusative, and for the other cases a periphrasis of *nulla res* NO THING was used:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nom.</td>
<td>nihil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voc.</td>
<td>non-existent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acc.</td>
<td>nihil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen.</td>
<td>nullius rei</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dat.</td>
<td>nulli rei</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abl.</td>
<td>nulla re</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

But we can find the form *nihilum*, -i, declined as a neuter of the 2nd declension. And it is also very frequent to find the contracted form nil.

*Syntax*

1/ Its use does not imply any special complication, just the expected use of a pronoun with the meaning of NOTHING:

- *Nihil facio* I AM DOING NOTHING.
- *Tale nihil timeo* I FEAR NOTHING SUCH (Ovid, *Heroides*).
- *Labienus ... de suo ac legionis periculo nihil timebat* LABIENUS FEARED NOTHING ABOUT DANGER FOR HIMSELF OR FOR HIS LEGION (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).
- *Nihil est iam sanctum atque sincerum in civitate* THERE IS nothing SACRED OR SINCERE IN THE CITY ANY MORE (Cicero, *Pro Quinctio*).

In some cases, when translating into English, it may sound more natural if we shift the negative sense to the English verb:

- *Nihil volo* I DO NOT WANT ANYTHING / I WANT NOTHING.

Let’s see a couple of examples with the contact form:

- *Nil horum est, iudices* IT IS NOTHING OF THIS, O JUDGES (Cicero, *Pro Roscio Amerino*).
- *De domo Arpini nil scio* I KNOW NOTHING ABOUT THE HOUSE OF ARPINUS (Cicero, *Epistulae ad Atticum*).
2/ In some cases, it may be used accompanying a noun, instead of the corresponding form of *nullus*, -a, -um, as if it were an adjective:

- Hi propter propinquitatem et celeritatem hostium *nihil* iam Caesaris imperium exspectabant
  
  **These, because of the proximity and the speed of the enemy, did not expect any order from Caesar any more (Caesar, De Bello Gallico).**
  
  ♦ It could be argued that in fact *imperium* is an accusative of respect:
  
  **These, because of the proximity and the speed of the enemy, expected nothing any more with respect to an order.**

3/ The forms that decline from *nihilum* are almost always found after a preposition:

- *Erit aliquid, quod aut ex nihilo oriatur aut in nihilum subito occidat*  
  **There will be something that either is born from nothing or suddenly dies into nothing (Cicero, De Divinatione).**

And we can very often find its genitive *nihili* of nothing in the idiomatic meaning of no value, sometimes together with the verbs *habeo*, *facio* and *sum*:

- *Hoc ego nihili habeo*  
  **I consider this of no importance.**
- *Etiam tu, homo nihili?*  
  **Also you, man of no value? (Plautus, Bacchides).**
- *At ego hercle nihili facio*  
  **But, by Hercules, I do not care (Plautus, Captivi).**
- *Non modo nihili et improbus, sed fatuus et amens es*  
  **Not only you are of no value ("of nothing") and dishonest, but also foolish and mad (Cicero, Pro Rège Deiotaro).**

Please see the corresponding chapter on combination of negatives for the different meanings of *nihil* combined with *non*.

d) nemo

**Accidence**

It is a pronoun declined through the 3rd declension, but some forms are usually replaced by the equivalent ones of the adjective *nullus*:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Form</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nom.</td>
<td>nemo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voc.</td>
<td>non-existent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acc.</td>
<td>neminem</td>
<td>♦ More frequently, <em>nullus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen.</td>
<td>neminis</td>
<td>♦ More frequently, <em>nullus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dat.</td>
<td>nemini</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abl.</td>
<td>nemine</td>
<td>♦ More frequently, <em>nullo</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Syntax**

1/ It is a pronoun, not an adjective, with the meaning of nobody.

- *Nemo venit heri*  
  **Nobody came yesterday.**
- *Iudex esse bonus nemo potest qui suspicione certa non movetur*  
  **Nobody who is not moved by a real suspicion can be a good judge (Cicero, In Verrem).**
• **Nemo potest esse in magna familia qui neminem neque servum neque libertum improbum habeat** There can be nobody in a large household that has nobody, whether servant or free man, or worthless character (Cicero, *Pro Roscio Amerino*).

• **Utrum gravius existumet, nemeni occultum est** Which one of both matters he considers more important is a secret to no one (Sallust, *Bellum Iugurthinum*).

2/ As expected, when translating into English it may sound more natural sometimes to translate it by **anybody** instead of by **nobody** and shift the negative sense to the verb:

• **Me absente nemenem volo intro mitti** During my absence, I do not want anybody to be allowed inside (Plautus, *Aulularia*).
  ♦ Literally, I want nobody...

• **Dubium esse nemeni vestrum certo scio** I know for certain that this is not doubtful to anyone of you (Cicero, *Pro Caecina*).
  ♦ Literally, I know for certain that this is doubtful to no one of you.

3/ In some cases, it may replace the adjective **nullus**:

• **Ego certe me incerto scio hoc daturum nemeni homini** I know well that I will not give this to any unknown man (Plautus, *Asinaria*).
  ♦ We would have expected *nulli homini*.

We have seen in the accidence of **nemo** that **nullus** replaces it in genitive and ablative, but here we can see that in some cases it seems to work the other way round.

✠ Please see the corresponding chapter on combination of negatives for the different meanings of **nemo** in combination with **non**.
g) Adverbs and prepositional adverbs

1. General observations

When we mention adverbs, we tend to think of some frequent English words ending in -LY, such as STRONGLY, QUICKLY, SLOWLY, etc., but this is just one of several different possible morphological forms of adverbs, which can be represented by words that appear very different from each other, such as NO, TODAY, HARDLY, ENOUGH, QUICKLY, WHEN?, WHERE?, EVERYWHERE, etc.

Adverbs are indeclinable parts of speech with variable frequency rates, as some are really common while others are hardly attested. For this reason, here we will present only the most frequent ones.

2. Modal adverbs

These adverbs define the way in which an action is performed (they would respond to the question *How?*). While a lot of times the English equivalent form ends in -LY, other translations are possible as well.

a/ Most modal adverbs derived from an adjective of the 1st class are formed adding the ending -e to the stem of the adjective:

- from doctus WISE > docte WISELY
- from altus TALL/DEEP > alte DEEPLY
- from malus BAD > male BADLY

- Ad erum veniam docte atque astute *I WILL APPROACH THE MASTER WISELY AND SAGACIOUSLY* (Plautus, *Rudens*).

But some adverbs take -o instead of -e:

- from rarus STRANGE > raro STRANGELY ♦ but also rare and rariter (see below)
- from subitus SUDDEN > subito SUDDENLY

- *Subito duabus portis eruptionem fieri iubet* SUDDENLY HE ORDERS TO MAKE A BREAKING OUT THROUGH THE TWO GATES (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).

b/ If they derive from adjectives of the 2nd class, they usually add the ending -ter to the stem:

- from fortis STRONG > fortiter STRONGLY
- from acer HARSH > acriter HARSHLY
- from prudens PRUDENT > prudenter PRUDENTLY

- *Eversam fortunam fortiter ferre debemus* WE MUST BEAR ADVERSE FORTUNE BRAVELY (Cicero, *Epistulae ad Familiares*).
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c/ Sometimes the stem suffers some alteration:

from bonus GOOD > bene WELL ✶ bene, NOT bone

Important expression: bene facere + Dat. TO DO WELL
• Pulchrum est bene facere rei publicae IT IS NOBLE TO DO WELL TO THE STATE (Sallust, Catilinae Coniuratio).

d/ Some adverbs come from nouns (usually the noun in ablative) or even from verbs:

from fors CHANCE > forte BY CHANCE ✶ Do not confuse with fortis, -e.
from festino TO HURRY > festinatim HURRIEDLY

Some other common ones are:

casu BY CHANCE silentio IN SILENCE
iure RIGHTFULLY vi BY FORCE

The combination si forte IN CASE BY CHANCE is very common:

• Nostri ... impetum classis timebant, si forte ventus remisisset OUR [SOLDIERS] FEARED THE ATTACK OF THE FLEET, IN CASE BY CHANCE THE WIND WOULD STOP (Caesar, Bellum Civile).

3. Comparative and superlative of modal adverbs

a/ Just as adjectives do, adverbs may express different degrees of intensity:

– I EXPLAINED IT WISELY ✶ WISELY positive adverb
– YOU EXPLAINED IT MORE WISELY ✶ MORE WISELY comparative adverb
– HE EXPLAINED IT VERY WISELY ✶ VERY WISELY superlative adverb

The starting point to form different degrees of an adverb is the adjective from which the adverb derives. For instance, if we want to say I EXPLAINED THIS WISELY, we will say Hoc docte narravi, using the normal adverb.

But if we want to say YOU EXPLAINED THIS MORE WISELY (comparative adverb), we must first form the comparative of the adjective WISE, which would be doctior, -ius, and its neuter form doctius will be used as comparative adverb:

• Tu hoc doctius narravisti YOU EXPLAINED THIS MORE WISELY.

And if we want to say VERY WISELY, MOST WISELY, we will use the superlative form of its adjective, but changing its ending by -e:

• Ille hoc doctissime narravit HE EXPLAINED THIS VERY/MOST WISELY.

Some more examples:

• Vulnus altissime penetrat THE WOUND PENETRATES VERY DEEPLY (Quintilianus, Declamationes Maiores).
• Iam ex sermone hoc gubernabunt doctius porro AFTER THIS CONVERSATION, THEY WILL MANAGE THEIR AFFAIRS MORE WISELY FROM NOW ON (Plautus, Miles Gloriosus).
b/ If the adjective forms its comparative and superlative forms irregularly, the same will happen with the different degrees of the adverb:

- *Ego hoc *bene* feci* I did this well.
- *Tu hoc melius fecisti* You did this better.
- *Ille hoc *optime* fecit* He did it best.
- *Optime itis, pessime hercle dicitis* You move very well, but, by Hercules, you speak very badly.

(Plautus, *Poenusulus*).

Note that the comparative and superlative adverbs come from the respective irregular comparative and superlative adjectives.

c/ In order to convey the expression *as ... as possible* with adverbs, we must use *quam* before the superlative form of the adverb, similarly to the construction *quam* + *superlative adj* that we have already seen.

- *Ego hoc *quam optime* feci* I have done this as well as possible.
- *Caesar quam celerrime venit* Caesar came as quickly as possible.
- *Scribere ad vos quam celerrime voluimus* We wanted to write to you as quickly as possible.

(Cicero, *Epistulae ad Familiares*).

4. Quantitative adverbs

a/ The most well-known quantitative adverbs are contained in the following sequence, which comprehends respectively the *positive* – *comparative* – *superlative* forms of the same adverb:

```
multum – plus – plurimum  much – more – most
```

A very common combination is *plus ... quam* *more than*:

- *Neque enim plus quam tres aut quattuor reliqui sunt* And not more than three or four are left.
  
  (Cicero, *Philippicae*).
- *Dolor diuturnus habet laetitiae plus quam molestiae* Long-lasting pain has more of happiness than of disturbance.
  
  (Cicero, *Tusculanae Disputationes*).

b/ Other quantitative adverbs are:

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverb</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>magnopere</td>
<td>much</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>parum</td>
<td>a little</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nimis/nimium</td>
<td>too much</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>satis</td>
<td>enough</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>valde</td>
<td>very</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aliquantum</td>
<td>a little</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

They can be followed by a partitive genitive: *satis pecuniae* enough [of] money.

- *Satis eloquentiae, sapientiae parum* Enough of eloquence, but just a little of wisdom.
  
  (Sallust, *Catilinae Coniuratio*). ♦ Sallust is describing Catilina’s character.
5. Adverbs of time

a/ We will try to group them by related meanings, but we must take into account that some of them can have several meanings; we have put here the most usual one for each adverb:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverb</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>heri</td>
<td>YESTERDAY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hodie</td>
<td>TODAY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cras</td>
<td>TOMORROW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pridie</td>
<td>THE DAY BEFORE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>postridie</td>
<td>THE DAY AFTER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cotidie</td>
<td>EVERY DAY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mane</td>
<td>IN THE MORNING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>meridie</td>
<td>AT MIDDAY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>noctu</td>
<td>AT NIGHT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nunc</td>
<td>NOW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tunc/tum</td>
<td>THEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interea</td>
<td>MEANWHILE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>statim</td>
<td>IMMEDIATELY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iam</td>
<td>ALREADY, THEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>antea</td>
<td>BEFORE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>postea</td>
<td>AFTERWARDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>deinde</td>
<td>LATER, AFTERWARDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mox</td>
<td>SOON AFTERWARDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>numquam</td>
<td>NEVER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>umquam</td>
<td>EVER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nonnumquam</td>
<td>SOMETIMES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>semper</td>
<td>ALWAYS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vix</td>
<td>HARDLY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>identidem</td>
<td>SOMETIMES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interdum</td>
<td>SOMETIMES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quotannis</td>
<td>FROM TIME TO TIME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interdum</td>
<td>FROM TIME TO TIME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>paulisper</td>
<td>FOR A SHORT TIME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>denique</td>
<td>FINALLY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>postremo</td>
<td>AT LAST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tandem</td>
<td>AT LENGTH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>olim</td>
<td>SOME TIME AGO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quondam</td>
<td>AT ONE TIME, FORMERLY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iam</td>
<td>MAY MEAN SOON IF USED WITH A FUTURE TENSE.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Let’s see some examples:

- *Pridie per meridiem profecti ab Sycurio erant* THEY HAD SET OUT FROM SYCURIUM AROUND MIDDAY OF THE DAY BEFORE (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).
- *Huc legionem postea transicit* AFTERWARDS, HE MOVED THE LEGION HITHER (Caesar, *Bellum Civile*).
- *Nonnumquam post magnam pugnam ... licentiam omnem passim lascivendi permittebat* SOMETIMES, AFTER A GREAT BATTLE, HE ALLOWED FULL FREEDOM OF REVELLING AT PLEASURE (Suetonius, *Vitae*).
- *Carthagine quotannis annui bini reges creabantur* AT CARTHAGE, EVERY YEAR TWO KINGS WERE APPOINTED FOR AN ANNUAL PERIOD (Nepos, *Vitae*).
- *Indutiomarus ... noctu profugit* INDUTIOMARUS FLEES AT NIGHT (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).

b/ There are two adverbs of time that have comparative and superlative:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverb</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>diu</td>
<td>FOR A LONG TIME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>saepe</td>
<td>OFTEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>diutius</td>
<td>FOR LONGER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>saepius</td>
<td>MORE OFTEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>diutissime</td>
<td>FOR VERY LONG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>saepissime</td>
<td>VERY OFTEN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- *Diu silentium fuit* THERE WAS SILENCE FOR A LONG TIME (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).
• *Diutius* nostrorum militum impetum hostes ferre non potuerunt  
  The enemy could not resist the attack of our soldiers for longer  
  (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).
• *Diutissime saepissimeque* Siciliam vexatam a Carthaginiensibus esse cognorat  
  He knew that Sicily had been ravaged by the Carthaginians for a very long time and very often  
  (Cicero, *In Verrem*).

◊ Note: *cognorat* = *cogoverat*.

This one has superlative, but no comparative:

nuper  recently  nuperrime  very recently

• *Ego nuperrime* in libro Theophrasti scriptum inveni  
  Very recently, I found it written in a book of Theophrastus  
  (Gellius, *Noctes Atticae*).

### 6. Adverbs of place

**a/** Some adverbs of place state the position of an object with respect to a given point of reference. Some of these adverbs will be mentioned again in the section devoted to *Prepositional adverbs*, as they may also work as prepositions followed by a noun in a given case. The following list groups the adverbs in pairs according to their sense, in order to facilitate their memorisation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverb</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Case</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>supra</td>
<td>above, further up</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>infra</td>
<td>below, further down</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intra</td>
<td>inside</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>extra</td>
<td>outside</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>citra</td>
<td>on this side</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>contra</td>
<td>in front</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iuxta</td>
<td>side by side</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prope</td>
<td>near, by the side</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>procul</td>
<td>far away</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cerca</td>
<td>around</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>illinc</td>
<td>from there</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• *Ut supra* diximus, ...  
  As we have said above, ...
• *Onerariae duae ... paulo infra delatae sunt*  
  Two ships of burden were carried a little further down  
  (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).
• *Sed ne illos quidem, qui procul manserant, ...*  
  But not even those who had remained far away ...
  (Sallust, *Bellum Iugurthinum*).

These six adverbs of place are grouped into two correlative series:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverb</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Case</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>hic</td>
<td>here</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>illic</td>
<td>there</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>huc</td>
<td>towards here</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>illuc</td>
<td>towards there</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hinc</td>
<td>from here</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>illinc</td>
<td>from there</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**b/** With reference to these last forms, note that they seem to share some common characteristics according to the kind of movement:

◊ Both adverbs meaning *place where* end in *-ic*
◊ Both adverbs meaning *place towards* end in *-uc*
◊ Both adverbs meaning *place from* end in *-inc*

Unfortunately, this relationship indicating *place where, place to where* and *place from where* does not always follow the same rule and they must be memorised, although it will be observed that they follow a similar rule. Apart from the two former groups, other frequent ones are:
Observe that (disregarding the suffixes attached to the end) all of the groups seem to share the same characteristics (obviously, some groups are just a compound of another group):

- The first adverb \( \text{place where} \) ends in \(-i\)
- The second adverb \( \text{place towards where} \) ends in \(-o\)
- The third adverb \( \text{place from where} \) ends in \(-e\)

- Quamvis malam rem quaeras, \textit{illi reperias} Although you look for something bad, you can find it there.
- At tu hercle et \textit{illi et alibi} But you, by Hercules, [can find it] both there and somewhere else (Plautus, \textit{Trinummus}). \(\diamond\) illi in this sentence = illic.

- \textit{Ubicumque esses, ad te percurrissem} Wherever you would be, I would have run towards you (Cicero, \textit{Epistulae ad Familiares}).

- Magna praeterea multitudo \textit{undique ex Gallia ... convenerat} Moreover, a large multitude from everywhere from Gaul had gathered (Caesar, \textit{De Bello Gallico}).

c/ There are many more adverbs of place that will be learnt by means of practice, as they do not offer any characteristic that helps to their memorisation. For instance, \textit{hactenus} thus far, \textit{usquam} somewhere, \textit{foras} out of doors, etc.

\textit{Hactenus} is much used in the sense of enough thus far:

- \textit{Sed de Graecis hactenus} But [we have spoken] enough about the Greeks (Cicero, \textit{Brutus}).
- \textit{De quo dicam equidem paulo post, nunc autem hactenus} About this, I will speak a little later, now it is enough (Cicero, \textit{De Natura Deorum}).

d/ Some adverbs of place have a comparative and a superlative, and it can be observed that the formation follows the usual parameters of comparative and superlative of modal adverbs:

- \textit{Abest longissime, mihi crede, Caesar} It is very far [from the truth], believe me, Caesar (Cicero, \textit{Pro Rege Deiotaro}).
- \textit{Sed quoniam C. Caesar abest longissime, ...} But as C. Caesar is very far away, ... (Cicero, \textit{Pro Balbo}).
- \textit{Accedam propius} I will approach nearer (Plautus, \textit{Mercator}).
7. Interrogative adverbs

Interrogative adverbs can be classified according to their sense:

a/ In modal sense:

Quomodo? Quemadmodum? How?

They can be written as separate words: Quo modo? Quem ad modum?

- Quomodo hoc obtinuisti? How did you obtain this?
- Quomodo igitur duo genera ista dividis? Therefore, how do you divide these two classes? (Cicero, De Partitione Oratoria).

b/ In temporal sense:

Quando? When? Quamdiu? For how long?

- Quamdiu autem tranquillam ... multitudinem fore? For how long would the multitude remain quiet? (Livy, Ab Urbe Condita).

c/ In local sense:

Ubi? Where? Unde? Where from?
Quo? Where to? Qua? Through where?

- Ubi erant ceteri creditores? Where were the remaining creditors? (Cicero, Pro Quinctio).
- Ubi aut unde audivit Glaucia? Where or from where did Glaucia hear it? (Cicero, Pro Roscio Amerino).

d/ In quantitative sense:

Quantum? Quanto? How much?

- Quantum dedit? How much did he give? (Cicero, Pro Roscio Amerino).

e/ In causal sense:

Cur? Quamobrem? Quare? Why?
Quin? Why not?

In fact quin never introduces a real question but just a rhetorical one that can be translated by an exhortation.

- Quin ... conscendimus equos? Why don’t we mount on our horses? / Let’s mount our horses (Livy, Ab Urbe Condita).
8. Indefinite adverbs

a/ In the section on pronouns, we have dealt with indefinite pronouns; there are also indefinite adverbs, indeclinable (as all adverbs), mostly deriving from interrogative adverbs, which become indefinite just by the addition of the prefix ali-. Observe these two sentences:

- *Ubi laborat agricola?*  
  *Agricola laborat alicubi*  
  **WHERE IS THE FARMER WORKING?**  
  **THE FARMER IS WORKING SOMEWHERE.**

While *ubi* means *WHERE*, *alicubi* means *SOMEWHERE*.

- *Cum paucissimis alicubi occultabor*  
  **WITH A VERY FEW MEN I WILL HIDE SOMEWHERE**  
  (Cicero, *Epistulae ad Atticum*).

We can apply the same rule to the other interrogative adverbs *quo*, *unde* and *qua*:

- *– Quo it Caesar?*  
  *Caesar aliquo it*  
  **WHERE IS CAESAR GOING?**  
  **CAESAR IS GOING SOMEWHERE.**

- *– Unde venit heri exercitus?*  
  *Heri exercitus venit alicunde*  
  **WHERE DID THE ARMY COME FROM YESTERDAY?**  
  **YESTERDAY THE ARMY CAME FROM SOMEWHERE.**

- *– Qua currunt discipuli?*  
  *Discipuli aliqua currunt*  
  **THE STUDENTS ARE RUNNING THROUGH SOMEWHERE.**

- *– Quo te agis?*  
  *Missus sum aliquo*  
  **WHERE ARE YOU GOING?**  
  **I HAVE BEEN SENT SOMEWHERE**  
  (Plautus, *Miles Gloriosus*).

- *– Quomodo hoc fecisti?*  
  *Hoc aliquo modo feci*  
  **HOW DID YOU DO THIS?**  
  **I DID THIS SOMEHOW.**

- *– Si id, quod ex vertebra excedit, aliquo modo fractum est,*  
  *...*  
  **IF IT, WHAT STICKS OUT OF A VERTEBRA, IS BROKEN SOMEHOW,**  
  ...  
  (Celsus, *De Medicina*).

b/ The same can be done with the interrogative adverb *quando*:

- *– Quando veniet Caesar?*  
  *Aliquando veniet Caesar*  
  **WHEN WILL CAESAR COME?**  
  **HE WILL COME AT SOME POINT.**

- *– Possimus aliquando de re pecuniaria disceptare?*  
  **CAN WE AT SOME POINT DEBATE ABOUT FINANCIAL MATTERS?**
  (Cicero, *Pro Quinctio*).

A lot of times, instead of *aliquo modo* *SOMEHOW*, we can find the expression *nescio quo modo* *I DO NOT KNOW HOW*:

- *Pervenit res ad istius auris nescio quo modo*  
  (Cicero, *In Verrem*).  
  **THE MATTER REACHED SOMEHOW THE EARS OF THIS MAN**

- *Sed nescio quo modo, dum lego, adsentior*  
  (Cicero, *Tusculanae Disputationes*).  
  **BUT SOMEHOW, WHILE I READ, I GIVE MY ASSENT**
9. Affirmative and negative adverbs

a) Affirmative adverbs

1/ Latin has no adverb that means YES. A very common way of answering YES to a question is by repeating the verb (with the obvious change of person):

- Vis hodie nobiscum venire?
  - Volo

Other ways of answering affirmatively are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverb</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sane</td>
<td>CERTAINLY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nimirum</td>
<td>NO DOUBT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>certe</td>
<td>CERTAINLY</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- ..., non parva res, sed nimirum omnium maxima
  NOT SOMETHING SMALL, BUT NO DOUBT THE BIGGEST OF ALL
  (Cicero, Pro Murena).

- Huius tamen insania, quae ridicula est aliis, mihi tum molesta sane fuit
  THE INSANITY OF THIS MAN, ALTHOUGH IT IS RIDICULOUS TO OTHER PEOPLE, THEN IT WAS CERTAINLY ANNOYING TO ME
  (Cicero, In Verrem).

2/ These adverbs express possibility: forte, fortasse, forsitan PERHAPS. They are not affirmative adverbs, but they have been included here.

- At enim forsitan hoc tibi veniat in mentem
  BUT PERHAPS THIS MAY COME TO YOUR MIND
  (Cicero, Pro Roscio Comoedo).

b) Negative adverbs

1/ The main one is non NO, but we can also find haud, especially with the verb scio TO KNOW.

- Haud scio an fieri possit
  I DO NOT KNOW WHETHER IT CAN BE DONE
  (Cicero, In Verrem).

Other negatives are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverb</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>minime</td>
<td>IN NO WAY, NOT AT ALL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nequaquam</td>
<td>IN NO WAY, NOT AT ALL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ne ... quidem</td>
<td>NOT EVEN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Sapientem me esse dico? Minime
  DO I SAY THAT I AM WISE? NOT AT ALL
  (Seneca iunior, Dialogi).

- Iugulare civem ne iure quidem quisquam bonus volt
  NO GOOD MAN WANTS TO SLAUGHTER A CITIZEN, NOT EVEN
  BY THE LAW
  (Cicero, Pro Quinctio).

  ♦ volt = vult.

2/ We can replace et non by nec or neque, and in the same way as et ... et means BOTH ... AND, nec ... nec (neque ... neque) means NEITHER ... NOR.

- Servos ipsos, quod ad me attinet, neque arguo neque purgo
  THE SLAVES THEMSELVES, AS FAR AS I AM CONCERNED, I
  NEITHER ACCUSE NOR ACQUIT
  (Cicero, Pro Roscio Amerino).
10. Prepositional adverbs

a/ There are a group of words in Latin that perform as if they were prepositions, as for instance *sine*, which takes the ablative and means *WITHOUT*:

- *Sine te hoc facere non possum*  
  I CAN NOT DO THIS WITHOUT YOU.

Some of them are followed by the accusative, and some of them by the ablative (this is why some grammars include them in the chapter of prepositions). But these words are not prepositions, although they look like it, and the distinguishing trait is that (with exceptions) they can not be used to form compound verbs: for example, we can say *ineo*, *transeo*, etc. (in and *trans* are proper prepositions), but we can not say *sineeo*.

Sometimes they can play the role of simple adverbs:

- *Infra ibimus*  
  WE WILL GO FURTHER DOWN.

b/ The first adverbs mentioned in *Point 6 Adverbs of place* can be used as prepositional adverbs, and they must be followed by the accusative:

- *Aquam forte ea tum sacris extra moenia petitum ierat*  
  BY CHANCE, SHE HAD GONE OUTSIDE THE WALLS TO FETCH WATER FOR A SACRIFICE (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).

- *Nostros intra munitiones ingredi prohibebant*  
  THEY PREVENTED OUR [SOLDIERS] FROM ENTERING THE WALLS (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).

c/ Apart from these, there are other adverbs that can be used as prepositions (some have local sense, some have another sense) followed by the accusative:

- *... neque propter loci naturam Cirtam armis expugnare potest*  
  ... AND HE CAN NOT CAPTURE CIRTA BY ARMS BECAUSE OF THE NATURE OF THE PLACE (Sallust, *Bellum Iugurthinum*).

d/ And some other ones must be followed by the ablative:

We give the meaning as adverb, but obviously the translation will experience some change if used as preposition (for instance, *palam* PUBLICLY should be translated by *IN THE PRESENCE OF* if used as preposition, and also *clam* may have to be translated differently if used as a preposition).

- *Hoc facere palam discipulis nolo*  
  I DO NOT WANT TO DO THIS IN THE PRESENCE OF THE STUDENTS.

- *Cur me coram populo magis interrogas?*  
  WHY DO YOU GO ON QUESTIONING ME IN FRONT OF THE PEOPLE? (Quintilianus, *Declamaciones Maiores*).
Observe the two different ways of translating *clam*:

- *Non sibi clam vobis salutem fuga petivit?*  
  *Did he not seek his safety in flight without your knowledge?*  
  (Caesar, *Bellum Civile*).  
  ◦ Here, used as prepositional adverb.

- *Noctu clam sustulit signa pulcherrima atque antiquissima*  
  *By night he removed secretly those very beautiful and very antique statues*  
  (Cicero, *In Verrem*).  
  ◦ Here, used as adverb.

Observe that *coram, palam* and *clam* end in *-am*: nothing to do with the Acc. sing of the 1st declension.
h) The correlatives

1. Correlative adverbs
   a) Definitions and examples

1/ There are several series of adverbs that have different forms according to the function they perform in a sentence, but linked by their common meaning; for instance, the temporal adverb *tum* **THEN**, in a sentence like

   - Eum *tum vidi* I SAW HIM THEN.

belongs to the same series as the also temporal adverb *quando?* **WHEN?** in a sentence like

   - *Quando invenies?* WHEN WILL YOU ARRIVE?

Both of them belong to the same series, both of them make reference to a point in time, with the only difference that the adverb *tum* **THEN** in the first sentence is a *demonstrative* temporal adverb and the adverb *quando?* **WHEN?** in the second sentence is an *interrogative* temporal adverb.

Let’s see a double example, this time with local adverbs:

   - Eum *ibi vidi, ubi tu heri ludebas* I SAW HIM THERE, WHERE YOU WERE PLAYING YESTERDAY.

*Ibi* **THERE** is a *demonstrative* local adverb, while *ubi* **WHERE** is a *relative* local adverb.

**Note**

The last example must not make us think that correlatives must appear in consecutive sentences; see that in the two first examples each one of them was used on its own.

We can see that the difference from an adverb to another one of the same family may be just a small change in the word (*ibi / ubi*) or a completely different word (*tum / quando*).

2/ Taking the demonstrative local adverb *ibi*, we will show the five main roles that a family of correlatives may have:

   - *Demonstrative:* *ibi* **THERE** (there are other demonstratives: *hic* **HERE**, for instance)
     - *Ibi Caesarem necaverunt* THEY KILLED CAESAR THERE.

   - *Relative:* *ubi* **WHERE**
     - *Locum ubi Caesarem necaverunt numquam vidi* I HAVE NEVER SEEN THE PLACE WHERE THEY KILLED CAESAR.

   - *Indefinite:* *alicubi* **SOMEWHERE**
     - *Caesarem alicubi necaverunt* THEY KILLED CAESAR SOMEWHERE.
Indefinite relative: **ubicumque** WHEREVER

- **Ubicumque es, cives te amant** WHEREVER YOU ARE, CITIZENS LOVE YOU.

Interrogative: **ubi?** WHERE?

- **Ubi Caesarem necaverunt?** WHERE DID THEY KILL CAESAR?

3/ At the sight of this, we can deduce three basic characteristics that we can apply to each family of correlatives:

- The relative and the interrogative are equal.
- The indefinite is formed from the interrogative, adding the prefix *ali-*.
- The indefinite relative is formed by adding -*cumque* to the interrogative.

**Note**

The translations offered here for each term are not unvariable; the context may require some adaptations, and moreover in some cases there may be several different Latin terms with different meanings (*ibi* THERE or *hic* HERE as local demonstrative, for instance).

Let’s see some original examples:

- **Ubi eos convenit?** WHERE DID HE MEET WITH THEM? (Cicero, *Pro Roscio Amerino*).
- **In eundem locum reuertitur atque ibi, ubi telum erat infossum, ...** HE CAME BACK TO THE SAME PLACE AND THERE, WHERE THE WEAPON HAD BEEN BURIED, ... (Nepos, *Vitae*).
- **Utinam hic prope adesset alicubi atque audiret haec!** IF ONLY HE WERE SOMEWHERE NEAR AND I COULD HEAR THIS! (Terentius Afer, *Adelphoe*).
- **Ubicumque hoc factum est, improbe factum est** WHEREVER THIS IS DONE, IT IS DONE IN A VILE WAY (Cicero, *In Verrem*).

b) The local correlative adverbs

1/ We can present now the series of correlatives for each of the four local adverbs; we must first remember that there are four main types of local expressions:

- place **where**
- place **to where**
- place **from where**
- place **through where**

2/ We have already seen the series of correlatives of the type place **where**, now let’s see those corresponding to the type place **to where**:

- **Demonstrative:** **eo** TOWARDS THERE
  - **Eo licet mihi abire?** MAY I GO THERE?

- **Relative:** **quo** TO WHERE
  - **Profecti sunt quo dux iussit** THEY DEPARTED TO WHERE THE GENERAL ORDERED.
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➢ Indefinite: aliquo  TO SOMEWHERE
  • Aliquo profecti sunt  THEY HAVE DEPARTED TO SOMEWHERE.
➢ Indefinite relative: quocumque  TO WHEREVER
  • Quocumque ire placet, ferro iter aperiundum est  WHEREVER WE WISH TO GO, WE MUST OPEN OUR WAY WITH A SWORD (Sallust, Catilinae Coniuratio).
➢ Interrogative: quo?  WHERE TO?
  • Quo vadis?  WHERE ARE YOU GOING?

3/ Those corresponding to the place from where type are:

➢ Demonstrative: inde  FROM THERE
  • Inde venimus  WE COME FROM THERE.
➢ Relative: unde  FROM WHERE
  • Locus unde venimus pulcher est  THE PLACE FROM WHERE WE COME IS NICE.
➢ Indefinite: alicunde  FROM SOMEWHERE
  • Repente alicunde venerunt  SUDDENLY THEY CAME FROM SOMEWHERE.
➢ Indefinite relative: undecumque  FROM WHEREVER
  • Undecumque venit, semper donum mihi fert  WHEREVER HE COMES FROM, HE ALWAYS BRINGS ME A PRESENT.
➢ Interrogative: unde?  WHERE FROM?
  • Unde venis?  WHERE DO YOU COME FROM?

4/ And the last series would be the series of place through which (not much used, it must be said):

➢ Demonstrative: ea  THOUGH THERE
  • Hostes ea urbem intraverunt  THE ENEMY ENTERED INTO THE CITY THOUGH THERE.
➢ Relative: qua  THROUGH WHERE
  • Locus qua hostes intraverunt indefensus erat  THE PLACE THOUGH WHERE THE ENEMY ENTERED WAS UNPROTECTED.
➢ Indefinite: aliqua  THROUGH SOMEWHERE
  • Hostes aliqua intraverunt  THE ENEMY ENTERED THROUGH SOMEWHERE.
➢ Indefinite relative: quacumque  THROUGH WHEREVER
  • Quacumque iter fecit, omnes necabat  THROUGH WHEREVER HE MADE HIS WAY, HE KILLED EVERYBODY.
➢ Interrogative: qua?  THROUGH WHERE?
  • Qua intraverunt hostes?  THROUGH WHERE DID THE ENEMY ENTER?
Some examples:

- *Aliquo aufugiam et me occultabo aliquot dies*  
  I will flee somewhere and I will hide for some days  
  (Plautus, *Miles Gloriosus*).

- *Putemus pecuniam bonum esse undecumque sumptam*  
  Let’s consider money something good from wherever it may have been taken  
  (Seneca iunior, *Epistulae Morales ad Lucilium*).

- *Unde sumptum?*  
  Taken from where?  
  (Cicero, *Pro Cluentio*).

- *Adsunt Athenienses, unde ... leges ortae atque in omnis terras distributae putantur*  
  There are the Athenians, from where laws are supposed to have originated and been distributed to all lands  
  (Cicero, *Pro Flacco*).

- *Quacumque iter fecit, hoc iucundissimum spectaculum omnibus ... praebet*  
  Through wherever he made his way, he offered a most delightful spectacle to everybody  
  (Cicero, *In Verrem*).

c) The temporal correlative adverbs

We have seen part of them at the very beginning; the whole series would be:

- **Demonstrative:**  
  *tum*  
  Then

  - *Octavius omnia tum dixit*  
    Octavius said everything then.

- **Relative:**  
  *cum*  
  When (quando and ubi also possible)

  - *Ego hoc feci cum dux me iussit*  
    I did this when the general ordered me to.

- **Indefinite:**  
  *aliquando*  
  Sometime

  - *Aliquando hoc faciam*  
    I will do this sometime.

- **Indefinite relative:**  
  *quandocumque*  
  Whenever

  - *Quandocumque Cicero loquitur, semper attentissime audio*  
    Whenever Cicero speaks, I always listen very carefully.

- **Interrogative:**  
  *quando?*  
  When?

  - *Quando pervenisti?*  
    When did you arrive?

Let’s see some examples:

- *Profectus est aliquando tandem in Hispaniam*  
  Finally, at some point he set off for Hispania  
  (Cicero, *Philippicae*).

- *Idque quandocumque animaduersum est, terrere nos potest us*  
  And this, whenever it has been noticed, can terrify us  
  (Celsus, *De Medicina*).

- *Si quando non pluet, uti terra sitiat, aquam irrigato*  
  If at some point it does not rain, as soon as the ground is thirsty, water it  
  (Porcius Cato, *De Agrī Cultura*).

  ✷ Important: Observe that, as happened with aliquis, the adverb aliquando also loses the prefix ali- after si.
d) The frequentative correlative adverbs

They are related to the meaning of *how many times* or *how often* an action takes place; the whole series is:

- **Demonstrative:** totiens SO OFTEN / SO MANY TIMES
  
  • *Quid fecerat quod eum totiens per insidias interficere voluistis?* What had he done, that you wanted so often to kill him in an ambush? *(Cicero, De Domo Sua).*

- **Relative:** quotiens AS OFTEN AS / AS MANY TIMES AS
  
  • *Hieme saepius fascia circumire debet, aestate quotiens necesse est* In winter, the bandage must go around the wound rather often, in summer as many times as necessary *(Celsus, De Medicina).*

- **Indefinite:** aliquotiens AT SEVERAL TIMES
  
  • *Aliquotiens ad socios litteras de istius iniuriis miserat* At several times he had sent to his allies a letter about the offences of this one *(Cicero, In Verrem).*

- **Indefinite relative:** quotienscumque HOWEVER OFTEN
  
  • *Quotienscumque opus erit, facito uti aquam addas* However often it is necessary, make sure that you add water *(Cato, De Agri Cultura).*

- **Interrogative:** quotiens? HOW OFTEN? / HOW MANY TIMES?
  
  • *Quotiens dicendum est tibi?* How many times do I have to tell you? *(Plautus, Amphitruo).*
2. Correlative adjectives

In the first part of this chapter, we have learnt how to construct families of correlative adverbs; now let’s do the same with adjectives. The method to be followed will be the same one, and moreover the correlative adjectives can be used in all grammatical cases.

a) The correlatives SUCH ... AS and AS LARGE ... AS

1/ We will begin with the study of the quantitative and the qualitative correlative adjectives, given their importance as they can perform some functions proper to demonstrative and relative adjectives.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{talis} & \quad \text{qualis} \\
\text{tantus} & \quad \text{quantus}
\end{align*}
\]

Talis is a qualitative demonstrative, and it can be translated as SUCH, OF SUCH A KIND. It is declined like facilis, -e:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Nom.} & \quad \text{talis, -e} \\
\text{Acc.} & \quad \text{talem, -e} \\
\text{etc.} &
\end{align*}
\]

Qualis is a qualitative relative used to establish comparisons, and it can be translated as AS, SUCH AS, although the translation may vary to show the correlation with the demonstrative adjective talis, which is almost always used in combination with qualis. Qualis follows also the same declension of the adjective facilis, -e.

Note

Remember that qualis, quale has also the interrogative meaning OF WHICH KIND?

- Qualis homo est tuus frater? WHICH KIND OF PERSON IS YOUR BROTHER?

2/ Now we will show with some examples how these qualitative demonstrative and qualitative relative adjectives are used to compare things or people:

- \text{Talem urbem video qualem numquam antea vidisti} \quad \text{I SEE SUCH A CITY AS YOU HAVE NEVER SEEN BEFORE.}
  
  ∦ Literally, I SEE SUCH A CITY SUCH AS YOU HAVE NEVER SEEN, but the second SUCH is superfluous in the translation.

- \text{Athenienses tales sunt quales vincere non possumus} \quad \text{THE ATHENIANS ARE SUCH (literally, OF SUCH A KIND) AS WE ARE NOT ABLE TO CONQUER.}
  
  ∦ A better translation could be THE ATHENIANS ARE THE KIND OF PEOPLE WE CAN’T CONQUER.

- \text{In tali urbe habitamus in quali omnes habitare vellent} \quad \text{WE LIVE IN SUCH A CITY AS (literally, IN SUCH AS) ALL WOULD LOVE TO LIVE IN.}
  
  ∦ Or also WE LIVE IN THE KIND OF CITY IN WHICH ALL WOULD LOVE TO LIVE. The possibilities of translation are several, provided that they show the correlation between the demonstrative and the relative.

The qualitative relative can also be used without the corresponding qualitative demonstrative:

- \text{Est omnino fortium virorum, quales vos esse debetis, virtutem praestare} \quad \text{IT IS PROPER OF BRAVE MEN, SUCH AS YOU HAVE TO BE, TO DISPLAY YOUR VALOUR (Cicero, Philippicae).}
Other examples:

- **Tempus habes tale quale nemo habuit umquam** (Cicero, *Philippicae*). **YOU HAVE SUCH AN OPPORTUNITY AS NOBODY HAS EVER HAD**
- **Est autem tale quale floruit Athenis** (Cicero, *De Optimo Genere Oratorum*). **IT IS OF THE SAME KIND THAT FLOURISHED IN ATHENS**
  - Cicero is talking about oratory.
- **Habet orationem talem consul qualem numquam Catilina victor habuisset** (Cicero, *Pro Sestio*). **THE CONSUL MAKES SUCH A SPEECH AS CATILINA WOULD HAVE NEVER MADE AS CONQUEROR**

3/ A similar phenomenon happens with the couple *tantus* ... *quantus*, but in this case what is pointed out is the size, not the quality, as they are a *size-quantitative demonstrative* and a *size-quantitative relative adjective*. They are inflected through the 2-1-2 scheme.

*Tantus* and *quantus* make reference to size, NOT to quantity, this is why we call them *size-quantitative*. The correlatives making reference to quantity are presented further down, with the name of *amount-quantitative*.

*Tantus* can be translated as **SO BIG / SO LARGE**, and *quantus* as **[SO BIG / SO LARGE] AS**. Let's see some examples:

- **Nemo habet tantos libros quantos ego habeo**. **NOBODY HAS SO LARGE BOOKS AS I [HAVE].**
- **Hic miles habet tantum gladium quantum numquam vidisti**. **THIS SOLDIER HAS SUCH A BIG SWORD AS YOU HAVE NEVER SEEN.**
- **In tanto proelio pugnavi quantum Athenienses numquam fecerunt**. **I FOUGHT IN SUCH A BIG BATTLE AS THE ATHENIANS NEVER FOUGHT.**

Note that if in the former sentence we had written *In tali proelio pugnavi quale Athenienses numquam fecerunt*, we would emphasise some particular characteristic of the battle (cruel, harsh, or maybe short, or long, etc.), not the size:

- **Tum meretricum numerus tantus, quantum in urbe omni fuit ...** **THEN SUCH A LARGE NUMBER OF COURTESANS AS THERE WAS IN THE WHOLE CITY ...** (Plautus, *Epidicus*).
  - The use of the neuter *quantum* instead of *quantus* may respond to the concept of number as an abstract concept, although *numerus* is masculine.
- **Sed si est tantus dolor, quantus Philoctetae?** **AND IF THE PAIN IS SO INTENSE (BIG) AS FOR PHILOCTETES?** (Cicero, *Tusculanae Disputationes*).

**Note**

Remember that *quantus* has also the interrogative meaning **HOW LARGE?**

- **Quantam domum habes?** **HOW LARGE A HOUSE DO YOU HAVE?**

The difference *qualitative-quantitative* must be clear:

- **Habito in tali urbe...** **I LIVE IN SUCH A [NICE] CITY...** **Emphasis on the quality, style, etc.**
- **Habito in tanta urbe...** **I LIVE IN SUCH A [BIG] CITY...** **Emphasis on the size.**
b) The whole series of correlative adjectives

1/ Here we will report the complete family of the correlative adjectives deriving from the qualitative interrogative adjective qualis, -e, that means OF WHICH KIND?

- *Qualis homo est tuus frater?* WHAT KIND OF MAN IS YOUR BROTHER?

For the sake of brevity, in some cases only the singular masculine forms will be given in the following list:

- **Direct question:** qualis? quale? OF WHAT KIND?
- **Indefinite:** non-existent
- **Relative:** qualis, quale SUCH AS
- **Indefinite relative:** qualiscumque OF WHATEVER KIND
- **Demonstrative:** talis, tale OF SUCH A KIND

Some examples:

- *Qualem esse Ciceronem ducis?* WHAT KIND OF PERSON DO YOU THINK CICERO IS?
- *Qualescumque sumus tamen haec qua passi sumus pati non debuimus* WHATEVER KIND OF PEOPLE WE ARE, WE OUGHT NOT TO HAVE SUFFERED WHAT WE HAVE SUFFERED (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).
- *Amicos non habeo quales tu habes* I HAVEN’T GOT FRIENDS SUCH AS YOU HAVE
  ✷ Meaning ... OF THE SAME KIND AS YOU HAVE.
- *Nulla domus tales umquam contexit amores* NO HOUSE EVER ENCLOSED SUCH LOVES (Catullus, *Carmina*).

2/ The same rule can be applied to the size-quantitative interrogative quantus:

- **Direct question:** quantus, -a, -um? HOW GREAT?
- **Indefinite:** aliquantus, -a, -um SOME (meaning size)
- **Relative:** quantus, -a, -um AS GREAT/LARGE AS
- **Indefinite relative:** quantuscumque HOWEVER GREAT
- **Demonstrative:** tantus, -a, -um SO GREAT

- *Tantus fuit omnium terror, ut alii adesse copias Iubae dicerent* SO GREAT WAS THEIR FEAR THAT SOME STARTED TO SAY THAT JUBA’S TROOPS WERE THERE (Caesar, *Bellum Civile*).
- *Non habeo exercitum quantum tu habes* I HAVEN’T GOT AN ARMY AS LARGE AS YOU HAVE.
- *Aliquantum timorem habeant milites* THE SOLDIERS HAD SOME FEAR.
- *Omnia adhuc quantacumque petistis obtuinuistis* EVERYTHING EVEN HOWEVER GREAT YOU REQUESTED, YOU OBTAINED (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).
c) The series of WHO / WHAT

This series contains those adjectives (or pronouns) dealing with the identity of some element of the sentence; most of its components have already been met in the respective chapters on pronouns, but we repeat them here in order to show their mutual relationship, their correlation.

- Direct question: quis? quid? WHO? WHAT?
- Indefinite: aliiquis, aliquid SOMEBODY, SOMETHING
- Relative: qui, quae, quod WHO, WHICH
- Indefinite relative: quicumque WHOEVER, WHATEVER
  ▶ There is also a less common one, quisquis (masc./fem.), quidquid (neuter), used only in nom., acc. and abl.
- Demonstrative: is, ea, id THIS, THAT

• Quemcumque rogaveris, hoc respondebit Whomever you ask, he will answer this (Cicero, Pro Cluentio).
• Si tibi aliiquis ad aurem accessisset et dixisset ... If anybody had approached you to your ear and had said ... (Cicero, In Verrem).
  ▶ Observe, by the way, how aliiquis has not lost the ali- now: it is not immediately after the conjunction si IF.
• Ire per hanc noli, quisquis es Do not go through that [way], whoever you are (Ovid, Fasti).

d) The series of HOW MANY

In this series, a typical mistake is to consider that the plural of quantus has the meaning of the English HOW MANY. In fact a question like Quantos libros habes? would mean How large books have you got? as if asking about the size of the books, and not How many books have you got? For how many, we must use the so-called amount-quantitative adjective presented here (quantus is the size-quantitative adjective, presented further above).

Although they are adjectives, they can not be declined, but even so they are considered adjectives.

- Direct question: quot HOW MANY?
- Indefinite: aliquot SOME (meaning number)
- Relative: quot AS MANY AS
- Indefinite relative: quotcumque HOWEVER MANY
- Demonstrative: tot / totidem SO MANY

• Tot milites habemus ut urbem facile capturi simus We have so many soldiers that we will capture the city easily.
• Non habeo tot libros quot tu habes I have not got as many books as you have.
• Domi aliquot servos reliqui I have left some slaves at home.
• Quotcumque voles, una sit ista tibi (Propertius, Elegiae).
• Quot annos nata dicitur? How old is she said to be? (Plautus, Cistellaria).
• - Quot sunt? How many are they?
  - Totidem quot ego et tu sumus As many as you and I are (Plautus, Rudens).
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1. Mechanics of the Latin verbs

In comparison to other languages, the conjugation of Latin verbs does not pose any special difficulty to students, as their formation follows a very regular mechanism; even most irregular verbs are really simple to conjugate, as they tend to follow the same rules. The study of Latin verbs can be easily mastered by learning the basic principles and applying them, and its structured system will allow us to present their conjugation by means of tables from the very beginning.

The main difference with English verbs is that in Latin each verbal form (except the impersonal ones: participles, infinitives, etc.) has an ending that tells us which person is meant. In English, the same form write is used for I write, you write, we write, etc., and we must make use of the personal pronouns I, you, we, etc. to make it clear (only the 3rd person singular is different: writes). In Latin, habeo may only mean I have, habemus may only mean we have, habent may only mean they have, etc., because of the endings -o, -mus, -nt, etc.

2. The tenses

The tenses in Latin are more or less equivalent to those of any other language (the translations given here as example would apply for the indicative mood only):

a/ Present tense: The expected meaning of I write, I am writing. Some authors used it to narrate historic events (Suddenly Caesar says this instead of Suddenly Caesar said this), and in that case it is called historic present.

b/ Imperfect tense: Continuous action in the past, I was writing. In some verbs it can be translated as if it were a perfect tense, like for instance I loved Lucretia instead of I was loving Lucretia, as the last translation would not sound natural.

c/ Future tense: The expected meaning: I will write.

d/ Perfect tense: The most important tense in Latin. It corresponds to two meanings in English: I have written and I wrote. So, it means a completed action in the past. Obviously, the translation by I have written will carry with it a meaning of action recently finished or an action the consequences of which are still being felt, while I wrote will just mean an action in the past. Latin will use the same verbal form to say today I have written and to say yesterday I wrote.

e/ Pluperfect tense: The expected meaning, I had written: an action that was already finished before another action took place.

f/ Future perfect: Not much used except in conditional clauses. It has the expected meaning: I will have written: an action will have been completed before another one takes place.
Given the mechanical way of producing the verbal forms in Latin, it will be very convenient that, from now on, we distribute the six verbal tenses in this way (as an example, we have included the corresponding 1st person sing. of the verb *TO WRITE*):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present-stem tenses</th>
<th>Perfect-stem tenses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Present</em></td>
<td><em>Perfect</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I WRITE</td>
<td>I HAVE WRITTEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I AM WRITING</td>
<td>I WROTE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Imperfect</em></td>
<td><em>Pluperfect</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I WAS WRITING</td>
<td>I HAD WRITTEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Future</em></td>
<td><em>Future perfect</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I WILL WRITE</td>
<td>I WILL HAVE WRITTEN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It will be observed that the tenses in the left-hand column are under the common heading of **Present-stem tenses**, while those in the right-hand column have **Perfect-stem tenses** as heading. This is related to the stem they use for their conjugation: the three tenses on the left-hand column will be formed on the same stem (the *present* stem), while the three on the right-hand column will be also formed on another common stem (the *perfect* stem).

### 3. The moods

The moods in Latin are these:

**a/ Indicative:** The mood used to express real facts; all the examples given above are in indicative. It has all the six tenses: present, imperfect, future, perfect, pluperfect and future perfect.

**b/ Imperative:** The mood used to give orders. It has only present and future tenses (therefore, the table above will be of no use for the imperative), and moreover the use of the future imperative is just restricted to some legal documents.

**c/ Subjunctive:** It is used for some special meanings, like for instance expressions of the kind *LET’S WRITE*, and in a lot of subordinates. It lacks both future tenses, so that its table is the same one as for indicative but without the two bottom boxes.

**d/ Infinitive:** One of the three impersonal moods. An infinitive is a verbal noun: *TO EAT*, *TO WRITE*, etc. It is the noun of an action. There are six infinitives: present, past (or perfect) and future for the active voice, and the same three ones for the passive voice.

**-important:** In spite of being a noun, infinitives do not decline.

**e/ Participle:** Another impersonal mood. If the infinitive is a verbal noun, the participle is a verbal adjective, and it qualifies a noun: *Video scribentem puerum* I SEE A BOY THAT WRITES. The difference with respect to the infinitive is that it lacks two of the six tenses, and it declines (remember: it is an adjective).
f/ Gerund: Another impersonal mood. It can be considered like the declension of the present active infinitive (which does not decline on itself), but it has only four cases. The similarity with the gerundive (the future passive participle is called the gerundive) makes the gerund very often confused with it, as the four forms of the gerund are identical to some forms of the gerundive. In fact this is why that participle is called gerundive: because of its similarity to the gerund.

g/ Supine: The last one of the impersonal moods. It has only two forms. It can also be considered a verbal noun, but its use is very restricted to two specific possibilities.

4. The voices

There are two voices in Latin:

a/ The active voice, used to express that something or somebody executes an action, for instance Caesar will write a book, The children have been playing, The army will fight tomorrow, Some senators killed Caesar, etc. In other words: there is a subject that performs an action. It should be noted, for further references, that if there is something or somebody that receives the action (... killed Caesar, ... write a book), the verb is called transitive, while if the action just takes place but there is no object or person receiving it (... have been playing, ... will fight tomorrow), the verb is called intransitive.

b/ The passive voice, used to express that something or somebody receives an action performed by somebody else, for instance The book will be written by Caesar, The bridge was destroyed by the soldiers.

c/ A curious characteristic of the Latin verbs is that some of them are conjugated in passive voice but have active meaning. For instance, the verb hortor to encourage has passive form, but active meaning. So, the sentence Caesar hortatur milites must be translated by Caesar encourages the soldiers, and NOT by Caesar is encouraged... More about this can be found in the corresponding chapter on deponent verbs (which is the name by which these verbs are called).

5. Formation of tenses

We offer here some basic information on the formation of tenses for indicative, but peculiarities applying to each conjugation will be seen further ahead in the individual presentation of each conjugation. With respect to the subjunctive, it will also be seen further ahead.

a) Active voice

The different tenses are formed by means of adding to the main stem the corresponding characteristic, called modal-temporal characteristic (although not all tenses have one), which will tell us what tense that verbal form is, and the personal ending, which will tell us whether the subject is he, you, we, etc. Moreover, some other letters called union vowels will have to be inserted in some tenses in order to avoid clashes of consonants when adding these several components. For instance: reg-e-ba-mus means we ruled: reg- is the stem that tells us the meaning of the verb, -ba-tells us that this is an imperfect indicative, and -mus is the personal ending that tells us that the subject is the 1st person plural (We). The -e- is a union vowel to avoid the clash of two consonants in reg-ba (although it would not have been difficult to pronounce regbamus, the tendency in the case of clash of consonants when forming verbal tenses was to put a union vowel in the middle).
1/ **Present tense:** Add the personal endings to the present stem. In some conjugations, a union vowel will be needed.

2/ **Imperfect tense:** Add the modal-temporal characteristic -ba- between the present stem and the personal endings. In some conjugations, a union vowel will be needed.

3/ **Future tense:** This is a more complicated tense: for some verbs, we must add a -b- between the present stem and the personal endings and moreover a union vowel will be needed, while for other verbs the modal-temporal characteristic is -a- for the 1st person and -e- for all the other ones. A complicated tense to form.

4/ **Perfect tense:** Add the personal endings to the perfect stem. BUT this tense has its own set of personal endings, different from those shared by the other five tenses.

5/ **Pluperfect tense:** After the perfect stem, add the modal-temporal characteristic -era- and the personal endings.

6/ **Future perfect:** After the perfect stem, add the modal-temporal characteristic -er- and the personal endings. A union vowel will be needed.

**Notes**

1/ With a slight variation in the 1st person singular, all of the tenses share the same personal endings except the perfect indicative, which has its own set of endings.

2/ Observe that the two top-of-column tenses (present and perfect) do not need any modal-temporal characteristic.

3/ Perfect and pluperfect never need any kind of union vowels. Future perfect always needs them, and the three left-hand tenses need them for some conjugations.

**b) Passive voice**

The present-stem tenses (the three left-hand tenses in the previous table) of the passive voice will be formed as in the active but using a different set of personal endings. Example: *rege-ba-mur* WE WERE RULED instead of *rege-ba-mus* WE RULED.

The perfect-stem tenses (the three right-hand tenses in the previous table) of the passive voice will be formed by means of a combination of a participle + a form of the verb *sum TO BE* that would be in the tense immediately on the left of the tense we want to form. Example: *recti eramus* WE HAD BEEN RULED: it is a pluperfect, so we take the participle *recti* (further ahead we will see how we obtain this participle) and add to it the form of the verb *sum TO BE* that would be in the tense on the left of it. A quick glance at the previous table will show that on the left of the pluperfect we find the imperfect, so we add to the participle the imperfect of *sum* (which in this case will be *eramus*).

**6. Formation of moods**

**a/ Subjunctive:** It will be formed using the same system as indicative, but the modal-temporal characteristics will be different from those ones used for the corresponding tenses in the indicative. Moreover, subjunctive lacks both future and future perfect, so it has only four tenses.

**b/ Imperative:** It has its own sets of personal endings, always based on the present stem. Imperative has two tenses: present and future, but the use of the future imperative is restricted to some legal documents.
c/ Infinitive: It is a noun, and is indeclinable. It has its own endings, and it will be observed that some of the infinitives are formed by means of a participle + the infinitive of the verb sum. Infinitives are formed from the present stem or the perfect stem, depending on which infinitive we need to form.

d/ Participle: It is an adjective, therefore it has adjectival endings, and it declines thoroughly. The model verbs conjugated in the following pages will show that most participles follow a 2-1-2 scheme, declining the same as bonus, -a, -um, while one of them follows the 3rd declension and declines like prudens, -entis. Some participles will be formed from the present stem and the addition of a suffix + adjectival endings, while others are formed from the supine.

e/ Gerund: It is based on the present stem, to which we will add the suffix -nd- and some 2nd declension endings.

f/ Supine: It is in fact one of the principal parts given with the other forms of the verb (see Point 8 further down). It has only got two forms.

Notes about infinitives and participles:
1/ Participles and infinitives will be presented by means of a table, like the tenses, but using this structure:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Past (or Perfect)</th>
<th>Future</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>[Present active]</td>
<td>[Past active]</td>
<td>[Future active]</td>
<td>♦ Obviously, in the case of participles the two boxes of Past active and Present passive will be empty.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive</td>
<td>[Present passive]</td>
<td>[Past passive]</td>
<td>[Future passive]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2/ During the presentation of the verbs, it will be observed that the participles are introduced first, as some of the infinitives are in fact formed by means of a participle + the infinitive of the verb sum, so, in order to learn how to form these infinitives we must learn first how to form the participles.

3/ Although the passive voice for the personal forms will not be introduced until the four regular conjugations have been presented in the active voice, we will introduce the active and passive participles and infinitives from the very beginning, as they way in which they are formed makes this all-at-once presentation very practical.

4/ The Past participle (or Past infinitive) is called also Perfect participle (or Perfect infinitive).

7. Types of verbs

a/ Latin verbs are divided into four conjugations:

- The 1st conjugation  stem in -a  amare  TO LOVE  (stem ama-)
- The 2nd conjugation  stem in -e  habere  TO HAVE  (stem habe-)
- The 3rd conjugation  stem in consonant  regere  TO RULE  (stem reg-)
- The mixed 3rd conjugation  stem in consonant  capere  TO TAKE  (stem cap-)
- The 4th conjugation  stem in -i  audire  TO HEAR  (stem audi-)

The mixed 3rd conjugation (also called conjugation in -i ) has a series of characteristics that makes its forms seem of a verb of the 4th conjugation, but in fact it is a sub-type of the 3rd one.
b/ Verbs belonging to the same conjugation will form their tenses in the same way. Moreover, differences between conjugations affect only the present-stem tenses (left-hand column): all the perfect-stem tenses (right-hand column) are formed in the same way, no matter to which conjugation the verb belongs (nevertheless, we will offer the whole forms of each conjugation for the sake of offering a whole template).

c/ Irregular verbs form a group apart, although most of them follow the parameters of the $3^{rd}$ conjugation.

8. Principal parts

a) What are the principal parts?

To be able to conjugate any form of a Latin verb, we must know four parts of that verb:
- The first person of the present tense indicative.
- The infinitive.
- The first person of the perfect indicative.
- The supine.

We will use the first two to identify the verb (i.e., to find out to which one of the four declensions the verb belongs) and to form any of the three present-stem tenses (the left-hand tenses in the table), and we will use the third part to form the perfect-stem tenses (the right-hand tenses in the table). The fourth form, called the supine, apart from being used on its own (the uses of the supine will be seen in the corresponding chapter), is also used to form participles, and let’s remember that we will use one of these participles to form the right-hand tenses of the passive voice.

Example of the principal parts of the verb TO LOVE: *amo, amare, amavi, amatum*
From the two first forms *amo, amare*, we can deduce that the verb belongs to the $1^{st}$ conjugation.

Example of the principal parts of the verb TO RULE: *rego, regere, rexi, rectum*
From the two first forms *rego, regere*, we can deduce that the verb belongs to the $3^{rd}$ conjugation.

b) How are the principal parts presented?

1/ As a general rule, dictionaries will not give the four words in whole, but shortened:

*amo, -are, -avi, -atum*

Just seeing the *amo, -are*, it is clear that the verb belongs to the $1^{st}$ conjugation, and if the other forms are presented only with the last letters it is clear that all one has to do is replace them on the same stem *ama*.

Even some dictionaries reach the point of writing *amo 1*, which would mean “Please follow the usual parameter of the $1^{st}$ conjugation”, that as we have seen is *-o, -are, -avi, -atum*. Nevertheless, this can be done only with those verbs of the $1^{st}$ and of the $4^{th}$ conjugation that do not present irregularities in their principal parts (quite a lot of them are regular) and a few verbs of the $2^{nd}$ conjugation also without alterations in their stem. A lot of verbs of the $2^{nd}$ conjugation and most of the $3^{rd}$ display alterations in their stems (observe for instance the unexpected *-x* - and the *-ct* - in the two last forms of *rego*).
2/ If any of the principal parts is irregular (i.e., if the stem suffers alterations), the whole word must be given. For instance, for the verb *rego*, the dictionary will say

\[ \text{rego, -ere, rexi, rectum} \]

Only the infinitive *regere* can be shortened: the two other forms, with those unexpected -x- and -c†-, must be given in full.

c) Irregular verbs

With respect to irregular verbs (verbs where the changes affect not only the stem but also the endings etc.), it is clear that all the principal parts must be given in full, but even with the principal parts it will not be enough: for instance, the present indicative will have to be learnt by heart, as it is irregular in all of them.

d) Lack of supine

It must be noted that not all verbs have a supine. So, some verbs will have only three principal parts, and as a general rule dictionaries indicate this absence with one or more hyphens:

\[ \text{disco, -ere, didici, --- TO LEARN} \]

Another method of indicating it is this one:

\[ \text{disco, -ere, didici (no supine) TO LEARN} \]
b) The four regular conjugations

1. The 1st conjugation

The study of the formation of all the forms of the 1st conjugation will be rather detailed and slow; after this, the study of the forms of the other conjugations will be just introducing some changes into the parameters of the 1st conjugation.

a) Principal parts

1/ The principal parts of a verb of the 1st conjugation will usually look like this:

  amo, amare, amavi, amatum  TO LOVE
  navigo, navigare, navigavi, navigatum  TO SAIL

But, as has been said in the previous chapter, dictionaries will not offer the whole words, usually they will present the verb in this way:

  amo, -are, -avi, -atum  TO LOVE
  navigo, -are, -avi, -atum  TO SAIL

Even sometimes a dictionary may just give amo (1), meaning that the verb belongs to the 1st conjugation and that it will follow the usual parameter -o, -are, -avi, -atum.

2/ Obviously, if there is any irregularity, the dictionary will make it clear, as for instance in the verb TO GIVE:

  do, dare, dedi, datum

The third form is not the expected davi, but dedi. So, the dictionary must make it clear.
b) Indicative mood

We will present in a table the forms of the six tenses of the indicative mood, and afterwards we will comment on some important matters from an analytical point of view that will apply also to the other conjugations (when they do not, it will be properly indicated when presenting the other conjugations).

We will use the verb *amo* as a paradigm: *amo, amare, amavi, amatum*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present-stem</th>
<th>Perfect-stem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Present</strong></td>
<td><strong>Perfect</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>am-o</td>
<td>amav-i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ama-s</td>
<td>amav-isti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ama-t</td>
<td>amav-imus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ama-mus</td>
<td>amav-istis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ama-tis</td>
<td>amav-erunt/ere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ama-nt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imperfect</th>
<th><strong>Pluperfect</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ama-ba-m</td>
<td>amav-era-m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ama-ba-s</td>
<td>amav-era-s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ama-ba-t</td>
<td>amav-era-t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ama-ba-mus</td>
<td>amav-era-mus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ama-ba-tis</td>
<td>amav-era-tis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ama-ba-nt</td>
<td>amav-era-nt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Future</th>
<th><strong>Future perfect</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ama-b-o</td>
<td>amav-er-o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ama-b-i-s</td>
<td>amav-er-i-s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ama-b-i-t</td>
<td>amav-er-i-t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ama-b-i-mus</td>
<td>amav-er-i-mus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ama-b-i-tis</td>
<td>amav-er-i-tis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ama-b-u-nt</td>
<td>amav-er-i-nt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Present-stem tenses**

(Left-hand side)

Note that all of them have as stem the infinitive minus the *re*: *amare > ama-*. This will apply also to subjunctive.

**Perfect stem tenses**

(Right-hand side)

Note that all of them have, as stem, the third principal part minus the *-i*: *amavi > amav-*. This will apply also to subjunctive.
ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS

- **Present:**
  Note that the first person loses the final -a- of the stem. The other persons are just the stem + personal endings.

- **Imperfect:**
  As simple as the stem + ba + personal endings, but note the ending -m instead of -o for the first person.
  The modal-temporal characteristic is what is added between the stem and the personal endings to identify the mood and the tense; in the case of imperfect indicative, it is -ba-.

- **Future:**
  *Stem + b + personal endings* (again -o for the first person).
  The problem is that, except in the first person, in the other persons the consonant -b- would clash with the consonant of the personal ending, and we must add a union vowel, which is -i-, except for the 3rd plural, which is -u-.

- **Perfect:**
  *Stem + personal endings*, but this tense has a special set of personal endings (valid only for this tense and only in the indicative), and observe that the 3rd plural can also be -ere instead of -erunt (but -erunt is much more frequent).
  In some cases we can find shortened forms, like amasti instead of amavisti: the -vi- has been skipped. This is valid for all conjugations.

- **Pluperfect:**
  *Stem + era + personal endings* (observe again -m in the 1st person).
  As in the perfect, we can find shortened forms, like amarant instead of amaverant: the -ve- is skipped.

- **Future perfect:**
  *Stem + er + personal endings*.
  But again, except in the 1st singular, we have the problem of consonant clashing with another consonant, so we add again a union vowel, which in this case is -i-, even for the 3rd plural.
c) Subjunctive mood

In this mood we do not offer any translation, as it would depend on the use of the subjunctive in each specific sentence:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present-stem</th>
<th>Perfect-stem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Perfect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>am-e-m</td>
<td>amav-eri-m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>am-e-s</td>
<td>amav-eri-s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>am-e-t</td>
<td>amav-eri-t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>am-e-mus</td>
<td>amav-eri-mus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>am-e-tis</td>
<td>amav-eri-tis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>am-e-nt</td>
<td>amav-eri-nt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imperfect</td>
<td>Pluperfect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ama-re-m</td>
<td>amav-isse-m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ama-re-s</td>
<td>amav-isse-s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ama-re-t</td>
<td>amav-isse-t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ama-re-mus</td>
<td>amav-isse-mus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ama-re-tis</td>
<td>amav-isse-tis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ama-re-nt</td>
<td>amav-isse-nt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Present:
  All persons lose the final -a- of the stem before attaching the modal-temporal characteristic -e- (the present subjunctive has modal-temporal characteristic, the present indicative has none).

- Perfect:
  The modal-temporal characteristic is -eri-, which means that the final result coincides with the future perfect indicative except for the first person singular.

- Imperfect:
  The modal-temporal characteristic is -re-, which makes the final result look like the infinitive + personal endings.

- Pluperfect:
  The modal-temporal characteristic is -isse-.

- Observe the lack of the two future tenses.
- As in the indicative, we can find shortened forms: amassem instead of amavissem, etc.

d) Imperative mood

1/ The present imperative has only two forms: 2nd person singular and 2nd person plural.

The 2nd singular is just the present stem, without anything else: *ama*

- Romam *ama*  LOVE ROME!
- Audaciter *pugna*  FIGHT BRAVELY!
  - Orders given to one person.

The 2nd plural is the present stem + te: *ama-te*

- Romam *amate*  LOVE ROME!
- Audaciter *pugnate*  FIGHT BRAVELY!
  - Orders given to two or more people.

2/ There is a future imperative in Latin, but its use is restricted to some formal legal documents. It will not be presented in this grammar.
e) Participles

Although the passive voice for the indicative, subjunctive and imperative will be introduced further ahead, it is customary to present all the possible participles together, both the active and the passive ones. The same is done with respect to infinitives in the next section.

Of six possible participles, there are only four. The translations given here are in fact “forced” and they have been included with the mere purpose of offering an approximate idea (remember that a participle is an adjective).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Past (or Perfect)</th>
<th>Future</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>ama-ns, -ntis</td>
<td>non-existent</td>
<td>amat-ur-us, -a, -um</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LOVING, THAT LOVES</td>
<td></td>
<td>THAT IS ABOUT TO LOVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive</td>
<td>non-existent</td>
<td>amat-us, -a, -um</td>
<td>ama-nd-us, -a, -um</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>THAT HAS BEEN LOVED</td>
<td>THAT MUST BE LOVED</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS**

- **Present active participle:** It is formed from the present stem. It is the only one declined through the 3rd declension, because it is in fact an adjective of one ending like prudens, -entis. The three other ones follow the -us, -a, -um scheme.

  **Note**

  The ablative singular ending will be -i, amanti, if used as simple adjective, but -e, amante, if it has an object or it is used as a noun.

- **Future active and perfect passive participles:** They are formed from the supine.

- **Future passive participle:** It is formed from the present stem, and is usually called gerundive. It has been introduced here as the future passive participle just to show its place with respect to the other participles.

  A way of memorising which stem must be taken to form each of them is by realising that those that share the same stem are in opposite corners (just skip the two empty boxes): present active and future passive, in diagonally across position, are formed on the present stem, and perfect passive and future active, also diagonally across, are formed on the supine.
f) Infinitives

The infinitive is a verbal noun, not an adjective. There are six (remember that, in the table of participles, two are missing), and some of them are formed by means of a participle + the infinitive of the verb *sum*. Again, the translations are just orientative.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Past (or Perfect)</th>
<th>Future</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>ama-re</td>
<td>amav-isse</td>
<td>amat-ur-um, -am, -um esse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TO LOVE</td>
<td>TO HAVE LOVED</td>
<td>TO BE ABOUT TO LOVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive</td>
<td>ama-ri</td>
<td>amat-um, -am, -um esse</td>
<td>amat-um iri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TO BE LOVED</td>
<td>TO HAVE BEEN LOVED</td>
<td>TO HAVE TO BE LOVED</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS**

- With respect to the formation, observe that two of them, the present active and present passive, are based on the present stem; one of them, the past (or perfect) active, on the perfect stem (nouns "present" and "perfect" seem to match well up to now); the future active and the perfect passive are in fact *the corresponding participles + esse*; and the future passive is the *supine + iri*.

- The future active and the perfect passive infinitives are usually used with their participial component in accusative (syntax will later show why); this is why they have been presented as -um, -am, -um instead of -us, -a, -um. In some cases (it depends on the kind of sentence, this will be seen in the corresponding chapter) they are used in nominative with the endings -us, -a, -um, but their use in accusative is so much more common that it justifies introducing them in the accusative form. And obviously they have also their corresponding plural forms -os, -as, -a + esse (again, in the nominative -i, -ae, -a + esse in some cases).

- The perfect active has that -isse form that makes it resemble a pluperfect subjunctive, take care not to confuse it. And, as expected, we can find shortened forms: amasse instead of amavisse. This is valid for all conjugations.

- The future passive is hardly ever used.
g) Gerund and supine

1/ The gerund: It can be considered the declension of the present active infinitive, and therefore it is a verbal noun. It has only four cases, and it is formed, like the gerundive, from the present stem + nd. As usual, the translations are just approximative, in the corresponding section on their use more accurate examples will be given.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Form</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acc.</td>
<td>ama-nd-um</td>
<td>TO LOVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen.</td>
<td>ama-nd-i</td>
<td>OF LOVING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dat.</td>
<td>ama-nd-o</td>
<td>FOR LOVING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abl.</td>
<td>ama-nd-o</td>
<td>(BY) LOVING</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

◊ Note that these forms are identical to some forms of the gerundive (future passive participle).

2/ The supine: It is another verbal noun, and it has only two possible forms:

- amatum (the fourth principal part)
- amatu (the same, but without the final -m)

We do not offer any translation for the supine, as they are restricted to two specific uses and a forced translation here could lead to confusion, as it would coincide with some of the translations given for the gerund.

Some common verbs of the 1st conjugation

(unless it is indicated otherwise, they follow the usual scheme -o, -are, -avi, -atum)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Latin</th>
<th>English</th>
<th>English</th>
<th>English</th>
<th>English</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>adiuvo, -are, adiuvti, adiutum</td>
<td>TO HELP</td>
<td>habito</td>
<td>TO DWELL</td>
<td>pugno</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ambulo</td>
<td>TO WALK</td>
<td>impero</td>
<td>TO ORDER</td>
<td>puto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>appropinquo</td>
<td>TO APPROACH</td>
<td>intro</td>
<td>TO ENTER</td>
<td>rogo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clamo</td>
<td>TO SHOUT</td>
<td>laboro</td>
<td>TO WORK</td>
<td>servo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cogito</td>
<td>TO THINK</td>
<td>laudo</td>
<td>TO PRAISE</td>
<td>specto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>desidero</td>
<td>TO DESIRE</td>
<td>libero</td>
<td>TO LIBERATE</td>
<td>sto, stare, steti, statum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>do, dare, dedi, datum</td>
<td>TO GIVE</td>
<td>muto</td>
<td>TO CHANGE</td>
<td>supero</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dubito</td>
<td>TO HESITATE</td>
<td>navigo</td>
<td>TO SAIL</td>
<td>voco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>erro</td>
<td>TO WANDER</td>
<td>neco</td>
<td>TO KILL</td>
<td>vulnero</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exspecto</td>
<td>TO AWAIT</td>
<td>nuntio</td>
<td>TO ANNOUNCE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>festino</td>
<td>TO HURRY</td>
<td>paro</td>
<td>TO PREPARE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. The 2\textsuperscript{nd} conjugation

a) Principal parts

A verb of the 2\textsuperscript{nd} conjugation will usually have its four principal parts looking like this:

\begin{align*}
\text{habeo, habere, habui, habitum} & \quad \text{T O H A V E} \\
\text{moneo, monere, monui, monitum} & \quad \text{T O W A R N}
\end{align*}

Although quite regular, verbs of the 2\textsuperscript{nd} conjugation are not as regular as those of the 1\textsuperscript{st} one and not all of them follow this parameter -o, -ere, -ui, -itum without any alteration, so that it is very common that the dictionary shortens only the infinitive form while giving the two other ones in their whole form, as for example with the verb TO LAUGH AT:

\begin{align*}
\text{irrideo, -ere, irrisi, irrisum}
\end{align*}

b) Indicative mood

We will use the verb moneo as a paradigm: moneo, monere, monui, monitum.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present-stem</th>
<th>Perfect-stem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Perfect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mone-o</td>
<td>mon-u-i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mone-s</td>
<td>monu-isti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mone-t</td>
<td>monu-it</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mone-mus</td>
<td>monu-imus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mone-tis</td>
<td>monu-istis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mone-nt</td>
<td>monu-erunt/ere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imperfect</td>
<td>Pluperfect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mone-ba-m</td>
<td>monu-er-a-m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mone-ba-s</td>
<td>monu-er-a-s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mone-ba-t</td>
<td>monu-er-a-t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mone-ba-mus</td>
<td>monu-er-a-mus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mone-ba-tis</td>
<td>monu-er-a-tis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mone-ba-nt</td>
<td>monu-er-a-nt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future</td>
<td>Future perfect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mone-b-o</td>
<td>monu-er-o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mone-b-i-s</td>
<td>monu-er-i-s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mone-b-i-t</td>
<td>monu-er-i-t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mone-b-i-mus</td>
<td>monu-er-i-mus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mone-b-i-tis</td>
<td>monu-er-i-tis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mone-b-u-nt</td>
<td>monu-er-i-nt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(\text{\textdegree\textnormal{ recommended}}\) In the present tense, the first person keeps the -e- of the stem (in the 1\textsuperscript{st} conjugation, the -a- of the stem was lost).
c) Subjunctive mood

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present-stem</th>
<th>Perfect-stem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Perfect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mone-a-m</td>
<td>monu-eri-m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mone-a-s</td>
<td>monu-eri-s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mone-a-t</td>
<td>monu-eri-t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mone-a-mus</td>
<td>monu-eri-mus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mone-a-tis</td>
<td>monu-eri-tis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mone-a-nt</td>
<td>monu-eri-nt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imperfect</td>
<td>Pluperfect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mone-re-m</td>
<td>monu-isse-m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mone-re-s</td>
<td>monu-isse-s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mone-re-t</td>
<td>monu-isse-t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mone-re-mus</td>
<td>monu-isse-mus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mone-re-tis</td>
<td>monu-isse-tis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mone-re-nt</td>
<td>monu-isse-nt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

✧ The only difference with respect to the 1st conjugation is that the present tense does not lose the final vowel of the stem and the modal-temporal characteristic is -a- instead of -e-...

✧... and it will also be -a- for the other conjugations, in fact it is -e- only for the 1st conjugation.

d) Imperative mood

2nd singular mone WARN!
2nd plural mone-te WARN!

✧ No formation differences with respect to the 1st conjugation.

e) Participles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Past</th>
<th>Future</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>mone-ns, -ntis</td>
<td>non-existent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WARNING, THAT WARNS</td>
<td>THAT IS ABOUT TO WARN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive</td>
<td>non-existent</td>
<td>monit-us, -a, -um</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>THAT HAS BEEN WARNED</td>
<td>THAT MUST BE WARNED</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

✧ No formation differences with respect to the 1st conjugation.
f) Infinitives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Active</th>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Past</th>
<th>Future</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mone-re</td>
<td>monu-isse</td>
<td>monit-ur-um, -am, -um esse</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TO WARN</td>
<td>TO HAVE WARNED</td>
<td>TO BE ABOUT TO WARN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Passive</th>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Past</th>
<th>Future</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mone-ri</td>
<td>monit-um, -am, -um esse</td>
<td>monit-um iri</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TO BE WARNED</td>
<td>TO HAVE BEEN WARNED</td>
<td>TO HAVE TO BE WARNED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

✧ No formation differences with respect to the 1st conjugation.

g) Gerund and supine

Gerund Supine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Acc.</th>
<th>Gen.</th>
<th>Dat.</th>
<th>Abl.</th>
<th>TO WARN</th>
<th>monitum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mone-nd-um</td>
<td>mone-nd-i</td>
<td>mone-nd-o</td>
<td>mone-nd-o</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OF WARNING</td>
<td>FOR WARNING</td>
<td>(BY) WARNING</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

✧ No formation differences with respect to the 1st conjugation.

Some common verbs of the 2nd conjugation

debeo, -ere, debui, debitum | TO HAVE TO, TO OWE | persuadeo, -ere, persuasi, persusum | TO PERSUADE |
| deleo, -ere, delevi, deletum | TO DESTROY | praebeo, -ere, praebui, praebitum | TO OFFER |
| doceo, -ere, docui, doctum | TO TEACH | prohibo, -ere, prohibui, prohibitum | TO PREVENT |
| habeo, -ere, habui, habitum | TO HAVE (possession) | respondeo, -ere, respondi, responsum | TO ANSWER |
| invideo, -ere, invidi, invisum | TO ENvy | teneo, -ere, tenui, ----- | TO HOLD |
| iubeo, -ere, iussi, iussum | TO ORDER | terreo, -ere, terrui, territum | TO FRIGHTEN |
| maneo, -ere, mansi, mansum | TO REMAIN | timeo, -ere, timui, ----- | TO FEAR |
| moneo, -ere, monui, monitum | TO WARN | video, -ere, vidi, visum | TO SEE |
| moveo, -ere, movi, motum | TO MOVE |

3. The 3rd conjugation

a) Principal parts

1/ A verb of the 3rd conjugation will have its four principal parts looking like this:

duco, ducere, duxi, ductum | TO LEAD |
regre, regere, rexi, rectum | TO RULE |

Observe that while verbs of the 2nd conjugation have their first two forms -eo, -ere, verbs of the 3rd have them -o, -ere: observe the lack of -e- in the first person.
The third and fourth forms of verbs of the 3rd conjugation are almost always given, as almost always they have irregular and hardly predictable changes in their consonants.

2/ The main characteristic of the 3rd conjugation is that, to form the present-stem tenses, we start as usual, by taking the infinitive and removing -re; but verbs of the 3rd conjugation remove also the remaining -e-, so that in fact it is like removing the whole -ere: reg-e-re > reg-; this will make the stem end almost always in a consonant, with the consequent need of union vowels to avoid clashes of consonant + consonant.

b) Indicative mood

We will use the verb rego as a paradigm: rego, regere, rexi, rectum.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present-stem</th>
<th>Perfect-stem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Present</strong></td>
<td><strong>Perfect</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reg-o</td>
<td>rex-i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reg-i-s</td>
<td>rex-isti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reg-i-t</td>
<td>rex-it</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reg-i-mus</td>
<td>rex-imus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reg-i-tis</td>
<td>rex-istis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reg-u-nt</td>
<td>rex-erunt/ere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Imperfect</strong></td>
<td><strong>Pluperfect</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reg-e-ba-m</td>
<td>rex-era-m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reg-e-ba-s</td>
<td>rex-era-s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reg-e-ba-t</td>
<td>rex-era-t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reg-e-ba-mus</td>
<td>rex-era-mus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reg-e-ba-tis</td>
<td>rex-era-tis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reg-e-ba-nt</td>
<td>rex-era-nt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Future</strong></td>
<td><strong>Future perfect</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reg-a-m</td>
<td>rex-er-o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reg-e-s</td>
<td>rex-er-i-s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reg-e-t</td>
<td>rex-er-i-t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reg-e-mus</td>
<td>rex-er-i-mus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reg-e-tis</td>
<td>rex-er-i-tis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reg-e-nt</td>
<td>rex-er-i-nt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note**

From the 2nd singular on, it may look like a present of the 2nd conjugation (habes, habet, ...); so, we must always be sure of which conjugation the verb belongs to in order to be sure which tense we are dealing with.
c) Subjunctive mood

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present-stem</th>
<th>Perfect-stem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Perfect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reg-a-m</td>
<td>rex-eri-m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reg-a-s</td>
<td>rex-eri-s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reg-a-t</td>
<td>rex-eri-t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reg-a-mus</td>
<td>rex-eri-mus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reg-a-tis</td>
<td>rex-eri-tis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reg-a-nt</td>
<td>rex-eri-nt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Present:**
- The 1st person coincides with the future indicative.

**Imperfect:**
- The union vowel is -e-, which makes it look like a verb of the 2nd conjugation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imperfect</th>
<th>Pluperfect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>reg-e-re-m</td>
<td>rex-isse-m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reg-e-re-s</td>
<td>rex-isse-s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reg-e-re-t</td>
<td>rex-isse-t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reg-e-re-mus</td>
<td>rex-isse-mus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reg-e-re-tis</td>
<td>rex-isse-tis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reg-e-re-nt</td>
<td>rex-isse-nt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present-stem</th>
<th>Perfect-stem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Perfect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reg-e-re-n</td>
<td>rex-isse-n</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Imperfect:**
- The union vowel is -e-, which makes it look like a verb of the 2nd conjugation.


d) Imperative mood

**2nd singular**
- present stem + e: reg-e **RULE!**
  - The addition of this -e- makes it look like an imperative of the 2nd conjugation.

**2nd plural**
- present stem + i-te: reg-i-te **RULE!**
  - The -i- is a union vowel.

e) Participles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Past</th>
<th>Future</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>reg-e-ns, -ntis</td>
<td>non-existent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RULING, THAT RULES</td>
<td>THAT IS ABOUT TO RULE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive</td>
<td>non-existent</td>
<td>rect-us, -a, -um</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>THAT HAS BEEN RULED</td>
<td>THAT MUST BE RULED</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Observe that the present active and the future passive add an -e- as the union vowel after the stem, which makes them look like their equivalents of the 2nd conjugation.
f) Infinitives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Past</th>
<th>Future</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Active</strong></td>
<td>reg-e-re</td>
<td>rex-isse</td>
<td>rect-ur-um, -am, -um esse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TO RULE</td>
<td></td>
<td>TO HAVE RULED</td>
<td>TO BE ABOUT TO RULE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Passive</strong></td>
<td>reg-i</td>
<td>rect-um, -am, -um esse</td>
<td>rect-um iri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TO BE RULED</td>
<td></td>
<td>TO HAVE BEEN RULED</td>
<td>TO HAVE TO BE RULED</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

✿ Observe that the present passive is really special: while verbs of the 1st and 2nd conjugation modified their -re into -ri, verbs of the 3rd conjugation replace the whole -ere ending by only an -i; this makes them difficult to recognise.

g) Gerund and supine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gerund</th>
<th>Supine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Acc.</strong></td>
<td>reg-e-nd-um</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gen.</strong></td>
<td>reg-e-nd-i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dat.</strong></td>
<td>reg-e-nd-o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Abl.</strong></td>
<td>reg-e-nd-o</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

✿ Observe the union vowel -e-: again, it makes it look as if it were of the 2nd conjugation.

Some common verbs of the 3rd conjugation

| aggo, -ere, egi, actum | TO LEAD, TO DO | ludo, -ere, lusi, lusum | TO PLAY |
| cado, -ere, cecidi, ---- | TO FALL | mitto, -ere, misi, missum | TO SEND |
| cognosco, -ere, cognovi, cognitum | TO BECOME ACQUAINTED | occido, -ere, occidi, occisum | TO KILL |
| credo, -ere, credidi, creditum | TO BELIEVE | ostendo, -ere, ostendi, ostentum | TO SHOW |
| curro, -ere, cucurri, cursum | TO RUN | There is also the verb ostento, -are | with more or less the same meaning. |
| defendo, -ere, defendi, defensus | TO DEFEND | | |
| dico, -ere, dixi, dictum | TO SAY | pello, -ere, pepuli, pulsum | TO PUSH |
| discedo, -ere, discussi, discussum | TO DEPART | peto, -ere, petivi, petitum | TO STRIVE TO, TO ASK FOR |
| disco, -ere, didici, ---- | TO LEARN | pono, -ere, posui, positum | TO PUT |
| duco, -ere, duxi, ductum | TO LEAD | relinquo, -ere, reliqui, rectum | TO LEAVE BEHIND |
| gero, -ere, gessi, gestum | TO DO | scribo, -ere, scripsi, scriptum | TO WRITE |
| lego, -ere, legi, lectum | TO READ | vinco, -ere, vici, victum | TO CONQUER |
4. The 4th conjugation

a) Principal parts

A verb of the 4th conjugation will have its four principal parts looking like this:

- audīo, audīre, audīvi, audītum  
  TO HEAR, TO LISTEN
- dormīo, dormīre, dormīvi, dormītum  
  TO SLEEP

This conjugation is rather regular, and this parameter -io, -ire, -ivi, -itum is kept by most of its verbs. As usual, any irregular form will have to be given complete when giving the main parts:

- venīo, -ire, veni, ventum  
  TO COME

b) Indicative mood

We will use the verb audīo as a paradigm: audīo, -ire, -ivi, -itum.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present-stem</th>
<th>Perfect-stem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Present</strong></td>
<td><strong>Perfect</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>audī-o</td>
<td>audīv-i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>audī-s</td>
<td>audīv-isti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>audī-t</td>
<td>audīv-it</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>audī-mus</td>
<td>audīv-imus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>audī-tis</td>
<td>audīv-istis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>audī-u-nt</td>
<td>audīv-erunt/ere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Imperfect</strong></td>
<td><strong>Pluperfect</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>audī-e-ba-m</td>
<td>audīv-era-m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>audī-e-ba-s</td>
<td>audīv-era-s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>audī-e-ba-t</td>
<td>audīv-era-t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>audī-e-ba-mus</td>
<td>audīv-era-mus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>audī-e-ba-tis</td>
<td>audīv-era-tis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>audī-e-ba-nt</td>
<td>audīv-era-nt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Future</strong></td>
<td><strong>Future perfect</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>audī-a-m</td>
<td>audīv-er-o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>audī-e-s</td>
<td>audīv-er-i-s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>audī-e-t</td>
<td>audīv-er-i-t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>audī-e-mus</td>
<td>audīv-er-i-mus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>audī-e-tis</td>
<td>audīv-er-i-tis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>audī-e-nt</td>
<td>audīv-er-i-nt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

☐ Present:

The union vowel -u- for the last form is compulsory, although in fact there is no clash between consonants.

☐ Imperfect:

The same with the union vowel -e- for all forms: it is phonetically unnecessary but compulsory.

☐ Future:

As in the 3rd conjugation, the modal-temporal characteristic is -a- for the 1st person and -e- for the other persons. Being itself a vowel, no union vowels are needed.
c) Subjunctive mood

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present-stem</th>
<th>Perfect-stem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Perfect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>audi-a-m</td>
<td>audiv-eri-m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>audi-a-s</td>
<td>audiv-eri-s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>audi-a-t</td>
<td>audiv-eri-t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>audi-a-mus</td>
<td>audiv-eri-mus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>audi-a-tis</td>
<td>audiv-eri-tis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>audi-a-nt</td>
<td>audiv-eri-nt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imperfect</td>
<td>Pluperfect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>audi-re-m</td>
<td>audiv-isse-m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>audi-re-s</td>
<td>audiv-isse-s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>audi-re-t</td>
<td>audiv-isse-t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>audi-re-mus</td>
<td>audiv-isse-mus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>audi-re-tis</td>
<td>audiv-isse-tis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>audi-re-nt</td>
<td>audiv-isse-nt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

d) Imperative mood

2nd singular  audi  HEAR!
2nd plural     audi-te  HEAR!

e) Participles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Past</th>
<th>Future</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>audi-e-ns, -ntis</td>
<td>non-existent</td>
<td>audit-ur-us, -a, -um</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HEARING, THAT HEARS</td>
<td>THAT HAS BEEN HEARD</td>
<td>THAT MUST BE HEARD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive</td>
<td>non-existent</td>
<td>audit-us, -a, -um</td>
<td>audi-e-nd-us, -a, -um</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>THAT HAS BEEN HEARD</td>
<td>THAT MUST BE HEARD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

✧ Observe that, although phonetically it wouldn’t be necessary, the present active and the future passive add an -e- as the union vowel after the stem.
f) Infinitives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Past</th>
<th>Future</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Active</strong></td>
<td>audi-re</td>
<td>audiv-isse</td>
<td>audit-ur-um, -am, -um esse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TO HEAR</td>
<td>TO HAVE HEARD</td>
<td>TO BE ABOUT TO HEAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Passive</strong></td>
<td>audi-ri</td>
<td>audit-um, -am, -um esse</td>
<td>audit-um iri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TO BE HEARD</td>
<td>TO HAVE BEEN HEARD</td>
<td>TO HAVE TO BE HEARD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

g) Gerund and supine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gerund</th>
<th>Supine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acc.</td>
<td>audi-e-nd-um</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen.</td>
<td>audi-e-nd-i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dat.</td>
<td>audi-e-nd-o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abl.</td>
<td>audi-e-nd-o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>auditum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>auditu</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<typename>Observe the phonetically unnecessary union vowel -e- again.</typename>

Some common verbs of the 4<sup>th</sup> conjugation

dormio, -ire, dormivi, dormitum | TO SLEEP |
| punio, -ire, punivi, punitum  | TO PUNISH |
| invenio, -ire, inveni, inventum | TO FIND |
| scio, -ire, scivi, scitum     | TO KNOW  |
| nescio, -ire, nescivi, nescitum | NOT TO KNOW |
| sentio, -ire, sensi, sensum   | TO PERCEIVE |
| pervenio, -ire, perveni, perventum | TO ARRIVE |
| venio, -ire, veni, ventum     | TO COME   |

5. The mixed conjugation

The mixed conjugation is a sub-group of the 3<sup>rd</sup> conjugation, but the attachment of an -i- at the end of the present stem makes most of its forms look like those of the 4<sup>th</sup>.

a) Principal parts

1/ A verb of the mixed conjugation will have its four principal parts looking like this:

```plaintext
capio, capere, cepi, captum    TO CAPTURE
facio, facere, feci, factum    TO MAKE, TO DO
```

As any verb of the 3<sup>rd</sup> conjugation (of which this is a sub-group), the 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> principal parts will usually be irregular and difficult to predict and will have to be supplied by the dictionary (observe for instance the change of -a- into -e- in these two examples).
2/ The main characteristic of the mixed conjugation is that, after removing the -ere from the infinitive to form the present-stem tenses, we add an -i- (except in the imperfect subjunctive), which makes this verb look like a verb of the 4th conjugation: capere > cap-i-, like audire > audi-. The only difference is that the -i- of the 4th conjugation belongs to the stem, while that of the 3rd conjugation has been added (and in fact it is phonetically shorter).

b) Indicative mood

We will use the verb capio as a paradigm: capio, -ere, cepi, captum.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present-stem</th>
<th>Perfect-stem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Present</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cap-i-o</td>
<td>cep-i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cap-i-s</td>
<td>cep-isti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cap-i-t</td>
<td>cep-it</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cap-i-mus</td>
<td>cep-imus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cap-i-tis</td>
<td>cep-istis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cap-i-u-nt</td>
<td>cep-erunt, -ere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Imperfect</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cap-i-e-ba-m</td>
<td>cep-eram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cap-i-e-ba-s</td>
<td>cep-er-i-s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cap-i-e-ba-t</td>
<td>cep-er-i-t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cap-i-e-ba-mus</td>
<td>cep-er-i-mus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cap-i-e-ba-tis</td>
<td>cep-er-i-tis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cap-i-e-ba-nt</td>
<td>cep-er-i-nt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Future</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cap-i-a-m</td>
<td>cep-er-o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cap-i-e-s</td>
<td>cep-er-i-s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cap-i-e-t</td>
<td>cep-er-i-t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cap-i-e-mus</td>
<td>cep-er-i-mus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cap-i-e-tis</td>
<td>cep-er-i-tis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cap-i-e-nt</td>
<td>cep-er-i-nt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Present:**
  As in the 4th conjugation, the union vowel -u- for the last form is compulsory, although there is no clash between consonants.

- **Imperfect:**
  The same with the union vowel -e- for all forms: it is phonetically unnecessary but it must be included.
c) Subjunctive mood

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present-stem</th>
<th>Perfect-stem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Present</strong></td>
<td><strong>Perfect</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cap-i-a-m</td>
<td>cep-eri-m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cap-i-a-s</td>
<td>cep-eri-s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cap-i-a-t</td>
<td>cep-eri-t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cap-i-a-mus</td>
<td>cep-eri-mus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cap-i-a-tis</td>
<td>cep-eri-tis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cap-i-a-nt</td>
<td>cep-eri-nt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imperfect</th>
<th>Pluperfect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cap-e-re-m</td>
<td>cep-isse-m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cap-e-re-s</td>
<td>cep-isse-s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cap-e-re-t</td>
<td>cep-isse-t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cap-e-re-mus</td>
<td>cep-isse-mus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cap-e-re-tis</td>
<td>cep-isse-tis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cap-e-re-nt</td>
<td>cep-isse-nt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

✧ Note that the imperfect subjunctive replaces the -i- by an -e-, and with this we go on with the rule of the imperfect subjunctive being like the infinitive + personal endings.

d) Imperative mood

Like the imperative of the normal 3rd conjugation:

2nd singular  present stem + e: **cap-e** CAPTURE!

✧ Observe that in the imperative singular we do not add the -i-

2nd plural  present stem + i-te: **cap-i-te** CAPTURE!

e) Participles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Past</th>
<th>Future</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td><strong>cap-i-e-ns</strong>, -ntis CAPTURING, THAT CAPTURES</td>
<td>non-existent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive</td>
<td>non-existent</td>
<td><strong>capt-us</strong>, -a, -um THAT HAS BEEN CAPTURED</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

✧ Observe that, although phonetically it would not be necessary, the present active and the future passive add an -e- as the union vowel after the stem, apart from the expected -i- in this sub-group.
f) Infinitives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Active</th>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Past</th>
<th>Future</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cap-e-re</td>
<td>cep-isse</td>
<td>capt-ur-um, -am, -um esse</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TO CAPTURE</td>
<td>TO HAVE CAPTURED</td>
<td>TO BE ABOUT TO CAPTURE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Passive</th>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Past</th>
<th>Future</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cap-i</td>
<td>capt-um, -am, -um esse</td>
<td>capt-um iri</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TO BE CAPTURED</td>
<td>TO HAVE BEEN CAPTURED</td>
<td>TO HAVE TO BE CAPTURED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

g) Gerund and supine

**Gerund**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Form</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acc.</td>
<td>cap-i-e-nd-um</td>
<td>TO CAPTURE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen.</td>
<td>cap-i-e-nd-i</td>
<td>OF CAPTURING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dat.</td>
<td>cap-i-e-nd-o</td>
<td>FOR CAPTURING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abl.</td>
<td>cap-i-e-nd-o</td>
<td>(BY) CAPTURING</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Supine**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Form</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>captum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>captu</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Observe the phonetically unnecessary union vowel -e- again, apart from the expected -i- in this sub-group.*

Some common verbs of the mixed conjugation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Active</th>
<th>Supine</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>accipio, -ere, accepi, acceptum</td>
<td>iacio, -ere, ieci, iactum</td>
<td>TO RECEIVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>conspicio, -ere, conspexi, conspectum</td>
<td>incipio, -ere, incepi, inceptum</td>
<td>TO LOOK AT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cupio, -ere, cupivi, cupidum</td>
<td>interficio, -ere, interfeci, interfectum</td>
<td>TO DESIRE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>facio, -ere, feci, factum</td>
<td>suscipio, -ere, suscepi, susceptum</td>
<td>TO DO, TO MAKE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fugio, -ere, fugi, -----</td>
<td>TO FLEE</td>
<td>TO THROW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TO BEGIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TO KILL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TO UNDERTAKE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
c) The passive voice

1. Present-stem tenses

a) Main characteristics

The present-stem tenses of the passive voice are formed by using a different set of endings:

\[ \not\] instead of the usual -o/-m, -s, -t, -mus, -tis, -nt ...

... we will use -(o)r, -ris/-re, -tur, -mur, -mini, -ntur \( \uparrow \) 2nd singular: -ris is more frequent than -re.

The modal-temporal characteristics etc. are identical to those of the active voice.

b) 1st conjugation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicative</th>
<th>Subjunctive</th>
<th>Imperative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>am-or</td>
<td>I AM LOVED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ama-ris</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ama-tur</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ama-mur</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ama-mini</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ama-ntur</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imperfect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ama-ba-r</td>
<td>I WAS BEING LOVED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ama-ba-ris</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ama-ba-tur</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ama-ba-mur</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ama-ba-mini</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ama-ba-ntur</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ama-b-or</td>
<td>I WILL BE LOVED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ama-b-e-ris</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ama-b-i-tur</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ama-b-i-mur</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ama-b-i-mini</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ama-b-u-ntur</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Additional observations

1/ For reasons of space, we introduce the present-stem tenses of both indicative and subjunctive side by side, but bear in mind that both columns are in fact “left-hand columns” in their respective whole tables.

2/ Remember that passive participles and infinitives have already been introduced together with the active ones.

3/ Note the alternation -or/-r in the 1st singular, depending on whether there is already a previous vowel or not.

4/ Difference with respect to the active voice: the union vowel in the 2nd singular of the future is -e-, not -i- (ama-b-e-ris, NOT ama-b-i-ris).

5/ With respect to the imperative, it should be noted that the singular form is identical to the present active infinitive (remember that, in the active voice, singular does not use any ending), and that the plural form is identical to the 2nd plural of the present indicative.

**c) 2nd conjugation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicative</th>
<th>Subjunctive</th>
<th>Imperative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Present</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mone-or</td>
<td>I AM WARNED</td>
<td>mone-re</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mone-ris</td>
<td></td>
<td>BE WARNED!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mone-tur</td>
<td></td>
<td>(sing.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mone-mur</td>
<td>mone-a-mur</td>
<td>BE WARNED!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mone-mini</td>
<td>mone-a-mini</td>
<td>(plural)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mone-ntur</td>
<td>mone-a-ntur</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Imperfect</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mone-ba-r</td>
<td>I WAS BEING WARNED</td>
<td>mone-re-r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mone-ba-ris</td>
<td></td>
<td>mone-re-ris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mone-ba-tur</td>
<td>mone-re-tur</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mone-ba-mur</td>
<td>mone-re-mur</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mone-ba-mini</td>
<td></td>
<td>mone-re-mini</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mone-ba-ntur</td>
<td></td>
<td>mone-re-ntur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Future</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mone-b-or</td>
<td>I WILL BE WARNED</td>
<td>mone-b-e-ris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mone-b-e-ris</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mone-b-i-tur</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mone-b-i-mur</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mone-b-i-mini</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mone-b-i-ntur</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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d) 3<sup>rd</sup> conjugation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicative</th>
<th>Subjunctive</th>
<th>Imperative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Present</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reg-or</td>
<td>reg-a-r</td>
<td>reg-e-re</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reg-e-ris</td>
<td>reg-a-ris</td>
<td>(sing.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reg-i-tur</td>
<td>reg-a-tur</td>
<td>reg-i-mini</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reg-i-mur</td>
<td>reg-a-mur</td>
<td>BE RULED!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reg-i-mini</td>
<td>reg-a-mini</td>
<td>(plural)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reg-u-ntur</td>
<td>reg-a-ntur</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Imperfect</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reg-e-ba-r</td>
<td>reg-e-re</td>
<td>reg-e-re</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reg-e-ba-ris</td>
<td>reg-e-re-ris</td>
<td>(sing.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reg-e-ba-tur</td>
<td>reg-e-re-tur</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reg-e-ba-mur</td>
<td>reg-e-re-mur</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reg-e-ba-mini</td>
<td>reg-e-re-mini</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reg-e-ba-ntur</td>
<td>reg-e-re-ntur</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Future</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reg-a-r</td>
<td>reg-a-r</td>
<td>reg-a-re</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reg-e-ris</td>
<td>reg-a-ris</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reg-e-tur</td>
<td>reg-a-tur</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reg-e-mur</td>
<td>reg-a-mur</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reg-e-mini</td>
<td>reg-a-mini</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reg-e-ntur</td>
<td>reg-a-ntur</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

✧ Observe that, in the 3<sup>rd</sup> conjugation, the 2<sup>nd</sup> person union vowel that moves from -i- to -e- is in the present indicative (reg-e-ris, NOT reg-i-ris), not in the future. This makes both 2<sup>nd</sup> singular present and future look equal.
**e) 4\textsuperscript{th} conjugation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicative</th>
<th>Subjunctive</th>
<th>Imperative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Present</strong></td>
<td><strong>Present</strong></td>
<td><strong>Imperative</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>audi-or</td>
<td>audi-a-r</td>
<td>audi-re</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>audi-ris</td>
<td>audi-a-ris</td>
<td>(sing.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>audi-tur</td>
<td>audi-a-tur</td>
<td>BE HEARD!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>audi-mur</td>
<td>audi-a-mur</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>audi-mini</td>
<td>audi-a-mini</td>
<td>audi-mini</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>audi-u-ntur</td>
<td>audi-a-ntur</td>
<td>(plural)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Imperfect</strong></td>
<td><strong>Imperfect</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>audi-e-ba-r</td>
<td>audi-re-r</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>audi-e-ba-ris</td>
<td>audi-re-ris</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>audi-e-ba-tur</td>
<td>audi-re-tur</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>audi-e-ba-mur</td>
<td>audi-re-mur</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>audi-e-ba-mini</td>
<td>audi-re-mini</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>audi-e-ba-ntur</td>
<td>audi-re-ntur</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Future</strong></td>
<td><strong>Future</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>audi-a-r</td>
<td>audi-a-r</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>audi-e-ris</td>
<td>audi-e-ris</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>audi-e-tur</td>
<td>audi-e-tur</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>audi-e-mur</td>
<td>audi-e-mur</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>audi-e-mini</td>
<td>audi-e-mini</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>audi-e-ntur</td>
<td>audi-e-ntur</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
f) The mixed conjugation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicative</th>
<th>Subjunctive</th>
<th>Imperative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Present</strong></td>
<td><strong>Present</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cap-i-or</td>
<td>I AM CAPTURED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cap-e-rais</td>
<td>cap-i-a-rais</td>
<td>cap-e-re</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cap-i-tur</td>
<td>cap-i-a-tur</td>
<td>BE CAPTURED!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cap-i-mur</td>
<td>cap-i-a-mur</td>
<td>(sing.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cap-i-mini</td>
<td>cap-i-a-mini</td>
<td>cap-i-mini</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cap-i-u-ntur</td>
<td>cap-i-a-ntur</td>
<td>BE CAPTURED!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Imperfect</strong></td>
<td><strong>Imperfect</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cap-i-e-ba-r</td>
<td>I WAS BEING CAPTURED</td>
<td>cap-e-re-r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cap-i-e-ba-rais</td>
<td>cap-e-re-rais</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cap-i-e-ba-tur</td>
<td>cap-e-re-tur</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cap-i-e-ba-mur</td>
<td>cap-e-re-mur</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cap-i-e-ba-mini</td>
<td>cap-e-re-mini</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cap-i-e-ba-ntur</td>
<td>cap-e-re-ntur</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Future</strong></td>
<td><strong>Future</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cap-i-a-r</td>
<td>I WILL BE CAPTURED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cap-i-e-rais</td>
<td>cap-e-re-rais</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cap-i-e-tur</td>
<td>cap-e-re-tur</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cap-i-e-mur</td>
<td>cap-e-re-mur</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cap-i-e-mini</td>
<td>cap-e-re-mini</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cap-i-e-ntur</td>
<td>cap-e-re-ntur</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

◊ Observe again that, like in the 3rd conjugation, in the 2nd person singular of the present indicative the union vowel \(-i-\) moves to \(-e-\), but in this case it does not look equal to the 2nd singular of the future (cap eros / capieris).
2. Perfect-stem tenses

a) Formation procedure

As happens in the active voice, all the conjugations form these tenses in the same way. The way of forming these tenses is as follows:

1/ We need the perfect participle of the verb in its three singular and its three plural forms, all of them in nominative, without declining; for instance,

- sing. amatus, -a, -um
- pl. amati, -ae, -a

2/ Any of these participles (the choice will depend, of course on the subject; for instance, for a plural feminine subject we will choose amatae) must be accompanied by a form of the verb sum (the choice of person will depend on the person of the subject); this form will be the form that would be found in the box immediately to the left in the usual table.

- For perfect tense, use the verbal forms of the present of sum.
- For pluperfect tense, use the verbal forms of the imperfect of sum.
- For future perfect tense, use the verbal forms of the future of sum.

Note
The forms of the verb sum are displayed in Chapter e).

b) Developed example for the 3rd conjugation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicative</th>
<th>Subjunctive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Perfect</strong></td>
<td><strong>Perfect</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rectus, -a, -um + sum, es, est</td>
<td>rectus, -a, -um + sim, sis, sit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>recti, -ae, -a + sumus, estis, sunt</td>
<td>recti, -ae, -a + simus, sitis, sint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pluperfect</strong></td>
<td><strong>Pluperfect</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rectus, -a, -um + eram, eras, erat</td>
<td>rectus, -a, -um + essem, esses, esset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>recti, -ae, -a + eramus, eratis, erant</td>
<td>recti, -ae, -a + essemus, essetis, essent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Future perfect</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rectus, -a, -um + ero, eris, erit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>recti, -ae, -a + erimus, eritis, erunt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For instance,

- to say GREEK MEN HAVE BEEN RULED BY ROMANS, we would choose recti sunt for HAVE BEEN RULED;
- to say GREEK WOMEN HAD BEEN RULED BY ROMANS, we would choose rectae erant for HAD BEEN RULED;
- to say YOU (A MAN) WILL HAVE BEEN RULED BY ROMANS, we would choose rectus eris for WILL HAVE BEEN RULED.
c) Translation

1/ Do not translate these compound verbal forms word by word, as the final result would be deceptive; for instance, do not translate \textit{rectus sum} by \textit{I AM (sum) RULED (rectus)}, as \textit{I AM RULED} would in fact have a \textit{present tense} meaning, not a \textit{perfect tense} meaning. We must take into account that the combination of a participle and a form of the verb \textit{sum} will mean that we are in fact in front of the verbal tense which is the one at the right of the box to which that form of \textit{sum} belongs; for instance, \textit{sum} is a present form, but its combination with the participle \textit{rectus} means that the combination \textit{rectus sum} is in fact a \textit{perfect tense} (as the perfect tense is the tense which is immediately at the right of the present tense box).

\begin{itemize}
  \item Postero die porta Iovis ... iussu proconsulum \textit{aperta est} \hspace{1cm} \textit{THE FOLLOWING DAY THE DOOR OF JUPITER WAS OPENED BY ORDER OF THE PROCONSUL} \hspace{1cm} (Livy, \textit{Ab Urbe Condita}).
\end{itemize}

2/ Nevertheless, in some cases we must translate literally if the combination of \textit{participle} + \textit{verb sum} indicates a state rather than a passive action. For instance, \textit{Ianua aperta est} can mean \textit{THE DOOR HAS BEEN OPENED}, but if we translate it literally it will mean \textit{THE DOOR IS OPEN}, indicating the state of something (\textit{IS OPEN}) rather than a process (\textit{HAS BEEN OPENED}). Context will make it clear.

\begin{itemize}
  \item Si \textit{aperta ianua fuisset}, funus meum parares hoc tempore, pater \hspace{1cm} \textit{IF MY DOOR HAD BEEN OPEN, YOU WOULD BE PREPARING MY FUNERAL NOW, FATHER} \hspace{1cm} (Livy, \textit{Ab Urbe Condita}).
  \hspace{1cm} ♦ Perseus is claiming that some people came to kill him, but fortunately the door was closed.
\end{itemize}

d) Other conjugations

The same would happen with any other verb, to whichever conjugation it belongs: all we must change is the participial form; we offer here the conjugation in these tenses for the verbs we have been using as patterns:

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline
& \textbf{Indicative} & \textbf{Subjunctive} \\
\hline
\textbf{Perfect} & \begin{tabular}{l}
\textit{amatus, -a, -um} + \textit{sum, es, est} \\
\textit{amati, -ae, -a} + \textit{sumus, estis, sunt}
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
\textit{amatus, -a, -um} + \textit{sim, sis, sit} \\
\textit{amati, -ae, -a} + \textit{simus, sitis, sint}
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\textbf{Pluperfect} & \begin{tabular}{l}
\textit{amatus, -a, -um} + \textit{eram, eras, erat} \\
\textit{amati, -ae, -a} + \textit{eramus, eratis, erant}
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
\textit{amatus, -a, -um} + \textit{essem, esses, esset} \\
\textit{amati, -ae, -a} + \textit{essemus, essetis, essent}
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\textbf{Future perfect} & \begin{tabular}{l}
\textit{amatus, -a, -um} + \textit{ero, eris, erit} \\
\textit{amati, -ae, -a} + \textit{erimus, eritis, erunt}
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}
### 2nd Conjugation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicative</th>
<th>Subjunctive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Perfect</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>monitus, -a, -um + sum, es, est</td>
<td>monitus, -a, -um + sim, sis, sit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>moniti, -ae, -a + sumus, estis, sunt</td>
<td>moniti, -ae, -a + simus, sitis, sint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pluperfect</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>monitus, -a, -um + eram, eras, erat</td>
<td>monitus, -a, -um + essem, esses, esset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>moniti, -ae, -a + eramus, eratis, erant</td>
<td>moniti, -ae, -a + essemus, essetis, essent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Future perfect</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>monitus, -a, -um + ero, eris, erit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>moniti, -ae, -a + erimus, eritis, erunt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4th Conjugation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicative</th>
<th>Subjunctive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Perfect</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>auditus, -a, -um + sum, es, est</td>
<td>auditus, -a, -um + sim, sis, sit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>auditi, -ae, -a + sumus, estis, sunt</td>
<td>auditi, -ae, -a + simus, sitis, sint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pluperfect</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>auditus, -a, -um + eram, eras, erat</td>
<td>auditus, -a, -um + essem, esses, esset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>auditi, -ae, -a + eramus, eratis, erant</td>
<td>auditi, -ae, -a + essemus, essetis, essent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Future perfect</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>auditus, -a, -um + ero, eris, erit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>auditi, -ae, -a + erimus, eritis, erunt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Mixed conjugation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicative</th>
<th>Subjunctive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Perfect</strong></td>
<td><strong>Perfect</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>captus, -a, -um + sum, es, est</td>
<td>captus, -a, -um + sim, sis, sit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>capti, -ae, -a + sumus, estis, sunt</td>
<td>capti, -ae, -a + simus, sitis, sint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pluperfect</strong></td>
<td><strong>Pluperfect</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>captus, -a, -um + eram, eras, erat</td>
<td>captus, -a, -um + essem, esses, esset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>capti, -ae, -a + eramus, eratis, erant</td>
<td>capti, -ae, -a + essemus, essetis, essent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Future perfect</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>captus, -a, -um + ero, eris, erit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>capti, -ae, -a + erimus, eritis, erunt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Some examples:

- **Veneno necatus est**  
  He was killed with poison  
  (Anon., *Rhetorica ad Herennium*).

- **Auditus est magno silentio**  
  He was listened to with great silence  
  (Cicero, *Epistulae ad Quintum Fratrem*).

- **Vox subito audita est**  
  Suddenly, a voice was heard  
  (Ovid, *Metamorphoses*).

- **Cum domos redissent, iterum capti sunt**  
  When they had returned home, they were captured again  
  (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).

- **Servatus est a procuratore summa cum diligentia**  
  He was protected by the deputy with great diligence  
  (Cicero, *Pro Quinctio*).

- **Conservatae sunt Syracusae**  
  Syracuse was saved  
  (Cicero, *In Verrem*).

- **Cupiditas belli gerendi iniecta est**  
  The desire of making war was thrown in  
  (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).

  Obviously, this is an extremely literal translation, but the sense of “Everybody felt the desire of making war” is quite evident.
d) Deponent and semi-deponent verbs

1. Deponent verbs

a) Definition of deponent verb

Some Latin verbs present an interesting characteristic: while they conjugate their tenses using a passive form, they are active in meaning. These verbs are called deponent verbs.

As their morphology comprehends only passive forms (except for some participles), the dictionary entry form will be passive as well; e.g. for the verb TO LOVE we find amo, -are, -avi, -atum, for the verb TO URGE, which is deponent, the dictionary will give us hortor, -ari, -atus sum, featuring the usual parts of the verb (1st person of the present, infinitive and 1st person of the perfect) but in passive voice. Observe that with deponent verbs only three forms are given in the dictionary entry, because the fourth one, the supine, is already shown in the 1st person of the perfect (hortatus sum). Remember that the supine is sometimes used to construct participles.

Compare and contrast these examples:

- **Hortor**
  - I URGE
  - (NOT I AM URGED).

- **Milites hortati sunt eum**
  - THE SOLDIERS URGED HIM
  - (NOT THE SOLDIERS WERE URGED ...).

- **Caesar eos hortabatur**
  - CAESAR WAS URGING THEM
  - (NOT CAESAR WAS BEING URGED ...).

- **Miltiades hortatus est pontis custodes**
  - MILTIADES ENCOURAGED THOSE GUARDING THE BRIDGE (Nepos, Vitae).

b) How can they be identified?

There are many deponent verbs and their frequent use makes them easy to remember and then identify. Anyway, some pieces of advice will follow with regard to the identification of such verbs.

1/ If we find a sentence like Milites hortati sunt eum and we remember that this verb means TO URGE but we do not know whether it is deponent or not, the presence of eum, a direct object, will tell us that the verb cannot have a passive meaning, as this would make the sentence mean THE SOLDIERS WERE URGED... and we would not know how to translate eum; therefore, it must be deponent, and the translation THE SOLDIERS URGED HIM makes perfect sense.

2/ If, on the other hand, we do not know the meaning of the verb and we look it up in the dictionary, we will not find horto, but hortor, which means that the verb is deponent and that the meaning will be active.

3/ If we find the sentence Gladiatores necantur in Circo and we remember the meaning of the verb but do not remember whether it is deponent or not (and therefore we do not know whether the sentence means THE GLADIATORS KILL IN THE CIRCUS or THE GLADIATORS ARE KILLED IN THE CIRCUS), we should look it up in the dictionary where we would find that the dictionary shows the form neco: this means that it is a “normal” verb and that, therefore, the passive form used in that sentence actually expresses a passive action, so that the correct translation is THE GLADIATORS ARE KILLED IN THE CIRCUS. The choice would have been immediate if the phrase featured a personal agent in ablative (e.g. a militibus BY THE SOLDIERS).
c) Most frequent deponent verbs

In this section, the most frequent deponent verbs are introduced in a way that may help you to remember them.

1/ First of all the verbs TO BE BORN and TO DIE:

\begin{align*}
\text{nascor, nasci, natus sum} & \quad \text{TO BE BORN} \\
\text{morior, mori, mortuus sum} & \quad \text{TO DIE}
\end{align*}

2/ The following pairs feature verbs with the same meaning:

\begin{align*}
\text{fateor, fateri, fassus sum} & \quad \text{TO CONFESS} \\
\text{confiteor, confiteri, confessus sum} & \quad \text{TO CONFESS} \\
\text{potior, potiri, potitus sum} & \quad \text{TO ACQUIRE (+ Abl.)} \\
\text{adipiscor, adipisci, adeptus sum} & \quad \text{TO ACQUIRE} \\
\text{conor, conari, conatus sum} & \quad \text{TO TRY} \quad \uparrow \text{in the sense of attempt} \\
\text{experior, experiri, expertus sum} & \quad \text{TO TRY} \quad \uparrow \text{in the sense of experiencing}
\end{align*}

3/ This pair comprehends verbs with opposite meaning:

\begin{align*}
\text{fruor, frui, fructus sum} & \quad \text{TO ENJOY} \\
\text{irascor, irasci, iratus sum} & \quad \text{TO BE ANGRY}
\end{align*}

4/ Verbs of movement:

\begin{align*}
\text{proficiscor, proficisci, profectus sum} & \quad \text{TO SET OUT} \\
\text{revertor, reverti, reversus sum} & \quad \text{TO RETURN} \\
\text{comitor, comitari, comitatus sum} & \quad \text{TO ACCOMPANY} \\
\text{(ex)sequor, sequi, secutus sum} & \quad \text{TO FOLLOW} \\
\text{gradior, gradi, gressus sum} & \quad \text{TO STEP}
\end{align*}

5/ Compound forms of sequor:

\begin{align*}
\text{consequor, consequi, consecutus sum} & \quad \text{TO FOLLOW, TO OBTAIN} \\
\text{persequor, persequi, persecutus sum} & \quad \text{TO PERSECUTE} \\
\text{prosequor, prosequi, prosecutus sum} & \quad \text{TO CONTINUE} \\
\text{insequor, inequi, insecutus sum} & \quad \text{TO FOLLOW FROM A SHORT DISTANCE}
\end{align*}

6/ Compound forms of gradior:

\begin{align*}
\text{aggredior, aggredi, aggressus sum} & \quad \text{TO APPROACH, TO ATTACK} \quad \uparrow \text{Also found in the form adgre-} \\
\text{egredior, egredi, egressus sum} & \quad \text{TO GO OUT} \\
\text{ingredior, ingredi, ingressus sum} & \quad \text{TO ENTER} \\
\text{progredior, progredi, progressus sum} & \quad \text{TO ADVANCE} \\
\text{regredior, regredi, regressus sum} & \quad \text{TO RETURN}
\end{align*}
Deponent and Semi-deponent Verbs

7/ Verbs expressing feelings, activities of the mind, etc.:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Latin Phrase</th>
<th>English Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>miror, mirari, miratus sum</td>
<td>TO ADMIRE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vereor, vereri, veritus sum</td>
<td>TO FEAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>obliviscor, oblivisci, oblitus sum</td>
<td>TO FORGET (+ Gen.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reor, reri, ratus sum</td>
<td>TO THINK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>queror, queri, questus sum</td>
<td>TO COMPLAIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>precor, precari, precatus sum</td>
<td>TO BEG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>patior, pati, passus sum</td>
<td>TO SUFFER, TO TOLERATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arbitror, arbitrari, arbitratus sum</td>
<td>TO JUDGE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8/ Other deponent verbs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Latin Phrase</th>
<th>English Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>orior, oriri, ortus sum</td>
<td>TO RISE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ulciscor, ulcisci, ultus sum</td>
<td>TO AVENGE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>minor, minari, minatus sum</td>
<td>TO THREATEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>polliceor, polliceri, pollicitus sum</td>
<td>TO PROMISE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>utor, uti, usus sum</td>
<td>TO USE (+ Abl.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hortor, hortari, hortatus sum</td>
<td>TO URGE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>molior, moliri, molitus sum</td>
<td>TO WORK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>loquor, loqui, locutus sum</td>
<td>TO SPEAK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mereor, mereri, meritus sum</td>
<td>TO DESERVE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Some examples:

- Eadem Galli fatentur  
  The Gauls confessed the same things (Sallust, Bellum Catilinae).
- Suum fatum querebantur  
  They complained about their fate (Caesar, De Bello Gallico).
- Quae … locutus sum, ea, iudices, a vobis spero esse in bonam partem accepta  
  The things I have said, judges, I hope have been well received by you in good part (Cicero, Pro Archia).
- Quod … idoneum videbatur, cum summo studio domi exsequebantur  
  Whatever seemed to be adequate, they followed it at home with great diligence (Sallust, Bellum Catilinae).
- Cassius semet eo brevi venturum pollicetur  
  Cassius promises to go there shortly (Sallust, Bellum Catilinae).
- Ex urbe proficiscitur  
  He leaves the city (Sallust, Bellum Catilinae).

2. Nominal forms in deponent verbs

a) Infinitives

The rule of “passive form but active meaning” works for present and perfect infinitives as well: their active forms do not exist, and the passive forms replace them:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Form</th>
<th>English Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Present: conari</td>
<td>TO TRY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past: conatum esse</td>
<td>TO HAVE TRIED</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Instead, the future infinitive is active in form, not passive, and of course is as well active in meaning:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Form</th>
<th>English Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Future: conaturum esse</td>
<td>TO BE ABOUT TO TRY</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
So only three out of the six forms possible forms of the infinitives (three active and three passive ones) exist in deponent verbs: the present and perfect passive and the future active, all with an active meaning. Let’s see it exemplified in the verb *conor*:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Past (or Perfect)</th>
<th>Future</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Active</strong></td>
<td><strong>Past (or Perfect)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Future</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>non-existent; replaced</td>
<td>conaturum, -am, -um esse</td>
<td>conaturum, -am, -um esse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>by the passive form</td>
<td><strong>TO BE ABOUT TO TRY</strong></td>
<td><strong>TO BE ABOUT TO TRY</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>below</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Passive</strong></td>
<td><strong>Past</strong></td>
<td><strong>Future</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>conari</td>
<td>conatum, -am, -um esse</td>
<td>non-existent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TO TRY</td>
<td><strong>TO HAVE TRIED</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♦ Active meaning, as if</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>above</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Some examples:

- *Glorians maria montesque polliceri coepit* **Boasting, he began to promise seas and mountains** (Sallust, *Bellum Catilinae*). ♦ It is the Latin idiom that means **TO PROMISE THE MOON**.
- *Caesari cum id nuntiatum esset, eos per provinciam nostram iter facere conari, maturat ab urbe proficisci* **When it was announced to Caesar that they were trying to make their way through our province, he hastens to set out from the city** (*Caesar, De Bello Gallico*).

**b) Participles**

With respect to participles, the situation is a little more complicated, as the rule “passive form but active meaning” is followed only in one participle; moreover, one of the passive participial forms does actually have a passive meaning.

Like in normal verbs, four participial forms (out of the possible six) exist also in deponent verbs, but remember that:

- ♦ The past passive participle will usually have active meaning (only deponent verbs, therefore, can have a perfect “active” participle).
- ♦ The future passive participle will indeed have a passive meaning, so it is the *only* form of a deponent verb that always has a passive meaning (although in some cases the perfect passive participle will keep its passive meaning as well).

The final table will look as follows (let’s use the verb *hortor* as model):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Past</th>
<th>Future</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Active</strong></td>
<td><strong>Past</strong></td>
<td><strong>Future</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hortans, -ntis</td>
<td>non-existent; replaced by the passive</td>
<td>hortaturus, -a, -um</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URGING, THAT URGES</td>
<td>form below</td>
<td>THAT IS ABOUT TO URGE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♦ Only deponent verbs</td>
<td></td>
<td>♦ It keeps its passive meaning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>can do this</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Passive</strong></td>
<td><strong>Past</strong></td>
<td><strong>Future</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>non-existent</td>
<td>hortatus, -a, -um</td>
<td>hortand-us, -a, -um</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>THAT HAS URGED</td>
<td>THAT MUST BE URGED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♦ Almost always active</td>
<td></td>
<td>♦ It keeps its passive meaning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>meaning, as if above</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Further observations

1/ In some deponent verbs, the perfect passive participle will keep its passive meaning, like in the case of *emetior* TO MEASURE OUT: *emensus* means MEASURED OUT, it does not mean HAVING MEASURED OUT.

- *Ad Aratthum inde flumen itinere ingenti *emenso* retentus altitudine amnis mansit* HAVING MADE FROM THERE A LONG MARCH TO THE RIVER ARATTHUS, BEING HELD BY THE DEPTH OF THE RIVER HE HALTED (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).

  ◇ Theoretically, *itinere ingenti emenso* means A LARGE MARCH HAVING BEEN MEASURED OUT, as if somebody had measured the distance between the starting and the finishing point of the march.

Sometimes a verb can even have both passive and active meanings:

- *addeptus* (from *adipiscor*) may either mean ACQUIRED or HAVING ACQUIRED;
- *comitatus* (from *comitor*) may either mean ACCOMPANIED or HAVING ACCOMPANIED;
- *pollicitus* (from *polliceor*) may either mean PROMISED or HAVING PROMISED.

2/ In some cases the perfect participle may also convey a present meaning:

- *ratus* THINKING rather than HAVING THOUGHT,
- *usus* USING rather than HAVING USED,
- *veritus* FEARING rather than HAVING FEARED.

3/ The main characteristic of deponent verbs is possibly the fact that they have a perfect participle with active meaning. For example, we can say CAESAR, HAVING SAID THIS, WENT TO ROME using a participle agreeing with Caesar, because the verb *loquor* is deponent and it has a participial form that means HAVING SAID:

  Caesar, haec *locutus*, Romam profectus est.

But we cannot do the same with regular verbs; for example, the sentence CAESAR, HAVING WRITTEN THE LETTER, WENT TO ROME, cannot be translated using a past participle because the verb *scribo* has no participle that means HAVING WRITTEN; for this reason, we have to change the structure and use an ablative absolute:

  Caesar, *litteris scriptis*, Romam profectus est

  ◇ Literally, CAESAR, THE LETTER HAVING BEEN WRITTEN, WENT TO ROME.

Further examples:

- *Cum eum in itinere convenissent ... suppliciterque *locuti* flentes pacem petissent* WHEN THEY MET HIM ON THE WAY ... AND SPEAKING IN A SUPPLIANT TONE AND CRYING, THEY ASKED FOR PEACE... (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).
- *Eius rei quae causa esset *miratus* ex ipsis quaesivit* WONDERING WHAT WAS THE REASON FOR THIS, HE ASKED THEM IN PERSON (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).
- *Caesar ex castris utrisque copias suas eduxit paulumque a maioribus castris *progressus* aciem instruxit* CAESAR LED OUT HIS ARMY FROM BOTH CAMPS AND, HAVING ADVANCED A LITTLE FROM THE LARGER ONE, HE ARRANGED THE BATTLE LINE (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).
- *Conantes dicere prohibuit* WHEN THEY TRIED TO TALK, HE PREVENTED THEM (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).
c) Gerund and supine

Gerund and supine are formed in deponent verbs following the same rules as those for normal verbs:

Gerund: loquendum, hortandum, etc.
Supine: locutum, hortatum, etc.

- *Caesar loquendi finem fecit*  
  **CAESAR STOPPED SPEAKING** (*Caesar, De Bello Gallico*).
- *Praesumpta spes hortandi causas exemerat*  
  **CONFIDENT HOPE HAD REMOVED ANY REASON FOR ENCOURAGEMENT** (*Tacitus, Annales*).

3. Semi-deponent verbs

a/ Semi-deponent verbs feature the main characteristic of deponent verbs, i.e. they have a passive form but active meaning, but this rule applies only to tenses formed on the perfect-stem (the right-hand side column of tenses in our usual chart); tenses formed on the present-stem (the left-hand side column of tenses in our usual chart) present normal active forms. Therefore, the main parts of the verb given by dictionaries are a combination of active and passive forms, as in the following example:

\[
\text{audeo, -ere, ausus sum} \quad \text{TO DARE}
\]

Observe that only the perfect tense form is passive (but with active meaning), as it is the only one that belongs to the column of tenses with passive form.

Other semi-deponent verbs are:

- *soleo, -ere, solitus sum*  
  **TO BE ACCUSTOMED TO**
- *gaudeo, -ere, gavisus sum*  
  **TO REJOICE**
- *(con)fido, fidere, fisus sum*  
  **TO TRUST (+ Dat.)**
- *diffido, diffidere, diffisus sum*  
  **TO DISTRUST (+ Dat.)**

Some examples:

- *Ibi perpauci ... viribus confisi tranare contenderunt*  
  **THERE A FEW MEN, RELYING ON THEIR STRENGTH, ENDEAVOURED TO SWIM ACROSS** (*Caesar, De Bello Gallico*).
- *Etiam ad me venire ausus es*  
  **YOU EVEN DARED TO COME TO ME** (*Cicero, In Catilinam*).
- *Platonis libros solitus est divulgare*  
  **HE USED TO POPULARISE PLATO’S BOOKS** (*Cicero, Epistulae ad Atticum*).

b/ The verb fio, fieri, factus sum could be considered as a semi-deponent verb, but given its peculiar morphology, deriving from the fact that it is a combination of two different verbs, it will be the object of a specific section of the chapter on Irregular Verbs.
4. Passive deponent verbs

Passive deponent verbs curiously experience the inverse phenomenon of regular deponent verbs: they are active in form, but passive in meaning:

vapulo, -are, -avi (no supine)  \( \text{TO BE FLOGGED} \)
exulo, -are, -avi, -atum  \( \text{TO BE BANISHED, TO BE SENT INTO EXILE} \)

\( \ast \) But its use with active meaning \( \text{TO BANISH} \) is also found.

Example:

- Mori atque exulare nobilissimos viros honoratissimosque passi sumus  \( \text{WE PERMITTED THE MOST NOBLE AND MOST DISTINGUISHED MEN TO SUFFER DEATH AND TO BE SENT INTO EXILE} \) \( \text{(Livy, \textit{Ab Urbe Condita})}. \)

Final notes on deponent verbs

While the main points regarding deponent, semi-deponent and passive deponent verbs have been treated above, there is a number of additional little details that affect individual verbs specifically:

a/ Some passive deponent verbs derive from active verbs that have been transformed by phenomena of contractions. E.g.: veno, venire, venii (no supine) \( \text{TO BE SOLD} \) \(<\) venum eo \( \text{TO GO ON SALE} \).

- Oppidum dirutum \( \text{est} \), ager venii  \( \text{THE CITY WAS DESTROYED, THE FIELD WAS SOLD} \) \( \text{(Livy, \textit{Ab Urbe Condita})}. \)

b/ Some deponent verbs can have both active and passive meanings, like complector \( \text{TO EMBRACE OR TO BE EMBRACED} \).

c/ Others can have either active or passive form conveying the same meaning, like fluctuo or fluctuor \( \text{TO FLUCTUATE} \).

- Quid si mi [mihi] animus fluctuat?  \( \text{WHAT IF MY HEART FLUCTUATES?} \) \( \text{(Plautus, \textit{Mercator})}. \)
- Haud aliter meum cor fluctuatur  \( \text{NOT IN ANOTHER WAY MY HEART FLUCTUATES} \) \( \text{(Seneca iunior, \textit{Medea})}. \)

d/ Moreover, the effort of some grammarians to achieve purity in the language imposed concrete choices between active and passive: for instance, in specific cases we can find loquis \( \text{YOU SPEAK} \), which has been derived from the formerly deponent loquor, etc.
e) Verb *sum* and its compounds

1. **Verb sum**

As in almost all languages, the verb that means *to be* is irregular; nevertheless, the irregularities affect only the present-stem tenses, as the perfect-stem tenses are formed in the usual way: take the third principal part, remove the final -i, and form them following the usual procedure.

Of the three present-stem tenses, the irregularities concentrate especially in the present tense; this is a pattern that applies also to the other irregular verbs.

The principal parts of the verb are: *sum*, *esse*, *fui* (no supine).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicative</th>
<th>Subjunctive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Present-stem</strong></td>
<td><strong>Perfect-stem</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Present</strong></td>
<td><strong>Perfect</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sum</td>
<td>I AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>es</td>
<td>esse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>est</td>
<td>fui</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sumus</td>
<td>fuimus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>estis</td>
<td>fueritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sunt</td>
<td>fuerint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Imperfect</strong></td>
<td><strong>Pluperfect</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eram</td>
<td>I WAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eras</td>
<td>fueras</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>erat</td>
<td>fuerat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eramus</td>
<td>fueramus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eratis</td>
<td>fueratis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>erant</td>
<td>fuerant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Future</strong></td>
<td><strong>Future perfect</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ero</td>
<td>I WILL BE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eris</td>
<td>fueris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>erit</td>
<td>fuerit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>erimus</td>
<td>fuerimus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eritis</td>
<td>fueritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>erunt</td>
<td>fuerint</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In the indicative, note that, apart from the absence of the usual modal-temporal characteristics, the imperfect and the future are relatively regular; it is in the present tense where we find alternations of stem.

In the subjunctive, note that the imperfect goes on following the rule of infinitive + personal endings.

**Imperative**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2\textsuperscript{nd} singular</th>
<th>es</th>
<th>BE!</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2\textsuperscript{nd} plural</td>
<td>este</td>
<td>BE!</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Participles**

Obviously, the verb TO BE does not have passive forms. This applies also to the infinitives.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Past</th>
<th>Future</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>non-existent</td>
<td>futurus, -a, -um</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>THAT IS ABOUT TO BE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Infinitives**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Past</th>
<th>Future</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>esse</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>fuisse</td>
<td>futurum, -am, -um esse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TO BE ABOUT TO BE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note**

The compound infinitive futurum (-am / -um / -as / -a) esse can be replaced by the single word fore.

**Gerund and supine**

non-existent

2. **Compounds of sum**

a) Their meaning

There are several verbs, of very frequent use, that are formed by adding a prepositional prefix to the verb sum. Their meaning is always related to the concept of “being”, and the prepositional prefix gives the verb its specific meaning.

These are the main compounds of sum; each one of them may have several translations in English, especially because of their use in idiomatic expressions, we offer here the basic one:

- absum, abesse, afui: TO BE ABSENT, TO BE FAR AWAY
- adsum, adesse, adfui: TO BE PRESENT, TO SUPPORT
- desum, deesse, defui: TO BE LACKING
insum, inesse, infui TO BE INSIDE
intersum, interesse, interfui TO BE IN THE MIDDLE, TO INTERVENE
obsum, obesse, offui TO BE AGAINST
praesum, praeesse, praefui TO BE AT THE FRONT
supersum, superesse, superfui TO REMAIN, TO SURVIVE.

b) Their irregularities

1/ We can see that, in some cases, the contact between the final consonant of the preposition and the f- of fui etc. produces some changes in the preposition:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{abfui} & \rightarrow \text{afui} \\
\text{adfui} & \rightarrow \text{affui}
\end{align*}
\]

Moreover, in some cases we can find more than one possible form.

2/ A curious irregularity is that, although the verb *sum* has no present participle, its compound *absum* has produced the present participle *absens*, -entis ABSENT:

- Et illam ducere cupiebat et metuebat *absentem patrem* HE BOTH WANTED TO TAKE THE GIRL AND WAS AFRAID OF THE ABSENT FATHER (Terentius Afer, Phormio).


c) Their regime

1/ Some of them can be used without any kind of object, like for instance *adsum*, just in the sense of TO BE PRESENT:

- *Adsum*, impera, si quid vis HERE I AM, GIVE AN ORDER, IF YOU WANT ANYTHING (Plautus, Miles Gloriosus).

But they may require an object, like for instance *adsum* in the sense of TO SUPPORT:


2/ When they need an object to complete their meaning, almost always it will be in dative (as in the example above):

- *Caesar legioni praeerat* CAESAR WAS AT THE FRONT OF (IN COMMAND OF) THE LEGION.
- *Tibi semper adero* I WILL ALWAYS SUPPORT YOU.
- *Mihi desunt tres libri* I AM MISSING THREE BOOKS † Literally, THREE BOOKS ARE LACKING TO ME.
- *Proelio interfui* I WAS IN THE MIDDLE OF THE BATTLE, I INTERVENED IN THE BATTLE.
- *... Antonium, qui ei legioni praeerat...* ANTHONY, WHO WAS IN COMMAND OF THAT LEGION (Caesar, Bellum Civile).
- *Tota Metelli cohors hominum non ingratorum aderat Apronio* THE WHOLE COHORT OF METELLUS, A COHORT OF NOT UNGRATEFUL MEN, SUPPORTED APRONIU (Cicero, In Verrem).
3/ Absum is a special case, as it will usually be followed by *ab* + *ablative* when distances are referred to:

- Tarentum multum *abest a Roma*  
  TARENTUM IS VERY FAR AWAY FROM ROME.

- Hic locus *abest a Clupeis passuum XXII milia*  
  THIS PLACE IS 22 MILES AWAY FROM CLUPEAE

4/ We must take into account that compounds of *sum* produce a lot of idiomatic expressions, which will affect the way in which they must be translated and their regime.

**3. Verb possum**

[From now on, translations are not included any more.]

This compound of *sum* deserves special attention, as it presents some irregularities. Its principal parts are: *possum, posse, potui* (no supine), and it means **TO BE ABLE**.

**Indicative**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present-stem</th>
<th>Perfect-stem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Present</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>possum</td>
<td>potui</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>potes</td>
<td>potuisti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>potest</td>
<td>potuit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>possumus</td>
<td>potuimus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>potestis</td>
<td>potuistis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>possunt</td>
<td>potuerunt / -ere</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Perfect**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pluperfect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>potueram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>potueras</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>potuerat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>potueramus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>potueratis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>potuerant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Future**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Future perfect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>potueroint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>potueris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>potuerit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>potuerimus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>potueritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>potuerint</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

◊ In the present tense, *pot-* becomes *pos-* in front of those forms starting with *s*-. This is due to phonetic assimilation (*potsum > possum* etc.). This will apply also to the present subjunctive.

◊ Note that in the perfect-stem tenses it is not just adding *pot-* to the corresponding forms of *sum*; the *f-* of *fui* etc. has disappeared. This will apply also to the subjunctive.
### Subjunctive

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present-stem</th>
<th>Perfect-stem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Perfect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>possim</td>
<td>potuerim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>possis</td>
<td>potueris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>possit</td>
<td>potuerit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>possimus</td>
<td>potuerimus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>possitis</td>
<td>potueritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>possint</td>
<td>potuerint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imperfect</td>
<td>Pluperfect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>possem</td>
<td>potuissem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>posses</td>
<td>potuisses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>posset</td>
<td>potuisset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>possemus</td>
<td>potuissemus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>possetis</td>
<td>potuissetis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>possent</td>
<td>potuisissent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Note that the imperfect goes on following the rule of *infinitive + personal endings.*

### Imperative, gerund and supine

**non-existent**

### Participles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Past</th>
<th>Future</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>potens, -entis</td>
<td>non-existent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The same curious phenomenon as with the compound *absum*: although the verb *sum* on its own lacks present participle, the compound *possum* has one: *potens, -entis*. Nevertheless, this participle is rather used as an adjective (although a participle is obviously an adjective) with the meaning of *POWERFUL*.

### Infinitives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Past</th>
<th>Future</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>posse</td>
<td>potuisse</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Some examples of its use:

- *Hoc toto proelio ... aversum hostem videre nemo potuit*  
  IN THIS WHOLE BATTLE NOBODY COULD SEE AN ENEMY TURNED IN FLIGHT  
  (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).

- *Possumus hoc quoque ex te audire?*  
  CAN WE HEAR THIS ALSO FROM YOU?  
  (Cicero, *Pro Quinctio*).
4. Verb prosum

Another compound of sum that deserves special attention: the suffix pro- becomes prod- (which was in fact the original prefix) in front of forms that begin with a vowel. Its principal parts are: prosum, prodesse, profui (no supine). It means TO BE OF USE.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicative</th>
<th>Subjunctive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Present-stem</td>
<td>Present-stem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prosum</td>
<td>profui</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prodes</td>
<td>profuisti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prodest</td>
<td>profuit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prosumus</td>
<td>profuimus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prodestis</td>
<td>profuistis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prosunt</td>
<td>profuerunt/ere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imperfect</td>
<td>Imperfect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>proderam</td>
<td>profueram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>proderas</td>
<td>profueras</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>proderat</td>
<td>profuerat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>proderamus</td>
<td>profueramus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>proderatis</td>
<td>profueratis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>proderant</td>
<td>profuerant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future</td>
<td>Future perfect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prodero</td>
<td>profuero</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>proderis</td>
<td>profueris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>proderit</td>
<td>profuerit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>proderimus</td>
<td>profuerimus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>proderitis</td>
<td>profueristis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>proderunt</td>
<td>profuerint</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Imperative

2nd singular  prodes
2nd plural  prodeste

Participles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Past</th>
<th>Future</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>non-existent</td>
<td>non-existent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Infinitives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Past</th>
<th>Future</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>prodesse</td>
<td>profuisse</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Gerund and supine

non-existent

Some examples of its use:

- Flacco vero quid profuit?  
  BUT WHAT PROFIT WAS THIS FOR FLACCUS? (Cicero, Pro Flacco).
- Non, si tibi antea profuit, semper proderit  
  NOT ALWAYS WILL IT BE PROFITABLE FOR YOU, EVEN IF PREVIOUSLY IT HAS BEEN (Cicero, Philippicae).
- Multum illam profuturam puto  
  I CONSIDER IT VERY BENEFICIAL (Cicero, De Divinatione).
- ... si profutura est rei publicae, ...  
  IF IT IS PROFITABLE TO THE STATE, ... (Cicero, Epistula ad Octavianum).
- Hoc mihi profuit  
  THIS WAS OF USE TO ME.
  ❖ Like almost all compounds of sum, it rules a dative.
- Quid enim potest ... rei publicae prodesse nostra legatio?  
  IN WHAT RESPECT CAN OUR LEGATION BE OF USE TO THE STATE? (Cicero, Philippicae).
- Non modo igitur nihil prodest sed obest etiam Clodi mors Miloni  
  THEREFORE, CLODIUS’ DEATH NOT ONLY IS OF NO PROFIT FOR MILO BUT EVEN IS A HINDRANCE (Cicero, Pro Milone).
f) Irregular verbs

1. Verb volo

This verb means TO WANT, and its principal parts are volo, velle, volui (no supine).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicative</th>
<th>Subjunctive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Present-stem</strong></td>
<td><strong>Perfect-stem</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>volo</td>
<td>volui</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vis</td>
<td>volui(\text{i})ti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vult</td>
<td>volui(\text{t})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>volumus</td>
<td>volui(\text{m})s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vultis</td>
<td>volui(\text{t})is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>volunt</td>
<td>voluerunt / -ere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imperfect</td>
<td>Pluperfect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>volebam</td>
<td>volueram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>volebas</td>
<td>volueras</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>volebat</td>
<td>voluerat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>volebamus</td>
<td>volueramus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>volebatis</td>
<td>volueratis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>volebant</td>
<td>voluerant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future</td>
<td>Future perfect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>volam</td>
<td>voluer(\text{e})o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>voles</td>
<td>voluer(\text{e})is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>volet</td>
<td>voluer(\text{e})it</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>volemus</td>
<td>volueri(\text{m})s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>voletis</td>
<td>voluer(\text{e})jis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>volent</td>
<td>voluer(\text{e})nt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(\text{\textdagger}\) Note that the future is formed as if the verb belonged to the 3\textsuperscript{rd} conjugation.

\(\text{\textdagger\dagger}\) As usual, the irregularities concentrate on the present tense.
Imperative, gerund and supine

Participles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Past</th>
<th>Future</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Act</td>
<td>volens, -ntis</td>
<td>non-existent</td>
<td>non-existent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Infinitives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Past</th>
<th>Future</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Act</td>
<td>velle</td>
<td>voluisse</td>
<td>non-existent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Some examples:

- *Debetis velle quae velimus* ▶ YOU SHOULD WANT WHAT WE MAY WANT (Plautus, *Amphitruo*).
- *Nunc iam illa non vult* ▶ SHE DOES NOT WANT ANY MORE (Catullus, *Carmina*).
- *Hic respondere voluit, non lacessere* ▶ HE WANTED TO ANSWER, NOT TO IRRITATE (Terentius Afer, *Phormio*).
### 2. Verb nolo

This verb means **NOT TO WANT**, and its principal parts are *nolo, nolle, nolui* (no supine). It is a contraction of *non + volo* etc., and it will be observed that some of the forms in the present indicative remain without contracting.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicative</th>
<th>Subjunctive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Present-stem</strong></td>
<td><strong>Perfect-stem</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Present</em></td>
<td><em>Perfect</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nolo</td>
<td>nolui</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>non vis</td>
<td>noluisti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>non vult</td>
<td>noluit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nolumus</td>
<td>noluiamus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>non vultis</td>
<td>noluiestis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nolunt</td>
<td>noluerunt / -ere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Imperfect</strong></td>
<td><strong>Pluperfect</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nolebam</td>
<td>nolueram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nolebas</td>
<td>nolueras</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nolebat</td>
<td>noluerat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nolebamus</td>
<td>nolueramus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nolebatis</td>
<td>nolueratis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nolebant</td>
<td>noluerant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Future</strong></td>
<td><strong>Future perfect</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nolam</td>
<td>noluer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>noles</td>
<td>nolueris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nolet</td>
<td>noluerit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nolemus</td>
<td>noluerimus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>noletis</td>
<td>nolueritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nolent</td>
<td>noluerint</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Participles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Past</th>
<th>Future</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>nolens, -ntis</td>
<td>non-existent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Infinitives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Past</th>
<th>Future</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>nolle</td>
<td>noluisse</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Gerund and supine

non-existent

Some examples:

- **Si Carpinatius mihi tum respondere noluit, responde tu mihi nunc, Verres**  
  IF CARPINATIUS DID NOT WANT TO ANSWER TO ME THEN, YOU ANSWER TO ME NOW, VERRES  
  (Cicero, *In Verrem*).

- **Num non vis me obviam his ire?**  
  DON’T YOU WANT ME TO GO TO MEET THESE PEOPLE?  
  (Plautus, *Mostellaria*).

- **... quendam, quem dicere nolo nomine**  
  ...(SOMEONE, WHOM I DO NOT WANT TO MENTION BY NAME  
  (Catullus, *Carmina*).

- **Noli haec contemnere**  
  DO NOT DESPISE THESE MATTERS  
  (Cicero, *Divinatio in Q. Caecilium*).  
  ∧ Literally, DO NOT WANT TO DESPISE THESE MATTERS.

- **Nolunt discere qui numquam didicerunt**  
  THOSE WHO NEVER LEARNT DO NOT WANT TO LEARN  
  (Seneca iunior, *Dialogi*).
3. Verb malo

This verb means *TO PREFER*, and its principal parts are *malo, malle, malui* (no supine). It is a contraction of *magis + volo* etc.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicative</th>
<th>Subjunctive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Present-stem</strong></td>
<td><strong>Perfect-stem</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>malo</td>
<td>malui</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mavis</td>
<td>maluisti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mavult</td>
<td>maluit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>malumus</td>
<td>maluimus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mavultis</td>
<td>maluistis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>malunt</td>
<td>maluerunt / -ere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Imperfect</strong></td>
<td><strong>Pluperfect</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>malebam</td>
<td>malueram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>malebas</td>
<td>malueras</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>malebat</td>
<td>maluerat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>malebamus</td>
<td>malueramus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>malebatis</td>
<td>malueratis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>malebant</td>
<td>maluerant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Future</strong></td>
<td><strong>Future perfect</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>malam</td>
<td>malueruo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>males</td>
<td>malueris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>malet</td>
<td>maluerit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>malemus</td>
<td>maluerimus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>maletis</td>
<td>malueritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>malent</td>
<td>maluerint</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Note that the imperfect subjunctive goes on following the rule of *infinitive + personal endings*.

**Imperative, participles, gerund and supine**

non-existent
Infinitives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Past</th>
<th>Future</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>malle</td>
<td>maluisse</td>
<td>non-existent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Some examples:

- *Cato enim ipse iam servire quam pugnare mavult* Cato himself prefers to be a slave rather than to fight (Cicero, *Epistulae ad Atticum*).
- *Inimicus quam amicus esse maluit* He preferred to be an enemy rather than a friend (Cicero, *Philippicae*).
- *Iam timent terram rates et maria malunt* Rafts fear land now and they prefer the sea (Seneca iunior, *Agamemnon*).
## 4. Verb *eo* and its compounds

This verb means *to go*, and its principal parts are *eo, ire, ivi, itum*.

### Indicative

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present-stem</th>
<th>Perfect-stem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Perfect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>eo</em></td>
<td><em>ivi</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>is</em></td>
<td><em>ivisti</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>it</em></td>
<td><em>ivit</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>imus</em></td>
<td><em>ivimus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>itis</em></td>
<td><em>ivistis</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>eunt</em></td>
<td><em>iverunt</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Imperfect

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present-stem</th>
<th>Perfect-stem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Imperfect</td>
<td>Pluperfect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>(more frequent forms)</em></td>
<td><em>(more frequent forms)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ibam</em></td>
<td><em>iveram</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ibas</em></td>
<td><em>iveras</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ibat</em></td>
<td><em>iverat</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ibamus</em></td>
<td><em>iveramus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ibatis</em></td>
<td><em>iveratis</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ibant</em></td>
<td><em>iverant</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Future

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present-stem</th>
<th>Perfect-stem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Future</td>
<td>Future perfect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>(more frequent forms)</em></td>
<td><em>(more frequent forms)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ibo</em></td>
<td><em>ivero</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ibis</em></td>
<td><em>iveris</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ibit</em></td>
<td><em>iverit</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ibimus</em></td>
<td><em>iverimus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ibitis</em></td>
<td><em>iveritis</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ibunt</em></td>
<td><em>iverint</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Notes

**a/** The future is formed as if the verb belonged to the 1st or 2nd conjugation.

**b/** In the perfect-stem tenses, the forms with -v- are very unusual, even some of them are not found (but we have put all of them for the sake of uniformity).

**c/** Moreover, in the perfect tense, *iisti > isti*, and *iiistis > istis*, because *ii > i* before -s-. So, in fact it is a two-step change: *ivisti(s) > iisti(s) > isti(s)*.

**d/** The alternative form *ivere* (for *iverunt*) can be considered non-existent.
**Subjunctive**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present-stem</th>
<th>Perfect-stem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Present</strong></td>
<td><strong>Perfect</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>stem</strong></td>
<td><strong>stem</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eam</td>
<td>iverim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eas</td>
<td>iveris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eat</td>
<td>iverit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eamus</td>
<td>iverimus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eatis</td>
<td>iveritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eant</td>
<td>iverint</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Imperfect**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present-stem</th>
<th>Perfect-stem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Present</strong></td>
<td><strong>Perfect</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>stem</strong></td>
<td><strong>stem</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iarem</td>
<td>ivissem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iares</td>
<td>ivisses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iaret</td>
<td>ivisset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iaremus</td>
<td>ivissemus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iaretis</td>
<td>ivissetis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iarent</td>
<td>ivissent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Imperative**

2\textsuperscript{nd} singular: i
2\textsuperscript{nd} plural: i-te

\* As a curiosity: the 2\textsuperscript{nd} singular imperative is the shortest possible sentence in Latin: I! Go!

**Participles**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Past</th>
<th>Future</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>iens, euntis</td>
<td>non-existent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive</td>
<td>non-existent</td>
<td>non-existent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\* Observe the internal change of stem in the present participle.
\* The use of the future passive participle will be explained in the section on Impersonal verbs.

**Infinitives**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Past</th>
<th>Future</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>ire</td>
<td>isse</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Gerund and supine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gerund</th>
<th>Supine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acc.</td>
<td>eundum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen.</td>
<td>eundi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dat.</td>
<td>eundo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abl.</td>
<td>eundo</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Some examples:

- Non *it*, negat se *ituram [esse]*  She is not going, she says she will not go  (Plautus, *Bacchides*).
- Turba miratur matrum et prospectat *euntem*  The crowd of mothers is astonished and looks at her as she passes by  (Vergil, *Aeneis*).
  ✤ Literally, “looks at the passer-by”.
- Nunc ad conloquium *eundo* temptavi pacem  Now, by going to a meeting, I tried to achieve peace  (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).
- *Ibo ad forum*  I will go to the forum  (Statius, *Palliatae*).
- Obviam hosti consules *eunt*  The consuls go to confront the enemy  (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).

Compounds of *eo*

This verb has several compounds, the main ones of which are these (as usual, each one of them may have several translations into English, we offer here the basic one):

| abeo     | TO GO AWAY   |
| adeo     | TO APPROACH  |
| circumeo | TO GO AROUND |
| exeo     | TO GO OUT    |
| ineo     | TO ENTER     |
| transeo  | TO CROSS     |

| obeo     | TO GO TO MEET, TO OPPOSE |
| pereo    | TO DIE           |
| praeeo   | TO GO IN THE FIRST POSITION |
| redeo    | TO GO BACK      |

A very important characteristic is that the perfect-tense stem loses the –v– in a lot of these verbs (although both forms can be found): transii / transivi, circumii / circumivi, etc.

Some examples:

- Quo illae *abeunt*?  Where are those women going to?  (Plautus, *Bacchides*).
- *Exeuntatem* me puer secutus est  When I went out, the boy followed me  (Seneca senior, *Controversiae*).
- Posteaquam Verres magistratum *iniit*, ...  After Verres became magistrate  (Cicero, *In Verrem*).
  ✤ Literally,  After Verres entered the magistracy, ...
- Legati gentium regem *adibant*  Ambassadors of peoples went to the king  (Curtius Rufus, *Historiae Alexandri Magni*).
- Ceteri qui in legatione mortem *obierunt* ...  The other ones who, in the legation, went to meet death ...
  (Cicero, *Philippicae*).
- *Redeuntes* equites quos possunt consequantur atque occidunt  They pursue the horsemen they can and they kill them on their way back  (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).
5. Verb *fero* and its compounds

The verb means **TO BEAR, TO CARRY**, and its principal parts are *fero, ferre, tuli, latum*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicative</th>
<th>Subjunctive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Present-stem</strong></td>
<td><strong>Perfect-stem</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Perfect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>fero</em></td>
<td><em>tuli</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>fers</em></td>
<td><em>tulisti</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>fert</em></td>
<td><em>tulit</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ferimus</em></td>
<td><em>tulimus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>fertis</em></td>
<td><em>tulistis</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ferunt</em></td>
<td><em>tulerunt / -ere</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imperfect</td>
<td>Pluperfect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ferebam</em></td>
<td><em>tuleram</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ferebas</em></td>
<td><em>tularas</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ferebat</em></td>
<td><em>tulerat</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ferebamus</em></td>
<td><em>tuleramus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ferebatis</em></td>
<td><em>tuleratis</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ferebant</em></td>
<td><em>tulerant</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Future Future perfect

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present-stem</th>
<th>Perfect-stem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>feram</em></td>
<td><em>tulero</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>feres</em></td>
<td><em>tuleris</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>feret</em></td>
<td><em>tulerit</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>feremus</em></td>
<td><em>tulerimus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>feretis</em></td>
<td><em>tuleritis</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ferent</em></td>
<td><em>tulerint</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

✎ As usual, the irregularities concentrate on the present tense. Note also that the future is formed as if the verb belonged to the 3rd conjugation.

**Imperative**

*2nd singular*  *fer*  *2nd plural*  *ferte*

**Note**

There are three other verbs that also drop the final *–e* in the imperative singular:

facio:  *fac*
duco:  *duc*
dico:  *dic*
Participles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Past</th>
<th>Future</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>ferens, -ntis</td>
<td>non-existent</td>
<td>laturus, -a, -um</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive</td>
<td>non-existent</td>
<td>latus, -a, -um</td>
<td>ferendus, -a, -um</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Infinitives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Past</th>
<th>Future</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>ferre</td>
<td>tulisse</td>
<td>laturum, -am, -um esse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive</td>
<td>ferri</td>
<td>latum, -am, -um esse</td>
<td>latum iri</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Gerund and supine

Gerund

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Gerund</th>
<th>Supine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acc.</td>
<td>ferendum</td>
<td>latum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen.</td>
<td>ferendi</td>
<td>♦ The supine latus is unusual.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dat.</td>
<td>ferendo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abl.</td>
<td>ferendo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Some examples:

- **Timeo Danaos et dona ferentis** I FEAR THE GREEKS, EVEN WHEN THEY BRING GIFTS  (Virgil, Aeneis).
- **Quid iste fert tumultus?** WHAT DOES THIS BUSTLE BRING? (Horace, Epodi).
- **Nihil erat latum de me** NOTHING HAD BEEN BROUGHT (NO REQUIREMENT HAD BEEN MADE) ABOUT ME  (Cicero, De Domo Sua).
- **Hoc ferendum nullo modo est** THIS DOES NOT HAVE TO BE TOLERATED IN ANY WAY  (Cicero, In Verrem).

♦ A very common use of this verb is its 3rd person fertur, in the meaning of IT IS SAID, as if it were dicitur:

- **Fertur Caesarem viciisse** IT IS SAID THAT CAESAR HAS CONQUERED.

Compounds of fero

This verb has several compounds, and the fact that its main parts begin with different consonants produces some changes in the prepositional prefixes; the main ones of these compounds are these (as usual, each one of them may have several translations into English, we offer here the basic one):

- **aufero, auferre, abstuli, ablatum** TO REMOVE
- **confero, conferre, contuli, collatum** TO PUT TOGETHER, TO SUMMARISE
- **effero, efferre, extuli, elatum** TO TAKE OUT, TO MAKE PUBLIC
infero, inferre, intuli, illatum  
offero, offerre, obtuli, oblatum  
praefero, praeferre, praetuli, praelatum  
profero, proferre, protuli, prolatum  
refero, referre, rettuli, relatum  
suffero, sufferre, sustuli, sublatum

TO INFER, TO TAKE INTO
TO OFFER, TO PUT FORWARD
TO PRESENT
TO PUT FORWARD
TO BRING BACK, TO RELATE
TO BEAR, TO SUFFER, TO TAKE AWAY

Some examples:

- Neminem huic *praefero* I prefer nobody to this one (Nepos, *Vitae*).
- Cum Romam profectus sum, zonas, quas plenas argenti extuli, eas ex provincia inanes retuli When I set out to Rome, the money-belts that I took out full of silver, I brought them back from the province empty (Sempronius Gracchus, *Orationes*).
- Signum Apollinis pulcherrimum ex Aesculapi religiosissimo fano sustulisti? Did you take away from the most holy temple of Aesculapius a very nice statue of Apollo (Cicero, *In Verrem*).
- In paucia, ut occupatus nunc sum, confer quid velis Summarise in few words what you want, because I am busy (Plautus, *Pseudolus*).
- At hic nihil domum suam intulit praeter memoriam nominis sempiternam But this one brought nothing into his house but an eternal memory of his name (Cicero, *De Officiis*).
- Cum bellum civitas aut inlatum defendit aut infert ... When a state repels a war waged against it or wages it ... (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).
6. Verb *edo*

The verb means *to eat*, and its principal parts are *edo, esse, edi, esum*. As can be seen, the infinitive is identical to that of the verb *sum*, and also some forms in the present indicative.

تصفية: There is another verb, *edo, edere, edidi, editum* *to give out*. Do not confuse them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicative</th>
<th>Subjunctive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Present-stem</strong></td>
<td><strong>Perfect-stem</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Perfect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>edo</td>
<td>ed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>edis / es</td>
<td>edisti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>edit / est</td>
<td>edit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>edimus</td>
<td>edimus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>editis / estis</td>
<td>edistis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>edunt</td>
<td>ederunt / -ere</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Imperfect**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present-stem</th>
<th>Pluperfect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>edebam</td>
<td>ederam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>edebas</td>
<td>ederas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>edebat</td>
<td>ederat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>edebamus</td>
<td>ederamus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>edebatis</td>
<td>ederatis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>edebant</td>
<td>ederant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Future**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Future perfect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>edam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>edes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>edet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>edemus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>edetis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>edent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that the future is formed as if the verb belonged to the 1st or 2nd conjugation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imperative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2nd singular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd plural</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Participles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Past</th>
<th>Future</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>edens, -ntis</td>
<td>non-existent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Infinitives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Past</th>
<th>Future</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>edere / esse</td>
<td>edisse</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Gerund and supine

Gerund

| Acc. | edendum |
| Gen. | edendi |
| Dat. | edendo |
| Abl. | edendo |

Supine

|  | esum |
|  | esu |

Some examples:

- *Me magnus edebat amor*  
  A GREAT LOVE WAS CONSUMING ME  
  (Catullus, *Carmina*).

- *Non ut edam vivo, sed ut vivam edo*  
  I DO NOT LIVE IN ORDER TO EAT, BUT EAT IN ORDER TO LIVE  
  (Fabius Quintilianus, *Institutio Oratoria*).

- *Carnes vero lupi edisse parituris prodest aut si incipientibus parturire sit iuxta qui ederit*  
  TO EAT WOLF FLESH IS BENEFICIAL FOR WOMEN NEAR TO GIVE BIRTH OR IF, WHEN THEY BEGIN TO GIVE BIRTH, SOMEONE WHO HAS EATEN IT IS NEXT TO THEM  
  (Pliny, *Historia Naturalis*).  
  ☠ In fact, *edisse* means TO HAVE EATEN, IT IS A PERFECT INFinitive.
7. Verb **fio**

This verb is a special case of semi-deponent. It means TO BECOME, TO HAPPEN, TO BE DONE, TO TAKE PLACE (observe that some of the translations sound passive in English, while others sound active). The present-stem tenses are conjugated in active voice, and the perfect-stem tenses in passive voice, but like the passive of facio, -ere, feci, factum (TO HAPPEN, TO TAKE PLACE, etc. mean in fact TO BE DONE).

Its principal parts are fio, fieri, factus sum. Observe that the infinitive itself is passive, while normal semi-deponent verbs have it active.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicative</th>
<th>Subjunctive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Present-stem</strong></td>
<td><strong>Perfect-stem</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Present</strong></td>
<td><strong>Present</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fio</td>
<td>factus, -a, -um</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fis</td>
<td>+ sum, es, est</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fit</td>
<td>facti, -ae, -a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fimus</td>
<td>+ sumus, estis, sunt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fitis</td>
<td>fiunt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Imperfect</strong></td>
<td><strong>Pluperfect</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fiebam</td>
<td>factus, -a, -um</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fiebas</td>
<td>+ eram, eras, erat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fiebat</td>
<td>facti, -ae, -a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fiebamus</td>
<td>+ eramus, eratis, erant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fiebatis</td>
<td>fierent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fiebant</td>
<td><strong>Future</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fiam</td>
<td>factus, -a, -um</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fies</td>
<td>+ ero, eris, erit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fiets</td>
<td>facti, -ae, -a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fiemit</td>
<td>+ erimus, eritis, erunt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes**

a/ The future is formed as if the verb belonged to the 3rd conjugation.

b/ The imperfect subjunctive is formed as if on an imaginary active infinitive fieri + personal endings.
Imperative

- 2nd singular: fi
- 2nd plural: fite

Participles

[It is obvious that these forms correspond to those of the verb facio.]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Past</th>
<th>Future</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Passive</td>
<td>non-existent</td>
<td>factus, -a, -um</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Whether the perfect participle has active or passive meaning, it will depend on the translation we use according to the sentence: done, made, will obviously have a passive meaning in English, while for instance happened will have an active one.

Infinitives

[It is obvious that, except fieri, these forms correspond to those of the verb facio.]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Past</th>
<th>Future</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Passive</td>
<td>fieri</td>
<td>factum, -am, -um esse</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Gerund

non-existent

In the examples we can see the variety of meanings:

- *Fit* in hostis impetus  
  AN ATTACK AGAINST THE ENEMY TAKES PLACE  
  (Caesar, *Bellum Civile*).
- Cur iste *fit* consul?  
  WHY DOES THIS MAN BECOME CONSUL?  
  (Cassius Longinus, *Oratio*).
- Quid deinde *fit*?  
  WHAT HAPPENS THEN?  
  (Cicero, *Pro Quinctio*).
- *Fit* sermo inter eos  
  A CONVERSATION AMONG THEM TAKES PLACE  
  (Cicero, *In Verrem*).
- Quid *vis* fieri?  
  WHAT DO YOU WANT TO HAPPEN / TO BE DONE?  
  (Plautus, *Amphitruo*).
- *Id* fieri non potest  
  THIS CAN NOT HAPPEN  
  (Cicero, *De Fato*).
- *Quid enim factum est?*  
  WHAT HAPPENED?  
  (Cicero, *Pro Roscio Comoedo*).
- Hoc Verre praetore *factum est* solum? Non, sed etiam quae estore Caecilo  
  DID THIS TAKE PLACE ONLY WHEN VERRES WAS PRAETOR? NO, BUT ALSO WHEN CAECILIUS WAS QUAESTOR  
  (Cicero, *In Q. Caecillium*).
- Pater conscriptus repente *factus est*  
  SUDDENLY, HE WAS APPOINTED SENATOR  
  (Cicero, *Philippicae*).
- *Factus est* a nostris impetus  
  AN ATTACK WAS MADE BY OUR PEOPLE  
  (Cicero, *Epistulae ad Quintum Fratrem*).
8. Defective verbs

Defective verbs are those that do not have a complete conjugation.

a) The most frequent ones

- **Odi**  **TO HATE**

This verb is used only in the perfect (right-hand side) tenses, but each of the three tenses has the meaning of the corresponding one found on the left-hand side:

- The perfect  **odi**  means I HATE,  NOT I HATED.
- The pluperfect  **oderam**  means I HATED,  NOT I HAD HATED.
- The future perfect  **odero**  means I WILL HATE,  NOT I WILL HAVE HATED.

- *Odi et amo*  I HATE AND I LOVE  (Catullus, *Carmina*).
- *Oderam hominem*  I HATED THAT MAN  (Cicero, *Epistulae ad Atticum*).

- **Coepi**  **TO BEGIN**

The same as before: it has only perfect tenses, but with the difference that in this verb these tenses keep their proper meaning: the perfect does mean perfect, etc.:

- The perfect  **coepi**  means I BEGAN.
- The pluperfect  **coeperam**  means I HAD BEGUN.
- The future perfect  **coepero**  means I WILL HAVE BEGUN.

- *Eo se recipere coeperunt*  THEY BEGAN TO RETREAT THERE  (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).
- *Interim miris modis odisse coepit Sostratam*  MEANWHILE HE BEGAN TO HATE SOSTRATA IN AN INCREDIBLE WAY  (Terentius Afer, *Hecyra*).

- In case the present-stem tenses are needed, we can make use of the verb *incipio*

- **Memini**  **TO REMEMBER**

The same case as *odi*: perfect-stem tenses only, but with present-stem meaning:

- The perfect  **memini**  means I REMEMBER,  NOT I REMEMBERED.
- The pluperfect  **memineram**  means I REMEMBERED,  NOT I HAD REMEMBERED.
- The future perfect  **meminero**  means I WILL REMEMBER,  NOT I WILL HAVE REMEMBERED.

- *Nunc uxorem me esse meministi tuam?*  NOW DO YOU REMEMBER THAT I AM YOUR WIFE?  (Plautus, *Asinaria*).

This is one of the few verbs that we can find conjugated in the future imperative, in the forms  **memento**  (sing.) /  **mementote**  (pl.):

- *Illud semper memento*  ALWAYS REMEMBER THAT  (Cicero, *Epistulae ad Familiares*).
- *Mementote illud, advocati*  REMEMBER THAT, COUNSELLORS.
- *Meminimus*  WE REMEMBER IT  (Plautus, *Poenulus*).
Novi  TO KNOW

The same case as odi: perfect-stem tenses only, but with present-stem meaning:

- The perfect novi means I KNOW, NOT I KNEW.
- The pluperfect noveram means I KNEW, NOT I HAD KNOWN.
- The future perfect novero means I WILL KNOW, NOT I WILL HAVE KNOWN.

- Sed, si ego hos bene novi; ... BUT, IF I KNOW THESE PEOPLE WELL, ... (Cicero, Pro Roscio Amerino).
- Qui sunt in lecto illo altero? WHO ARE THERE IN THAT OTHER BED?
  - Interii, miser POOR ME, I AM LOST.
  - Hominem novisti? DO YOU KNOW THE MAN?
  - Novi I KNOW HIM (Plautus, Bacchides).

In fact, this verb is the perfect tense of nosco TO GET TO KNOW, but the perfect developed a present meaning, in the sense of I HAVE GOT TO KNOW therefore I KNOW.

b) Other defective verbs

- Verbs of saying: aio, inquam and fatur

1/ Aio and inquam, both meaning TO SAY, have only some forms, but not the same ones. For instance, aio has some forms of the present (aio, ais, ait, aiunt), all of the imperfect (aiebam, aiebas, etc.) and one perfect form (ait), while inquam has present (inquam, inquis, inquit, inquiunt), no imperfect, but it has some future forms (inquies, inquiet), and also a perfect (inquit).

  - “Inimicum ego”, inquis, “accuso meum” YOU SAY “I ACCUSE MY ENEMY” (Cicero, Pro Sulla).

  ◊ Observe that inquam is usually placed in the middle of the reproduced words.

2/ With respect to fatur TO SPEAK, it is deponent, and apart from fatur itself hardly any other personal forms are found:

  - Sic fatur lacrimans SO HE SPEAKS IN TEARS (Vergil, Aeneis).

Its gerund fando can be found often, but more than SAYING it means rather BY WORD:

  - ... scelera nefaria, quae neque fando neque legendo audivimus .... ABOMINABLE CRIMES THAT WE HAVE HEARD NEITHER BY WORD NOR BY READING (Porcius Cato, Orationes).

- Queo TO BE ABLE and nequeo NOT TO BE ABLE

Only some forms of different tenses are used.

  - Non queo iam plura scribere I CAN NOT WRITE ANY MORE (Cicero, Epistulae ad Familiaris).
  - Durare nequeo in aedibus I CAN NOT REMAIN IN THE HOUSE (Plautus, Amphitruo).
Quaesum TO PRAY

Only the present tense is used. This verb is used to soften a requirement, we could say that it is the equivalent to the English word PLEASE:

- Attendite, quaeas, diligentur PAY CLOSE ATTENTION, PLEASE (Cicero, Pro Caecina).

This verb must not be confused with the verb quaerere, quaesivus, quaesitum TO SEEK.
g) Overview of peculiar constructions

1. Previous notes

Many verbs present some peculiarities in the way they are used, and not only in the case they use (as for instance utor uses the ablative: utor gladio I USE A SWORD) but also in other respects: whether they are followed by a subordinate clause or by an infinitive clause, etc.

This is not an exhaustive list of all possible usages, but we will try to offer a summary of the most frequent ones, presented by alphabetical order of the verbs. In any case, let’s take into account that this is a field in which exceptions can be found, especially in poetry, where the use of the language is much more free.

Some of the usages may appear also in other parts of this grammar; for instance, the regime of impero is presented also in the chapter of indirect commands, utor followed by an ablative is presented also in the chapter of regime of verbs, etc.

2. List of verbs: peculiar constructions

☐ abdico, -are, -avi, -atum TO ABDICATE

This verb is reflexive in Latin: you abdicate “yourself” from something:

- Magistratu se abdicavit HE ABDICATED FROM THE MAGISTRACY (Cicero, In Catilinam).

☆ Do not confuse this verb with abdico, -ere, -dixi, -dictum TO REFUSE.

☐ accedo, -ere, -cessi, -cessum TO APPROACH

1/ This verb usually rules a prepositional object of direction:

- Caesar ad castra accessit CAESAR APPROACHED THE CAMP.

2/ When use with an acusative of person, without preposition, it may mean TO APPROACH in a sense of “trying to obtain their friendship”:

- Caesarem accedo I TRY TO OBTAIN CAESAR’S FRIENDSHIP.

But this is not always so, it may also mean TO APPROACH SOMEBODY in the sense of TO ACCOST.

3/ When used with a dative, it means TO AGREE WITH:

- Caesari accedo I AGREE WITH CAESAR.

But it may also mean TO FALL UPON:

- Caesari semper multae curae accedunt MANY WORRIES ARE ALWAYS FALLING UPON CAESAR.
As many other verbs, it can rule two accusatives (a direct object and a predicative object):

- *Te appelant sapientem*  They call you wise.
- *Beatiorum hanc appellos*  I call (consider) this woman happier  (Cicero, De Finibus).

Do not confuse this verb with *appello, -ere, -puli, -pulsus*  TO PUSH

This deponent verb can rule two accusatives:

- *Me arbitror civem Romanum*  I consider myself a Roman citizen.
  ❧ It could be argued that in fact it rules an infinitive clause, with the infinitive *esse* to be supplied:
  Me arbitror civem Romanum *esse*.

1/ The place from which we fall can be expressed either with *de* or with *ex*:

- *Cado de/ex equo*  I fall off the horse.

2/ Or even in ablative without preposition:

  *caelo cadere*  TO FALL FROM HEAVEN

3/ But the ablative may also be used as agent when the verb has the meaning of  TO DIE:

- *In proelio cecidit manu Caesaris*  He fell in the battle at the hands of Caesar.

1/ The thing that we lack can be either in genitive or in ablative:

- *Cereo pecunia/pecuniae*  I lack money.
- *In hac solitudine cereo omnium colloquio*  In this solitude I lack conversation with everybody (Cicero, Epistulae ad Atticum).

2/ But if it is represented by a pronoun, it can be in accusative:

- *Quia id quod amo cereo*  Because I lack what I love  (Plautus, Curculio).

1/ It may rule an accusative:

- *Res novas cogito*  I plan to make a revolt.
- *Quid cogitas?*  What do you think?

2/ But it may also rule *de + Abl.:

- *De meo patre cogito*  I think about my father.
committo, -ere, -misi, -mssum  TO COMMIT, TO ENTRUST

1/ When used with a dative, it has the meaning of  TO ENTRUST:

- Quod si te committere nobis times, ... BUT IF YOU ARE AFRAID OF ENTRUSTING YOURSELF TO US, ...
  (Curtius Rufus, Historiae Alexandri Magni).

2/ Otherwise it will mean  TO COMMIT:

- Sacrilegium a se commissum esse dixit HE SAID THAT THE SACRILEGE HAD BEEN COMMITTED BY HIMSELF
  (Quintilian, Declamationes Minores).

concedo, -ere, -cessi, -cessum  TO YIELD

1/ With a dative it keeps its original meaning of yielding:

- Hostibus concessimus WE YIELDED TO THE ENEMY.

2/ With an accusative, it means  TO CONCEDE, TO GIVE:

- Libertatem praedonibus concedit dux THE GENERAL GIVES THE FREEDOM TO THE PIRATES.
- Sed concedo id quoque BUT I ALSO CONCEDE THIS  (Cicero, Pro Flacco).

But it may also have the meaning of  TO LEAVE BEHIND:

- Meum dolorem concedo I LEAVE MY PAIN BEHIND.

credo, -ere, credidi, creditum  TO TRUST

Although the normal regime of this verb is with an object in the dative, we can find it also with an accusative in the meaning of entrusting something (and the person to whom we entrust it will be in the dative):

- Tibi credo meos filios I ENTRUST MY CHILDREN TO YOU.

disco, -ere, didici (no supine)  TO LEARN

1/ With an accusative, it has the meaning of learning:

- Litterae disco  I LEARN LITERATURE.

2/ but with an ablative it has the meaning of getting instructed in:

- Armis disco  I LEARN HOW TO HANDLE THE WEAPONS / HOW TO FIGHT.
  ◊ Obviously, the verb has here the intransitive meaning of  TO LEARN  and armis is just an instrumental ablative: I LEARN WITH THE WEAPONS.

- Gladiatores gravioribus armis discunt quam pugnant GLADIATORS GET INSTRUCTED WITH WEAPONS HEAVIER THAN THOSE WITH WHICH THEY FIGHT (Seneca senior, Controversiae).
Overview of peculiar constructions

- doleo, -ere, -ui, -itum  TO FEEL PAIN

1/ If it is a temporary pain, it is constructed with a + Abl.:

  - Doleo ab oculis  I FEEL PAIN IN MY EYES  (Plautus, Cistellaria).
    ♦ I feel this pain now, in this moment.

2/ But if it is a permanent pain due to for instance age, it is constructed with an accusative of respect:

  - Doleo oculos  I HAVE SIGHT PROBLEMS.

3/ If we feel emotional sorrow for somebody’s hard circumstances, it is constructed with ex or de + Abl., or just ablative without preposition:

  - De Caesare doleo  I FEEL SORROW FOR CAESAR.
  - Doleo tanta calamitate miseriaque sociorum  I FEEL SORROW FOR SO MUCH DISGRACE AND MISERY OF THE ALLIES  (Cicero, In Verrem).

4/ But we can mention only the person, in the Acc. In that case, although obviously there must be some painful circumstances affecting that person, the sense is that we feel sorry for that person rather than for his/her circumstances (a difference more grammatical than otherwise):

  - Caesarem doleo  I FEEL SORRY FOR CAESAR.

- dono, -are, -avi, -atum  TO PRESENT, TO REWARD

While do, dare means just TO GIVE, dono, donare means TO PRESENT, TO REWARD. It can be used in two ways:

1/ With an accusative of the rewarded person and an ablative of the thing with which we reward this person:

  - Dono milites pecunia  I REWARD THE SOLDIERS WITH MONEY.
  - ... pateram ... qua hodie meus vir donavit me ...  THE BOWL WITH WHICH MY HUSBAND HAS PRESENTED ME TODAY  (Plautus, Amphitruo).

2/ With an accusative of the given reward and a dative of the person we reward (in this aspect, like the verb do, dare):

  - Dono pecuniam militibus  I GIVE MONEY TO THE SOLDIERS AS A REWARD.

- dubito, -are, -avi, -atum  TO DOUBT, TO HESITATE

1/ When accompanied by an infinitive, it should be translated by TO HESITATE:

  - Dubito pugnare  I HESITATE TO FIGHT.

2/ But if it is accompanied by a subordinate clause, it should be translated by TO DOUBT:

  - Dubito num Caesar venerit  I DOUBT WHETHER CAESAR HAS COME.

3/ If accompanied by an object, this object will usually be expressed by de + Abl.:

  - Sed de hoc non dubito  BUT I HAVE NO DOUBTS ABOUT THIS  (Cicero, In Pisonem).

♦ About further constructions with this verb, please check also Point 13 Quominus and quin clauses in the chapter on Subordinate clauses.
fugio, -ere, fugi, fugitum  TO FLEE

1/ Although the most frequent use of this verb is intransitive, it can also rule an accusative, in which case it should be translated by  TO FLEE AWAY FROM, TO AVOID:

- *Vinum fugio*  I REFRAIN FROM WINE.
- *Hostes fugio*  I FLEE FROM THE ENEMY.
- *Odi enim celebritatem, fugio homines*  I HATE CROWDS, I AVOID PEOPLE  (Cicero, *Epistulae ad Atticum*).

2/ In this transitive use, it can also mean  TO REJECT:

- *Ciceronem iudicem fugio*  I REJECT CICERO AS JUDGE.

3/ Or even  TO MISS SOMEBODY’S ATTENTION...

- *Hoc me non fugit*  I REALISED THIS / THIS DID NOT ESCAPE MY ATTENTION.

... or  TO MISS SOMEBODY’S MEMORY:

- *Fugit me hoc facere*  I FORGOT TO DO THIS.

gaeudoe, -ere, gavisus sum  TO REJOICE

1/ This semi-deponent verb can rule either in + Abl. or ablative alone:

- *Gaudeo in tua victoria / Gaudeo tua victoria*  I AM GLAD BECAUSE OF YOUR VICTORY.

2/ We can find it also with an accusative:

- *Gaudeo tuam victoriam*  I REJOICE IN YOUR VICTORY.

† But in this case it may have more the sense of enjoying a specific event: the celebration for the victory, for instance.

3/ And, as expected, it can also be used with a completive sentence:

- *Gaudeo quad vicisti*  I AM GLAD BECAUSE YOU HAVE WON.
- *Salvom (= salvum) te advenire gaudeo*  I AM GLAD THAT YOU HAVE ARRIVED SAFE AND SOUND  (Plautus, *Bacchides*).

habeo, -ere, habui, habitum  TO HAVE

1/ Apart from the usual meaning of  TO HAVE, when used with two accusatives it has the meaning of  TO CONSIDER:

- *Te amicum habeo*  I CONSIDER YOU A FRIEND.

And the same meaning can be achieved using pro + Abl.:

- *Te pro amico habeo*  (same meaning).

2/ With a gerundive, it may have the meaning of  MUST, OUGHT:

- *dicendum habeo*  I MUST SAY.
impero, -are, -avi, -atum  TO ORDER, TO COMMAND

It rules an ut clause, not an infinitive:

- Impero tibi ut maneas  I ORDER YOU TO REMAIN.

invideo, -ere, -vidi, -visum  TO ENVY, TO DEPRIVE OF

The possible constructions that this verb may have are several:

1/ Its most usual construction is with a dative of the person who is envied:

- Ciceroni invidebant  THEY ENVIED CICERO.

2/ If we want to mention also the reason for the envy, we can express it by means of in + Abl.:

- Ciceroni in fama invidebant  THEY ENVIED CICERO’S FAME.

3/ Or in dative if only the reason is mentioned:

- Nemo tum novitati invidebat  NOBODY THEN ENVIED HIS CHARACTERISTIC OF BEING A NEW MAN  (Cicero, Philippicae).

4/ But the same construction without the preposition will mean TO DEPRIVE OF:

- Ciceroni fama invidebant  THEY DEPRIVED CICERO OF FAME.

5/ The same sense of depriving somebody of something can be expressed by putting the deprived thing in accusative:

- Ciceroni famam invidebant  (same meaning).

6/ And, as expected, this verb can also rule a subordinate clause:

- Invideo Ciceronem quod praecelaram famam habet  I ENVY CICERO BECAUSE OF THE GOOD FAME HE HAS.

iubeo, -ere, iussi, iussum  TO ORDER

Although the normal construction of this verb is accusative + infinitive ... 

- Senatus iussit Caesarem pugnare  THE SENATE ORDERED CAESAR TO FIGHT

... we can also find it with ut + subjunctive:

- Senatus iussit ut Caesar pugnaret  THE SENATE ORDERED THAT CAESAR SHOULD FIGHT.

The difference is that in the infinitive construction it is a very direct order given to Caesar (even if not present), while in the ut construction it is more a decision that further ahead will be communicated to him.

- Iussit ut invicem se occiderent  HE ORDERED THAT THEY SHOULD KILL EACH OTHER  (Quintilian, Declamationes Minores).
libero, -are, -avi, -atum  TO FREE

It rules an accusative of the person we free and an ablative from the person/thing etc. from which we free it:

- Me liberaverunt servitute  THEY FREED ME FROM SERVITUDE.

maneo, -ere, mansi, mansum  TO REMAIN, TO EXPECT

1/ This verb is usually intransitive, with the meaning of  TO REMAIN:

- In urbe mansit  HE REMAINED IN THE CITY.

2/ But it can also be transitive, and then it has the meaning of  TO EXPECT:

- Mala mors te manet  A BAD DEATH EXPECTS YOU.
  ◊ In the sense of something that destiny has reserved for you.

metuo, -ere, metui (no supine)  TO FEAR

Although the normal construction of this verb is the usual one dealt with in the chapter of Fear Clauses, we should point out also this option: ab aliquo metuere  TO BE AFRAID OF SOMEONE

- Cumas se propere recepit, ab Hannibale metuens  HE WITHDREW TO CUMAE IN HASTE, AFRAID OF HANNIBAL (Livy, Ab Urbe Condita).

minor, -ari, minatus sum  TO THREATEN

There are two possible constructions:

1/ You threaten somebody (in Dat.) with something (in Abl.):

- Magister discipulo minatur poena  THE TEACHER THREATENS THE STUDENT WITH A PUNISHMENT.

2/ You threaten something (in Acc.) to somebody (in Dat.):

- Magister discipulo minatur poenam  (same meaning)
  ◊ Literally, THE TEACHER THREATENS A PUNISHMENT TO THE STUDENT.
- Numquid mihi minatur?  WITH WHAT DOES HE THREATEN ME?  (Plautus, Casina).

In a summary: the person you threaten is always in dative, but the thing with which you threaten them can be either in accusative or in ablative.

mitto, -ere, misi, missum  TO SEND

Apart from its normal use with a direct and an indirect object, the use of this verb with a supine with purpose sense is very frequent:

- Legatos ad Caesarem mittunt rogatum auxilium  THEY SEND AMBASSADORS TO CAESAR TO ASK FOR HELP (Caesar, De Bello Gallico).
muto, -are, - avi, - atum  TO CHANGE

1/ In its transitive meaning of TO SWAP, the object by which we swap something can be expressed either with cum or with pro (both followed by an ablative):

- Mutavi meos libros cum/pro pecunia  I CHANGED MY BOOKS FOR MONEY.

2/ It can also be used intransitively:

- Leges semper mutant  LAWS ARE ALWAYS CHANGING.

eño, -are, - avi, - atum  TO DENY

1/ Apart from its basic meaning of TO DENY SOMETHING, this verb is also used when we want to make a negative statement. A sentence like I SAY THAT CAESAR HAS NOT BEEN IN GAUL should be written as

Nego Caesarem in Gallia fuisse  ∧ Literally, I DENY THAT CAESAR HAS BEEN IN GAUL.

2/ A typical mistake is to write Dico Caesarem in Gallia non fuisse.

So, instead of dico ... non we must use nego:

- Negavit quemquam esse in civitate praeter se qui id efficere posset  HE SAID THAT THERE WAS NO ONE IN THE CITY EXCEPT HIM WHO COULD CARRY IT OUT (Cicero, Pro Cluentio).
  ∧ Literally, HE DENIED THAT THERE WAS ANYBODY IN THE CITY WHO...

ebo, -ere, nupsi, nuptum  TO MARRY

This verb is used when talking about a woman marrying a man (the expression of a man marrying a woman is uxorem duco), and it has these two possible constructions:

1/ cum aliquo nubere  ∧ Literally, TO MARRY WITH SOMEBODY.

2/ alicui nubere  ∧ Literally, TO MARRY TO SOMEBODY.

- Brevi tempore post patris mortem nupsit A. Aurio Melino A SHORT TIME AFTER HER FATHER’S DEATH, SHE MARRIED A. AULIUS MERINUS (Cicero, Pro Cluentio).

obligo, -are, -avi, -atum  TO TIE, TO COMPEL

This verb has a peculiar construction when used reflexively and with an ablative:

- Me obligavi scelere  I TIED MYSELF TO A CRIME / I COMMITTED A CRIME.
  ∧ Literally, I TIED MYSELF WITH A CRIME, and of course this is due to the image of the subject tying himself to legal responsibilities.
pereo, -ire, -ivi, -itum  TO PERISH

Although it is an active verb, it can have an agent object: the person at whose hands the subject dies. If one dies at somebody’s hands, it can be considered that  TO PERISH = TO BE KILLED, so that the presence of an agent object makes more sense:

- A Gallis periit  HE DIED AT THE HANDS OF THE GAULS.

Obviously, the other verbs that mean TO DIE (morior, cado) can also use an agent object. And this passive sense can be found also in expressions like calescere a sole  TO GET WARMER BY THE SUN (literal translation).

persuadeo, -ere, -suasi, suasum  TO PERSUADE

We can find this verb used impersonally (and let’s remember that this verb rules a dative):

- Caesari autem persuasum est se salvum esse non posse  CAESAR WAS SURE THAT HE COULD NOT BE SAFE (Cicero, Epistulae ad Familiares).

peto, -ere, petivi, petitum  TO ASK FOR, TO REQUEST

The person from whom we request something is expressed by the preposition a + Abl.:

- A te hoc peto  I REQUEST THIS FROM YOU.

placeo, -ere, placui (no supine)  TO PLEASE

1/ Although this verb has no proper supine, we can find its passive past participle in the expression placitum est  IT SEEMED WELL:

- Placitum est mihi ut postularem ...  IT SEEMED WELL TO ME (I DECIDED) TO DEMAND ...
  (Cicero, Epistulae ad Familiares).

2/ But the two most frequent ways of expressing this would have been

- Mihi placuit postulare ...  AND  Mihi placuit ut postularem ...

praeficio, -ere, -feci, -fectum  TO PLACE SOMEBODY IN COMMAND OF SOMETHING

1/ This verb rules an Acc. for the person we place in front and a Dat. for the institution/thing etc. in front of which we place that person:

- Caesar Marcum legioni praefecit  CAESAR PLACED MARCUS IN COMMAND OF THE LEGION.

It could be said that the accusative depends on the verb facio (ficio here) and the dative depends on the praef (something not very orthodox to say, because in fact praef is not a preposition in itself and moreover, even if it were, there is no preposition in Latin that rules a dative).
praesto, -are, -stiti, -atum  TO STAY FORWARD, TO EXCEL

In its sense of TO BE AHEAD, it is constructed with a dative of the person ahead of whom you are and an ablative of the field in which you are ahead:

- Marcus praestat aliis discipulis (Dat.) litteris (Abl.)  MARCUS IS AHEAD OF THE OTHER STUDENTS IN LITERATURE.

prohibeo, -ere, -bui, -bitum  TO HINDER, TO RESTRAIN

1/ The place (person, activity, etc.) away from which we keep someone can be expressed either by ablative alone or preceded by the preposition a:

- Te prohibeo civitate / a civitate I KEEP YOU AWAY FROM THE CITY.
- ... ut ... exercitum itinere prohiberent ...SO THAT THEY MIGHT PREVENT THE ARMY FROM MOVING FORWARD (Caesar, Bellum Civile).

2/ For the uses of prohibeo with quominus and quin, please see the corresponding section in the chapter on Subordinate Clauses.

respondeo, -ere, respondi, responsum  TO ANSWER

1/ As a general rule, the person whom we answer will be in accusative:

- Me statim respondit  HE ANSWERED ME IMMEDIATELY.

2/ But if we mention also the content of the answer, not only the receiver, the content is what is put in accusative, and the receiver of the answer is put in dative:

- Hoc mihi respondit  HE ANSWERED ME THIS.
- Aliud ergo nunc tibi respondeo  SO, I ANSWER YOU SOMETHING ELSE  (Plautus, Mostellaria).

3/ And if we mention only the thing to which somebody answers, we can put it either in dative or with ad + Acc.:

- Respondit litteris / Respondit ad litteras  HE ANSWERED THE LETTER.

It is also possible to find it with adversus + Acc.:

- Respondit adversus litteras  (same meaning).

rideo, -ere, risi, risum  TO LAUGH, TO SMILE

1/ When it has the meaning of TO SMILE, the person to whom the subject smiles can be either in dative or with ad + Acc.:

- Puer parentibus ridebat / Puer ad parentes ridebat  THE CHILD WAS SMILING AT HIS PARENTS.

2/ When it has the meaning of TO LAUGH, the thing about which the subject laughs is expressed with in + Abl.:

- In eius clade ridebat  HE WAS LAUGHING AT HIS DEFEAT.

3/ But we can also find it in accusative:

- Eius cladem ridebat  (same meaning).

And we can find in accusative also the person whom the subject mocks:

- Eum ridebat  HE WAS MOCKING HIM.
sentio, -ire, sensi, sensum  TO FEEL, TO REALISE

This verb has several possibilities of construction:

1/ With an accusative:
   - *Famem sentio* I AM HUNGRY.
   - *Sentio sonitum* I HEAR A SOUND (Plautus, *Curculio*).

2/ With de + Abl.:
   - *De hoc statim sensi* I REALISED THIS IMMEDIATELY.

In this second construction, the meaning is rather a meaning of *realising*, rather than of physical perception. For instance, we would not say *De fame sentio* unless we mean that we realise that somebody else is hungry.

sequor, -i, secutus sum  TO FOLLOW

In its meaning of TO FOLLOW AS A CONSEQUENCE, it can have these constructions:

1/ With an infinitive clause:
   - *Sequitur Caesarem iam in Italia esse* IT MUST BE DEDUCED THAT CAESAR IS ALREADY IN ITALY.

2/ With ut + subj.:
   - *Sequitur ut Caesar iam in Italia sit* (same meaning).

sto, -are, steti, statum  TO STAND

1/ When used with an ablative, it has the meaning of TO BE TRUTHFUL TO, TO KEEP (a promise, etc.):
   - *Pacto sto* I KEEP THE TREATY.
   - *Si qui ... eorum decreto non stetit, sacrificiis interdicunt* IF ANYBODY HAS NOT SUBMITTED TO THEIR DECISION, THEY BAN HIM FROM THE SACRIFICES (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).

2/ Apart from its normal meaning of TO STAND, when used with quominus and with per+ Acc. it may have this meaning:
   - *Per Caesarem stat quominus hoc fiat* IT DEPENDS ON CAESAR THAT THIS DOES NOT HAPPEN.

Observe that there is no negative adverb in the quominus clause, but we must add it in English.

studeo, -ere, studui, ---  TO BE EAGER FOR

1/ As a general rule, this verb rules a dative, not an accusative, and its initial meaning is TO DEVOTE ONESELF TO SOMETHING, TO BE EAGER FOR SOMETHING, TO SUPPORT (the meaning TO STUDY is rather a meaning of the late period of Latin):
   - *Graecis litteris studuit* HE DEVOTED HIMSELF TO GREEK LITERATURE (Cicero, *Brutus*).
   - *Studuit Catilinae iterum petenti* HE SUPPORTED CATILINA WHEN HE MADE A SECOND ATTEMPT (Cicero, *Pro Caelio*).

A typical mistake is to translate for instance I STUDY GREEK LITERATURE by *Studeo Graecas litteras* instead of *Studeo Graecis litteris*. 
2/ But if the object of our zeal is expressed by means of a neuter pronoun, it can be expressed in accusative:

- *Hoc unum studeo*  
  I DEVOTE MYSELF TO ONLY THIS ONE THING.
- *Non equidem hoc studeo*  
  INDEED I DO NOT DESIRE THIS (Persius Flaccus, *Saturae*).

- **teneo, -ere, tenui, tentum**  
  TO HOLD

1/ That the thing we hold is in accusative is quite clear:

- *Librum teneo*  
  I HOLD THE BOOK

2/ To express with what we hold it we can say either *manu* or *in manu*:

With the ablative alone we should consider it as an *instrumental object*:

- *Librum teneo manu*  
  I HOLD THE BOOK WITH MY HAND
- *Teneo dextera genium meum*  
  I HOLD MY GENIUS IN MY RIGHT HAND (Plautus, *Menaechmi*).

and if we use in + Abl. we must consider it a *place object*:

- *Librum teneo in manu*  
  I HOLD THE BOOK IN MY HAND.

- **timeo, -ere, timui** (no supine)  
  TO FEAR

Apart from the usual construction dealt with in the section of Fear clauses (in the chapter on Subordinate clauses), we should point out how to express that we are worried about something or somebody:

1/ With a dative:

- *Timeo Caesari*  
  I AM WORRIED ABOUT CAESAR.
- *Timeo victoriae*  
  I AM WORRIED ABOUT THE VICTORY.

2/ With de + Abl.:

- *Timeo de Caesare*  
  (same meaning).

- **venio, -ire, veni, ventum**  
  TO COME

Although the most common construction of this verb is a directional object, we must also take into account these two options:

1/ This verb is frequently found with a dative of purpose and another dative of person:

- *Auxilio Caesari venerunt copiae*  
  THE TROOPS CAME TO HELP CAESAR.

2/ We can often find it also with a supine:

- *Pugnatum venit*  
  HE CAME TO FIGHT.
- *Legatio de victoria gratulatum venit*  
  AN EMBASSY CAME TO CONGRATULATE [HIM] ON HIS VICTORY

(Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).
vereor, -eri, veritus sum  TO FEAR, TO SHOW RESPECT FOR

1/ In the usual meaning of TO FEAR, it will rule an accusative:
   
   • Vereor deos  I FEAR/SHOW RESPECT FOR THE GODS.

2/ But it can also rule de + Abl. with respect to what we feel fear that something bad may happen to it/him/her etc.:
   
   • Vereor de patria  I AM AFRAID ABOUT MY HOMELAND.

3/ We can find it also without the preposition de, just in Abl.:
   
   • Vereor patria  (same meaning).

In this case, this ablative could be considered an ablative of cause.

4/ Of course, when it rules a subordinate, it follows the usual rules presented in the point on Fear clauses in the chapter of Subordinate clauses:
   
   • Vereor ne aut molestus sim vobis, iudices, aut ...  I AM AFRAID THAT I MAY BE TROUBLESOME TO YOU, JUDGES, OR ...
   (Cicero, Pro Roscio Amerino).
1. General remarks

Latin verbs are sometimes found in compound forms with prepositions. For instance:

- fero TO CARRY, TO BRING + the preposition ad TOWARDS = affero TO PRESENT
- fero TO CARRY, TO BRING + the preposition cum WITH = confero TO BRING TOGETHER
- fero TO CARRY, TO BRING + the preposition in INTO = infero TO BRING INTO
- eo TO GO + the preposition ex OUT OF = exeo TO GO OUT

Take into account that sometimes the preposition may undergo some changes, especially depending on the first consonant of the verb. For instance, when the preposition sub is compounded with the verb fero, the resulting compound form is suffero rather than the expected subfero. But when the initial consonant of the verbal form changes, then the preposition may revert to its original spelling (depending on what consonant it is): the supine of suffero is sublatum, because while the f- of fero makes the -b become assimilated to another f- the l- of latum does not produce any change in the -b.

2. Meaning of the preposition

a/ Sometimes the meaning can be interpreted, as for instance:

- intervenio TO COME BETWEEN
- circumspecto TO LOOK AROUND

b/ Prepositions may sometimes give the compound verb a certain nuance that can be difficult to be deduced from the basic meaning of the preposition. Let’s see some examples:

The preposition cum = WITH, but conficio = TO ACCOMPLISH.
✧ It could be considered that cum adds here a sense of putting different parts together and therefore finishing something.

The preposition per = THROUGH, but perficio = TO COMPLETE.
✧ In some cases per conveys the sense of completely or utterly.

The preposition inter = BETWEEN, but intellego = TO UNDERSTAND.
✧ In the sense of reading between lines, getting the sense of a text.

c/ And in some cases the sense seems even to be disconnected from the main sense of the verb and of the preposition:

The preposition sub = UNDER, but succurro = TO HELP.
✧ The sense of curro = TO RUN is clear in the sense of running towards somebody, but the meaning of sub must be related in the sense of supporting that person.
The preposition *per* = *THROUGH*, but *pereo* = *TO DIE*.

✧ A combination of the sense of *going*, a sense provided by the verb, and the sense of *passing from one state to another one*, a sense provided by the preposition.

### 3. Regime of the verb

*a/* An important detail to note is that the regime of various compound verbs may sometimes be due to the preposition attached to them. For instance, if the preposition *de*, which is followed by an ablative, is compounded with *sisto*, the resulting verb, *desisto* *TO RENOUNCE*, must be followed by an ablative:

- Petrus *consulatu destitit*  
  Peter renounced the consulate.

By the way, we can also find, with the same meaning,

- Petrus *de consulatu destitit*.
  ✧ Observe that the preposition is the same as the one of the verb.

- Petrus *a consulatu destitit*.
  ✧ In this case, the preposition is another one.

*b/* But sometimes the preposition will count for nothing with respect to the regime of the verb. For instance, *cum* needs an ablative, but *conficio* (*cum* + *facio*) goes on ruling an accusative as *facio* does, do not expect it to rule an ablative because of the prefix *cum*:

- Iam *omnia confeci*  
  I have already finished everything.  
  ✧ A normal accusative.

### 4. Main compound verbs

Here we offer a list of the most common compound verbs that a student will encounter in a text and is likely to need when reading or composing Latin, focusing especially on verbs that have a meaning which cannot be deduced easily from the *preposition-verb combination*. The regime of the verb is also provided when it is not as expected.

Previous observations:

*a/* In some cases, although the verb exists in all of its forms, its impersonal use is more common, so we have introduced it as such.

*b/* Some verbs may have several translations. We have provided the most frequent one.

*c/* Some of these verbs can also be found in the section entitled *Peculiarities and idioms* and in other parts of the book, according to which grammatical aspect is being considered.

- With *a/ab*

  As expected, this preposition will give verbs a meaning of *separation*, very visible in physical sense for instance in *amitto* *TO SEND AWAY*, but more symbolic for instance in *abrogo* *TO ABROGATE*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verb</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>abdico, -are, -avi, -atum</td>
<td>TO ABDICATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>abdico, -ere, -dixi (no supine)</td>
<td>TO REJECT BECAUSE OF AN UNFAVOURABLE OMEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>abdo, -ere, -didi, -ditum</td>
<td>TO CONCEAL, TO REMOVE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
abduco, -ere, -duxi, -ductum  TO LEAD AWAY
ab eo, -ire, -ii, -itum  TO DEPART
ab erro, -are, -avi (no supine)  TO GO ASTRAY
ab ripio, -ere, -ripui, -reptum  TO TAKE AWAY
ab rogo, -are, -avi, -atum  TO ABROGATE
ab scindo, -ere, -scidi, -scissum  TO TEAR OFF
ab scondo, -ere, -condi, -conditum  TO HIDE
abs olvo, -ere, absolvi, absolutum  TO SET FREE
ab stineo, -ere, abstinui, abstentum  TO REFRAIN FROM
abs um, abesse, afui (no supine)  TO BE ABSENT
abs umo, -ere, -sumpi, -sumptum  TO DIMINISH, TO DESTROY
amitto, -ere, -misi, -missum  TO SEND AWAY
amoveo, -ere, -movi, -motum  TO REMOVE, TO GET RID OF
aufero, -ferre, abstuli, ablatum  TO REMOVE, TO KILL
averto, -ere, averti, aversum  TO TURN AWAY

With ad

It will give its compounds a sense of approximation, like in accedo TO APPROACH, other times this sense will be more symbolic, as in accido TO HAPPEN.

As expected, the preposition ad will change its final consonant according to the initial consonant of the verb stem.

accedo, -ere, -cessi, -cessum  TO APPROACH
accido, -ere, -cidi, -cisum  TO HAPPEN  ♦ In the sense of something that falls towards us.
accipio, -ere, -cepi, -ceptum  TO RECEIVE, TO ACCEPT
addo, -ere, addidi, additum  TO ATTACH
adeo, -ire, -ii, -itum  TO GO TO
adimo, -ere, ademi, ademptum  TO TAKE AWAY
adipiscor, -i, adeptus sum  TO ACHIEVE
adiungo, -ere, -iunxi, -iunctum  TO JOIN, TO ADD
adiuvo, -ere, -iuvi, -iutum  TO HELP
admiror, -are, -miratus sum  TO ADMIRE
admitto, -ere, -misi, -missum  TO ADMIT, TO SEND
admoneo, -ere, -monui, -monitum  TO WARN, TO SUGGEST
adolesco, -ere, adolevi, adultum  TO COME TO MATURITY
adsum, adesse, adfui (no supine)  TO BE PRESENT, TO SUPPORT
advenio, -ire, -veni, -ventum  TO ARRIVE
aggre dizor, -i, -gressus sum  TO APPROACH, TO ATTACK
agnosco, -ere, -novi, -nitum  TO RECOGNIZE  ♦ Because of the presence of the letter a- (which in fact is the preposition ad shortened), thinking that this verb means NOT TO KNOW is a typical mistake.
alloquor, -i, -locutus sum  TO ADDRESS
appello, -ere, -puli, -pulsum  TO BRING IN, TO LAND
appello, -are, -avi, -atum  TO ADDRESS, TO ENTREAT
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appeto, -ere, -ivi, -itum  
approbo, -are, -avi, -atum  
appropinquo, -are, -avi, -atum  
attendo, -ere, -tendi, -tentum  
attineo, -ere, -ui (no supine)  
attingo, -ere, -tigi, -tactum  
attribuo, -ere, -ui, -utum

- With ante

There are not many verbs compound with ante, and the meaning of before is obvious in all of them.

antecedo, -cedere, -cessi (no supine)  
anteeo, -ire, -ii, -itum  
antefero, -ferre, -tuli, -latum  
antepono, -ere, -posui, -positum  
anticipo, -are, -avi, -atum

- With circum

Almost all of the verbs compound with circum carry a very strong physical meaning, as in circumeo TO GO AROUND.

circumndo, -dare, -dedi, -datum  
circumago, -agere, -egi, -actum  
circumdeo, -ire, -ivi, -itum  
circumfero, -ferre, -tuli, -latum  
circumflecto, -ere, -flexi, -flectum  
circumfundo, -ere, -fudi, -fusum  
circumscribo, -ere, scripsi, scriptum  
circumspecto, -are, -avi, -atum  
circumspicio, -ere, -exi, -ectum  
circumsto, -stare, -steti (no supine)  
circumvenio, -ire, -veni, -ventum

- With cum (com- /con-)

The verbs compound with cum have usually one of these two meanings (or both):

a/ The intransitive meaning: to undertake some action together with somebody else, like colloquor TO TALK.
b/ To act on several objects or people simultaneously, like compono TO JOIN.

In other cases, they will have neither of these meanings, and the function of the prepositional prefix cum in the meaning of the verb will be really unnoticed, like contemno TO CONTEMPT, TO DESPISE.

coalecto, -ere, -alui, -alitum  
coeo, -ire, -ii, -itum  
coerceo, -ere, -cui, -citum
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verb</th>
<th>Infinitive</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cogito, -are, -avi, -atum</td>
<td>TO THINK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cognosco, -ere, -novi, -nitum</td>
<td>TO BECOME ACQUAINTED WITH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cohiceo, -ere, -bui, -bitum</td>
<td>TO CONFINE, TO RESTRAIN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>colligo, -ere, -legi, -lectum</td>
<td>TO GATHER, TO INFER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>colloco, -are, -avi, -atum</td>
<td>TO PLACE, TO ARRANGE, TO GIVE IN MARRIAGE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>colloquor, -i, collocutus sum</td>
<td>TO TALK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>commendo, -are, -avi, -atum</td>
<td>TO CONFIDE, TO COMMEND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>comminor, -ari, -atus sum</td>
<td>TO THREATEN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>committo, -ere, -misi, -missum</td>
<td>TO BRING TOGETHER, TO PERPETRATE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>commoror, -ari, -atus sum</td>
<td>TO TARRY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>commoveo, -ere, -movi, -motum</td>
<td>TO PUT IN MOTION, TO STIR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>commuto, -are, -avi, -atum</td>
<td>TO CHANGE COMPLETELY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compareo, -ere, -parui (no supine)</td>
<td>TO APPEAR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>comparo, -are, -avi, -atum</td>
<td>TO PREPARE, TO ESTABLISH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compello, -ere, -puli, -pulsum</td>
<td>TO ASSEMBLE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>comperio, -ire, -peri, -pertum</td>
<td>TO FIND OUT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compello, -ere, -puli, -pulsum</td>
<td>TO ASSEMBLE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>complector, -i, -plexus sum</td>
<td>TO EMBRACE, TO ENCIRCLE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compleo, -ere, -evi, -etum</td>
<td>TO FILL UP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compono, -ere, -posui, -positum</td>
<td>TO BRING TOGETHER, TO JOIN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>comprehendo, -ere, -di, -sum</td>
<td>TO CATCH, TO APPREHEND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>comprimo, -ere, -pressi, -pressum</td>
<td>TO COMPRESS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>comprimo, -ere, -pressi, -pressum</td>
<td>TO COMPRESS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>comprobo, -are, -avi, -atum</td>
<td>TO ACKNOWLEDGE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>concedo, -ere, -cessi, -cessum</td>
<td>TO WITHDRAW, TO YIELD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>concido, -ere, -cidi (no supine)</td>
<td>TO FALL, TO PERISH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>concido, -ere, -cidi, -cisum</td>
<td>TO FALL, TO PERISH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>concudo, -ere, -usi, -usum</td>
<td>TO CUT UP, TO DESTROY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>concudo, -ere, -usi, -usum</td>
<td>TO CUT UP, TO DESTROY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>concurro, -ere, -curri, -cursum</td>
<td>TO ASSEMBLE (intransitive meaning)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>contro, -ere, -didi, -ditum</td>
<td>TO FOUND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>conficio, -ere, confisus sum</td>
<td>TO COMPLETE, TO DESTROY, TO KILL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>confido, -ere, confisus sum</td>
<td>TO COMPLETE, TO DESTROY, TO KILL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>confido, -ere, confisus sum</td>
<td>TO COMPLETE, TO DESTROY, TO KILL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>confirmo, -are, -avi, -atum</td>
<td>TO TRUST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>confirmo, -are, -avi, -atum</td>
<td>TO TRUST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>confiteor, -eri, -fessus sum</td>
<td>TO CONFESS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>confiteor, -eri, -fessus sum</td>
<td>TO CONFESS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>conficio, -ere, -fici, -fectum</td>
<td>TO COMPLETE, TO DESTROY, TO KILL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>conficio, -ere, -fici, -fectum</td>
<td>TO COMPLETE, TO DESTROY, TO KILL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>conficio, -ere, -fici, -fectum</td>
<td>TO COMPLETE, TO DESTROY, TO KILL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>confundo, -ere, -fudi, -fusus</td>
<td>TO POUR TOGETHER, TO DISORDER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>confundo, -ere, -fudi, -fusus</td>
<td>TO POUR TOGETHER, TO DISORDER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>congrexor, -i, congressus sum</td>
<td>TO COME TOGETHER, TO ENGAGE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>congrexor, -i, congressus sum</td>
<td>TO COME TOGETHER, TO ENGAGE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Footnotes:*
- In the sense of putting together different pieces of information.
- There is another verb comparo, -are, -avi, -atum.
- This verb has also got a deponent variant: comperior, -iri, -pertus sum.
- This verb is much used in the sense of disordering the ranks of the enemy, of causing confusion among them.
conicio, -ere, -ieci, -iectum  
This verb, theoretically meaning **to throw together**, is one of the compound verbs that has a wider variety of meanings: **to unite** (in the sense of putting things into the same place), **to foretell** (in the sense of putting together all the different pieces of information), **to urge** (in the sense of throwing somebody towards an action), **to cast**, etc.

consentio, -ire, -sensi, -sensum  
**to agree**

consequor, -i, consecutus sum  
**to follow, to result**

conservo, -are, -avi, -atum  
**to keep safe**

consisto, -ere, -stiti, -stimum  
**to stand still, to endure**

conspicio, -ere, -pexi, -pectum  
**to observe**  
✧ This verb has a deponent option: **conspicor, -ari, -atus sum**, with the same meaning.

conspiro, -are, -avi, -atum  
**to sound together**, **to conspire**  
✧ In the sense of people who “breathe” the same ideas.

constituo, -ere, constitui, constitutum  
**to establish, to draw up, to decide**

consto, -are, consti (no supine)  
**to agree, to stand firm, to consist of**  
✧ We can find it frequently in the sense of **to be well known**: *Ipsum talem esse inter omnes constat*  
It is well known among everybody that he is such a man  
(Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).

consuesco, -ere, -suevi, -suetum  
**to accustom oneself**

consulo, -ere, -ului, -ultum  
**to deliberate**

consumo, -ere, -sumpsi, sumptum  
**to use up**

contemno, -ere, -tempsi, temptum  
**to despise**

contendo, -ere, -tendi, -tentum  
**to strain, to journey, to aim**  
✧ In a summary: **to have a tendency towards something**, whether the action of going there physically or a *desire*.

contineo, -ere, -tinui, -tentum  
**to hold together, to contain, to repress**

conveho, -ere, -vexi, -vectum  
**to collect**

convenio, -ire, -veni, -ventum  
**to come together, to assemble**

converto, -ere, -verti, -versum  
**to turn round, to transform**

 convinco, -ere, -vici, -victum  
**to overcome, to expose**

convoco, -ere, -avi, -atum  
**to convoke**

✧ With *de*

This preposition will usually confer its compound verbs a sense of:

a/ separation (similar to *a/ab* in some aspects),  
like **decedo**  
**to withdraw**

b/ movement from upwards downwards,  
like **demitto**  
**to drop**

b/ exteriorization,  
like **demonstro**  
**to indicate**

decedo, -ere, decessi, -decessum  
**to depart, to withdraw**

decerno, -ere, -crevi, -cretum  
**to decide**  
✧ In the sense of taking a decision from what you see.

declamo, -are, -avi, -atum  
**to declare**

decurrro, -ere, -curri, -cursum  
**to run down, to traverse**

dedico, -are, -avi, -atum  
**to dedicate**

dedo, -ere, -didi, -ditum  
**to give up, to surrender**
deduco, -ere, -duxi, -ductum  
**TO LEAD AWAY, TO BRING INTO PORT**

deficio, -ere, -feci, -fectum  
**TO REVOLT, TO WITHDRAW, TO ABANDON, TO BE ABSENT**

*a re publica defecerunt civium iura tenuerunt to lead away, to bring into port*  
WHO ABANDONED THE STATE THEIR RIGHTS AS CITIZENS

defigo, -ere, -fixi, -fectum  
**TO FASTEN, TO ASTONISH**  
✧ In the sense of leaving people fixed, without motion.

delabor, -i, delapsus sum  
**TO FALL DOWN, TO CONDESCEND**
delinquuo, -ere, -liqui, -lictum  
**TO FAIL, TO COMMIT A CRIME**
demitto, -ere, -misi, -missum  
**TO DROP, TO SEND DOWN**
demoto, -ere, -dempsi, demptum  
**TO TAKE AWAY, TO REMOVE**
demonstro, -are, -avi, -atum  
**TO INDICATE**
demoveo, -ere, -movi, -motum  
**TO REMOVE**
denego, -are, -avi, -atum  
**TO REJECT**
denuntio, -are, -avi, -atum  
**TO DECLARE, TO MENACE, TO DENOUNCE**
depello, -ere, -puli, -pulsum  
**TO DRIVE OUT, TO EXPEL**
depeno, -ere, -posui, -positum  
**TO PUT DOWN, TO CONFIDE**
deprehendo, -ere, -ehendi, -ehensum  
**TO SNATCH, TO OVERTAKE, TO UNDERSTAND**
deripio, -ere, -ripi, -reptum  
**TO TEAR OFF, TO REMOVE**
descendo, -ere, -endi, -ensum  
**TO DESCEND**
designo, -are, -avi, -atum  
**TO MARK OUT**
desilio, -ere, desilui, desultum  
**TO LEAP DOWN**
desino, -ere, destiti, desitum  
**TO ABANDON, TO SET DOWN**
desisto, -ere, destiti, destitum  
**TO STAND OFF, TO DESIST**  
✧ In the sense of giving up any resistance.  
✧ In fact this is the verb that “lends” its perfect tense to desino.
despero, -are, -avi, -atum  
**TO DESPAIR**
despicio, -ere, -exi, -ectum  
**TO LOOK DOWN ON, TO BE INATTENTIVE**
destituo, -ere, -tui, -tutum  
**TO ABANDON, TO SET DOWN**
desum, deesse, defui (no supine)  
**TO BE MISSING**
deterreo, -ere, -ui, -itum  
**TO DETER**
devinco, -ere, devinxi, devinctum  
**TO DEFEAT COMPLETELY**

✧ With e(x)

The sense given by e(x) will usually be:

a/ The physical sense of from inside to outside, even in abstract sense as in *expono* TO EXPOSE.
b/ A sense of completeness, as in *efficio* TO COMPLETE. In this sense, the effect can be similar to that produced by the prefix *per*.

educo, -ere, -duxi, -ductum  
**TO LEAD OUT**  
✧ *Legiones ex castris eduxit* He led his legions out of the camp  
(Caesar, De Bello Gallico).  
✧ Do not confuse with the verb *educo, -ere, -avi, -atum* TO EDUCATE, although *educo, -ere* can sometimes have this meaning, in the sense of taking a child out of childhood into the world of adults.

efficio, -ere, -feci, -fectum  
**TO EFFECT, TO PRODUCE, TO COMPLETE**
effugio, -ere, -fugi (no supine)  
**TO ESCAPE**
### The Verbal System

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Latin</th>
<th>English</th>
<th>English</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>effundo, -ere, -fudi, -fusum</td>
<td>TO POUR OUT, TO SPREAD ABROAD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>egredior, -i, egressus sum</td>
<td>TO GO OUT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eicio, -ere, eieci, electum</td>
<td>TO CAST OUT, TO EXPEL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>elabor, -i, elapsus sum</td>
<td>TO FALL OUT, TO SLIP AWAY, TO ESCAPE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eloquor, -i, elocutus sum</td>
<td>TO SPEAK PLAINTLY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ementior, -iri, ementitus sum</td>
<td>TO LIE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>emergeo, -eri, emersi, emersum</td>
<td>TO RAISE UP (trans.), TO ARISE (intrans.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>emitto, -ere, emisi, emissum</td>
<td>TO SEND OUT, TO PUBLISH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eripio, -ere, eripui, ereptum</td>
<td>TO SNATCH AWAY, TO REMOVE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>erumpo, -ere, erupi, eruptum</td>
<td>TO BREAK THROUGH, TO BREAK OUT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>evado, -ere, evasi, evasum</td>
<td>TO GO OUT, TO ESCAPE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eveho, -ere, evexi, evectum</td>
<td>TO CARRY OUT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>everto, -ere, everti, eversum</td>
<td>TO OVERTURN, TO SUBVERT, TO DESTROY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>evoco, -are, -avi, -atum</td>
<td>TO CALL FORTH, TO EVOKE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>excido, -ere, -cidi (no supine)</td>
<td>TO FALL OUT, TO ESCAPE, TO DIE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>excido, -ere, -cidi, -cisum</td>
<td>TO MAKE FALL, TO KILL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>excipio, -ere, -cepi, -ceptum</td>
<td>TO TAKE OUT, TO CAPTURE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exclamo, -are, -avi, -atum</td>
<td>TO CALL OUT, TO EXCLAIM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>excludo, -ere, -clusi, -clusum</td>
<td>TO SHUT OUT, TO EXCLUDE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exeo, -ire, -ii, -itum</td>
<td>TO GO OUT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exerceo, -ere, -cui, -citum</td>
<td>TO WORK, TO TRAIN, TO CARRY INTO EFFECT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exhortor, -ari, -atus sum</td>
<td>TO EXHORT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exigo, -ere, -egi, -actum</td>
<td>TO THRUST OUT, TO DEMAND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>existimo, -are, -avi, -atum</td>
<td>TO VALUE, TO RECKON</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exorior, -iri, -ortus sum</td>
<td>TO RISE, TO ARISE, TO PRODUCE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>expedio, -ire, -ivi, -itum</td>
<td>TO EXTRICATE, TO BRING FORWARD, TO PREPARE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>expello, -ere, -puli, -pulsum</td>
<td>TO EXPEL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>experior, -iri, expertus sum</td>
<td>TO TRY, TO EXPERIENCE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exploro, -are, -avi, -atum</td>
<td>TO EXAMINE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>expono, -ere, -posui, -positum</td>
<td>TO TENDER, TO EXPOSE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exsequor, -i, -secutus sum</td>
<td>TO FOLLOW, TO INVESTIGATE, TO ACCOMPLISH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exsisto, -ere, -stiti (no supine)</td>
<td>TO STEP OUT, TO APPEAR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exsolvo, -ere, -solvi, -solutum</td>
<td>TO RELEASE, TO SOLVE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exspecto -are, -avi, -atum</td>
<td>TO EXPECT, TO AWAIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>extraho, -ere, -axi, -actum</td>
<td>TO DRAW OUT, TO DRAG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

² In the sense of putting everything upside down.

---

In passive, very frequently it means TO PROCEED, TO MOVE FORWARD, although the passive meaning of TO BE CARRIED OUT is perfectly acceptable: • Ipsas prope portas evecti sunt THEY PROCEEDED [TO A LOCATION] NEAR THE GATES THEMSELVES (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).

² This verb is a compound of *cado, -ere* TO FALL.

² This verb is a compound of *caedo, -ere* TO MAKE FALL.

² It should be noted that the reduplication in the perfect in the original verb *pello, -ere, pepuli, pulsum* is lost in the compound form: *expuli, not expepuli.*
With **in**

Both senses of *in* can be felt in these verbs:

a/ The sense of *direction, place towards which*, as in **incido** *to assault*

b/ The sense of *interiority*, like in **incolo** *to dwell*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verb</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>immisceo, -ere, -miscui, -mixtum</td>
<td><strong>to intermingle</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>impedio, -ire, -ivi, -itum</td>
<td><strong>to hinder, to obstruct</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>impello, -ere, -puli, -pulsus</td>
<td><strong>to strike against, to drive forward</strong></td>
<td>▲ See note in <strong>expello</strong> with respect to the perfect tense.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>impendo, -ere, -pendi, -pensum</td>
<td><strong>to hang over, to be imminent</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>impingo, -ere, -pegi, -pactum</td>
<td><strong>to dash against</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>impleo, -ere, -plevi, -pletum</td>
<td><strong>to fill up, to complete</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>implico, -are, -avi, -atum</td>
<td><strong>to involve, to connect</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>imploro, -are, -avi, -atum</td>
<td><strong>to implore</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>impono, -ere, -posui, -positum</td>
<td><strong>to impose, to establish</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>imprimo, -ere, -pressi, -pressum</td>
<td><strong>to press upon, to engrave</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>incido, -ere, -cidi (no supine)</td>
<td><strong>to fall in, to assault, to happen</strong></td>
<td>▲ This verb is a compound of <strong>cado, -ere</strong> <em>to fall.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>incido, -ere, -cidi, -cismum</td>
<td><strong>to cut through, to put an end to</strong></td>
<td>▲ This verb is a compound of <strong>caedo, -ere</strong> <em>to make fall.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>incipio, -ere, -cepi, -ceptum</td>
<td><strong>to begin</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>incito, -are, -avi, -atum</td>
<td><strong>to hasten, to excite</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>includo, -ere, -usi, -usum</td>
<td><strong>to confine, to include</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>incolo, -ere, -lui (no supine)</td>
<td><strong>to dwell</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>indico, -dixi, -dictum</td>
<td><strong>to proclaim, to impose</strong></td>
<td>▲ Do not confuse with the verb <strong>indico, -are, -avi, -atum</strong>, with a very similar meaning: <strong>to declare, to reveal, etc.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>induco, -ere, -duxi, -ductum</td>
<td><strong>to lead in, to persuade</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ineo, -ire, -ii, -itum</td>
<td><strong>to enter, to go in</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>infero, -ferre, -tuli, -latum</td>
<td><strong>to introduce</strong></td>
<td>▲ If used with a reflexive pronoun, it means <strong>to go:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• <strong>Imperator in urbem se intulit</strong>  <strong>The commander went into the city</strong> (Cicero, <em>In Pisonem</em>).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inflamo, -are, -avi, -atum</td>
<td><strong>to set on fire, to kindle</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ingredior, -i, -gressus sum</td>
<td><strong>to enter, to engage in</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inhibeo, -ere, -ui, -itum</td>
<td><strong>to restrain</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inicio, -ere, -iei, -iectum</td>
<td><strong>to throw in, to inspire</strong></td>
<td>▲ There is a certain tendency to confuse this verb with <strong>initio, -are</strong> <em>to start.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inrumpo, -ere, -rapi, -ruptum</td>
<td><strong>to break in, to fall upon</strong></td>
<td>▲ Sometimes written also <strong>irrumpo</strong> etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inruo, -ere, -rui (no supine)</td>
<td><strong>to rush in, to attack</strong></td>
<td>▲ Sometimes written also <strong>irruo</strong> etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>insero, -ere, -serui, -sertum</td>
<td><strong>to introduce, to enrol</strong></td>
<td>▲ Do not confuse with <strong>insero, -ere, -sevi, -situm</strong> <em>to implant.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inspicio, -ere, -pexi, -spectum</td>
<td><strong>to inspect, to examine</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
THE VERBAL SYSTEM

instituo, -ere, -ui, -utum  TO SET UP, TO ARRANGE
insto, -are, -stiti, -statum  TO APPROACH, TO URGE
instruo, -ere, -uxi, -uctum  TO DRAW UP
insugo, -ere, -surrexi, -surrectum  TO RISE UP
intendo, -ere, -di, -tentum  TO STRETCH OUT, TO AIM TO
intueor, -eri, -tuitus sum  TO GAZE AT, TO CONSIDER
invado, -ere, -vasi, -vasum  TO INVADE
invenio, -ire, -veni, -ventum  TO FIND, TO DISCOVER
inverto, -ere, -verti, -versum  TO REVERSE, TO INVERT
invideo, -ere, -vidi, -visum  TO ENVY

With inter

The sense of an action taking place in the middle of something else is quite perceptible, as in intervenio  TO INTERVENE, in the sense of going into the middle of another action.

intellego, -ere, -lexi, -lectum  TO PERCEIVE, TO UNDERSTAND ✧ In the sense of “reading between lines”.
intercedo, -ere, -cessi, -cessum  TO INTERVENE, TO INTERCEDE, TO HAPPEN ✧ In the sense of something that comes up between two other events.
intercido, -ere, -idi (no supine)  TO FALL, TO HAPPEN ✧ This verb is a compound of caedo, -ere  TO FALL.
intercido, -ere, -idi, -isum  TO DIVIDE, TO CUT THROUGH. ✧ This verb is a compound of caedo, -ere  TO MAKE FALL.

intercipio, -ere, cepi, -ceptum  TO INTERCEPT, TO INTERRUPT
intercludo, -ere, -usi, -usum  TO SHUT OFF, TO PREVENT
interdico, -ere, -dixi, -dictum  TO FORBID
interreo, -ire, -ii, -itum  TO BE LOST, TO DIE  • Omnis noster equitatus, omnis nobilitas interiit ALL OUR CAVALRY, ALL OUR NOBILITY DIED (Caesar, De Bello Gallico).

interficio, -ere, -feci, -fectum  TO KILL
intermitto, -ere, -misi, -missum  TO INTERMIT, TO OMIT, TO INTERRUPT
interpono, -ere, -posui, -positum  TO INTERPOSE, TO INSERT, TO PLEDGE
interrogo, -are, -avi, -atum  TO ASK
interrumpo, -ere, -rupi, -ruptum  TO INTERRUPT, TO BREAK TO PIECES
intersum, -esse, -fui (no supine)  TO BE BETWEEN, TO TAKE PART IN, TO DIFFER
intervenio, -ire, -veni, -ventum  TO INTERVENE, TO HAPPEN

✧ It should also be noted that the perfect tense of the verb lego, -ere, from which intellego is a compound, is legi, while the perfect tense of intellego is intellectus; students have the tendency to think that the perfect of lego is lexi because of this natural influence.

With ob

The most direct meaning that ob will give is that of opposition, as in obsisto  TO RESIST.

obeo, -ire, -ii, -itum  TO GO TO MEET
obicio, -ere, -iei, -iectum  TO THROW, TO OFFER
oblecto, -are, -avi, -atum  TO DELIGHT
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verb</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Compound of</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>obligo</em></td>
<td>TO BIND, TO COMPEL</td>
<td><em>cado, -ere</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>obruo</em></td>
<td>TO OVERWHELM, TO COVER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>obsecro</em></td>
<td>TO ENTREAT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>obsequor</em></td>
<td>TO GRATIFY, TO COMPLY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>obsideo</em></td>
<td>TO BESIEGE, TO STAY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>obsisto</em></td>
<td>TO OPPOSE, TO RESIST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>obsto</em></td>
<td>TO HINDER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>obstruo</em></td>
<td>TO BLOCK, TO OBSTRUCT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>obsum</em></td>
<td>TO BE AGAINST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>obvenio</em></td>
<td>TO GO TO MEET</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>obverto</em></td>
<td>TO TURN AGAINST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>occido</em></td>
<td>TO FALL, TO DIE</td>
<td><em>cado, -ere</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>occupo</em></td>
<td>TO OCCUPY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>occurrro</em></td>
<td>TO RUN TO MEET</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>offendo</em></td>
<td>TO HIT, TO COMMIT A FAULT AGAINST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>offero</em></td>
<td>TO PRESENT, TO OFFER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>officio</em></td>
<td>TO HINDER, TO OBSTRUCT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>offundo</em></td>
<td>TO POUR OUT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>oppeto</em></td>
<td>TO ENCOUNTER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>oppono</em></td>
<td>TO PLACE AGAINST, TO OPPOSE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>opprimo</em></td>
<td>TO PRESS DOWN, TO SUBDUE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>oppugno</em></td>
<td>TO BESIEGE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**With per**

The preposition *per* gives usually one of these two meanings to the verb:

a/ the sense of *passing from one stage to another one.*

b/ the sense of *completeness.*

For instance, *permuto, -are* has both meanings:

a/ TO EXCHANGE  
   In the sense of something that goes from one hand to another one.

b/ TO CHANGE COMPLETELY
persequor, -i, -secutus sum  TO PURSUE
persisto, -ere, -stiti (no supine) TO PERSIST
persolvo, -ere, -solvi, -solutum TO SOLVE, TO PAY ✠ In the sense of paying off a debt completely.
perspiccio, -ere, -spexi, -spectum TO LOOK THROUGH, TO PERSEVERE
persto, -are, -stiti, -statum TO STAND, TO Persevere
persuadeo, -ere, -suasi, -suasum TO PERSUADE
pertineo, -ere, -tinui (no supine) TO BELONG, TO PERTAIN
pertracto, -are, -avi, -atum TO HANDLE
perturbo, -are, -avi, -atum TO DISTURB
pervado, -ere, -vasi (no supine) TO CROSS, TO PervaDe
pervenio, -ire, -veni, -ventum TO ARRIVE
perverto, -ere, -verti, -versum TO OVERTURN, TO DESTROY
pervideo, -ere, -vidi, -visum TO LOOK OVER, TO DISCERN
pervigilo, -are, -avi, -atum TO REMAIN AWAKE WATCHING
pervolo, -velle, -volui (no supine) TO DESIRE VERY MUCH

☑ With post

Hardly any verb uses post to form a compound verb. It gives a meaning of putting something behind. It produces the opposite meaning from the one produced by prae.

posthabeo, -ere, -habui, -habitum TO NEGLECT
postpono, -ere, -posui, -positum TO POSTPONE, TO DISREGARD

☑ With prae

It gives a meaning of putting something before something else, as in praebeo TO OFFer. It produces the opposite meaning from the one produced by post.

praebeo, -ere, -ui, -itum TO OFFER
praecipio, -ere, -cepi, -ceptum TO TAKE IN ADVANCE, TO INSTRUCT
praeccludo, -ere, -si, -sum TO SHUT OFF
praedico, -ere, -dixi, -dictum TO FORETELL, TO PREDICT
praedico, -are, -avi, -atum TO PROCLAIM
praeo, -ire, -ii, -itum TO PRECEDE
praefero, -ferre, -tuli, -latum TO BEAR BEFORE, TO PREFER
praeficio, -ere, -feci, -fectum TO PUT IN COMMAND OF
praemitto, -ere, -misi, -missum TO SEND FORWARD
praemomeo, -ere, -nui, -nitum TO FOREWARN
praemunio, -ire, -ivi, -itum TO FORTIFY
praeparo, -are, -avi, -atum TO PREPARE
praepono, -ere, -posui, -positum TO PUT IN FRONT
praeripio, -ere, -ripui, -reptum TO SNATCH AWAY
praesto, -are, -stiti, -stitem TO STAND OUT, TO EXCEL
praesum, -esse, -fui (no supine)  
praetendo, -ere, -tendi, -tentum  
praevideo, -ere, -vidi, -visum

- TO BE IN CHARGE OF
- TO REACH OUT, TO SPREAD
- TO FORESEE

With praeter

There are hardly any verbs compound with præter, with this meaning of *along, beyond*.

praeterreo, -ire, -ii, -itum  
praetermitto, -ere, -misi, -missum

- TO GO BY, TO DISREGARD  
- TO LET PASS, TO DISREGARD

It produces a very similar sense to that produced by præ, but pro has a stronger sense of *movement* rather than *position*.

procedo, -ere, -cessi (no supine)  
proclamo, -are, -avi, -atum  
procreo, -are, -avi, -atum  
prodo, -ere, -didi, -ditum  
produco, -ere, -duxi, -ductum  
profero, -ferre, -tuli, -latum  
profiteor, -eri, -fessus sum  
progresdior, -i, -gressus sum  
prohibeo, -ere, -ui, -itum

- TO PROCEED
- TO PROCLAIM, TO SAY LOUDLY
- TO PRODUCE, TO CAUSE
- TO PUT FORTH, TO APPOINT
- TO LEAD FORWARD, TO DISCLOSE
- TO BRING FORTH, TO MAKE KNOWN
- TO ACKNOWLEDGE PUBLICLY, TO CONFESS
- TO GO FORTH, TO ADVANCE
- TO FORBID, TO HINDER

proicio, -ere, -ieci, -iectum  
promitto, -ere, -misi, -missum  
pronuntio, -are, -avi, -atum  
propono, -ere, -posui, -positum  
proscribo, -ere, -scripsi, -scriptum

- TO THROW FORTH, TO THROW DOWN
- TO SEND FORTH, TO PROMISE
- TO PROCLAIM
- TO PUT FORTH, TO PROPOSE
- TO ANNOUNCE IN WRITING, TO PROSCRIBE

prosequor, -i, -seclusus sum  
prospicio, -ere, -pexi, -pectum  
prosum, -esse, -fui (no supine)  
protego, -ere, -texi, -tectum  
proveho, -ere, -vexi, -vectum

- TO FOLLOW
- TO LOOK FORWARD
- TO BENEFIT (+ Dat.)
- TO PROTECT
- TO CARRY FORWARD

provideo, -ere, -vidi, -visum  
provoco, -are, -avi, -atum

- TO FORESEE
- TO SUMMON, TO STIR UP

With sub

Although the meaning of *under or from under* is quite clear, as in submitto TO SEND SECRETLY, in other cases it may even seem that it has the opposite meaning, *over*, as in suggero TO IMPOSE.

subdo, -ere, -didi, -ditum  
subduco, -ere, -duxi, -ductum  
subeo, -ire, -ii, -itum

- TO APPLY, TO REPLACE
- TO REMOVE SECRETLY, TO RAISE
- TO GO UNDER, TO APPROACH
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Latin Form</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>subigo, -ere, -egi, -actum</td>
<td>TO SUBMIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sublevo, -are, -avi, -atum</td>
<td>TO RAISE UP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>submitto, -ere, -misi, -missum</td>
<td>TO PUT DOWN, TO SEND SECRETLY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subseguor, -i, -secutus sum</td>
<td>TO FOLLOW UP, TO COMPLY WITH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subsisto, -ere, -stiti (no supine)</td>
<td>TO STAND STILL, TO RESIST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subsum, -esse, -fui (no supine)</td>
<td>TO BE UNDERneath</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subvenio, -ire, -veni, -ventum</td>
<td>TO COME TO HELP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subverto, -ere, -verti, -versum</td>
<td>TO OVERTURN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>succedo, -ere, -cessi, -cessum</td>
<td>TO SUCCEED, TO FOLLOW AFTER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>succurro, -ere, -curri, -cursum</td>
<td>TO (RUN TO) HELP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sufficio, -ere, -feci, -fectum</td>
<td>TO SUFFICE, TO APPOINT AS A REPLACEMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>suffodio, -ere, -fodi, -fossum</td>
<td>TO UNDERMINE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>suggero, -ere, -gessi, -gestum</td>
<td>TO ASSIGN, TO IMPOSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>suscipio, -ere, -cepi, -ceptum</td>
<td>TO TAKE UP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>suspicio, -ere, -pexi, -pectum</td>
<td>TO ADMIRE ⚫ In the sense of looking at somebody from an inferior position upwards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sustineo, -ere, -tinui, -tentum</td>
<td>TO SUPPORT, TO TOLERATE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **With **suber

In fact there is only one verb that is usually found with suber, that produces a sense of *from below*:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Latin Form</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>subterfugio, -ere, -fugi (no supine)</td>
<td>TO ESCAPE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **With **super

Not many verbs are compounded with super, but all of them get a sense of *above, over*:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Latin Form</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>superiacio, -ere, -ieci, -iectum</td>
<td>TO THROW OVER, TO EXCEED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>supersto, -are, -steti (no supine)</td>
<td>TO STAND OVER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>supersum, superesse</td>
<td>TO BE LEFT OVER, TO SURVIVE, TO ABOUND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **With **trans

The meaning of *from one side to the other* is very visible in these compounds:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Latin Form</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>traduco, -ere, -duxi, -ductum</td>
<td>TO LEAD ACROSS, TO TRANSLATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>traicio, -ere, -ieci, -iectum</td>
<td>TO TRANSPORT ACROSS, TO STRIKE THROUGH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>transcurro, -ere, -curri, -cursum</td>
<td>TO RUN ACCROSS, TO GO BY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>transeo, -ire, -ii, -itum</td>
<td>TO CROSS OVER, TO PERVADE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>transfero, -ferre, -tuli, -latum</td>
<td>TO CONVEY OVER, TO POSTPONE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>transfigo, -ere, -fixi, -fictum</td>
<td>TO PIERCE THROUGH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>transgregior, -i, -gressus sum</td>
<td>TO STEP OVER, TO TRESSPASS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>transmitto, -ere, -misi, -missum</td>
<td>TO SEND OVER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>transporto, -are, -avi, -atum</td>
<td>TO CARRY OVER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>transveho, -ere, -vexi, -vectum</td>
<td>TO CARRY OVER, TO RIDE IN PROCESSION</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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1. General observations

We have seen in the introductory chapter on syntactical functions a quick general view of the main functions and the case associated with each one. In this chapter we will try to give a more complete view.

Except for the nominative and vocative, each one of the other cases has a wide variety of functions, one or two of them the most common ones, and a large spectrum of derivative functions, and the way of classifying their several functions is an open choice. How many to include and how to subdivide them has always been very subjective, so we will include here the functions that we consider that are worth knowing and we will group them trying to follow a logic system, avoiding unnecessary theoretical complications.

We will deal here only with the uses that cases can express on their own, not those uses that are expressed by means of prepositions (with accusative or ablative) or special uses in specific syntactical constructions that will be dealt with in their respective chapter of peculiar constructions.

2. Nominative

a/ Its main function is that of subject:

- *Cicero in Catilinam orationem fecit* Cicero made a speech against Catiline.

b/ Another of its functions is of predicative object (also called attribute); the predicative object is usually an adjective, but it can also be a noun:

- *Hic magister altus est* This teacher is tall.
- *Cicero spes reipublicae est* Cicero is the hope of the state.

c/ It will be used also with some passive expressions of being considered, become, happen, etc.:

- *Cicero doctissimus homo iudicatur* Cicero is considered a very wise man.
- *Octavius dux factus est* Octavius was appointed general.
- *Post paucos annos pontifex maximus factus est* After a few years he was appointed Pontifex Maximus
  (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).
- *Posteaquam reus factus est*...
- *Tantus in curia clamor factus est ut populus concurreret* There was such an uproar in the Senate that the people came running
  (Cicero, *In Verrem*).

3. Vocative

It is used to address somebody directly; remember that only in the first subtype of the 2nd declension it has an ending different from that of the nominative, and that the Voc. of *meus* is *mi*:

- *Quid debeo nunc facere, mi domine?* What must I do now, my master?
4. Accusative

a) Main function: direct object

1/ Plain direct object

The person or object receiving the direct action of a transitive verb:

- *Filium amo*  
  I LOVE MY SON.
- *Hostes urbem deleverunt*  
  THE ENEMY DESTROYED THE CITY.
- *Legimus librum Clitomachi*  
  WE READ CLITOMACHUS’ BOOK (Cicero, Tusculanae Disputationes).

ﻼ The two uses described further down are in fact extensions of this function of direct object.

2/ Internal accusative

Also called *cognate accusative*, it is a direct object that comes from the same stem as the verb of the sentence:

- *Longam pugnam pugnavimus*  
  WE FOUGHT A LONG FIGHT.
  ◇ Observe that we have kept this “internality” also in English, although it sounds awkward, but it is the way it sounded in Latin.
- *Prius quam istam pugnam pugnabo, ...*  
  BEFORE I FIGHT THIS FIGHT, ... (Plautus, Pseudolus).

3/ Double accusative

a/ Some verbs have two accusatives: one for the direct object and another one for the person involved in the action (apart from the subject):

- *Doceo pueros linguam Latinam*  
  I TEACH THE STUDENTS LATIN LANGUAGE.
  ◇ The tendency in English would be to expect THE STUDENTS to be in dative.
- *Librum Caesarem celavit*  
  HE HID THE BOOK FROM CAESAR.
- *Hoc ipsus magister me docuit*  
  THE TEACHER HIMSELF TAUGHT ME THIS (Plautus, Aulularia).

Other verbs that use the same construction and some examples with them:

flagito, -are  TO REQUEST SOMETHING FROM SOMEBODY
posco, -ere  TO ASK SOMETHING FROM SOMEBODY
rogo, -are  TO ASK SOMETHING ELSE FROM SOMEBODY

- *Cotidie Caesar Haeduos frumentum ... flagitare*  
  EVERYDAY CAESAR REQUESTED CORN FROM THE HAEDUI (Caesar, De Bello Gallico).
- *Sagmina inquit te rex posco*  
  I ASK FROM YOU, O KING, THE SACRED HERBS (Livy, Ab Urbe Condita).
- *Aliud te rogo*  
  I ASK SOMETHING ELSE FROM YOU (Plautus, Mostellaria).

b/ The other kind of verbs that have double accusative are verbs that, apart from having a direct object, have also a *predicative object* that attributes some quality or status to the direct one (and a predicative must always be in the same case as the word of which it is a predicative, so it will also be in the Acc.).
Other verbs that use the same construction and some examples with them:

dico, -ere  TO CALL SOMEBODY SOMETHING
existimo, -are  TO CONSIDER SOMEBODY SOMETHING
facio, -ere  TO MAKE (in the sense of TO APPOINT) SOMEBODY SOMETHING
iudico, -are  TO JUDGE SOMEBODY AS SOMETHING
nomino, -are  TO CALL SOMEBODY SOMETHING
puto, -are  TO CONSIDER SOMEBODY SOMETHING
voco, -are  TO CALL SOMEBODY SOMETHING

• Te amicum ducebam  I CONSIDERED YOU A FRIEND.
  ✷ Both, whom I consider and what I consider him to be, must be in the accusative.
• Senatus Ciceronem consulem creavit  THE SENATE APPOINTED CICERO CONSUL.
• Ex consularibus te creavit potissimum (Cicero, Pro Milone)  HE APPOINTED YOU AS THE BEST ONE OF THE MEN OF CONSULAR RANK.

Other verbs that use the same construction and some examples with them:

dico, -ere  TO CALL SOMEBODY SOMETHING
existimo, -are  TO CONSIDER SOMEBODY SOMETHING
facio, -ere  TO MAKE (in the sense of TO APPOINT) SOMEBODY SOMETHING
iudico, -are  TO JUDGE SOMEBODY AS SOMETHING
nomino, -are  TO CALL SOMEBODY SOMETHING
puto, -are  TO CONSIDER SOMEBODY SOMETHING
voco, -are  TO CALL SOMEBODY SOMETHING

• Te bonum amicum existimabam  I CONSIDERED YOU A GOOD FRIEND.
• Populus Caesarem gloriam Romae vocavit  THE PEOPLE CALLED CAESAR THE GLORY OF ROME.
• M. Livium consulem fecerunt (Livy, Ab Urbe Condita)  THEY APPOINTED MARCUS LIVIUS CONSUL.

b) Other uses

1/ Accusative of extension

a/ It has two uses: in time and in space, and it means the extension along which the action takes place. These first examples makes reference to the time (accusative of extension in time):

• Milites tres horas ambulaverunt  THE SOLDIERS WALKED (FOR) THREE HOURS.
• Octoginta regnavit annos  HE REIGNED FOR EIGHTY YEARS (Cicero, Cato Maior de Senectute).
• Duas horas Thyrrei fuimus  WE WERE TWO HOURS IN THYREUM (Cicero, Epistulae ad Familiares)
  ✷ Observe that in English we can add DURING or FOR to the time expression.

And this example makes reference to the space (accusative of extension in space):

• Milites tria milia passuum ambulaverunt  THE SOLDIERS WALKED (FOR) THREE MILES.
• Ubi paulum ambulaverunt, ...  AFTER THEY HAVE WALKED FOR A WHILE, ...
  ✷ Again, in English we can add DURING or FOR.

b/ Do not confuse an accusative of extension with a direct object, as both will be in accusative without preposition:

• Mei discipuli semper omnes suos onerosos libros (dir. obj.) decem milia (acc. ext.) passuum ferre debent si laborem non fecerunt  MY STUDENTS MUST ALWAYS CARRY ALL THEIR HEAVY BOOKS FOR TEN MILES IF THEY HAVE NOT DONE THE HOMEWORK.

And this example makes reference to the space (accusative of extension in space):

• Milites tria milia passuum ambulaverunt  THE SOLDIERS WALKED (FOR) THREE MILES.
• Ubi paulum ambulaverunt, ...  AFTER THEY HAVE WALKED FOR A WHILE, ...
  ✷ Again, in English we can add DURING or FOR.

b/ Do not confuse an accusative of extension with a direct object, as both will be in accusative without preposition:

• Mei discipuli semper omnes suos onerosos libros (dir. obj.) decem milia (acc. ext.) passuum ferre debent si laborem non fecerunt  MY STUDENTS MUST ALWAYS CARRY ALL THEIR HEAVY BOOKS FOR TEN MILES IF THEY HAVE NOT DONE THE HOMEWORK.

b) Other uses

1/ Accusative of extension

a/ It has two uses: in time and in space, and it means the extension along which the action takes place. These first examples makes reference to the time (accusative of extension in time):

• Milites tres horas ambulaverunt  THE SOLDIERS WALKED (FOR) THREE HOURS.
• Octoginta regnavit annos  HE REIGNED FOR EIGHTY YEARS (Cicero, Cato Maior de Senectute).
• Duas horas Thyrrei fuimus  WE WERE TWO HOURS IN THYREUM (Cicero, Epistulae ad Familiares)
  ✷ Observe that in English we can add DURING or FOR to the time expression.

And this example makes reference to the space (accusative of extension in space):

• Milites tria milia passuum ambulaverunt  THE SOLDIERS WALKED (FOR) THREE MILES.
• Ubi paulum ambulaverunt, ...  AFTER THEY HAVE WALKED FOR A WHILE, ...
  ✷ Again, in English we can add DURING or FOR.

b/ Do not confuse an accusative of extension with a direct object, as both will be in accusative without preposition:

• Mei discipuli semper omnes suos onerosos libros (dir. obj.) decem milia (acc. ext.) passuum ferre debent si laborem non fecerunt  MY STUDENTS MUST ALWAYS CARRY ALL THEIR HEAVY BOOKS FOR TEN MILES IF THEY HAVE NOT DONE THE HOMEWORK.

And this example makes reference to the space (accusative of extension in space):

• Milites tria milia passuum ambulaverunt  THE SOLDIERS WALKED (FOR) THREE MILES.
• Ubi paulum ambulaverunt, ...  AFTER THEY HAVE WALKED FOR A WHILE, ...
  ✷ Again, in English we can add DURING or FOR.

b/ Do not confuse an accusative of extension with a direct object, as both will be in accusative without preposition:

• Mei discipuli semper omnes suos onerosos libros (dir. obj.) decem milia (acc. ext.) passuum ferre debent si laborem non fecerunt  MY STUDENTS MUST ALWAYS CARRY ALL THEIR HEAVY BOOKS FOR TEN MILES IF THEY HAVE NOT DONE THE HOMEWORK.

And this example makes reference to the space (accusative of extension in space):

• Milites tria milia passuum ambulaverunt  THE SOLDIERS WALKED (FOR) THREE MILES.
• Ubi paulum ambulaverunt, ...  AFTER THEY HAVE WALKED FOR A WHILE, ...
  ✷ Again, in English we can add DURING or FOR.

b/ Do not confuse an accusative of extension with a direct object, as both will be in accusative without preposition:

And this example makes reference to the space (accusative of extension in space):

• Milites tria milia passuum ambulaverunt  THE SOLDIERS WALKED (FOR) THREE MILES.
• Ubi paulum ambulaverunt, ...  AFTER THEY HAVE WALKED FOR A WHILE, ...
  ✷ Again, in English we can add DURING or FOR.

b/ Do not confuse an accusative of extension with a direct object, as both will be in accusative without preposition:

• Mei discipuli semper omnes suos onerosos libros (dir. obj.) decem milia (acc. ext.) passuum ferre debent si laborem non fecerunt  MY STUDENTS MUST ALWAYS CARRY ALL THEIR HEAVY BOOKS FOR TEN MILES IF THEY HAVE NOT DONE THE HOMEWORK.

And this example makes reference to the space (accusative of extension in space):

• Milites tria milia passuum ambulaverunt  THE SOLDIERS WALKED (FOR) THREE MILES.
• Ubi paulum ambulaverunt, ...  AFTER THEY HAVE WALKED FOR A WHILE, ...
  ✷ Again, in English we can add DURING or FOR.

b/ Do not confuse an accusative of extension with a direct object, as both will be in accusative without preposition:

• Mei discipuli semper omnes suos onerosos libros (dir. obj.) decem milia (acc. ext.) passuum ferre debent si laborem non fecerunt  MY STUDENTS MUST ALWAYS CARRY ALL THEIR HEAVY BOOKS FOR TEN MILES IF THEY HAVE NOT DONE THE HOMEWORK.

And this example makes reference to the space (accusative of extension in space):

• Milites tria milia passuum ambulaverunt  THE SOLDIERS WALKED (FOR) THREE MILES.
• Ubi paulum ambulaverunt, ...  AFTER THEY HAVE WALKED FOR A WHILE, ...
  ✷ Again, in English we can add DURING or FOR.

b/ Do not confuse an accusative of extension with a direct object, as both will be in accusative without preposition:

• Mei discipuli semper omnes suos onerosos libros (dir. obj.) decem milia (acc. ext.) passuum ferre debent si laborem non fecerunt  MY STUDENTS MUST ALWAYS CARRY ALL THEIR HEAVY BOOKS FOR TEN MILES IF THEY HAVE NOT DONE THE HOMEWORK.

And this example makes reference to the space (accusative of extension in space):

• Milites tria milia passuum ambulaverunt  THE SOLDIERS WALKED (FOR) THREE MILES.
• Ubi paulum ambulaverunt, ...  AFTER THEY HAVE WALKED FOR A WHILE, ...
  ✷ Again, in English we can add DURING or FOR.
2/ Exclamatory accusative

The examples will make clear its use:

- *Me miserum!* P**oor me!**
- *O maestam cladem!* O **sad defeat!**
- *O me miserum, o me infelicem!* P**oor me, unhappy me!** (Cicero, *Pro Milone*).

3/ Accusative of respect

Sometimes the accusative, instead of being the direct object of the verb, specifies with respect to what the action of the verb takes place. For instance:

- *Hoc homo similis deo est caput et manus*  **This man is similar to a god**  **in (with respect to) his head and his hands.**
- *Servilium magistrum equitum servaverat, ipse vulneratus umerum*  **He had saved Servilius, the master of the cavalry,**  **although he himself was wounded in his arm**  (Plinius Secundus, *Naturalis Historia*).

In fact this is not a very common construction in Latin (except in its use as *adverbial accusative*, see further down), and it is considered a structure borrowed from Greek.

4/ Adverbial accusative

The accusative neuter of some nouns and adjectives is sometimes used in an adverbial sense, and in fact all of these accusatives could be considered *accusatives of respect*:

```
multum    MUCH
id temporis AT THAT POINT OF TIME
nihil     IN NO WAY

primum    IN THE FIRST PLACE
secundum IN THE SECOND PLACE
maximam partem FOR THE MOST PART
```

- *Mei discipuli semper multum laborant*  **My students always work a lot.**
- *Multum inter se distant haec facultates*  **These qualities differ a lot among them**  (Cicero, *De Oratore*).
- *... quos ego iam ad me id temporis venturos esse praedixeram ...*  **Those whom I had predicted would come to my house at that point of the day**  (Cicero, *In Catilinam*).
- *Atqui nihil interest, iudices, utrum...*  **But it does not matter (literally, it matters in no way), judges,**  **whether...**  (Cicero, *Pro Balbo*).
- *Maximam partem lacte atque pecore vivunt*  **For the most part they live by milk and cattle**  (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).

5/ Time expressions

Apart from the mentioned accusative of extension in temporal sense, this case is also used in other expressions of time that will be presented in the corresponding chapter.
5. Genitive

a) Main function: possessive genitive

1/ It indicates the possessor of something

- Librum magistri habeo
- Arma hostium cepimus
- Postridie ... cum hostium legionibus pugnavimus (Cato, Origines).

I HAVE THE TEACHER’S BOOK.
WE TOOK THE WEAPONS OF THE ENEMY.
AT THE FOLLOWING DAY WE FOUGHT WITH THE LEGIONS

Position of the genitive:

As we can see, it is very common that the genitive is positioned before the noun on which it depends, and even if it means splitting a noun from the preposition on which the noun depends. So, instead of ...cum legionibus hostium... we have found ...cum hostium legionibus... And it is also normal that this practice of placing it before the noun splits the noun from an adjective accompanying it:

- Facile est hoc cernere in primis puerorum aetatulis (Cicero, De Finibus).

IT IS EASY TO SEE THIS IN THE FIRST TENDER AGES OF CHILDREN

2/ Its use with an infinitive

The infinitive indicates an activity typical of, proper of, etc. the person in the genitive; usually, an additional English word will have to be added to help the translation have meaning.

- Discipulos docere magistri est
- Hoc dicere Caesaris est
- Summi ducis est Galbam occidere (Juvenalis, Saturae).

IT IS (THE DUTY, FOR INSTANCE) OF A TEACHER TO TEACH STUDENTS.
SAVING THIS IS (TYPICAL, FOR INSTANCE) OF CAESAR.
IT IS THE DUTY OF A HIGH-RANK GENERAL TO KILL GALBA.

3/ Subjective and objective genitive

In some cases, the usual translation by of can be confusing; observe this example:

Timor hostium magnus erat.

Does it mean the fear that somebody felt for the enemy, or the fear that the enemy felt in front of somebody else? Usually the context will help to solve it. If it means the fear that somebody felt in front of the enemy, it is called objective genitive, because in fact the enemy is the object that somebody fears; if it means the fear that the enemy are feeling, it is called subjective genitive, because the enemy is the subject that experiences the feeling.

Let’s see an example of objective genitive:

- Aliis timor hostium audaciam ingrediendi flumen fecit (Livy, Ab Urbe Condita).

TH E FEAR OF THE ENEMY (THE FEAR THEY FEEL FOR THE ENEMY) MADE OTHERS ENTER THE RIVER.

◊ Literally, ... PRODUCED TO OTHERS THE BOLDNESS TO ENTER THE RIVER.

And now an example of subjective genitive:

- Induratur praeter spem resistendo hostium timor

THE FEAR OF THE ENEMY (THE FEAR THAT THE ENEMY FEELS) HAS HARDENED, RESISTING BEYOND EXPECTATION (Livy, Ab Urbe Condita).
b) Other uses

1/ Genitive of characteristic

Also called *genitive of description*, it describes a characteristic of something or somebody:

- *Homo magni corporis venit heri*  
  *YESTERDAY CAME A MAN OF BIG CORPULENCE.*

- *Ego et Calvisius, homo magni iudicii...*  
  *(Cicero, Epistulae ad Familiares.)*

It is also used to describe a quantity:

- *Eos vicit exercitu trium legionum*  
  *HE CONQUERED THEM WITH AN ARMY OF THREE LEGIONS.*

2/ Judicial genitive

The blame, the accusation, is put in the genitive:

- *Verres accusatus est multorum scelerum*  
  *VERRES WAS ACCUSED OF MANY CRIMES.*

- *Accusatus est repetundarum*  
  *(Asconius Pedianus, In Toga Candida.)*

Two things must be noted about this matter of judicial verbs:

- The *person* whom we accuse is put in the accusative, as expected:

  - *Quem ego accuso? Whom do I accuse?*  
    *(Cicero, In Verrem.)*

- And the *blame* can also be expressed with *de + Abl.:

  - *Accusavi de pecuniis repetundis*  
    *(Cicero, Pro Rabirio Postumo.)*

3/ Genitive of value

It is used for expressing an approximate or general value. The most common verbs that are used with the meaning of *considering* are *puto, facio, aestimo* and *duco*, and the most common words that we will find in genitive are:

| maximis | OF MUCH (VALUE) |
| minimis | OF HARDLY ANYTHING |
| parvis  | OF LITTLE (VALUE) |

- *Tuam amicitiam maximis puto*  
  *I HAVE YOUR FRIENDSHIP IN HIGH ESTEEM.*

- *Hanc victoriam parvi aestimo*  
  *I VALUE THIS VICTORY AT VERY LITTLE.*

- *Quanti debemus amorem ducere?*  
  *AT HOW MUCH MUST WE VALUE LOVE?*

- *Non ego illud parvi aestimo*  
  *I DO NOT VALUE THAT AT VERY LITTLE*  
  *(Livy, Ab Urbe Condita.)*

**Note**

The exact price of something is expressed by the *ablative of price* (see further down), but when asking about the price we can use the genitive:

- *Quanti eam emit? FOR HOW MUCH DID HE BUY HER?*  
  *(Plautus, Epidicus.)*
4/ Partitive genitive

It is used to express the total from which a part is meant:

- *Plerique civium pugnare volebant*  
  MOST OF THE CITIZENS WANTED TO FIGHT.
- *Nemo militum fugit*  
  NO ONE OF THE SOLDIERS FLED.
- *Sicuti plerique vestrum sciunt, ...*  
  AS MOST OF YOU KNOW, ...  (Cicero, *Pro Cluentio*).
- *Clariore voce, ut magna pars militum exaudiret, ..., inquit ...*  
  WITH A LOUDER VOICE, SO THAT THE MOST PART OF THE SOLDIERS COULD HEAR, HE SAID ...  (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).

This construction is also common with numbers, when we want to say for instance  FIVE OF THE SOLDIERS  instead of  FIVE SOLDIERS:

\[ \text{quinque milites} \quad \text{FIVE SOLDIERS} \neq \quad \text{quinque militum} \quad \text{FIVE OF THE SOLDIERS (from a larger group)}. \]

5/ With quantitative adverbs

Some adverbs of quantity can be followed by a genitive (in fact it is a derivative use of the partitive genitive):

- *Satis pecuniae habeo*  
  I HAVE ENOUGH [OF] MONEY.
- *Nimis vini bibis*  
  YOU ARE DRINKING TOO MUCH [OF] WINE.
- *Si iam satis aetatis ac roboris haberet, ipse pro Sex. Roscio diceret*  

6/ With some verbs and adjectives

Some verbs rule genitive: (see more complete list in the corresponding chapter)

- *Meminerunt huius coniurationis Tanusius Geminus in historia, Marcus Bibulus in edictis*  
  T. GEMINUS IN HIS NARRATIVE [AND] M. BIBULUS IN HIS EDICTS REMEMBER (MENTION) THIS CONSPIRACY  (Suetonius Tranquillus, *De Vita Caesarum*).

**Note**

Some of these verbs can also rule an accusative:

- *Memini omnino tuas litteras*  
  I REMEMBER YOUR LETTER COMPLETELY  (Cicero, *Epistulae ad Atticum*).

And also some adjectives: (see more complete list in the corresponding chapter)

- *Amphoram plenam aquae habeo*  
  I HAVE AN AMPHORA FULL OF WATER.
- *Cur semper avidi pecuniae estis?*  
  WHY ARE YOU ALWAYS DESIROUS OF MONEY?
- *T. Quinctius plenus lacrimarum ad suos versus ... inquit ...*  
  T. QUINCIUS, FULL OF TEARS, TURNING TOWARDS HIS PEOPLE, SAID ...  (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).

**Note**

Some of these adjectives can also rule an ablative:

- *Ex tuis litteris plenus sum expectatione de Pompeio Pompeius*  
  FROM YOUR LETTERS, I AM FULL OF EXPECTATION ABOUT  (Cicero, *Epistulae ad Atticum*).
6. Dative

a) Main function: indirect object

1/ It indicates the person (object, institution, etc.) for or to whom something is done

- Dic veritatem magistro  
  Tell the truth to the teacher.
- Has ego, si vis, tibi dabo  
  I will give them to you, if you want (Plautus, Asinaria).
- Hoc ego tibi dico  
  I tell you this (Ennius, Tragoediae).

2/ Dative of interest

It expresses the person (object, institution, etc.) that may be indirectly affected (in a positive or negative way) by the action. In fact it is almost the same as an indirect object:

- Haec omnia Romae feci  
  I have done all of this for Rome.
- Statuam Caesari in foro ponere volo  
  I want to place a statue in the forum for Caesar.
  ◇ Observe this last example: it says for Caesar, not of Caesar, so in his honour, whether the statue represents Caesar himself or not.
- Hoc mihi aegre est  
  This is sad for me (Plautus, Captivi).
- Credite hoc mihi, iudices  
  Believe this, judges (Cicero, In Verrem).
  ◇ The mihi is untranslatable here, it gives a sense of in my benefit.

3/ Dative of reference

It indicates the person for whom the statement is real:

- Hoc mihi veritas est  
  In my opinion, this is true.
- Omnes milites audaces sunt duci  
  For the general (in the general’s opinion), all the soldiers are brave.
- Hoc mihi non est dubium  
  In my opinion, this is not doubtful (Cicero, Epistulae ad Familiares).
  ◇ One could argue that this is a dative of interest, This is not doubtful to me. Sometimes the borderline between both is very debatable.

b) Other uses

1/ Possessive dative

Usually, to indicate possession, we make use of the verb habeo, but in combination with the verb sum the dative can also be used to indicate possession.

Therefore, instead of saying  

Habeo multos libros  
I have many books...

... we can say  

Multi libri sunt mihi  
Many books are for me = I have many books.

So, the possessed object becomes the subject of the sentence (and therefore it must be in nominative) and the possessor is put in dative.
Another example:

Instead of saying **Dux habebat multos filios**  
**THE GENERAL HAD MANY SONS**  
... we can say  
**Multi filii erant duci**  
**MANY SONS WERE FOR THE GENERAL**  
= **THE GENERAL HAD MANY SONS**.

- **Tibi sunt gemini et trigemini ... filii**  
  **YOU HAVE TWINS AND TripleTS** (Plautus, *Miles Gloriosus*).

**Note**

Not always a dative with the verb *sum* has this meaning of possession, sometimes it can merely indicate its pure meaning of indirect object: **Hic liber est tibi** may just mean **THIS BOOK IS FOR YOU**, rather than **YOU HAVE THIS BOOK**.

2/ Dative of purpose

Sometimes the dative can mean the purpose of an action; sometimes it can be translated by an infinitive, sometimes another option must be used:

- **Caesar duas legiones auxilio misit**  
  **CAESAR SENT TWO LEGIONS TO HELP**  
  ♦ **Literally, ... FOR HELP.**

- **Cicero magno exemplo fuit**  
  **CICERO WAS A GREAT EXAMPLE**  
  ♦ **Literally, ... FOR A GREAT EXAMPLE.**

- **Quinque milites praesidio relinquam**  
  **I WILL LEAVE FIVE SOLDIERS AS DEFENCE**  
  ♦ **Literally, ... FOR DEFENCE.**

- **Haec clades magnō dolori fuit**  
  **THIS DEFEAT PRODUCED A BIG PAIN**  
  ♦ **Literally, ... WAS FOR A BIG PAIN.**

  (Suetonius Tranquillus, *De Grammaticis et Rhetoribus*).

- **Exemplo fuit ad imitandum**  
  **HE WAS AN EXAMPLE TO BE IMITATED**

  (Plinyus C. Secundus, *Epistulae*).

- **Exemplo est Regulus**  
  **REGULUS IS AN EXAMPLE**  
  (Plinyus C. Secundus, *Epistulae*).

  ♦ Pliny means, in this case, an example of negative qualities.

3/ Double dative

In fact, it is the use of the dative of purpose combined with another dative of the person or object affected by the action; this produces a combination of two datives in the sentence, and context will make clear which one is that of purpose and which one is that of person or object affected. For instance, observe the same examples as before, but with a second dative added:

- **Caesar duas legiones auxilio nobis misit**  
  **CAESAR SENT TWO LEGIONS TO HELP US**  
  ♦ **Literally, ... FOR HELP FOR US.**

- **Cicero magno exemplo omnibus fuit**  
  **CICERO WAS A GREAT EXAMPLE FOR ALL.**

- **Quinque milites praesidio urbi relinquam**  
  **I WILL LEAVE FIVE SOLDIERS AS DEFENCE FOR THE CITY**  
  ♦ **Literally, ... TO DEFEND THE CITY.**

- **Haec clades magnō dolori mihi fuit**  
  **THIS DEFEAT GAVE ME GREAT PAIN.**

- **Cicero saluti senatui fuit**  
  **CICERO WAS THE SALVATION OF THE SENATE.**

- **Libri sunt curae magistro**  
  **THE TEACHER TAKES CARE OF THE BOOKS**  
  ♦ **Literally, THE BOOKS ARE FOR CONCERN FOR THE TEACHER.**

- **Semper mihi magnō dolori fuit**  
  **IT WAS ALWAYS A SOURCE OF SORROW**  
  (Cicero, *Epistulae ad FamiliareS*).

- **Nemini meas adventus labori aut sumptui neque publice neque privatim fuit**  
  **MY ARRIVAL WAS NO PROBLEM NOR EXPENSE TO ANYONE, EITHER PUBLICLY OR PRIVATELY**  
  (Cicero, *In Verrem*).

- **Praesidio impedimentis legionem quartam decimam reliquit**  
  **HE LEFT THE 14TH LEGION AS PROTECTION FOR THE BAGGAGE**  
  (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).
4/ Agent dative

In the passive periphrastic, the agent is not expressed by a + ablative but by a dative:

- Liber *mihi* scribendus est  A BOOK MUST BE WRITTEN BY ME / I MUST WRITE A BOOK.

In any case, it is possible that this dative coincides with another dative, an indirect object, within the same sentence.

Observe the sentence  *Liber tibi mihi scribendus est.* Does it mean  I MUST WRITE A BOOK FOR YOU  or  YOU MUST WRITE A BOOK FOR ME?

In these cases of possible confusion, the agent can be left in the usual form of a + ablative even if it is in a passive periphrastic:

- Liber *tibi a me* scribendus est  I MUST WRITE A BOOK FOR YOU.

5/ Dative with verbs and adjectives

Some verbs that in English rule a direct object rule a dative in Latin: (see more complete list in the corresponding chapter)

- Milites *duci* parent  THE SOLDIERS OBEY THE GENERAL.
- Hostibus captis *parcere* volo  I WANT TO SPARE THE CAPTURED ENEMIES.
- Paret *senatui?*  DOES HE OBEY THE SENATE?  (Cicero, *Philippicae*).

Also some adjectives rule a dative: (see more complete list in the corresponding chapter)

- Aeneas similis *deo* erat  AENEAS WAS SIMILAR TO A GOD.
- Haec arma *pugnae apta* non sunt  THESE WEAPONS ARE NOT ADEQUATE FOR THE FIGHT.

7. Ablative

[A lot of the functions presented here can also be performed with an ablative preceded by a preposition; remember that in this section we deal only with uses without preposition.]

a) Main function: separation

In fact it is its original function, but it does not mean that it is the most frequent one; so, rather that *main function*, we should say *original function*. It has to do with expressions of separation, origin, etc.:

- *Catilina nobili genere natus rempublicam delere volebat*  CATILINA, BORN FROM A NOBLE ORIGIN, WANTED TO DESTROY THE STATE.
- *Postea expulsus est patria*  AFTER THIS, HE WAS EXPELLED FROM HIS FATHERLAND.
- *Te libero servitute*  I FREE YOU FROM SLAVERY.

Also in expressions of depriving somebody of something, of lacking, etc.:

- *Mei discipuli me privant somno*  MY STUDENTS DEPRIVE ME OF SLEEP.
- *Cleo pecunia*  I LACK MONEY
  ♦ The thing you lack is expressed in ablative, in the sense that you are away from it.
b) Other uses

1/ Instrumental ablative

It tells us the instrument, tool, etc. with which some action is performed:

- Multos libros *calamo scripsi*  I HAVE WRITTEN MANY BOOKS WITH A PEN.
- Hoc *pecunia* impetravit  HE ACHIEVED THIS WITH MONEY.
- Antiochus *epistulis bellum gerit, calamo et atramento militat*  ANTIOCHUS WAGES WAR WITH LETTERS, AND HE FIGHTS WITH PEN AND INK  (Porcius Cato, *Orationes*).
- Te lex Terentia ... populi Romani *pecunia* frumentum a Siculis emere iussit?  DID THE TERENTIAN LAW ORDER YOU TO BUY GRAIN FROM THE SICILIANS WITH THE MONEY OF THE ROMAN PEOPLE?  (Cicero, *In Verrem*).

Let’s remember that when we mean *company* rather than *instrument* we must use the preposition *cum* (this is usually called ablative of accompaniment):

- *Cum amicis ludo*  I PLAY WITH MY FRIENDS.

2/ Ablative of characteristic

Very similar to the genitive of characteristic, with which it can alternate:

- Tuus frater vir *magnus ingenio* est  YOUR BROTHER IS A MAN OF A GREAT CHARACTER.
- M. Cicero homo *magna eloquentia* et Q. Roscius histrio *summa venustate*  M. CICERO, A MAN OF GREAT ELOQUENCE, AND Q. ROSCIUS, AN ACTOR OF HIGHEST ELEGANCE  (A. Gellius, *Noctes Atticae*).

Any of the above characteristics could have been expressed in the genitive.

3/ Ablative of cause

It expresses the reason or cause for some event:

- Post victoriam milites *gaudio* exultabant  AFTER THE VICTORY, THE SOLDIERS WERE EXULTANT WITH HAPPINESS.
- Metu fugerunt  THEY FLED BECAUSE OF FEAR.
- Erupit e senatu triumphans *gaudio*  HE RUSHED FORTH FROM THE SENATE TRIUMPHANT WITH (BECAUSE OF) HAPPINESS  (Cicero, *Pro Murena*).

4/ Ablative of price

It is used when the exact price of something is meant (the genitive is used for the general value, see above):

- Hoc emi *quinque sestertiis*  I HAVE BOUGHT THIS FOR FIVE SESTERTII.
- De illo emi virginem *triginta minis*  I BOUGHT A MAIDEN FROM HIM FOR THIRTY MINAE  (Plautus, *Curculio*).

Of course, *quinque* and *triginta* are indeclinable.

5/ Ablative of respect

It is used to indicate with respect to what an assessment is valid:

- Mei discipuli differunt inter se *lingua et moribus*  MY STUDENTS DIFFER FROM EACH OTHER IN LANGUAGE AND CUSTOMS.
• Romani Gallos divitiis superabant  
THE ROMANS WERE SUPERIOR TO THE GAULS IN WEALTH.

• Hi omnes lingua, institutis, legibus inter se differunt  
ALL OF THESE DIFFER FROM EACH OTHER IN LANGUAGE IN
REGULATIONS AND IN LAWS  (Caesar, _De Bello Gallico_).

It may seem very similar to the _dative of reference_, but that is a matter of personal point of view and this is a matter of a real fact used as a reference.

6/ Ablative of manner

a/ It indicates the way in which something takes place; it would answer to the question _How?_

• Pugnavimus _summa vi_  
WE FOUGHT WITH THE MAXIMUM STRENGTH.

• Eum _dolo_ ceperunt  
THEY CAPTURED HIM BY MEANS OF A DECEIT.

• Si omnia _dolo_ fecit, ...  
IF HE DID EVERYTHING BY MEANS OF A DECEIT, ...  (M. Porcius Cato, _Oratìones_).

• Quem locum Marius, quod ibi regis thesauri erant, _summa vi capere intendit_  
MARIUS TRIED TO TAKE THAT PLACE WITH THE MAXIMUM STRENGTH, AS THERE WERE THE TREASURIES OF THE KING  (Sallust, _Bellum Iugurthinum_).

When the meaning concentrates more in the way of the background rather than of the means, the use of the preposition _cum_ is frequent:

• _Alii cum laetitia, alii cum spe recesserunt_  
SOME WENT AWAY WITH HAPPINESS, OTHERS WITH HOPE  (Plinius C. Secundus, _Panegyricus_).

It must be noted that, in the case that the noun is accompanied by an adjective, the preposition _cum_ is usually positioned between the adjective and the noun, but we can find it at the beginning or even there can be no _cum_ at all. For example:

• _Vos oro atque obsecro, iudices, ut attente bonaque cum venia verba mea audiatis_  
I ASK AND BESEECH FROM YOU, JUDGES, THAT YOU LISTEN TO MY WORDS WITH ATTENTION AND GOOD WILL  (Cicero, _Pro Roscio Amerino_).

• _Primum abs te hoc bona venia peto_  
FIRST, I ASK THIS FROM YOU WITH GOOD WILL  (Terentius Afer, _Phormio_).

b/ Connected with the ablative of manner, in fact as a derivative use of it, we can find the _ablative of intensity_, used to indicate the degree of difference in a comparative:

• _Petrus est _muito altior quam Antonius_  
PETER IS MUCH TALLER THAN ANTHONY.  ♦ Literally, TALLER _BY MUCH._

• _Nos nostris exercitibus quid pollicemur? Multo meliora atque maiora_  
WHAT DO WE OFFER TO OUR ARMIES? MUCH BETTER AND LARGER ASSETS  (Cicero, _Philippicae_).  ♦ Literally, BETTER _BY MUCH._

• _Paulo longius oratio mea provecta est hac de causa_  
BECAUSE OF THIS MY SPEECH HAS BEEN LENGTHENED A LITTLE LONGER  (Cicero, _Pro Roscio Comoedo_).  ♦ Literally, LONGER _BY A LITTLE._

7/ Ablative with some verbs and adjectives

Some verbs that in English seem to use a direct object use an ablative in Latin:

• _In proelio gladio utor_  
IN THE BATTLE I USE A SWORD.

• _Tua amicitia semper fruimus_  
WE ALWAYS ENJOY YOUR FRIENDSHIP.

• _Cautioribus utitur consiliis_  
HE TAKES RATHER PRUDENT DECISIONS  ♦ Literally, HE MAKES USE OF RATHER...

• _Nunc vestro beneficio fruor_  
NOW I ENJOY YOUR FAVOUR  (Cicero, _Post Reditum ad Populum_).
Also some verbs that in English would require a prepositional object:

- *Mea patria abundat navibus*  
  *My fatherland is abundant in ships.*

- *Abundat pectus laetitia meum*  
  *My heart is full of happiness*  
  (*Plautus, Stichus*).

Also some adjectives rule an ablative:

- *Caesar dignus laude erat*  
  *Caesar was worthy of praise.*

- *Vir certe fuit dignus tanto cognomine*  
  *The man was really worthy of such a great name*  
  (*Livy, Ab Urbe Condita*).

- *Erat in verborum splendore elegans, compositione aptus*  
  *He was elegant in the splendour of his words, well prepared for writing*  
  (*Cicero, Brutus*).

### 8/ Adverbial ablative

Some ablatives have become fixed expressions:

- *vi*  
  *By force*

- *una mente*  
  *By common agreement*

- *re ipsa*  
  *In fact*

- *pedibus*  
  *On foot*

- *Ad lacum Averni per speciem sacrificandi, re ipsa ut temptaret Puteolos ..., descendit*  
  *He came down to the Lake of Avernus with the excuse of making a sacrifice, in fact to attack Puteoli*  
  (*Livy, Ab Urbe Condita*).

- *Ex equis desiliunt ac pedibus proeliantur*  
  *They dismount from the horses and they fight on foot*  
  (*Caesar, De Bello Gallico*).

### 9/ Agent ablative

In the passive voice, the agent object, the person by whom the action is performed is expressed by *a/ab + ablative*:

- *Pons a Romanis deletus est*  
  *The bridge was destroyed by the Romans.*

- *Multa a Caesare in eam sententiam dicta sunt*  
  *Many things were said in this sense by Caesar*  
  (*Caesar, De Bello Gallico*).

- *In some cases, the translation by passive may sound too unnatural; this sentence could be translated by Caesar said many things in this sense.*

Nevertheless, if what causes the action is not a person, the preposition *a/ab* is omitted:

- *Pons tempestate deletus est*  
  *The bridge was destroyed by a storm.*

Please see above in the uses of the dative about the use of the dative as agent in some cases.

### 10/ Ablative in expressions of time

This will be dealt with in the corresponding section.
b) Prepositions

1. General observations

a/ To express some concepts, sometimes it is enough with the use of the cases, like for instance the function of indirect object in *I have brought this for Caesar*: we use the dative, we do not need any preposition meaning *for*. But sometimes we need prepositions to express some other concepts, like for instance in the sentence *This was usual among the Gauls*: we will need a preposition for *among the Gauls*.

Latin prepositions can be followed by *only two cases: either accusative or ablative;* some of them can be followed only by accusative, others only by ablative, and some others by both. In this last group, the meaning of the preposition will be different depending on which case follows them.

Each preposition may correspond to more than one meaning in English, and in some cases meanings may have nothing to do with each other; for instance, the preposition *ab* may mean *away from* (as in *he ran away from the enemy*) and also by (as in *he was killed by Caesar*).

b/ With respect to the group of prepositions themselves, it must be said that there are a series of adverbs that can behave like prepositions, i.e., they are followed either by an accusative or by an ablative, like for instance *propter + Acc. because of*. They are called *prepositional adverbs*; they have already been presented in the chapter of *Adverbs and prepositional adverbs*. About which ones are prepositions and which ones are prepositional adverbs, the general rule is that if they can be used to form compound verbs they are considered *prepositions*, like for instance *praedico* (praedico, *praefero*, etc.); prepositional adverbs do not form compound verbs. Moreover, there is a reduced group of prepositions (like *apud*) that are really prepositions, but they do not form compound verbs, but they must not be considered prepositional adverbs, as they cannot be used on their own: they need to be followed by a noun.

2. Prepositions of one case

a) Prepositions followed by the accusative

- **ad**

Its basic meaning is *towards*:

- *Ad templum eo*  
  *I go towards the temple.*

- *Ex eo oppido pons ad Helvetios pertinet*  
  *(Caesar, De Bello Gallico).*

- *Nec ulli iuvenes sunt reperti ad ianuam*  
  *(Phaedrus, Fabulae Aesopiae).*

- *Ad me manet*  
  *He stays with me*  
  *Literally, he remains by me (in my house).*

- *Ad ianuam constitit*  
  *He stopped at the door.*

- *Nec ulli iuvenes sunt reperti ad ianuam*  
  *And no youngsters were found at the door.*
Also with temporal sense:

- *Ad noctem* laboravimus  
  We worked until the night.
- *Illi aegre ad noctem oppugnationem sustinent*  
  They sustain the attack until the night with difficulty (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).

It may also have a comparative sense:

- *Nihil [est] ad Persium*  
  He is nothing in comparison to Persius (Cicero, *De Oratore*).

★ Some idioms:

- *ad summam senectutem*  
  Until a very advanced age
- *ad diem*  
  On the agreed day
- *ad necem*  
  Until death
- *ad quoddam tempus*  
  For some time
- *ad lunam*  
  In the light of the moon

★ *ante*  

It means *before, in front of*, both in geographical and in temporal sense:

- *Ante Caesarem stabat*  
  He was standing in front of Caesar.
- *Ante pugnam eum vidi*  
  I saw him before the battle.
- *Totam causam, iudices, explicemus atque ante oculos expositam consideremus*  
  Let’s present the whole process, judges, and once it has been exposed before our eyes let’s consider it (Cicero, *Pro Roscio Amerino*).

★ Some idioms:

- *paucis ante diebus*  
  A few days before  
  ★ In fact, in this expression *ante* is used as adverb.
- *anno ante*  
  A year before  
  ★ And also here it is an adverb.

★ *apud*  

It means *among, by, at the house of*:

- *Apud Gallos habito*  
  I live among Gauls.
- *... si iste apud eos quaestor non fuisset*  
  If he had not been quaestor among them (Cicero, *Divinatio in Q. Caecilium*).

It may also mean *in the works of*:

- *Apud Caesarem hoc legere possumus*  
  We can read this in Caesar’s works.

★ *circum*  

It means *around*:

- *Circum urbem curro*  
  I run around the city.
- *Circum se praesidia amicorum atque clientium occulte habebat*  
  He had around him, secretly, a protection body of friends and clients (Sallust, *Catilinae Coniuratio*).
In some cases it may have also a directional sense:

• Quod ubi ex Publicio audivit, pueros *circum* amicos dimittit  
  When he heard this, he sent slaves around to his friends  
  (Cicero, *Pro Quinctio*).

| erga |

It means  *with respect to, towards:*

• Crudelitatem eius *erga* homines odi  
  I hate his cruelty towards people.

• Grata *erga* tantam virtutem civitas fuit  
  The city was grateful towards so much bravery  
  (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).

| inter |

In geographical sense, it means  *in the middle of, among, between:*

• *Inter duos exercitus* stabamus  
  We were standing between the two armies.

• Sunt qui Larentiam vulgato corpore lupam *inter pastores* vocata putent  
  There are people who think that Larentia, a prostitute, was called ‘she wolf’ among the shepherds  
  (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).

In temporal sense, it means  *in the middle of, during:*

• *Inter concilium hoc dixit*  
  During the meeting he said this.

▷ Some idioms:

| inter haec  | MEANWHILE |
| inter nos  | AMONG US  |
| inter omnia  | BEFORE EVERYTHING |
| inter moras  | MEANWHILE |

▷ It has a causal meaning, *because of:*

• *Ob hanc victoriam eum laudant*  
  They praise him because of this victory.

• *Ob eam rem me omnes Summanum vocant*  
  Because of this all call me Summanus  
  (Plautus, *Curculio*).

▷ An idiom:  
  *ob beneficium*  
  As payment for a favours

| penes |

It means  *in power of, in the hands of:*

• *Post cladem, penes Romanos eramus*  
  After the defeat, we were in the hands of the Romans.

• *Erat penes principes tota res publica*  
  All the state was in the hands of the chieftains  
  (Cicero, *De Republica*).

Observe the difference in the meaning of these two very similar expressions.

• *Penes te es?*  
  Are you in your sound mind?

• *Penes te est*  
  It is in your hands (in your power).
per

Its basic meaning is THROUGH, and this may be applied in several senses: temporal, geographical, etc.:

- Per urbem iuvenes currebant
  - YOUTHS WERE RUNNING THROUGH THE CITY.
- Per tres horas pugnavimus
  - WE FOUGHT [FOR] THREE HOURS.
  - This can also be expressed without the preposition per, as in English without FOR.
- Per Ciceronem hoc obtinui
  - I GOT THIS THROUGH (THANKS TO, BY MEANS OF) CICERO.
- Celeriter Petreius per Vettones ad Afranium pervenit
  - PETREIUS CAME QUICKLY TO AFRANIUS THROUGH THE VETTONES (Caesar, Bellum Civile).
- Arma per Italiam locis opportunis parare
  - HE MADE PREPARATION OF WEAPONS THROUGH (AROUND) ITALY IN APPROPRIATE PLACES (Sallust, Catilinae Coniuratio).
  - The infinitive in this sentence is a Historical Infinitive.

Some idioms:

per manus FROM HAND TO HAND
per singulos dies EVERY DAY
per ludum FOR FUN

post

The basic meaning is AFTER and BEHIND:

- Post hoc, Romam ire volebamus
  - AFTER THIS, WE WANTED TO GO TO ROME.
- Post montem exercitus manet
  - THE ARMY IS WAITING BEHIND THE MOUNTAIN.
- Post dominationem L. Sullae,
  - ... AFTER THE DICTATORSHIP OF SULLA, ... (Sallust, Catilinae Coniuratio).

praeter

Its basic meanings are BEYOND, ALONG and IN FRONT OF, which can produce several translations in English, according to the sense:

- Omnes necaverunt praeter duos
  - THEY KILLED ALL EXCEPT TWO ᴿ ᴿ LITERALLY, ... BEYOND TWO.
- Praeter opinionem, ego hoc non feci
  - AGAINST PEOPLE’S OPINION, I DIDN’T DO THIS.
- Praeter hoc flumen ambulavimus
  - WE WALKED ALONG THIS RIVER.
- Praeter castra Caesaris suas copias traduxit
  - HE TOOK HIS TROOPS PAST (IN FRONT OF) CAESAR’S CAMP
(Caesar, De Bello Gallico).
- Repente praeter opinionem omnium confessus est
  - SUDDENLY, AGAINST EVERYBODY’S OPINION (EXPECTATION),
  - HE CONFESSED (Cicero, In Catilinam 3).

An idiom: praeter modum BEYOND MEASURE

trans

The meaning is BEYOND, TO/AT THE OTHER SIDE OF:

- Exercitum trans flumen duxit
  - HE LED THE ARMY TO THE OTHER SIDE OF THE RIVER.
- Proximi sunt Germanis, qui trans Rhenum incolunt
  - THEY ARE NEAR TO THE GERMANS, WHO LIVE AT THE OTHER SIDE OF THE RHINE (Caesar, De Bello Gallico).
b) Prepositions followed by the ablative

1) a / ab / abs  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preposition</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a / ab / abs</td>
<td>Usually, a before a consonant, ab before a vowel or some consonants, abs before t-</td>
<td>Ab Italia veni heri (I came from Italy yesterday)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The basic meanings are FROM, AWAY FROM, but its use in different senses (temporal, geographical, etc.) may produce very different translations in English:

- Ab Italia veni heri (I came from Italy yesterday).
- Castra multum abest a mari (The camp is very far from the sea).
- Ab urbe condita (From the foundation of the city).
- Hoc obtinui a meo amico (I got this from my friend).
- Legati ab Haeduis et a Treveris veniebant (Ambassadors came from the Haedusans and from the Treveri) (Caesar, De Bello Gallico).
- Maturat ab urbe proficisci (He hurries to set out from the city) (Caesar, De Bello Gallico).
- Milia passuum tria ab eorum castris castra ponit (He pitches his camp three miles away from their camp) (Caesar, De Bello Gallico).

A very important use is its use as agent of the passive voice:

- Pons deletus est a militibus (The bridge was destroyed by the soldiers) (Caesar, De Bello Gallico).
- Ab equitibus est interfecta (It was killed by the cavalry) (Caesar, De Bello Gallico).

2) de

- Venio de monte (I come from the mountain) (meaning FROM THE TOP OF THE MOUNTAIN DOWNWARDS).
- Prometheus post XXX annos de monte Caucaso est solutus (Prometheus, after thirty years, was freed from the Mount Caucasus) (Hyginus, Fabulae).

It has several meanings; one of them is FROM, but always meaning movement FROM A SUPERIOR POSITION DOWNWARDS:

- Venio de monte (I come from the mountain) (meaning FROM THE TOP OF THE MOUNTAIN DOWNWARDS).
- Prometheus post XXX annos de monte Caucaso est solutus (Prometheus, after thirty years, was freed from the Mount Caucasus) (Hyginus, Fabulae).
Another meaning is ABOUT:

- **Librum de amore legi**  
  I read a book about love.
- **Nescioquid de amore loquitur**  
  He says I do not know what about love (Terentius Afer, *Eunuchus*).

It may also have a causal meaning:

- **His de causis Pompeius revenit**  
  Because of these reasons Pompeius returned.
- **Caesar his de causis, quas commemoravi, Rhenum transire decreverat**  
  Caesar had decided to cross the Rhine because of these reasons that I have reminded (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).

Some idioms:

- **de medio die**  
  After midafternoon
- **de nocte**  
  By night
- **de improviso**  
  Suddenly
- **de industria**  
  On purpose

The general meaning is FROM INSIDE TO THE OUTSIDE OF, but the English translation may be very different when it is taken in the sense of departure point:

- **E castris exercitum duxit**  
  He led the army out of the camp.
- **Septem ex Hispania naves delevit**  
  He destroyed seven ships from Hispania.
  ✤ Meaning that these ships had come originally from Hispania.
- **Ex eo tempore eum iterum non vidi**  
  From that time I haven’t seen him again.
- **Consul e curia egressus ... domum redit**  
  The consul, after walking out of the Senate, went home (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).
- **Invidia ex opulentia orta est**  
  From wealth, envy arose (Sallust, *Catilinae Coniuratio*).
- **Qui sim, ex eo, quem ad te misi, cognosces**  
  Who I am, you will know it from that man that you sent to me (Sallust, *Catilinae Coniuratio*).
- **Ex eo tempore neque pax neque bellum cum Veientibus fuit**  
  From that time there was neither peace nor war with the Veienti (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).

It may also have causal meaning:

- **Qua ex causa heri quam celerrime veni**  
  Because of this reason I came as quickly as possible yesterday.

Some idioms:

- **ex consule**  
  After being consul
- **diem ex die**  
  Day after day
- **ex eo**  
  From then  
  ✤ The omission of _tempore_ is evident.
- **ex nullius iniuria**  
  Without harming anybody
- **ex omnium sententia**  
  According to everybody’s opinion
praem_its basic meaning is IN FRONT OF, BEFORE, BECAUSE OF, IN COMPARISON TO:

• Prae me, fortis es (IN COMPARISON TO ME, YOU ARE STRONG.)
• Prae hoc scelere fugere debo (I MUST FLEE BECAUSE OF THIS CRIME.)
• Prae metu ubi sim nescio (BECAUSE OF FEAR, I DO NOT KNOW WHERE I AM (Plautus, *Casina*).)

pro_the basic meaning is IN FRONT OF, ON BEHALF OF, IN EXCHANGE FOR:

• Pro patria pugnavimus (WE FOUGHT ON BEHALF OF OUR HOMELAND.)
• Omnia pro contione dixi (I SAID EVERYTHING IN FRONT OF THE ASSEMBLY.)
• Hoc tibi pro tua virtute dederunt (THEY HAVE GIVEN YOU THIS IN EXCHANGE FOR YOUR BRAVERY.)
• Dulce et decorum est pro patria mori (TO DIE FOR YOUR COUNTRY IS PLEASANT AND RIGHT (Horatius, *Carmina*).)
• Pro me pugnabit L. Philippus (L. PHILIPPUS WILL FIGHT FOR ME (Cicero, *Pro Quinctio*).)
• Pro pudore, pro abstinentia, pro virtute audacia largitio avaritia vigebant (INSTEAD OF TEMPERANCE, ABSTINENCE AND INTEGRITY, SHAMELESSNESS, PRODIGALITY AND AVARICE WERE PREVAILING (Sallust, *Catilinae Coniuratio*).)

Note

This preposition is used exceptionally with the accusative in the expression *Pro deum hominumque fidem!* OH, THE FAITH OF GODS AND MEN!

Some idioms:

- *Pro viribus* ACCORDING TO ONE’S STRENGTH
- *Pro suffragio* AS A RESULT OF THE VOTING PROCEDURE
- *Pro tempore et pro re* ACCORDING TO THE TIME AND THE CIRCUMSTANCES

sine_it means WITHOUT:

• Sine militibus urbem capere non possum (I CAN’T CAPTURE THE CITY WITHOUT MY SOLDIERS.)
• Sine mora praetoribus se tradunt (WITHOUT DELAY THEY HAND THEMSELVES OVER TO THE PRAETORS (Sallust, *Catilinae Coniuratio*).)

tenus_it is a very unusual preposition, and it is always postponed to its noun; it means UP TO, AS FAR AS:

• Hoc monte tenus ibimus (WE WILL GO UP TO THAT MOUNTAIN.)
• Cum per aquam ferme genu tenus altam tres milites sequentur, ... (WHEN THREE SOLDIERS WERE FOLLOWING [HIM] THROUGH THE WATER, DEEP ALMOST UP TO THE KNEE, ... (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*.)
3. Prepositions of two cases

Almost always their use with the accusative will imply *motion towards*, and their use with the ablative will imply *state without motion*.

**in**

*a/* With accusative, it means *into*:

- *In urbem venio* I *come into the city.*
- *Consul triumphans in urbem redit* The consul returns to the city celebrating his triumph.

(Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).

With a noun meaning a person, it may have hostile sense:

- *In Caesarem orationem dixi* I *made a speech against Caesar.*
- *Ipse habuit graves in Caesarem contiones* He himself made some strong discourses against Caesar.

(Caesar, *Bellum Civile*).

It may also have temporal sense:

- *In multam noctem legimus* We *were reading until the deep night.*

*b/* With ablative, it means *in* without any movement implied:

- *In urbe sum* I *am in the city.*
- *Nostra omnis vis in animo et corpore sita est* All our strength is located in our soul and our body.

(Sallust, *Catilinae Coniuratio*).

▷ Some idioms:

In any of both cases, the idioms that this preposition produces are several; first let’s see some with the accusative:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Idiom</th>
<th>Translation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>in perpetuum</td>
<td>For ever</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in multam noctem</td>
<td>Until deep night</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in universum</td>
<td>In general</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in barbarum</td>
<td>In a savage way</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in multam nocem</td>
<td>Until deep night</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in armis</td>
<td>With the weapons on</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

And now some with the ablative:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Idiom</th>
<th>Translation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>in tam multis annis</td>
<td>During so many years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in spe esse</td>
<td>To hope</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**sub**

*a/* With the accusative, it means *under*, with an idea of movement *from above under*:

- *Sub aquam eo* I *go under the water* ✶ Meaning that I am outside the water and I go under it.
- *Sub montem eo* I *go to the foot of the mountain.*
  ✶ In this case, it does not mean *under it*, just *at the base of it*.
- *Sub montem, in quo erat oppidum positum Ilerda, succedunt* They *move forward to the foot of the mountain on which Ilerda stood*.
  (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).
It may also be used in a temporal sense, with a meaning of TOWARDS:

- *Sub vespum Caesar pervenit* CAESAR ARRIVED TOWARDS THE EVENING.
- *Utrumque legati fere sub idem tempus ad res repetandas missi [sunt]* FROM BOTH SIDES LEGATES WERE SENT AROUND THE SAME TIME TO DEMAND RESTITUTION (Liby, *Ab Urbe Condita*).

b/ With ablative, it has the same meaning of UNDER but without any sense of movement from above under:

- *Pisces sub aqua habitant* FISHES LIVE UNDER THE WATER.
- *Sub monte habito* I LIVE AT THE FOOT OF THE MOUNTAIN.
- *Novam ipse aliam sub Albano monte condidit* HE HIMSELF FOUNDED A NEW ONE (CITY) AT THE FOOT OF THE ALBANUS MOUNTAIN (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).

It may be used in symbolic sense:

- *Sub Caesare omnia meliora erant* UNDER CAESAR (IN CAESAR’S TIME) EVERYTHING WAS BETTER.

▌ Some idioms:

*With the ablative:*

| sub oculis | WITHIN SIGHT |
| sub septentrionibus | IN THE NORTH |

▌ subter

Its use and meaning is almost parallel to that of sub, and its use is very scarce.

- *Equo citato subter murum hostium ad cohortes avehitur* HE RODE AWAY AT FULL GALLOP UNDER THE ENEMY’S WALL TO HIS COHORTS (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).

▌ super

a/ With accusative, it has a meaning of OVER, ON, usually with a sense of movement:

- *Super lectum arma pono* I PUT THE WEAPONS ON THE BED.
- *Hannibal proelio abstinuit castrisque super ripam positis, cum ...* HANNIBAL REFRAINED FROM ENGAGING AND, HAVING PITCHED THE CAMP ON THE BANK, WHEN ... (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).
  ♦ Accusative is used because in this case the verb *pono* implies some sense of movement: the camp was not there, and Hannibal pitches it there.

It may also have a temporal sense:

- *Cum tale super cenam facinus narraret Ulixes Alcinoo, ...* WHEN ULYSSES EXPLAINED SUCH A DEED TO ALCINOOS DURING THE DINNER, ... (Lunius luvenalis, *Saturae*).
And also a numerical sense:

- *Super trecentos milites habeo*  I HAVE MORE THAN 300 SOLDIERS.
- *Coniugibus liberisque et senioribus super sexaginta annos in propinquam Epirum missis, ...* AFTER THEIR WIVES AND CHILDREN AND ELDERLY PEOPLE HAD BEEN SENT TO THE NEARBY EPIRUS FOR MORE THAN SIXTY YEARS, ...
  (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).

✧ Observe the lack of sense of movement in these two last examples.

b/ With ablative, it lacks this sense of movement:

- *Arma iacent super lecto*  THE WEAPONS ARE ON THE BED.

It may also be used in a symbolic sense, with different meanings:

- *Super hoc, multa alia fecit*  APART (literally, ABOVE) FROM THIS, HE DID MANY OTHER THINGS.
- *Percepi super his rebus nostris te loqui*  I HAVE REALISED THAT YOU WERE TALKING ABOUT THESE MATTERS OF OURS
  (Plautus, *Mostellaria*).
c) Expressions of time and place

1. Expressions of time

[The extensive meanings that prepositions can have in their use offer more possibilities than those expressed here; in this section, we concentrate only on the standard procedures for the usual expressions of time.]

a) When?

1/ The moment of time in which something happened is expressed by the ablative without preposition:

- **prima vigilia** IN THE FIRST WATCH
- **decimo die** ON THE TENTH DAY
- **hieme** IN WINTER
- **aestate** IN SUMMER

- *Tertio die Caesar vallo castra communit* ON THE THIRD DAY CAESAR FORTIFIES THE CAMP WITH A WALL (Caesar, *Bellum Civile*).
- *Magnis itineribus hieme aspera pervenit ad oppidum Suthul* BY FORCED MARCHES HE ARRIVED AT THE CITY OF SUTHUL THROUGH A HARSH WINTER (Sallust, *Bellum Iugurthinum*).

2/ Some expressions of long periods use the preposition in:

- **in senectute** IN OLD AGE

- *Non sunt in senectute vires* IN OLD AGE THERE IS NO STRENGTH (Cicero, *Cato Maior de Senectute*).

3/ There are a number of expressions which are often used in Latin to convey *time when*, and some of these have been listed below. Adverbial expressions can be found in the corresponding section:

- **die et nocte** DAY AND NIGHT
- **prima luce** AT DAYBREAK
- **hoc noctis** AT THAT MOMENT OF NIGHT
- **sub noctem** AT NIGHTFALL
- **uno tempore** AT THE SAME TIME
- **ineunte anno** AT THE BEGINNING OF THE YEAR
- **exeunte anno** AT THE END OF THE YEAR
- **omnibus annis** EVERY YEAR
- **paucis post diebus** AFTER A FEW DAYS

△ In this expression, *post* is an adverb.

b) For how long?

1/ The duration in time is expressed by the accusative, with or without the preposition *per*:

- *Milites (per) tres horas pugnaverunt* THE SOLDIERS Fought during three hours.
- *Totam noctem hic fui* I HAVE BEEN HERE FOR THE WHOLE NIGHT.
- *Summa vi totum diem oppugnarunt* THEY WERE ATTACKING FOR THE WHOLE DAY WITH ALL OF THEIR STRENGTH (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).
2/ With per, the sense of non-stop activity is stressed:

- **Senatus per totum diem saepe consultur** (Seneca iunior, *Dialogi*).  
  Often the Senate receives consultation during the whole day.

3/ In some cases (less frequently), also the ablative can be used:

- **Romani decem annis Gallos pugnaverunt**  
  The Romans fought with the Gauls for ten years.
- **Annis viginti errans a patria afuit** (Plautus, *Bacchides*).  
  He was absent from his homeland wandering for twenty years.

**c) Since when?**

The amount of *units of time* during which an action has been happening (and is still happening) is expressed with the adverb *iam* followed by an ordinal in Acc., increasing in one unit the number of periods already covered:

- **Marcus iam quintum annum consul est**  
  Marcus has been consul for four years.
  ![He is in the fifth year of his consulship, this is why we say *quintum*.]
- **Ab illo tempore annum iam tertium et vicesimum regnat**  
  Since then, he has been king for twenty-two years.
  (Cicero, *Pro Lege Manilia*).
  ![So, now he is in his 23\textsuperscript{rd} year as king.]

**d) In how much time?**

The period of time needed to complete an action is expressed by the ablative:

- **Septem diebus hoc fecero**  
  I will have done this in seven days.
- **Numidae paucis diebus iussa efficiunt**  
  The Numidians carry out the orders in a few days.
  (Sallust, *Bellum Iugurthinum*).

**e) How long ago?**

The period of time elapsed since something happened is expressed in ablative, preceded by *abhinc*:

- **Hunc librum emi abhinc tribus annis**  
  I bought this book three years ago.
- **Quo tempore? Abhinc annis XV**  
  When? Fifteen years ago  
  (Cicero, *Pro Roscio Comoedo*).

**f) Within which period?**

The period of time within which something happened or will happen is expressed by the ablative; in fact this is a small derivation from the former Point d):

- **Proximis diebus hoc tibi feram**  
  I will bring you this within the next days.
- **Si pluvia non incesserit, rigato quindecim proximis diebus**  
  If it does not rain, water within the next fifteen days  
  (Moderatus Columella, *De Arboribus*).
2. Expressions of place

[The extensive meanings that prepositions can have in their use offer more possibilities that those expressed here; in this section, we concentrate only on the standard procedures for the usual expressions of place.]

a) Where?

1/ The place where something takes place is expressed by the preposition in + ablative:
   - Habito in Italia  I LIVE IN ITALY.
   - In hac urbe eum viderunt  THEY SAW HIM IN THIS CITY.
   - Si vos in eo loco essetis, quid aliud fecissetis?  IF YOU WERE IN THAT PLACE, WHAT ELSE WOULD YOU HAVE DONE?
     (Porcius Cato, *Orations*).

2/ In some sporadic cases we can find alternation between using the preposition or not using it; for instance:
   - Nona Caesaris legio ... castra eo loco posuit  CAESAR’S NINTH LEGION PITCHED THE CAMP IN THAT PLACE
     (Caesar, *Bellum Civile*).  ★ Compare with in eo loco in the sentence above.

3/ Names of cities, small islands, and the nouns domus HOUSE, rus COUNTRY (as opposed to city) and humus FLOOR experience a double phenomenon: they do not use preposition and moreover the case used is not ablative but locative (a seventh case which had disappeared from the normal use and which had remained only for some expressions). The ending of the locative is equal to that of the genitive for nouns of the 1st and 2nd declension in singular, and is equal to ablative for the other cases (some grammars do not consider these other cases as locative, but just as ablative).

Let’s see some examples of locative:
   - Caesarem Romae necaverunt  THEY KILLED CAESAR IN ROME.  ★ in Roma is a typical mistake.
   - Hostes maneant Tarenti  THE ENEMY WERE REMAINING IN TARENTUM.
   - Socrates Athenis docet  SOCRATES TEACHES IN ATHENS.
   - Hannibal Carthagine habitat  HANNIBAL LIVES IN CARTHAGE.
   ★ We can find also Carthagini, by influence of 2nd declension locative.
   - Nunc domi dormit  HE IS NOW SLEEPING IN THE HOUSE.
   - Ruri habitare malo  I PREFER TO LIVE IN THE COUNTRY.
   - Dum haec Romae geruntur, ...  WHILE THESE EVENTS TAKE PLACE AT ROME, ...  (Sallust, *Catilinae Coniuratio*).
   - Reliqui, qui domi manserunt, ...  THOSE WHO HAVE REMAINED AT HOME ...  (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).
   - Miramur Athenis Minervam  AT ATHENS WE ADMIRE [THE TEMPLE OF] MINERVA  (Cicero, *In Verrem*).
   - Verum arbitrabantur Corinthi et Carthagini, etiam si ...  BUT THEY THOUGHT THAT IN CORINTH AND CARTHAGE,
     EVEN IF ...  (Cicero, *De Lege Agraria*).

A very common idiom that makes use of the locative is this one:  domi militaeque  AT HOME AND IN THE ARMY
★ Apart from meaning the two kinds of activities, military and civil life, it may also mean IN PEACE AND IN WAR.

   - Igitur domi militaeque boni mores colebantur  SO, GOOD CUSTOMS WERE PRACTISED BOTH IN PEACE AND IN WAR
     (Sallust, *Catilinae Coniuratio*).
4/ Apart from the exact sense of *in* a place, other prepositions may be used to indicate proximity etc.:

- *Apud Helvetios habito* I live among the Helvetians.
- *Multi floruerunt apud Graecos, sed Phalereus Demetrius meo iudicio praestitit ceteris* Among the Greeks, many flourished, but Demetrius Phalereus, in my opinion, excelled the others (Cicero, *Orator*).

b) Where to?

1/ The place towards which we go is expressed by the accusative preceded by the preposition *ad*, if we mean *towards*, or the preposition *in*, if we mean *into*:

- *Ad urbem eo* I go TOWARDS THE CITY.
- *Eunt agmine ad urbem* THEY GO TOWARDS THE CITY IN COLUMN (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).
- *In urbem eo* I go INTO THE CITY.
- *Eum ... in urbem vocant* THEY CALL HIM INTO THE CITY (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).

The preposition in followed by a name of person would imply *hostility*; behold the difference:

- *Ad Caesarem eo* I GO TO CAESAR.
- *In Caesarem eo* I GO AGAINST CAESAR.
- *Antonius autem, etsi tanto odio ferebatur in Ciceronem, ...* BUT ANTHONY, ALTHOUGH HE FELT SUCH A HATRED AGAINST CICERO, ... (Nepos, *Vitae*).

2/ In the cases formerly mentioned of names of cities and small islands, the word *domus*, etc., the same phenomenon takes place: they do not use a preposition (but they remain in accusative, there is no further change to any other case as happened if we wanted to express *place where*):

- *Romam eo* I GO TO ROME.
- *Domum eo* I GO HOME. ✧ Observe that in English we do not use a preposition either.
- *Athenas eum misi* I SENT HIM TO ATHENS.
- *Adherbal tametsi Romam legatos miserat, ...* ADHERBAL, ALTHOUGH HE HAD SENT AMBASSADORS TO ROME, ... (Sallust, *Bellum Iugurthinum*).
- *Deinde se ex curia domum proripuit* LATER HE RUSHED FROM THE SENATE TO HIS HOUSE (Sallust, *Catilinae Coniuratio*).

3/ We must take into account that a lot of times the structure of the gerundive will make us find expressions like *ad Romam* (so, *Romam* preceded by a preposition). This is not a breakage of the rule of no preposition in front of *Roma*, but the structure of the gerundive that makes the preposition be there:

- *... non ad Romam obsidendam, sed ...* NOT IN ORDER TO BESIEGE ROME, BUT ... (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).
c) Where from?

1/ The place from which we come is expressed by the preposition *e/ex* if we mean the *movement from inside to outside* or by the preposition *a/ab* if we mean just the *movement of getting away from somewhere*; in this aspect, *e/ex* is the opposite to *in + Acc.*, and *a/ab* is the opposite to *ad + Acc.*:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{in + Acc.} & \iff e/ex + Abl. \\
\text{ad + Acc.} & \iff a/ab + Abl.
\end{align*}
\]

- *Ex urbe venio* I COME FROM THE CITY  
  Meaning that I was inside the city and I have gone out of it.
- *Postridie in castra ex urbe ad nos veniunt flentes principes* ON THE DAY AFTER THE CHIEFTAINS COME FROM THE CITY INTO THE CAMP TO US, CRYING (Plautus, *Amphitruo*).
- *Ab urbe venio* I COME FROM THE CITY  
  Meaning that I was in the area of the city, not necessarily inside it, maybe just around.
- *Cum paulo longius a castris processisset, ...* WHEN HE HAD ADVANCED A LITTLE MORE AWAY FROM THE CAMP, ... (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).

2/ As expected, names of cities, small islands, *domus* etc. will not use a preposition:

- *Roma venio* I COME FROM ROME.
- *Domo veni* I COME FROM HOME.
- *... cum in me incurrit Roma veniens Curio meus ...* WHEN MY FRIEND CURIO, ARRIVING FROM ROME, CAME UPON ME (Cicero, *Epistulae ad Atticum*).

3/ Exception: In Livy, the use of the preposition *ab* before *Roma* is very frequent:

- *Paucos post dies decem legati ab Roma venerunt* AFTER A FEW DAYS TEN AMBASSADORS CAME FROM ROME (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).

**d) Through where?**

1/ The place through where some action takes place is expressed with the preposition *per + Acc.*:

- *Per totam urbem currebant* THEY WERE RUNNING THROUGH THE ENTIRE CITY.
- *Vulgatur fama per urbem* THE RUMOUR SPREADS AROUND THE CITY (Vergil, *Aeneis*).
- *Horatius Cocles ... iussit suos per pontem redire in urbem* HORATIUS COCLES ORDERED HIS MEN TO GO BACK ACROSS THE BRIDGE (Iulius Frontinus, *Strategemata*).

2/ But we can use also the ablative without preposition:

- *Ponte fugerunt* THEY FLED ACROSS THE BRIDGE.
- *Cum magna praeda eodem ponte in castra revertuntur* THEY COME BACK INTO THE CAMP ACROSS THE SAME BRIDGE WITH A BIG BOOTY (Caesar, *Bellum Civilé*).
d) Regime of verbs and adjectives

1. General observations

a/ Most verbs that have an object, like the verb *video* to see, rule the accusative case, but some rule other cases. For instance, the verb *careo* to lack rules the ablative case:

- *Caesarem video* I see Caesar.
- *Careo pecunia* I lack money.

So, verbs that are transitive in English (i.e., they have a direct object) do not always use an accusative in Latin. Let's see more examples of this lack of correspondence between English and Latin:

The verb *persuadeo* to persuade rules a dative, and the verb *utor* to use rules an ablative:

- *Tibi persuadeo* I persuade you.
- *Gladio utor* I use a sword.

The unusual regime of these verbs is usually indicated in dictionaries.

b/ Moreover, some verbs may also take different constructions to express the same idea. For instance, the verb *mitto* to send may be followed either by a dative or by *ad + accusative*:

- *Librum mitto tibi / Librum mitto ad te* I send you a book.
- *Hic est quem ego tibi misi* This is the one I sent you (Plautus, *Curculio*).
- *Antonium ... misi ad te* I sent Anthony to you (Cicero, *Epistulae ad Familiares*).

Therefore, a verbal expression that has been taught in some given way may later be found used differently. Dictionaries may offer the most common regime, but bear in mind that the construction offered here or in any other book will not be the only possible construction.

c/ To complicate matters further, in some cases a verb, even without shifting to another construction, may use a preposition or not. For instance, the verb *libero, -are* to free may be used followed by an ablative preceded by *a(b)* or by no preposition:

- *Cyzicum obsidione liberavit* He freed Cyzicum from siege (Nepos, *Vitae*).
- *Sicut a Philippo Graeciam liberavit, ...* just as he freed Greece from Philippus, ... (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).

*Note*

It could be argued that the use of a preposition means automatically another construction. In any case, we just wanted to note the double option of using the same case with or without preposition.
Continuous practice will teach this, and the easiest way of learning it is to try to remember the construction when you come across it. Again, it would be far too extensive to cover all the possible constructions some verbs may adopt, and, when reading, the student must have some flexibility to accept previously unknown constructions and even to deduce them from comparison with verbs of similar meaning when composing in Latin.

We provide a list of some of the most frequent verbs that do not use the usual accusative case. Although most verbs are quoted and translated, additional comments and/or examples have been supplied for some to help the student's understanding.

d/ Some adjectives also require complementing words to be in a certain case. For instance, the adjective *dignus*, -a, -um WORTHY requires that the complement (the thing of which something or somebody is worthy) be in ablative:

- *Caesar dignus tua amicitia est* CAESAR IS WORTHY OF YOUR FRIENDSHIP.

In the list offered here, note that several of the adjectives may be related to some verbs given in the former section. As happens in the list of verbs, some of the adjectives have additional comments aside from the translation and/or an example when it has been considered convenient.

### 2. Verbs that rule a given case

✧ It is worth noting that several of the verbs that use cases other than accusative are deponent or semi-deponent.

#### a) Verbs that rule genitive

*interest, interesse, interfuit / refer, referre, retulit* IT IS OF INTEREST

✧ These two impersonal verbs, mentioned in the corresponding section, need the genitive of the person affected by the interest:

- *Aratoris autem interest ... se frumenta habere* THE FARMER IS INTERESTED IN HAVING CORN (Cicero, *In Verrem*).

- Literally, *IT IS OF INTEREST OF THE FARMER TO HAVE CORN.*

*memini, -isse* (defective) TO REMEMBER

- *Dum matris meminit, obliviscetur novercae* (Seneca senior, *Controversiae*).

✧ Also possible with accusative, especially if the object is a thing:

- *Si haec memineritis, ...* IF YOU REMEMBER THESE THINGS, ... (Cicero, *Pro Quinctio*).

✧ As an additional comment, it is worth saying that this verb is one of the few verbs in which we can find the future imperative in use:

- *Sed hoc mementote* BUT REMEMBER THIS (Cicero, *De Oratore*).

*misereor, -eri, miser(i)tus sum* (deponent) TO PITY

- *Patris tui misereor* I PITY YOUR FATHER (Annaeus Seneca senior, *Controversiae*).

✧ The impersonal and active form *miseret* rules an accusative of the person affected by the feeling and a genitive of the reason (see the corresponding section on Impersonal Verbs):

- *Miseret me illius* I FEEL SORRY FOR HIM (Plautus, *Bacchides*).
**obliviscor, -i, oblitus sum (deponent)**  TO FORGET
- *Duorum oblitus est?*  HAS HE FORGOTTEN BOTH OF THEM?  (Seneca iunior, *De Beneficiis*).
- *Mei oblitus est*  HE HAS FORGOTTEN ME  (Gellius, *Noctes Atticae*).
  ◦ Also possible with accusative, especially if the object is a thing:
    - *Oblitus sum omnia*  I HAVE FORGOTTEN EVERYTHING  (Plautus, *Bacchides*).
  ◦ But observe the following example, in which the object is not a person but is in genitive:
    - *Memini enim, memini neque umquam obliviscar noctis illius cum ...*  I REMEMBER INDEED, I REMEMBER AND I WILL NEVER FORGET THAT NIGHT WHEN ...  (Cicero, *Pro Plancio*).

**b) Verbs that rule dative**

*adsum, adesse, affui*  (no supine)  TO SUPPORT, TO BE PRESENT AT
  ◦ It is common that compounds of *sum* rule a dative; see *desum, praesum*, etc. further down.
  ◦ In geographical sense, it means just TO BE PRESENT, but the meaning of *supporting* derives from the concept of being side by side with somebody:
    - *Aderat in senatu Verres*  VERRES WAS PRESENT AT THE SENATE  (Cicero, *In Verrem*).
    - *Affuit et clientibus*  HE ALSO SUPPORTED HIS CLIENTS  (Suetonius, *De Vita Caesarum*).

*appropinquo, -are, -avi, -atum*  TO APPROACH
  ◦ It can also be used with *ad* + Acc.

*cedo, -ere, cessi, cessum*  TO YIELD TO

*confido, -ere, confisus sum (semi-deponent)*  TO TRUST

*credo, -ere, -didi, -ditum*  TO TRUST, TO BELIEVE
  ◦ If the object is a person, it is usually in the dative:
    - *Tu mihi non credis ipsi?*  DON’T YOU BELIEVE ME MYSELF?  (Cicero, *De Oratore*).
  ◦ But if the object is not a person but a concept, it is usually in the accusative:
    - *Unum illud credo*  I JUST BELIEVE THAT ONE THING  (Cicero, *In Q. Caecilium*).
  ◦ Observe this double example, in which the person is in dative and the thing to be believed is in accusative:
    - *Fortasse haec tu nunc mihi non credis quae loquor*  MAYBE YOU NOW DO NOT BELIEVE TO ME THESE THINGS THAT I AM SAYING  (Plautus, *Pseudolus*).

*desum, deesse, defui*  (no supine)  TO BE MISSING TO
  ◦ The person to whom something is missing is what is expressed in dative:
    - *Domus tibi deerat? At habebas*  DID YOU LACK A HOUSE? BUT YOU HAD IT!  (Cicero, *Pro Scauro*).
  ◦ But it is very normal that there is no dative, leaving just the sense that something was missing:
    - *Studium ad pugnandum virtusque deerat*  DESIRE FOR FIGHTING AND BRAVERY WERE MISSING  (Caesar, *Bellum Civile*).

*diffido, -ere, diffisus sum (semi-deponent)*  TO MISTRUST

*displiceo, -ere, -cui, -citum*  TO DISPLEASE

*faveo, -ere, favi, fautum*  TO FAVOUR

*gratulor, -ari, -atus sum (deponent)*  TO CONGRATULATE

*ignosco, -ere, -novi, -notum*  TO FORGIVE

*immineo, -ere*  (no more forms)  TO OVERHANG
impero, -are, -avi, -atum  TO COMMAND

- Naves longas *Gaditanis* ut facerent imperavit  HE ORDERED THE INHABITANTS OF GADES TO BUILD TEN LONG SHIPS (Caesar, *Bellum Civile*).

- Praeterea imperavit frumentum ... comportare  MOREOVER HE ORDERED TO GATHER CORN (Sallust, *Bellum Lugurthinum*).

- Indutiomarum ad se cum ducentis obsidibus venire iussit  HE ORDERED INDUTIOMARUS TO COME TO HIM WITH TWO-HUNDRED HOSTAGES (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).

indulgeo, -ere, -dulsi, -dultum  TO INDULGE TO

invideo, -ere, -vidi, -visum  TO ENVY

- Ego *nemini* invideo  I ENVY NO ONE (Petronius, *Satyrica*).

irascor, -i, iratus sum (deponent)  TO BE ANGRY WITH

noceo, -ere, -cui, -cium  TO HARM

nubo, -ere, nupsi, nuptum  TO MARRY (woman as subject)

- Neque ita multo post A. Caecinae nupsit  AND NOT MUCH LATER SHE MARRIED A. CAECINA (Cicero, *Pro Caecina*).

- Foedus cum eo percussit et filiam eius uxorem duxit  HE MADE A TREATY WITH HIM AND MARRIED HIS DAUGHTER (Seneca senior, *Controversiae*).

obsequor, -i, obsecutus sum (deponent)  TO OBEY

- Auspicis plurimum obsecutus est Romulus  FOR THE MOST PART, ROMULUS OBeyed THE AUSPICES (Cicero, *De Republica*).

obsto, -are, -stiti (no supine)  TO HINDER

occurrro, -ere, occuri, occurrum  TO COME ACROSS

parco, -ere, peperci, parsum  TO SPARE

pareo, -ere, -ui, -itum  TO OBEY

- Do not confuse with paro, -are, -avi, -atum  TO PREPARE or with pario, -ere, peperi, partum  TO PRODUCE, TO GIVE BIRTH.

persuadeo, -ere, -suasi, -suasum  TO PERSUADE

placeo, -ere, -cui, -cium  TO PLEASE

praesum, -esse, -fui (no supine)  TO BE AT THE HEAD OF

- His praerat Viridovix  VIRIDOVIX WAS AT THE HEAD OF THEM (meaning *their chief*) (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).

prosum, prodesse, profui (no supine)  TO BENEFIT

- Tua disciplina nec mihi prodest nec tibi  YOUR DISCIPLINE BENEFITS NEITHER ME NOR YOU (Plautus, *Bacchides*).
resisto, -ere, restiti (no supine)  TO RESIST

ersvio, -ire, -ivi, -itum  TO BE A SLAVE TO

◊ Do not confuse with servo, -are, -avi, -atum  TO SAVE.

studeo, -ere, studui (no supine)  TO DEVOTE ONESELF TO, TO FEEL A TENDENCY FOR, TO SUPPORT

◊ Further ahead, in late Latin, this verb developed the modern meaning of TO STUDY. A typical mistake when composing in Latin is to translate I STUDY LITERATURE by Litteras studeo instead of by Litteris studeo.

subvenio, -ire, -veni, -ventum  TO HELP

succurro, -ere, -curri, -cursum  TO HELP

supersum, -esse, -fui (no supine)  TO OUTLIVE

c) Verbs that rule ablative

careo, -ere, -ui (no supine)  TO LACK

◊ Quamquam abest a culpa, suspicione tamen non caret  ALTHOUGH HE HAS NO BLAME, NEVERTHELESS HE DOES NOT LACK SUSPICION  (Cicero, Pro Roscio Amerino).

desisto, -ere, -stiti, -stitum  TO CEASE FROM

egeo, -ere, egui (no supine)  TO LACK, TO NEED

◊ This verb can also rule genitive:

◊ Auxilli egeo  I NEED HELP.

fruor, frui, fructus sum (deponent)  TO ENJOY

fungor, fungi, functus sum (deponent)  TO PERFORM

potior, -iri, potitus sum (deponent)  TO OBTAIN

◊ Magna pecoris atque hominum numero potitur  HE OBTAINS A LARGE NUMBER OF CATTLE AND OF MEN  (Caesar, De Bello Gallico).

◊ Also possible with accusative and genitive:

◊ Amisit animam, potitus est gloriam  HE LOST HIS LIFE, HE OBTAINED GLORY  (Anon., Rhetorica ad Herennium).

◊ Hic simul atque imperii potitus est, persuasit ...  AS SOON AS HE OBTAINED THE POWER, HE PERSUADED...

(Nepos, Vitae).

utor, uti, usus sum (deponent)  TO USE

vescor, vesci (no perfect form)  TO EAT
3. Adjectives followed by a given case

a) Adjectives followed by a genitive

avidus, -a, -um  GREEDY FOR

cupidus, -a, -um  DESIRIOUS OF
  • Cupidus belli adversus Antiochum Eumenes erat  EUMENES WAS DESIRIOUS OF WAR AGAINST ANTIOCHUS
    (Livy, Ab Urbe Condita).

dissimilis, -e  UNLIKE

doctus, -a, -um  LEARNED IN

expers, -ertis  DEPRIVED OF, LACKING, FREE FROM
  • Ipse adulescentulus ... non expers fuit illius periculi  HE HIMSELF, AS A YOUNG BOY, ... WAS NOT FREE FROM THAT DANGER
    (Nepos, Vitae).
  ✤ Note that a typical mistake is to translate expers, -ertis by EXPERT.

immemor, -oris  FORGETFUL OF

imperitus, -a, -um  UNSKILLED IN

inanis, -e  EMPTY OF

memor, -oris  MINDFUL OF
  • Erit tanti criminis illa memor  SHE WILL BE MINDFUL OF SUCH A BIG FAULT  (Propertius, Elegiae).

particeps, -cipis  SHARING IN

patiens, -entis  TOLERANT OF

peritus, -a, -um  SKILLED IN

plenus, -a, -um  FULL OF

scitus, -a, -um  SKILLED IN
  • Est enim scitus pugnandi  HE IS INDEED SKILLED IN FIGHTING  (Quintilianus, Institutio Oratoria).
  ✤ Note that pugnandi is a gerund, but in any case it is a genitive.

similis, -e  SIMILAR TO

studiosus, -a, -um  FOND OF
  • Agri enim culturae ab initio fui studiosus  FROM THE BEGINNING I WAS FOND OF AGRICULTURE
    (Terentius Varro, Res Rusticae).
  ✤ It would be worth noting that the corresponding verb studeo rules dative, while this adjective rules genitive.

Note

Some of them can also be followed by an ablativus, and similis and dissimilis can also be followed by a dative.
b) Adjectives followed by a dative

amicus, -a, -um  FRIENDLY TO, FRIEND OF
- A. Ligurius ... mortuus est, bonus homo et nobis amicus  A. LIGURIUS HAS DIED, A GOOD MAN AND A FRIEND OF US  (Cicero, Epistulae ad Familiares).
- Quintum iam mensem socius et amicus populi Romani armis obsessus teneor  IT IS OVER FOUR MONTHS NOW THAT I, AN ALLY AND A FRIEND OF THE ROMAN PEOPLE, HAVE BEEN HELD, BESIEGED BY WEAPONS  (Sallust, Bellum Iugurthinum).

carus, -a, -um  DEAR TO
dissimilis, -e  UNLIKE
gratus, -a, -um  PLEASING TO

inimicus  HOSTILE TO, ENEMY TO
- Vident omnes qua de causa huic inimicus venias  EVERYBODY SEES WHY YOU COME AS AN ENEMY TO THIS MAN  (Cicero, Pro Roscio Amerino).
- The meaning of  ENEMY  in military sense is given by the adjective hostis, -e.

proximus, -a, -um  NEAR TO
similis, -e  SIMILAR TO

Note
Similis and dissimilis can also be followed by a genitive.

c) Adjectives followed by an ablative

contentus, -a, -um  CONTENT WITH
dignus, -a, -um  WORTHY OF
fretus, -a, -um  RELYING UPON
- Fretus numero copiarum suarum conflagere cupiebat  RELYING UPON THE NUMBER OF HIS TROOPS, HE WANTED TO FIGHT  (Nepos, Vitae).

indignus, -a, -um  UNWORTHY OF

orbus, -a, -um  DEPRIVED OF
- Itaque orbus iis rebus omnibus ...  THEREFORE, DEPRIVED OF ALL THESE THINGS ...  (Cicero, Epistulae ad Familiares).

praeditus, -a, -um  ENDOWED WITH
- Opportune adest homo summa fide et omni virtute praeditus  CONVENIENTLY, WE HAVE HERE A MAN ENDOWED WITH THE GREATEST GOOD FAITH AND WITH EVERY VIRTUE  (Cicero, Pro Cluentio).

Note
Some of them can also be followed by a genitive.
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a) Simple clauses

1. Describing real actions

a/ In order to describe real actions, it is necessary to use the *indicative* mood, in the appropriate tense:

- Ubi *sunt* milites? *Where are the soldiers?*
- Heri multa *templa* *vidi* YESTERDAY I SAW MANY TEMPLES.
- Cras domi *manebo* TOMORROW I WILL STAY AT HOME.

b/ It is worth remembering at this stage the difference in aspect between the imperfect and the perfect tenses: the imperfect indicates a *continuous* action or process, while the perfect conveys the idea of a *punctual* action, as shown in the following examples.

- Litteras *scribem* I WAS WRITING A LETTER.
- Fortunam temptare Galba *nolebat* GALBA DID NOT WANT TO TEMPT FORTUNE (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).

- Litteras *scripi* I WROTE A LETTER.
- Nostri celeriter *arma ceperunt* OUR MEN QUICKLY TOOK UP ARMS (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).

Another point that is worth remembering is the possibility of translating the perfect tense using the construction *have* + past participle:

- Litteras *scripi* I WROTE A LETTER / I HAVE WRITTEN A LETTER.

c/ In some cases, the presence of an adverb will help us to decide which option sounds more natural in English; for instance, *Heri litteras scripsi* should be translated as *YESTERDAY I WROTE A LETTER* (*YESTERDAY I HAVE WRITTEN A LETTER* would not be right in English).

2. Expressing potential actions

a) Future potentiality

1/ To express future potentiality in Latin, it is necessary to use the *subjunctive* in the present tense. Let’s see some examples:

- Talem librum *scribam* I WOULD/COULD WRITE SUCH A BOOK.
- *Dicam* hoc scelus esse I WOULD SAY THAT THIS IS A CRIME.
- Ubi *invenias* ducem meliorem quam Caesarem? WHERE WOULD/COULD YOU FIND A BETTER GENERAL THAN CAESAR?
- Quis non *admiretur* splendorem puchritudinemque virtutis? WHO WOULD NOT ADMIRE THE SPLENDOUR AND BEAUTY OF VIRTUE? (Cicero, *De Officiis*).
- Quid de P. Licini Crassi *loquar* WHAT MIGHT I SAY ABOUT P. LICINIUS CRASSUS? (Cicero, *De Senectute*).
2/ This construction actually corresponds to the apodosis of a conditional period, specifically of an eventual conditional (see section on Conditionals), but without the protasis:

- **Talem librum scribam (si quis me poscat)** I WOULD/COULD WRITE SUCH A BOOK (IF ANYBODY WERE TO ASK ME TO).

3/ In some cases, it is also possible to use the *perfect subjunctive* tense in order to express future potentiality:

- **Ego hoc dixerim** I WOULD/COULD SAY THIS.
- ... Aristoteles, quem excepto Platone haud scio an recte dixerim principem philosophorum... ARISTOTLE, WHO, WITH THE EXCEPTION OF PLATO, I DO NOT KNOW WHETHER I COULD RIGHTLY CALL THE FIRST OF THE PHILOSOPHERS (Cicero, *De Finibus*).

---

**b) Present potentiality**

The difference between future and present potentiality is minimal in Latin and, therefore, these two constructions are easy to confuse with each other.

To express potentiality in the present, it is necessary to use the *imperfect subjunctive*:

- **Talem librum scriberem** I WOULD/COULD WRITE SUCH A BOOK.
- **Omnia tibi dicerem** I WOULD/COULD TELL YOU EVERYTHING.
- **Cuperem vultum videre tuum cum haec legeres** I WOULD LIKE TO SEE YOUR FACE WHEN YOU READ THIS (Cicero, *Epistulae ad Atticum*).

Note that we have translated in the same way both the sentence **Talem librum scriberem** and the sentence **Talem librum scribam** reported in the section on *future potentiality*. The difference lies in the interpretation given to the potentiality: while in the example featured in the previous section we wanted to express a possibility in the future (and therefore we used the present subjunctive), in this case we consider an event that theoretically could be happening now, an action that is not prevented by present impediments.

There is a small difference between these two cases but it will hardly affect the translation; some grammars do not distinguish the two constructions, introducing just one model that features the same tenses to express either present or future potentiality.

---

**c) Potentiality in the past**

1/ The best way to express potentiality in the past is to use the *pluperfect subjunctive*:

- **Talem librum scripsissem** I WOULD HAVE WRITTEN SUCH A BOOK.
- **Vicisset iuvenis senem, vicisset sceleratus pium?** WOULD A YOUNG MAN HAVE DEFEATED AN ELDERLY PERSON? WOULD A WICKED MAN HAVE DEFEATED A PIOUS PERSON? (Quintilianus, *Declamationes Minores*).

This is nothing else than the apodosis of a conditional period of unfulfilled condition in the past (see the corresponding chapter *b) Subordinate clauses*, section 7, on *Conditionals*).
2/ Nonetheless, it is very common to find the imperfect subjunctive employed to express potentiality in the past, especially with verbs like <i>credo</i> and <i>dico</i> (verba dicendi):

- <i>Credderet eum malum ducem esse</i> YOU WOULD HAVE BELIEVED THAT HE IS A BAD GENERAL.
- <i>Quis crederet hoc?</i> WHO WOULD HAVE BELIEVED THIS?
- <i>Quis umquam crederet mulierum adversarium Verrem futurum [esse]?</i> WHO WOULD HAVE BELIEVED THAT VERRES WAS GOING TO BE AN OPPONENT OF WOMEN? (Cicero, <i>In Verrem</i>).

Remember that the imperfect subjunctive is frequently used to express present potentiality as well.

3. Expressing commands and prohibitions

a) Commands

1/ The most common form used to express a command in Latin is the present imperative:

- <i>Dic mihi tuum nomen</i> TELL ME YOUR NAME.
- <i>Lege hunc librum</i> READ THIS BOOK.
- <i>Tace, Lucretia, inquit</i> KEEP SILENT, LUcretia, he said (Livy, <i>Ab Urbe Condita</i>).
- <i>Me manibus impiis eripite</i> SET ME FREE FROM THESE CRUEL HANDS (Sallust, <i>Bellum Iugurthinum</i>).

An imperative can be preceded by <i>age</i> (imperative of <i>ago</i>), meaning COME ON:

- <i>Sed age responde</i> BUT COME ON, ANSWER (Plautus, <i>Amphitruo</i>).
- <i>Age dic!</i> COME ON, TELL! (Cicero, <i>In Verrem</i>).

The use of future imperative is very rare, but it can be found in some legal documents and ordinances:

- <i>Duces Romani exercitus audaces sunt</i> GENERALS OF THE ROMAN ARMY MUST BE BRAVE.

2/ In order to express a command in the 3<sup>rd</sup> person, it is necessary to use the present subjunctive, called in this case the <i>iussive subjunctive</i>, as the 3<sup>rd</sup> person imperative is attested only in archaic Latin:

- <i>Veniat</i> LET HIM COME.
- <i>Legat</i> LET HIM READ.
- <i>Sit adulescentia liberior</i> LET THE YOUNG BE MORE FREE (Cicero, <i>Pro Caelio</i>).  

3/ Sometimes the iussive subjunctive can substitute the imperative for the 2<sup>nd</sup> person as well, and in this case it may be preceded by <i>ut</i>:

- <i>[Ut] taceas</i> KEEP SILENT.
- <i>Taceas, me specites</i> SHUT UP, LOOK AT ME (Plautus, <i>Asinaria</i>).

This is the abbreviated version of the expression <i>Fac ut taceas</i>, without the first imperative <i>fac</i>, which has been elided:

- <i>Fac modo ut venias</i> JUST COME! (Cicero, <i>Epistulae ad Atticum</i>).

◊ It would have been normal to find only <i>Ut venias</i>.  

² It would have been normal to find only <i>Ut venias</i>. 
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4/ A specific form of orders are what we call *exhortations*, or orders we give to ourselves, equivalent to the English *Let’s + infinitive*. In order to express exhortations we should use the present subjunctive, which is called in this case *hortatory subjunctive*:

- **Eamus Romam**  
  *Let’s go to Rome.*
- **Mittamus litteras ad Caesarem**  
  *Let’s send a letter to Caesar.*
- **Abeamus a fabulis**  
  *Let’s get away from myths* (Cicero, *De Divinatione*).

To sum up: you can use the imperative to give orders and choose the 2nd person, or employ the present subjunctive for orders expressed in the 1st, 2nd or 3rd person, singular or plural.

b) Prohibitions

1/ In Latin, to express orders involving prohibitions (*verba prohibendi*), the imperative form is not commonly used but it is substituted by the imperative of *nolo* (not to want) followed by the infinitive of the verb:

- **Quinte, noli hoc facere**  
  *Quintus, do not do this*  
  [literally, Quintus, do not want to do this.]
- **Milites, nolite pugnare**  
  *Soldiers, do not fight*  
  [literally, soldiers, do not want to fight.]
- **Nolite Cn. Fannio dicenti credere**  
  *Do not trust what C. Fannius says* (Cicero, *In Verrem*).

2/ In the previous section we have seen how to use the subjunctive to give orders; we can use it as well to express prohibitions directed to 1st, 2nd or 3rd person, singular or plural, adding the negative *ne* before the verb. Moreover remember that it is more common to use the perfect subjunctive, rather than the present, in relation to the 2nd person.

- **Ne eamus Romam**  
  *Let’s not go to Rome.*
- **Ne hoc dixeris**  
  *Do not say this.*  
  [literally, observe: 2nd person – perfect subjunctive.]
- **Ne veniat**  
  *Do not let him come.*
- **Mihi [possessionem] ne adimat**  
  *Do not let him take [my possessions] away from me* (Cicero, *In Verrem*).
- **Iuventus … ne quem vi terreat**  
  *Do not let youth scare anyone with violence* (Cicero, *Pro Caelio*).

3/ Sometimes we can find *cave* instead of *ne*; *cave* is the imperative form of the verb *caveo* (to beware), which in this construction rules the subjunctive:

- **Cave hoc dixeris**  
  *Do not say this.*  
  [literally, we are saying beware that you say this, implying that the consequences would be bad.]
- **Cave in ista tam frigida, tam ieiuna calumnia delitiscas**  
  *Beware that you take shelter in these lies, so cold and meagre* (Cicero, *Pro Caecina*).
- **Quorum cave tu quemquam peregrinum appelles** (Cicero, *Pro Sulla*).
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4. Expressing wishes

a) For the future

The forms *IF ONLY.../ WOULD THAT.../ I WISH...*, when used to express a wish for the future, are translated into Latin by means of the *present subjunctive*, usually preceded by the word *utinam* (sometimes simply *ut*), with the negative *ne* if it is a negative desire:

- *Utinam veniat Caesar*  
  *Would that Caesar will come!*
- *Utinam ne vincent hostes*  
  *If only the enemy would not win!*
- *Utinam ne vincamur*  
  *I wish we may not be defeated!*
- *De qua utinam aliquando tecum loquar*  
  *I wish I may sometime talk with you about this!* (Cicero, *Ad Atticum*).

b) For the present

In order to express desires about the present situation, or about something still capable of fulfilment, you can use the *imperfect subjunctive*:

- *Utinam veniret Caesar nunc*  
  *Would that Caesar were coming now!*
- *Utinam ne viderem hoc*  
  *I wish I were not seeing this!*
- *Utinam viveret Caesar*  
  *If only Caesar were still alive!*
- *Utinam Romae nemo essetdives*  
  *If only there were no rich man in Rome!* (Propertius, *Elegiae*).
- *Utinam exstarent illa carmina*  
  *If only those poems were still here!* (Cicero, *Brutus*).

c) For the past

To express a wish for the past (therefore incapable of fulfilment), use the *pluperfect subjunctive*:

- *Utinam Caesar venisset*  
  *If only Caesar had come!*
- *Utinam ne hoc vidisset*  
  *If only I had not seen this!*
- *Utinam Brutus ne necasset*  
  *Would that Brutus had not been killed!*
- *Utinam potuisset obire*  
  *If only I could have died!* (Cicero, *Tusculanae Disputationes*).

5. Asking questions

a) Yes/no questions

1/ When a *yes/no* question is introduced and we do not know whether the answer will be affirmative or negative, we can either invert the order of the words or we can attach the particle *-ne* to the end of the first word, as an opening question mark:

- *Caesar venit*  
  *Caesar is coming.*
- *Venit Caesar?*  
  *Is Caesar coming?*
- *Venit ne Caesar?*  
  *Is Caesar coming?*
• Exheredare filium voluit. … Exheredavitne? He wanted to disinherit his son. … Did he disinherit him? (Cicero, Pro Sex. Roscio).

• Potestne in tam diversis mentibus pax aut amicitia esse? Is it possible for peace or friendship to stand between such different minds? (Sallust, Bellum Iugurthinum).

2/ If we suppose that the answer will be yes, then we put at the beginning of the phrase the particle nonne, which is nothing else than the negative non followed by the aforementioned ending -ne:

• Nonne venit Caesar? Isn’t Caesar coming? / Caesar is coming, isn’t he?
• Nonne omnis ille terror … ex Autroni improbitate pendebat? Didn’t all that state of fear … arise from the villainy of Autronius? (Cicero, Pro Sulla).

3/ If we suppose that the answer will be no, we put num at the beginning:

• Num venit Caesar? Is Caesar coming? / Caesar isn’t coming, is he?
• Num igitur peccamus? Are we acting wrongly? (Cicero, Ad Atticum).

b) Double questions

1/ Double questions like Do you want this or that? are introduced by utrum which one of the two and completed by an or:

• Utrum venis nobiscum an hic manes? Do you come with us or do you stay here?
• Utrum quid agatur non vides, an apud quos agatur? Do you not see what is being dealt with, or do you not see among whom? (Cicero, Pro Sex. Roscio).

⋆ Observe that usually the utrum is not translated into English.

2/ Instead of utrum we can use -ne, or even nothing:

• Venisne nobiscum an hic manes? / Venis nobiscum an hic manes? Are you coming with us or are you staying here?
• Ipse percussit an aliis occiduntem dedit? Did he hit him himself, or did he entrust to other people the job of killing him? (Cicero, Pro Sex. Roscio).

3/ If the second choice is just a simple or not, it is expressed by an non:

• Venisne nobiscum an non? Do you come with us or not?
• Sed isne est quem quaero an non? But is he the one I am looking for or not? (Terentius Afer, Phormio).

c) Partial questions

Sometimes we may ask about only one aspect of the sentence (a place, somebody’s identity, etc.), and this is done by means of interrogative adverbs or adjectives.

1/ Adverbial questions use an interrogative adverb as the interrogative element:

• Ubi est pater? Where is my father?
• Quo eunt nunc? Where do they go now?
• Ubi erant ceteri creditores? Where were the rest of the creditors? (Cicero, Pro Quinctio).
• Unde eam esse aiunt? From where do they say she is? (Plautus, Bacchides).
2/ Adjectival/pronominal questions use an interrogative adjective/pronoun as the interrogative element, in the necessary case:

- *Cui* puero pecuniam dedisti? To which boy did you give the money?
- *Quis* venit heri? Who came yesterday?
- *Cui* homini? To what man? (Plautus, *Captivi*).
- *Quis* huic rei testis est? Who is a witness of this affair? (Cicero, *Pro Quinctio*).

Both these types of questions are dealt with more extensively in the corresponding sections on adverbs and adjectives/pronouns.

d) Deliberative questions

In deliberative questions we use the *subjunctive mood*. In these questions, usually in 1st person, the speaker uses the subjunctive to express some degree of uncertainty about what should be done, as if asking for instructions or suggestions.

Compare and contrast the following examples to clarify the difference:

- *Quid* facimus? What are we doing now? ✷ Present indicative: normal question.
- *Quid* faciamus? What are we to do? ✷ Present subjunctive: deliberative question.

It is interesting to note that a deliberative question is simply the interrogative form of an exhortative subjunctive:

- *Quid* faciamus? What are we to do = Let’s do what?
  ✷ The second translation, really “forced”, shows clearly the role of the exhortative subjunctive.
- *Sed* quid faciamus? But what are we to do? (Cicero, *Epistulae ad Atticum*).
- *Quid* agam, iudices? What am I to do, judges? (Cicero, *Contra Verrem*).
- *Quem* implorem? Whom am I going to implore? (Cicero, *Pro Flacco*).

6. Impersonal verbs

In English, impersonal verbs normally use the pronoun *it* to indicate their subject: *it* is necessary to go there, *it* is not possible to do this, etc., but in Latin the subject is not expressed at all. As in most languages, the verb will always be in 3rd person singular.

Impersonal verbs can be divided into four main groups:

a) Verbs of propriety  
b) Verbs of negative feeling (*verba affectuum*)  
c) Verbs of interest  
d) Verbs describing meteorological phenomena

After analysing these four groups, we will also study two frequent constructions related to the impersonal construction.
a) Verbs of propriety

1/ The three main impersonal verbs of propriety are these:

licet, licere, licuit  IT IS PERMITTED, IT IS POSSIBLE
opertet, oportere, oportuit  IT IS NECESSARY
necesse est  IT IS NECESSARY  ◇ This is an impersonal expression rather than an impersonal verb, it is obvious that est is not an impersonal verb.

a/ They can present more than one construction; note that the following translations are in a “forced” English form, for the sake of showing the little differences between meanings:

**Accusative + infinitive:**

- Necesse est *me* librum *legere*  IT IS NECESSARY THAT I READ THE BOOK.
- Licet *me* librum *legere*  IT IS PERMITTED THAT I READ THE BOOK.
  ◇ Grammatically speaking, the infinitive clause *me* librum *legere* is the actual subject of licet, a common phenomenon with impersonal verbs.
- *Meam orationem gratissimam esse* oportet  MY SPEECH HAS TO BE VERY PLEASANT  (Cicero, Pro Sex. Roscio).

**Dative + infinitive:**

- Necesse est *mihi* librum *legere*  IT IS NECESSARY FOR ME TO READ THE BOOK.
- Licet *mihi* librum *legere*  IT IS PERMITTED TO ME TO READ THE BOOK.
- Etenim eos una cenasse dixit, qui aut absunt, aut quibus necesse est idem dicere  INDEED, HE CLAIMED THAT THEY DINED TOGETHER, [PEOPLE] WHO EITHER ARE NOT HERE OR MUST TELL THE SAME STORY  (Cicero, Pro Caelio).
- *Id Sex. Roscio facere non licet?*  CAN’T SEX. ROSCIUS DO THIS?  (Cicero, Pro Sex. Roscio).

**Ut + subjunctive:**

- Necesse est *ut* librum *legam*  IT IS NECESSARY THAT I READ THE BOOK.
- Licet *ut* librum *legam*  IT IS PERMITTED THAT I READ THE BOOK.
- Necesse est *ut* legas  IT IS NECESSARY THAT YOU READ IT  (Valerius Probus, Fragmenta).
  ◇ The *ut* is usually omitted:

  - Rationem tantae familiaritatis ... *reddas atque exponas necesse est*  YOU MUST ANSWER AND EXPLAIN THE REASON FOR SUCH A DEEP INTIMACY  (Cicero, Pro Caelio).
  - *Dicas licet*  YOU ARE ALLOWED TO SPEAK  (Cicero, Pro Sex. Roscio).
  - Ego crimen oportet *diluam*  I MUST CLARIFY THE ACCUSATION  (Cicero, Pro Sex. Roscio).

b/ These impersonal verbs can be used without a direct object that indicates the person who should perform the action, as in the following cases. Note that the translation should emphasise the general implication of the verb:

- Licet abire  IT IS PERMITTED TO LEAVE / ONE CAN LEAVE / PEOPLE CAN LEAVE / WE CAN LEAVE  etc.
- Quod genus operis sine ullo periculo, sine suspicione hostium facere *licebat*  IT WAS POSSIBLE TO PERFORM THIS TASK WITHOUT ANY DANGER AND WITHOUT AROUSING SUSPICION IN THE ENEMY  (Caesar, De Bello Gallico).
- *Id quod necesse erat accidere, totius exercitus perturbatio facta est*  AS WOULD NECESSARILY HAPPEN, THERE WAS A GREAT CONFUSION THROUGHOUT THE ARMY  (Caesar, De Bello Gallico).
c/ In some cases, these verbs have a subject:

- **Quicquid vero non licet, certe non oportet**  
  *Whatever is actually not allowed, surely is not appropriate*  
  (Cicero, *Pro Balbo*).  
  ♦ Quicquid is the real subject of licet (and of oportet).

2/ There are some other impersonal verbs in addition to the previous ones, although they do not appear as frequently as the former ones:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verb</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>libet</td>
<td>It pleases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>decet</td>
<td>It is convenient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dedecet</td>
<td>It is not convenient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>praestat</td>
<td>It is better</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

♦ It is just the verb *praesto* used impersonally.

They use the same constructions as above:

- **Ex quibus neminem mihi libet nominare**  
  *It does not please me to say the name of any of them*  
  (Cicero, *Pro Caelio*).

3/ There is also the idiomatic expression **Opus est**  
 *There is need for*, and the case used to express what is needed can be nominative, genitive or ablative:

- **Nihil vi, nihil secessione opus est: necesse est suomet ipsi more praecipites eant**  
  *There is no need for violence and sedition: it is necessary that they fall out of power because of their own attitude*  
  (Sallust, *Bellum Iugurthinum*).

4/ Other impersonal expressions are followed by *ut* + *subjunctive*, like these ones (the translations are extremely literal, even if they produce wrong English, for the sake of showing the sense):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verb</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mos est ut</td>
<td>It is customary that...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aequum est ut</td>
<td>It is fair that...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>restat ut</td>
<td>It just remains that...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Apud omnis Graecos hic mos est, ut honorem hominibus habitum in monumentis eius modi non nulla religione deorum consecrari arbitrentur**  
  *There is this custom among all the Greeks, that the honour bestowed upon men by monuments of this kind are considered to be consecrated under some protection of the gods*  
  (Cicero, *In Verrem*).

- **Restat ut omnes unum velit**  
  *It just remains that all want one thing*  
  (Cicero, *Pro Marcello*).
b) Verbs of negative feeling (*verba affectuum*)

There are five frequently used impersonal verbs that express negative feelings. We offer a literal translation just for the same of showing the meaning in 3rd person. Obviously, in English it would never be expressed this way.

- miseret, miserere, miseruit: IT PITIES
- piget, pigere, piguit: IT DISGUSTS
- taedet, taedere, taeduit: IT TIRES, BORES
- paenitet, paenitere, paenituit: IT REPENTS, DISPLEASES
- pudet, pudere, puduit: IT SHAMES, MAKES ASHAMED

✧ The person affected by the feeling must be in accusative.
✧ The reason of the feeling can be expressed – in genitive
– with an infinitive
– with a subordinate clause.

- Miseret *me mortis* Caesaris
  ✧ Literally, I FEEL SORRY FOR CAESAR’S DEATH.
- *Me taedet ut semper eadem dicas*
- *Me pudet mentiri*
  ✧ Literally, LYING ASHAMES ME.
- *Me quoque erroris mei paenitet*
- *Neque eos, qui ea fecere, pudet aut paenitet* NOR SORROW (Sallust, *Bellum Iugurthinum*).

c) Verbs of interest

There are two verbs, *intersum* and *refero*, that do exist as personal verbs. Nevertheless, if they are used impersonally, i.e. *interest* and *refert*, they acquire a special meaning: IT IS OF INTEREST / IT IS IN SOMEONE’S INTEREST. As usual, we offer a rather literal translation in order to show the structure, even if it sounds unnatural in English.

1/ The structure of these sentences is as follows:
✧ The person for whom the matter is of interest, if mentioned, must be in genitive.
✧ The event (or thing) that is of interest can be expressed by an infinitive clause, an indirect question, an *ut* clause, etc.

- *Caesaris interest te venire* IT IS IN CAESAR’S INTERESTS THE FACT THAT YOU COME.
  ✧ Literally, IT IS IN THE INTEREST OF CAESAR THAT YOU COME.
- *Caesaris interest ut venias* (same meaning as above).
- *Semper … quantum interesset P. Clodi se perire … cogitabat* HE WAS ALWAYS THINKING OF HOW MUCH HIS DEATH WOULD BE IN P. CLODIUS’ INTEREST (Cicero, *Pro Milone*).
- *Tribuni plebis permagni interest qui sint* IT IS OF THE GREATEST IMPORTANCE WHO THE TRIBUNES OF THE PLEBS ARE (Cicero, *Pro Plancio*).
  ✧ In this last example, there is no mention of the persons for whom this is important: it is left in a general sense.
2/ If the person is expressed by means of a personal pronoun, then it is expressed in the ablative fem. sing. form of the corresponding possessive adjective: mea, tua, etc.:

- *Mea interest te venire*  
  Lit.: *It is in my interest that you come.*

- *Tua refert oppidum tutum esse*  
  Lit.: *It is in your interest that the citadel is safe.*

- *Si, quod mea minus interest, id te magis forte delectat,* ...
  *Note that in this case interest cannot be considered an impersonal verb, as hoc would clearly be its subject.*

3/ What is of interest can even be expressed by means of a neuter pronoun:

- *Hoc Caesaris interest*  
  Lit.: *This is interesting for Caesar.*

*d) Verbs describing meteorological phenomena*

1/ As in most languages, verbs that describe natural phenomena are used only in the 3rd person singular:

- *pluit*  
  Lit.: *It rains*

- *tonat*  
  Lit.: *It thunders*

- *nivit*  
  Lit.: *It snows*

- *grandinat*  
  Lit.: *It hails*

- *In Hyrcanis montibus a meridiano latere non pluit*  
  *In the Hyrcan mountains, on the south side, it does not rain* (Plinius Secundus, *Naturalis Historia*).

2/ In mythological and poetical texts we can find expressions in which the meteorological verb has a symbolic subject:

- *Caelum tonat*  
  (Vergil, *Aeneis*).

- *Iuppiter omni arce tonat*  
  (Statius, *Thebais*).

Or we can find expressions of “what” it rains:

- *In Aventino lapidibus pluit*  
  *On the Aventine hill it rains stones* (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).

*e) The impersonal passive*

1/ Some transitive verbs can be used in an impersonal way in the passive voice (translations have been adapted to produce correct English, for instance adding the word *people* to allow the verb to have a subject):

- *Romae ... de proelio facto et oppugnatione Cirtae audiebatur*  
  *At Rome ... people were hearing about the battle that had taken place and the siege of Cirta* (Sallust, *Bellum Iugurthinum*).

- *Diu atque acriter pugnatum est*  
  *The battle was long and cruel* (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).
2/ The same impersonal use of the passive forms is possible as well with some intransitive verbs (i.e., verbs that do not have a direct object):

- **Romam itur**
  - **PEOPLE GO TO ROME**
  - Equivalent to the French *On va*, or the German *Man geht*.
- **Ubi eo ventum est, Caesar...**
  - **WHEN THEY ARRIVED THERE, CAESAR...** *(Caesar, De Bello Gallico).*
- **Rhodanus ... nonnullis locis transitur**
  - **THE RHONE ... CAN BE CROSSED ON FOOT IN SOME PLACES** *(Caesar, De Bello Gallico).*

f) Impersonal passive and personal construction

1/ As stated above, sometimes verbs that are not impersonal, like *dico*, are used impersonally:

- **Dicitur / Fertur Romanos venisse**
  - **IT IS SAID THAT THE ROMANS HAVE COME.**

Nonetheless in Latin it is much more frequent to use the so called *personal construction* with the same meaning as the previous form:

- **Romani dicuntur / feruntur venisse**
  - **IT IS SAID THAT THE ROMANS HAVE COME.**
  - Literally, **THE ROMANS ARE SAID TO HAVE COME.**
- **Magnum ibi numerum versuum ediscere dicuntur**
  - **IT IS SAID THAT THEY HAD LEARNT BY HEART A LARGE NUMBER OF VERSES** *(Caesar, De Bello Gallico).*

2/ The verb *videor* can be used in this way as well:

- **Videtur amicos Caesaris proditores (Acc.) esse**
  - **IT SEEMS THAT CAESAR’S FRIENDS ARE TRAITORS ...**

... or, much more frequently, with the personal construction:

- **Amici Caesaris videntur proditores (Nom.) esse**
  - (same meaning as above).
- **Id mihi duabus de causis instituisse videntur**
  - **IT SEEMS TO ME THAT THEY HAVE ESTABLISHED THIS BECAUSE OF TWO REASONS** *(Caesar, De Bello Gallico).*

It is interesting to note that this construction is attested in 1st person too:

- **Videor mihi iecisse fundamenta defensionis**
  - **I THINK THAT I HAVE SET THE BASICS OF MY DEFENCE** *(Cicero, Pro Caelio).*
1. **The concept of *consecutio temporum***

**a) Main concept**

A lot of subordinate clauses follow a series of rules with respect to what verbal tense they must use in the clause; in these cases, the choice of tense for the subordinate will depend on the tense of the main verb.

Observe this double example in English:

1. I GIVE YOU MONEY SO THAT YOU MAY BUY BOOKS.
2. I GAVE YOU MONEY SO THAT YOU MIGHT BUY BOOKS.

The change from *give* to *gave* in the main clause has made us change the verb from *may* to *might* in the subordinate clause.

Something similar happens in Latin, and this change of the tense of the verb in the subordinate clause depending on the tense in the main clause is called *consecutio temporum*. We will see some examples in Latin further down.

**b) How it works (a first idea)**

1/ In Latin there are a lot of subordinate clauses that have their verb in the subjunctive mood, and the rules of the *consecutio temporum* establish that there must be some relationship between the verb of the main clause (usually in indicative) and the verb of the subordinate clause (in the subjunctive). The general double rule is this:

- If the verb in the main clause is primary, the subjunctive verb of the subordinate must be primary. In this case, the group formed by the two clauses is called *primary sequence*.

- If the verb of the main clause is secondary, the subjunctive verb of the subordinate clause must be secondary. In this case, the group formed by the two clauses is called *secondary sequence*.

2/ Let’s see the two former sentences in Latin, even before knowing what “primary” and “secondary” mean:

- Tibi pecuniam *do ut libros emas*  I GIVE YOU MONEY SO THAT YOU MAY BUY BOOKS.
  - Both verbs *do* (indicative) and *emas* (subjunctive) are in a *primary tense*.

- Tibi pecuniam *dedi ut libros emeres*  I GAVE YOU MONEY SO THAT YOU MIGHT BUY BOOKS.
  - Both verbs *dedi* (indicative) and *emeres* (subjunctive) are in a *secondary tense*.

c) **Primary and secondary tenses**

What has been said before leads us to the question: what tenses are primary and what tenses are secondary? Making use of the table we have used to introduce the tenses, the distribution is as follows:
Indicative

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present-stem</th>
<th>Perfect-stem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Perfect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>primary</td>
<td>AND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>secondary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imperfect</td>
<td>Pluperfect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>secondary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future</td>
<td>Fut. perfect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>primary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Subjunctive

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present-stem</th>
<th>Perfect-stem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Perfect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>primary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imperfect</td>
<td>Pluperfect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>secondary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For memory purposes, the rules are very simple:

⇒ For both moods, the two tenses at the top row are *primary*.

⇒ But see the problem of the perfect indicative.

⇒ For both moods, the two tenses of the second row are *secondary*.

⇒ Both futures (only indicative) are primary.

d) How it works (a deeper idea)

1/ Let’s see a new example, the translation of the two sentences

*He works a lot in order to get a lot of money* and *He worked a lot in order to get a lot of money*.

**Note**

As we will see further ahead in the corresponding section, in Latin language purpose (in order to...) is not expressed by an infinitive but by *ut* + subjunctive.

The translation of both main clauses will be

*He works a lot...*  *Multum laborat...*  ᵃ  *laborat* is present, a *primary* tense.

*He worked a lot...*  *Multum laborabat...*  ᵃ  *laborabat* is imperfect, a *secondary* tense.

The translation of the subordinate clauses (a purpose clause, in this example) must follow the rules of the *consecutio temporum*. Purpose clauses, in Latin, can only be either in present subjunctive or in imperfect subjunctive (this will be seen further ahead, in the corresponding section), so the choice is simple:

⇒ *Multum laborat...*  must be followed by  *... ut multam pecuniam accipiat*, because *accipiat* is a *primary* tense (present subjunctive) like *laborat*.

⇒ *Multum laborabat...*  must be followed by  *... ut multam pecuniam acciperet*, because *acciperet* is a *secondary* tense (imperf. subjunctive) like *laborabat*.
2/ This example is very simple, as the final result in the first sentence has been present in both clauses (indicative in the main clause, subjunctive in the subordinate clause) and in the second sentence imperfect in both clauses (indicative in the main clause, subjunctive in the subordinate clause). It will not always be so simple (the same tense in both clauses: in indicative in the main one and in the subjunctive in the subordinate one), but it has been useful to set the basics.

e) The problem of the perfect indicative

1/ With respect to the perfect tense of the indicative, if we translate it as a complete action in the past, it is secondary, but if we translate it as an action already completed but completed inside the current unit of time, it is primary; an example will make it clear:

- **Heri multa feci** YESTERDAY I DID MANY THINGS (secondary).
  - We are no longer inside the unit of time of yesterday, so *feci* is here secondary, and therefore we translate it by I DID.
- **Hodie multa feci** TODAY I HAVE DONE MANY THINGS (primary).
  - We are still inside the unit of time of today, so *feci* is here primary, and therefore we translate it by I HAVE DONE.

Another example of the double meaning of the perfect indicative:

- **Heri Caesar multa scripsit** YESTERDAY CAESAR WROTE A LOT (secondary).
  - We are no longer inside the unit of time of yesterday, so *scripsit* is secondary, and therefore we translate it by WROTE.
- **Hoc anno Caesar multa scripsit** THIS YEAR CAESAR HAS WRITTEN A LOT (primary).
  - We are still inside the unit of time of this year, so *scripsit* is primary, and therefore we translate it by HAS WRITTEN.

2/ So, depending on whether the perfect tense of the main clause is considered primary (with the sense of I HAVE WRITTEN) or secondary (with the sense of I WROTE), the tense of any subordinate clause it may have, if it must be in the subjunctive, can correspondingly be either primary or secondary. Let’s add a purpose clause to the former example (avoiding the expressions heri and hoc anno, to make both options possible):

Caesar multa scripsit ut omnia sciamus.
Caesar multa scripsit ut omnia sciremus.

- In the first sentence we find *sciamus*, which is a present subjunctive, a primary tense. So, it means that the *scripsit* of the main clause must be considered a primary tense and therefore the translation should be CAESAR HAS WRITTEN MANY THINGS SO THAT WE MAY KNOW EVERYTHING.

- In the second sentence we find *sciremus*, which is an imperfect subjunctive, a secondary tense. So, it means that the *scripsit* of the main clause must be considered a secondary tense and therefore the translation should be CAESAR WROTE MANY THINGS SO THAT WE MIGHT KNOW EVERYTHING.

We can see that in some cases it will be the subordinate that will tell us how the perfect tense of the main clause must be translated, but it must be said that in Latin texts a perfect tense has almost always a sense of secondary tense (I WROTE, I CAME) and just in a small percentage of cases it has a sense of primary tense (I HAVE WRITTEN, I HAVE COME).
2. Causal clauses

a) Which conjunctions and mood?

1/ Causal clauses may be introduced by several conjunctions; the two most common ones are *quod* and *quia*, and the verb should be in the indicative:

- *Quia diei extremum erat, proelium non inceptum [est]*  
  As it was almost the end of the day, the battle did not start (Sallust, *Bellum Iugurthinum*).

- *Quem locum Marius, *quod* ibi regis thesauri erant, summa vi capere intendit*  
  Marius tried to take this place with all of his forces, because the king’s treasures were there (Sallust, *Bellum Iugurthinum*).

But if the reason is given as an alleged one, the verb will be in the subjunctive:

- *Pompeius hoc fecit *quod*/quia Romam ire vellet*  
  He did this because he wanted to go to Rome.
  ♦ The subjunctive means that this is the reason that Pompeius gave, but the writer may have some doubts about it.

2/ It is very common to express two reasons for an action in the same sentence, an unreal one which must be ruled out and the real one, and in these double sentences it is very frequent to find *quod (sometimes quo) + subjunctive* to express the unreal one followed by *quia + indicative* to express the real one:

- *Nec haec idcirco omitto *quod* non gravissima sint, sed quia nunc sine teste dico*  
  And I omit these matters not because they are not really serious, but because now I am declaring without any witness (Cicero, *De Provinciis Consularibus*).

This construction of *non quod* (*nec ... quod* in our example) followed by *sed quia* is very common.

b) Other possible constructions

1/ Other ways of expressing cause are by means of *quoniam* or *quando* (almost always followed by indicative), and usually they introduce a cause that the reader (or listener) already knows:

- *Quoniam nos tanti viri res admonuit, idoneum visum est de natura cultuque eius paucis dicere*  
  As this matter has reminded us of such a great man, it seems appropriate to say a few words about his nature and education (Sallust, *Bellum Iugurthinum*).

- *Id omitto, quando vobis ita placet*  
  I omit this, as to do so pleases you (Sallust, *Bellum Iugurthinum*).

2/ It is very normal to find a harbinger in the main clause, indicating that a causal clause is going to follow; some usual ones are: *ea re, propter hanc causam, eo, idcirco*, etc., all of them translatable by *because of this*; when one of them is used, it is normal to find the causal clause separated by a comma (to avoid two because in the same sentence):

- *Ea re veni, quia Caesarem videre volebam*  
  Because of this I came, because I wanted to see Caesar.

- *Juventus nomen indidit Scorto mihi, eo quia invocatus soleo esse in convivio*  
  Young people have given me the name of “prostitute” because of this, because I usually attend the banquet uninvited (Plautus, *Captivi*).

3/ *Cum* can also be used to introduce a causal clause with the present and perfect tenses of the subjunctive. Given the fact that *cum* can have several meanings with the subjunctive, it is better to have a whole glance of all of them in *Point 14. Summary of the uses of cum* rather than presenting here its use in that meaning with only these two tenses.
3. Purpose clauses

a) Normal construction

1/ Purpose clauses are introduced by ut, and they have their verb in the subjunctive, and only either present or imperfect subjunctive are used. As expected, the consuetudis temporum will be observed: if the verb of the main clause is primary, the purpose clause will use the present subjunctive; if it is secondary, the imperfect subjunctive:

- Venio ut mihi librum des ː I come so that you may give me the book.
- Veni ut mihi librum dares ː I came so that you might give me the book.
- Maiores nostri ab aratro adduxerunt Cincinnatum illum, ut dictator esset ː Our ancestors removed Cincinnatus from the plough so that he might be dictator (Cicero, De Finibus Bonorum et Malorum).
- Lentulus ... T. Volturcium ... mittit, ut Allobroges ... societatem confirmarent ː LENTULUS SENT T. VOLTURCIOU SO THAT THE ALLOBROGES MIGHT CONFIRM THE AGREEMENT (Sallust, Catilinae Coniuratio).
- The verb mittit is here a historic present, in fact it is to be considered a past (secondary tense), this is why we find confirmarent, a secondary tense, in the subordinate clause.

2/ An important difference with English is that if the subject of the main sentence and of the purpose clause is the same person, in English we use just an infinitive, but in Latin we must use ut + subjunctive:

- Lego ut multum discam ː I read in order to learn a lot ː Literally, I read so that I may learn a lot.
- Venio ut te videam ː I come to see you ː Literally, I come so that I may see you.

b) Construction with a comparative

If there is a comparative adjective in the purpose clause, instead of ut we will use quo:

- Multum laborare debes quo melius vivas ː You must work a lot in order to live better.
- Ei pecuniam dedi quo cius Romam iret ː I gave him money so that he might go to Rome more quickly.
- Quo melius de sene iudicare possitis, narrabo me iuvenem ː So that you can judge me better as an elderly man, I will recount my life as a young man (Seneca senior, Controversiae).
- Facessant igitur omnes qui docere nihil possunt, quo melius sapientiusque vivamus ː THEREFORE, LET ALL THOSE WHO CAN NOT TEACH ANYTHING DEPART, SO THAT WE MAY LIVE BETTER AND MORE WISELY (Cicero, Hortensius).


c) Negative purpose clause

1/ Instead of using the expected ut ... non, Latin replaces ut by ne to get a negative sense:

- Curro ne hostes me necent ː I run so that the enemy may not kill me.
- Metellus conspectum Mari fugerat, ne videret ea, quae ... ː METELLUS HAD AVOIDED THE MEETING WITH MARIUS, IN ORDER NOT TO SEE THE THINGS THAT ... (Sallust, Bellum Iugurthinum).

It should be mentioned that, given the Latin practice of attaching the negative meaning to a conjunction rather than using a negative adverb or pronoun, we will find these combinations:
Subordinate clauses

• Quam celerrime veni ne quis te occideret  
  I CAME AS QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE SO THAT NOBODY MIGHT KILL YOU.
  ✷ Literally, ... SO THAT NOT ANYBODY MIGHT KILL YOU.
  ✷ Instead of saying ut nemo, the negative sense has been shifted to ut, that has become ne, and has been 
    removed from nemo NOBODY, that has become quis ANYBODY.

• Praesidium in vestibulo relinquit ne quis adire curiam iniussu suo neve inde egredi possit  
  HE LEFT A GARRISON IN 
  THE ENTRANCE IN ORDER THAT NO ONE COULD GO INTO THE SENATE WITHOUT HIS ORDERS OR GO OUT OF IT 
  (Livy, Ab Urbe Condita).
  ✷ Again, instead of ut nemo, we find ne quis.

2/ The same can be observed here:

• Multum laboro ne umquam pecunia caream  
  I WORK A LOT SO THAT I MAY NEVER LACK MONEY.
  ✷ Literally, ... SO THAT NOT EVER..., and remember that careo rules an ablative.

Although the natural tendency would have been to write ... ut numquam..., we must move the negative meaning from 
numquam NEVER (which then changes to umquam EVER) to ut (which then becomes ne).

D) Other possibilities

It would be worth mentioning that purpose can be expressed in Latin also by means of other constructions which will be 
studied in their corresponding sections: gerund, gerundive, supine, relative + subjunctive, etc., but the construction that 
is usually called a purpose clause is the construction we have introduced here.

4. Temporal clauses

a) Main temporal clauses

Temporal clauses will usually have their verb in indicative, unless some meaning of intention or purpose accompanies the 
whole meaning (we will see this further ahead).

1/ The most usual temporal clause is that introducing the idea of WHEN, and this is achieved by means of the 
conjunctions cum, ut and ubi (remember that ubi can also have local meaning WHERE):

• Dux, ut hoc vidit, quam celerrime e castris discessit  
  WHEN THE GENERAL SAW THIS, HE WENT OUT OF THE CAMP AS 
  QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE.

• Ut veni Athenas, ...  
  WHEN I CAME TO ATHENS, ... (Cicero, Epistulae ad Familiares).

• Caesar, ubi ex captivis cognovit quo in loco hostium copiae consedissent, ad hostes contendit  
  WHEN CAESAR LEARNT FROM THE PRISONERS WHERE THE TROOPS OF THE ENEMY HAD SETTLED, HE WENT TOWARDS THEM 
  (Caesar, De Bello Gallico).

• Erravit Cornelius Nepos, cum scripsit Ciceronem tres et viginti annos natum causam pro Sexto Roscio dixisse  
  C. NEPOS WAS WRONG WHEN HE SAID THAT CICERO HAD MADE HIS DEFENCE SPEECH FOR R. AMERINUS WHEN HE WAS TWENTY-
  THREE YEARS OLD (A. Gellius, Noctes Atticae).
2/ There are several other conjunctions that introduce other temporal meanings (note that some of them may have more than one meaning):

- **cum primum**  **AS SOON AS**
  - *Itaque, cum primum audivi,* ...  **THEREFORE, AS SOON AS I HEARD THIS, ...**  (Cicero, *Epistulae ad Familiare*).

- **simul ac** / **simul atque**  **AS SOON AS**
  This combination of the adverb *simul* and *ac/atque* produces the same meaning as *cum primum*:
  - *Simul ac legiones accepi ..., scripsi ad te*  **AS SOON AS I TOOK COMMAND OF THE LEGIONS, I WROTE TO YOU**  (Cicero, *Epistulae ad Familiare*).

- **donec** / **dum**  **WHILE, AS LONG AS, UNTIL**
  - *Donec eris sospes, multos numerabis amicos*  **AS LONG AS YOU ARE FORTUNATE, YOU WILL HAVE MANY FRIENDS**  (Ovid, *Tristia*).
  - *Dum Carthaginienses incolumes fuere, iure omnia saeua patiebamur*  **WHILE THE CARTHAGINIANS WERE UNBEATABLE, WE SUFFERED, WITH RIGHT, ALL CRUELTIES**  (Sallust, *Bellum Iugurthinum*).
  - *Milites expectaverunt dum dux regressus est*  **THE SOLDIERS WAITED UNTIL THE GENERAL CAME BACK.**

- **antequam** / **priusquam**  **BEFORE**  ✷  Do not confuse with the adverb *ante*  **BEFORE, PREVIOUSLY.**
  - *Caesar hoc scripsit antequam Nero natus est*  **CAESAR WROTE THIS BEFORE NERO WAS BORN.**

  It is normal to find the conjunction split into two, with *ante* in the main sentence and *quam* starting the temporal clause:
  - *Caesar ante hoc scripsit quam Nero natus est*  **(same meaning).**
  - *Denique aliquanto ante in provinciam iste proficiscitur quam opus effectum est*  **FINALLY, HE GOES TO HIS PROVINCE SOME TIME BEFORE THE WORK IS COMPLETED**  (Cicero, *In Verrem*).
  - *Prius multo ante aedis stabam quam illo adveneram*  **I HAD BEEN STANDING IN FRONT OF THE HOUSE MUCH BEFORE GOING THERE**  (Plautus, *Amphitruo*).

- **postquam**  **AFTER**  ✷  Do not confuse with the adverb *postea*  **AFTERWARDS.**
  - *Postquam id animadvertit, copias suas Caesar in proximum collem subducit*  **AFTER HE REALISED IT, CAESAR MOVED HIS TROOPS TO A NEARBY HILL**  (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).

As with *antequam* and *priusquam*, it is normal to find *postquam* split into two, with *post* in the main sentence and *quam* starting the temporal clause:

- *Cicero post necatus est quam Marcus Romam intravit*  **CICERO WAS KILLED AFTER MARCUS ENTERED ROME.**

And it is very common that after *post* we find a *numeral in accusative* indicating the amount of time elapsed between two events:

- *Venerunt post diem quadragensimum et sextum quam a vobis discesserant*  **THEY CAME ON THE 46TH DAY AFTER DEPARTING FROM YOU**  (Cicero, *Epistulae ad Familiare*).
b) A curious use of the present indicative in past time

We have seen that *dum* has three possible meanings. When it carries the meaning of *while* and we mention in the main sentence an event that takes place in the middle of a larger event, we will use the present tense, even if we are making reference to a past event:

- *Dum cenamus, Caesar repente hoc dixit*  
  While we were having dinner, Caesar said this suddenly.
  ✧ Literally, While we *are* having dinner, ...

- *Dum haec Romae geruntur, qui ... exercitui praeerant ... plurima et flagitiosissima facinora fecere*  
  While these affairs happened at Rome, those who were in command of the army committed many shameful deeds (Sallust, *Bellum Iugurthinum*).
  ✧ Literally, While these affairs *happen* at Rome, ...

c) Repeated action

In order to express the repetition of an action, in the sense of *every time that...*, Latin uses the conjunction *cum*, but with a curious combination of tenses:

1/ Repeated action in the present

While in English we would use present indicative in both clauses, Latin uses perfect tense in the subordinate one (and present in the main one):

- *Cum Romam *venerunt*, *dona filiis *ferunt*  
  Every time they came to Rome, they bring presents to the children.
  ✧ Literally, Every time they *have come*...

2/ Repeated action in the past

In this case, both verbs go “one step down” in the table of tenses: pluperfect in the subordinate clause and imperfect in the main clause:

- *Cum Romam *venerant*, *dona filiis *ferebant*  
  Every time they came to Rome, they brought presents to the children.
  ✧ Literally, Every time they *had come*...

d) Temporal clauses in the subjunctive

1/ In all the temporal clauses we have seen, the event mentioned in the temporal clause is mentioned just as an event that does take place:

- *Milites expectaverunt dum dux *regressus est*  
  The soldiers waited until the general came back.

The general *did come back*, we see just a narrative of events. We do not see any purpose or intention in the soldiers.

But if the temporal clause had been in the subjunctive,

*Milites expectaverunt dum dux *regredeteretur*
then it would indicate purpose or intention in the soldiers, as if the soldiers were saying “WE WILL REMAIN HERE UNTIL THE GENERAL RETURNS, WE REFUSE TO LEAVE BEFORE HE RETURNS,” and the sentence should be translated as

THE SOLDIERS WAITED UNTIL THE GENERAL WOULD RETURN. ♠ Even if nobody knew if and when he would return.

Let’s see this in an example from Caesar:

- Caesar ex eo tempore, dum ad flumen Varum veniatur, se frumentum daturum [esse] pollicetur
  CAESAR PROMISES THAT HE WILL SUPPLY CORN FROM THEN ON UNTIL WHENEVER HE REACHES THE RIVER VAR (Caesar, Bellum Civile).

The fact that veniatur (an impersonal passive, by the way) is in the subjunctive indicates that who knows when they will reach that river. Using WHENEVER is a way of indicating this indefinition.

2/ We can see the same with priusquam:

- Caesar copias instruxit priusquam Pompeius venit
  CAESAR ARRANGED HIS TROOPS BEFORE POMPEIUS CAME.

Nothing indicates any intention in Caesar, we are just told that one fact (Caesar arranging his troops) took place before another one (Pompeius’ arrival).

But if we write

Caesar copias instruxit priusquam Pompeius veniret

the meaning is that Caesar made an effort of arranging his troops making sure that he had arranged them before Pompeius might come, and we should translate it as

CAESAR ARRANGED HIS TROOPS BEFORE POMPEIUS MIGHT COME ♠ And who knows if and when Pompeius would come.

Another example:

- Conantibus, priusquam id effici posset, adesse Romanos nuntiatur
  TO THOSE WHO WERE TRYING [IT], BEFORE IT COULD BE MADE, IT WAS ANNOUNCED THAT THE ROMANS WERE THERE (Caesar, De Bello Gallico).

The use of the subjunctive in posset indicates that somebody took care to cast that information around before any attempt could take place.

3/ Apart from these uses of the subjunctive in order to indicate some kind of purpose within the temporal clause, the conjunction cum, which we have seen above in its use with the indicative, can also be used with the imperfect and the pluperfect subjunctive in temporal sense, in the so-called Historic Cum. This is presented in the Point 14 Summary of the uses of cum. Rather than presenting here only that temporal use of cum with the subjunctive, we consider that it is better to see all of them together as a whole in Point 14.
5. Concessive clauses

Concessive clauses are expressed in the indicative if the objection is considered a real fact, and in the subjunctive if it is considered just a supposition. With respect to the main clause, it is very frequent that it carries inside it the adverb tamen NEVERTHELESS.

a) Real objection: indicative

The conjunctions used to introduce it are: quamquam, etsi, tametsi, etiamsi:

- **Quamquam Caesar venit, tamen hostes nos vicerunt** ALTHOUGH CAESAR CAME, NEVERTHELESS THE ENEMY CONQUERED US.
- **Quamquam merito sum iratus Metello, tamen haec quae vera sunt dicam** ALTHOUGH I AM RIGHTLY ANGRY WITH METELLUS, NEVERTHELESS I WILL SAY THESE THINGS THAT ARE TRUE (Cicero, *In Verrem*).
- **Etsi pecuniam mihi dedisti, hoc emere non potui** ALTHOUGH YOU GAVE ME THE MONEY, I WAS NOT ABLE TO BUY THIS.
- **Ego te hoc, soror, tametsi es maior, moneo** I ADVISE YOU, MY SISTER, ALTHOUGH YOU ARE OLDER (Plautus, *Stichus*).

b) Possible objection: subjunctive

1/ The conjunctions used are quamvis, etiamsi (note that etiamsi can also be used for real objections, see above), cum and ut, and it is also possible to use the verbal form licet:

- **Quamvis dives sis, non te amo** ALTHOUGH YOU MAY BE RICH, I DO NOT LOVE YOU.
- **Licet dux iubeat, pugnare nolo** ALTHOUGH THE GENERAL MAY ORDER IT, I DO NOT WANT TO FIGHT.
- **Quamvis res mihi non placeat, tamen contra hominum auctoritatem pugnare non potero** ALTHOUGH IT MAY NOT PLEASE ME, NEVERTHELESS I WILL NOT BE ABLE TO FIGHT AGAINST THE AUTHORITY OF MEN (Cicero, *In Verrem*).
- **Licet iste dicit emisse se, sicuti solet dicere, credite hoc mihi, iudices** ALTHOUGH THIS MAN MAY SAY THAT HE BOUGHT [THEM], AS HE USUALLY SAYS, BELIEVE ME, JUDGES (Cicero, *In Verrem*).

Observe that by possible objection we do not mean a possibility in the future; in the first example, the subject probably knows very well that the other person is rich; what is meant by possible objection is the sense of even if.

2/ Cum can also be used with any tense of the subjunctive to express a concessive meaning. This is presented in the Point 14 Summary of the uses of cum. As we have said above in the section of Temporal clauses, rather than presenting here only that meaning of cum with the subjunctive we consider that it is better to see all of them together as a whole in Point 14.

6. Result clauses

a) Basic principles

Result clauses (also called consecutive clauses) are introduced in Latin by the conjunction ut (the negative is ut non) and they have their verb in subjunctive; the translation in English may be either THAT OR SO THAT.
It is frequent that we find a signal word in the main sentence (usually an adverb or an adjective) that tells us that a result clause is going to follow; in the following examples, the signal word is the quantitative adverb tantum SO MUCH, and we translate ut by THAT:

- Tantum laborat ut multam pecuniam habeat HE WORKS SO MUCH THAT HE HAS A LOT OF MONEY.
- Tantum potentia antecesserant, ut magnam partem clientium ab Haeduis ad se traducerent THEY SURPASSED [THEM] SO MUCH IN POWER THAT THEY TRANSFERRED FROM THE AEDUI TO THEMSELVES A LARGE PORTION OF THEIR DEPENDENTS (Caesar, De Bello Gallico).
- Inter duas acies tantum erat relictum spatii, ut satis esset ad concursum utriusque exercitus BETWEEN BOTH FRONT LINES THERE WAS SO MUCH SPACE LEFT THAT IT WAS ENOUGH FOR THE ENCOUNTER OF EITHER ARMY (Caesar, Bellum Civile).

It may be that there is no signal word in the main sentence, as in the following example (multum A LOT is an adverb, but it does not play any role of telling us that some result is going to be expressed); in this case, we translate the ut by SO THAT.

- Multum laborat, ut multam pecuniam habeat HE WORKS A LOT, SO THAT HE HAS A LOT OF MONEY.

The tense of the subjunctive will be the same one that the sentence would have used if expressed as a statement in indicative instead of as a result clause; in our first example, the statement would have been HE HAS A LOT OF MONEY, which would need a present indicative, Multam pecuniam habet; therefore, for this example we will use the present subjunctive habeat.

We can also find impersonal expressions followed by a result clause (observe also the lack of signal word in the impersonal expression):

- Eadem nocte accidit ut esset luna plena ON THE SAME NIGHT IT HAPPENED THAT THERE WAS A FULL MOON (Caesar, De Bello Gallico).
- Fit, ut impetus fiat in vacuam rem publicam IT HAPPENS THAT AN ATTACK TAKES PLACE AGAINST A DEFENCELESS STATE (Sallust, Catilinae Coniuratio).
- Accidit ut subito ille interiret IT HAPPENED THAT SUDDENLY HE DIED (Cicero, Epistulae ad Atticum).
- Fieri non potest ut ... eum tu in tua provincia non cognoveris IT CAN NOT BE THAT YOU HAD NOT GOT TO KNOW HIM IN YOUR PROVINCE (Cicero, In Verrem).

Sometimes these ut clauses following an impersonal expression are considered completive clauses, but although they may look similar to the completive clauses presented in Point 15 Summary of the uses of ut they are in fact result clauses (for instance, a completive clause would have ne as negative instead of ut non).

b) Possible confusions

As purpose clauses are also introduced by ut and they have also their verb in subjunctive, in some cases a sentence may have an ambiguous meaning, especially if there is no signal word in the main sentence; for instance, in the previous example

Multum laborat, ut multam pecuniam habeat
it could be argued that the *ut* is introducing a purpose clause and that the translation should be: **He works a lot in order to have a lot of money.** Usually the context will clarify whether it is a *purpose* or a *result* clause.

If there is a signal word, a harbinger, there will be no confusion; in our first examples, the *tantum so much* is telling us clearly that a result clause will follow.

c) **Negative results**

If we want to express a negative result (negative in the sense of a *negative sentence*, not in the sense that the consequences are bad), we just add *non* before the verb or use the necessary negative adverb, pronoun, etc.:

- Tantum laborat *ut domum ire non* possit **He works so much that he can not go home.**
- Tantum laborat *ut domum ire numquam* possit **He works so much that he can never go home.**
- Hic tantum potuit *ut nemo illo invito nec bona ... nec vitam retinere posset* **He had so much power that nobody could, without his consent, keep either his property or his life** *(Cicero, In Verrem).*
- Tantum animi habuit ad audaciam *ut dicere in contione non dubitaret ...* **He had so much [of] audacity that he did not hesitate to say in the assembly ...** *(Cicero, In Verrem).*
- In hoc tantum fuit odium multitudinis, *ut nemo ausus sit eum liber sepelire* **The hatred of the people against him was so much that nobody openly dared to bury him** *(Nepos, Vitae).*

**Note**

Do not replace *ut* by *ne* to make a negative clause of result; this replacement takes place in *purpose clauses*, but not in *result clauses* (so, it also helps to distinguish a result clause from a purpose clause if it is negative).

In some cases, *ut non* may be replaced by *quin*:

- Nunquam venies *quin te videam* **You will never come without me seeing you.**

### 7. **Conditional clauses**

The way of classifying the several types of conditional clauses and which name to give to each type has always been a point in which there has never been absolute agreement; we will offer here what is usually accepted as the standard classification.

A conditional clause is a clause in which a condition is expressed, like **If you come to Rome, ...** and it is called *protasis*. The main clause on which it depends, for instance ..., **I will show you the circus**, is called *apodosis*. Both together form what is called a *conditional period*: **If you come to Rome, I will show you the circus.**

Conditional periods are classified according to the verbal tense they use both in protasis and apodosis (in most cases, both protasis and apodosis use the same tense).

a) **Open conditionals**

1/ In these periods, the verbal tense used is the *indicative*, and tenses should be translated correspondingly:

- **Si hoc dicis, stultus es** **If you say this, you are foolish.**
- **Si Caesar hoc dixit, stultus fuit** **If Caesar said this, he was foolish.**
• Has ego, si *vis*, tibi *dabo*  
  *IF YOU WANT, I WILL GIVE THESE TO YOU*  
  (Plautus, *Asinaria*).

• *Si vincimus*, omnia nobis tuta *erunt*  
  *IF WE WIN, WE WILL HAVE EVERYTHING FOR SURE*  
  (Sallust, *Catilinae Coniuratio*).

• *Abeo*, si *iubes*  
  *I LEAVE, IF YOU ORDER IT*  
  (Plautus, *Amphitruo*).

• *Quis ego* *sum* saltem, si non *sum* *Sosia?*  
  *BUT WHO AM I, IF I AM NOT SOSIAS?*  
  (Plautus, *Amphitruo*).

2/ There is an exception; observe this sentence:

• *Si hoc mihi* *dabis*, laetus *ero*  
  *IF YOU GIVE ME THIS, I WILL BE HAPPy*.

  ◊ Observe that, theoretically, it says  
  *IF YOU WILL GIVE ME THIS*,  
  as Latin uses the same tense in protasis and  
  apodosis, but we must translate the future tense in the protasis by a *present*.

It can even be the case that Latin uses the *future perfect* in the protasis and the *simple future* in the apodosis:

• *Si hoc mihi* *dederis*, laetus *ero*  
  *IF YOU GIVE ME THIS, I WILL BE HAPPY*  
  (same meaning as before).

  ◊ Theoretically, it says  
  *IF YOU WILL HAVE GIVEN ME THIS*:  
  Latin uses this resource to emphasize that the condition in  
  the protasis will have been accomplished before the action of the apodosis takes place.  
  But again we must translate it in English by a present.

• *Praeterea si quid meque vobisque dignum* *petiveris*, *haud repulsus* *abibis*  
  *MOREOVER, IF YOU REQUEST ANYTHING WORTHY OF ME AND OF YOU, YOU WILL NOT GO AWAY REJECTED*  
  (Sallust, *Bellum Iugurthinum*).

  ◊ Theoretically, it says  
  *IF YOU WILL HAVE REQUESTED*.  
  As before, translate by a present.

3/ We can find an *imperative* in the main clause, instead of an indicative:

• *Dic* si *quid vis  
  *SAY, IF YOU WANT [TO SAY] ANYTHING*  
  (Plautus, *Amphitruo*).

And we should include here the use of a *iussive subjunctive* instead of an imperative (here we should pay attention to  
the “imperative” sense of the iussive subjunctive, not to the potential use that we will find in the next section):

• *Quod si comitia placet in senatu haberi, petamus*  
  *BUT IF WE WANT AN ELECTION TO BE HELD IN THE SENATE, LET’S SEEK [VOTES]*  
  (Cicero, *Philippicae*).

b) Remote conditionals

They are used to indicate a possibility in the future. *Present subjunctive* is used in both sides, and the way of translating it into English is by means of  
*SHOULD … WOULD*:

• *Si hoc mihi* *dicas*, laetus *sim*  
  *IF YOU SHOULD TELL ME THIS, I WOULD BE HAPPY*.

  ◊ We could also say  
  *IF YOU WERE TO TELL ME THIS, …*

• *Possis, … si videas, cognoscere?*  
  *WOULd you be able to recognise [him], if you should see [him]?*  
  (Plautus, *Asinaria*).

**Note**

They use present, but they refer to the future.
c) Unfulfilled conditions in the present

They are used to indicate that the condition is unfulfilled now, in the present; maybe it will be fulfilled further ahead, but now it is unfulfilled. *Imperfect subjunctive* is used for both sides:

- Si dux *adesset*, milites laeti *essent*  
  *If the general were here, the soldiers would be happy.*
- Si pecunia *haberem*, hanc domum *emerem*  
  *If I had money, I would buy this house.*
- Plura *dicerem*, Quirites, si timidis virtutem verba *adderent*  
  *I would say more, citizens, if words added courage to the faint-hearted* (Sallust, *Bellum Jugurthinum*).
- Ego si somnum *capere possem*, tam longis te epistulis non *obtunderem*  
  *If I were able to sleep, I would not pester you with such long letters* (Cicero, *Epistulae ad Atticum*).
- Quod si ipsa res publica *iudicaret*, ..., Antonione an Bruto legiones populi Romani *adiudicaret*?  
  *But if the state itself were to judge, would it award legions of the Roman people to Antonius or to Brutus?* (Cicero, *Philippicae*).
- Si ipse *viveret* C. Caesar, acrius, *credo*, acta sua *defenderet* quam ...  
  *If C. Caesar himself were alive, he would defend his deeds more vigorously, I think, than ...* (Cicero, *Philippicae*).

◊ Although in this case it is obvious that the protasis can not take place (Caesar is already dead), the imaginary possibility must be expressed.

**Note**

They use imperfect, but they refer to the present.

d) Unfulfilled conditions in the past

They are used to indicate that the condition was not fulfilled and can not be fulfilled any more (a practical way of naming this type is by calling them the "too late" type). *Pluperfect subjunctive* is used for both sides:

- Si hoc mihi *dixisses*, ego quam celerrime *venissem*  
  *If you had told me this, I would have come as quickly as possible.*  
  ◊ Too late, nothing can be done now.
- Si *debuisset*, Sexte, *petisses*  
  *If he had owed [you money], Sextus, you would have asked for it* (Cicero, *Pro Quinctio*).
- Si *venisses ad exercitum*, a tribunis militaribus *visus esses*  
  *If you had come to the army, you would have been seen by the military tribunes* (Cicero, *De Inventione*).
- Argenti viginti minas, si *adesset*, accepisset  
  *Twenty minae* (Plautus, *Asinaria*).

e) Combined periods

Conditional periods do not always follow the rules indicated above, as the several nuances that language can express must be reflected also in grammar. We will examine here some of the most common alterations of the former rules.

1/ It is normal to find a type of conditional period that uses *pluperfect subjunctive* in the protasis and *imperfect subjunctive* in the apodosis: the protasis will refer to the past and the apodosis to the present; observe these examples:

- Si in proelio *vicessem*, felix *esset*  
  *If I had won (at a point in the past) in the battle, I would (now) be happy.*  
  ◊ Observe the combination of tenses, as there is also a combination of present (unfulfilled) reality and of past (unfulfilled) condition.
• Si dixisset haec solum, omni supplicio esset dignus  IF HE HAD SAID ONLY THIS, HE WOULD BE WORTHY OF ALL 
punishment  (Cicero, Pro Sestio).

2/ Another usual combination is the one formed by a future perfect indicative in the protasis and a present indicative in 
the apodosis:

• Romani si rem obtinuerint, finem laborum omnium exspectant  THE ROMANS, IF THEY GAIN THE DAY, EXPECT THE END 
of all of their toils  (Caesar, De Bello Gallico).

  ♦ The use of the future perfect is to put emphasis on the fact that first the protasis must be accomplished, but 
we should translate it by a present.

3/ The presence of some internal subordinate inside the apodosis produces some unexpected combinations. For instance: [335]

• At, si ita esset, hac lege accusatum fuisse oportuit qua accusatur Habitus  BUT, IF IT WERE SO, IT WOULD HAVE 
been necessary that he had been accused under the same law under which Habitus has been accused 
(Cicero, Pro Cluentio).

Observe the imperfect subjunctive in the protasis and the perfect indicative in the apodosis: literally, the apodosis says ... 
it was necessary that he had been accused..., but the potential meaning (the sense that in fact he was not accused) is to 
be taken from the internal infinitive clause in the apodosis and the fact that the protasis is an unfulfilled condition. This 
makes putting the verb oportuit in subjunctive unnecessary.

4/ Another case of the combination subjunctive (protasis) / indicative (apodosis) takes place when an impersonal verb 
(or impersonal verbal expression) is used in the apodosis:

• Quod si quis illud iudicium appellet, tamen hoc confiteatur necesse est, nullo modo ... BUT IF ANYBODY WERE TO 
call that a trial, then it is necessary that he admits this, that in no way ...  (Cicero, Pro Cluentio).

We could have expected necesse sit, meaning  IT WOULD BE NECESSARY, but the general sense allows us to use the 
indicative.

8. Relative clauses

a) Introduction

1/ Relative clauses give us additional information about somebody or something mentioned in the main clause; for 
instance, in the sentence  THE MAN (WHOM) YOU SAW YESTERDAY IS A TEACHER,  there are two parts:

  ♦ The main clause:  THE MAN IS A TEACHER  This is the main information.
  ♦ The relative clause:  (WHOM) YOU SAW YESTERDAY  This is additional information, and in this case this additional 
information delimits who that man is: the one you saw yesterday, not another one.

  ♦ Observe that in English it is very common to avoid the relative pronoun in this kind of delimitative relative sentences, 
but in Latin it must be mentioned; in the example above, in Latin we will not be able to avoid  WHOM.
Another example:

I LOVE ITALY, WHICH IS A VERY NICE COUNTRY.

⇒ Main clause: I LOVE ITALY
⇒ Relative clause: WHICH IS A VERY NICE COUNTRY

In this case, the relative clause gives us additional information about Italy, but it does not restrict its meaning; so, comparing with the former example, we can see that sometimes a relative clause delimits the meaning and in other cases it just adds more information. Observe also that sometimes the relative clause may be in the middle of the main sentence, or at the end, or even at the beginning.

2/ The relative itself (it can have several forms in English: THAT, WHICH, WHO, WHOSE, WHOM, etc.) is the word that links to the main clause: WHO and WHICH in the previous examples.

Remember that, when it has a restrictive, delimitative meaning, in English it is normal to avoid it: THE STORY THAT YOU HAVE TOLD ME HAS SURPRISED ME = THE STORY YOU HAVE TOLD ME HAS SURPRISED ME.

3/ The word about which the relative sentence tells us something is called the antecedent; in the former examples, THE MAN is the antecedent of WHO YOU SAW YESTERDAY, and ITALY is the antecedent of WHICH IS A VERY NICE COUNTRY.

Another example, this time with whole analysis:

THE CITY (THAT) CAESAR DESTROYED WAS WEALTHY.

⇒ Main clause: THE CITY WAS WEALTHY
⇒ Relative clause: (THAT) CAESAR DESTROYED
⇒ Antecedent: THE CITY
⇒ Relative: THAT
♦ Remember that in English a restrictive relative can be left unmentioned.

b) Simple relative sentences

1/ Antecedent-relative relationship

a/ The most important point is the relationship between the relative and the antecedent. The different forms of the relative in Latin have been presented in the corresponding section of pronouns, and which one we choose will depend on the antecedent. Relative and antecedent must agree in gender and number, but not necessarily in case. Observe this example:

I SEE THE MAN TO WHOM YOU GAVE A BOOK YESTERDAY.

⇒ Main clause: I SEE THE MAN
⇒ Relative clause: TO WHOM YOU GAVE A BOOK YESTERDAY
⇒ Antecedent: THE MAN
⇒ Relative: TO WHOM

THE MAN is masculine and singular, so TO WHOM will have to be masculine and singular.

With respect to the case,

⇒ THE MAN performs the role of direct object in the main clause, so it will have to be in Acc: hominem
⇒ TO WHOM performs the role of indirect object in the relative clause, so it will have to be in Dat: cui
Therefore, the whole sentence will be

Video hominem cui heri librum dedisti.

b/ In the case that we have some difficulty in seeing the syntactical function of the relative, a good system is to replace it by the antecedent and re-order the clause to make it make sense, then we will see it easily:

TO WHOM YOU GAVE A BOOK YESTERDAY > TO THE MAN YOU GAVE A BOOK YESTERDAY > YOU GAVE A BOOK TO THE MAN YESTERDAY

Now it is clear that TO WHOM plays the role of indirect object and therefore it must be in dative.

♦ In this example we have seen, antecedent and relative are in different cases because each one performs a different function in its own clause.

Important: When trying to find out the function of the relative in order to decide in which case it must be, you must forget about the function that the antecedent plays in its own sentence: they belong to different sentences; in the former example, to find out the function (and therefore the case) of TO WHOM, we have not taken the role of THE MAN into account, we have ignored it because we must ignore it.

Of course, if they happen to perform the same function each one in its sentence, they will be in the same case:

- I SEE THE MAN WHOM YOU LOVE Video hominem quem amas.

♦ THE MAN is direct object in the main clause.
♦ WHOM is also direct object in the relative clause.

So, in this case they coincide just because each one happens to have the same function in its sentence.

c/ Let’s see some original examples:

- Sed in ea coniuratione fuit Q. Curius, ..., quem censores senatu probri gratia moverant But in that conspiracy was Q. CURIUS, WHOM THE CENSORS HAD EXPELLED IGNOMINiously OUT OF THE SENATE (Sallust, Catilinae Coniuratio).
  ♦ Curius is subject in the main clause, and quem is direct object in the relative clause.

- Nemone fuit cui deberet Quinctius? Was there nobody whom QUINCTIUS OWED [MONEY]? (Cicero, Pro Quinctio).
  ♦ Nemo (the -ne is just a question mark) is the subject of the main clause, and cui is the indirect object of the relative clause (in Latin, the person to whom you owe something is in dative).

- ... in eis rebus quas L. Sulla gessit ... in those things that L. SULLA DID (Cicero, Pro Roscio Amerinio).
  ♦ Rebus is part of a prepositional phrase in the main clause, and quas (rebus is feminine) is direct object in the relative clause.

- Ea tempestate in exercitu nostro fuere conplures novi atque nobiles, quibus divitiae bono honestoque potiores erant At that time there were in our army many new and noble men, for whom riches were better than goodness and honesty (Sallust, Bellum Iugurthinum).
  ♦ The relative quibus is clearly a dative of reference in the relative clause, while the antecedent is the long subject of the main clause.
And a double example:

- Edopol me *uxori* exoptatum credo adventurum domum, *quae* me amat, *quam* contra amo   
  By Pollux, I think I will go home much desired by my wife, who loves me, whom in turn I love  
  (Plautus, *Amphitruo*).

  ✷ *Uxori*, the antecedent, is in dative in the main clause because of the syntactical function it performs, and it has two relatives depending on it: *quae*, which is *subject* in the first relative clause, and *quam*, which is *direct object* in the second relative clause.

2/ The genitive

The use of the relative in genitive has a more difficult translation in English; observe this example:

\[ \text{Video hominem *cuius* librum legisti.} \]

The main clause is really clear: *Video hominem* I see the man. The relative *cuius* is in genitive, so that it must have the role of a possessive object. So, we should translate the relative *cuius* by *of whom* or, more frequently, *whose*. So, the translation should be

I see the man whose book you have read / I see the man the book of whom you have read.

Let’s see some examples from Cicero:

- *Dicebam huic Q. Roscio, *cuius* soror est cum P. Quinctio, ... I was telling this Q. Roscius, whose sister is with (is the wife of) P. QuINCTIUS, ... (Cicero, *Pro Quinctio*).

- *Inventus est nemo *cuius* non haec et sententia esset et oratio speech were not this  
  No one was found whose opinion and speech were not this  
  (Cicero, *In Verrem*).

  ✷ *Cuius* depends on *sententia* and *oratio*.

- *Sine infamia illud dederis, ut is absolvatur *cuius* ego causa laboro  
  Without disgrace you will have granted  
  this, that he, for whose cause I am working, will be acquitted  
  (Cicero, *In Q. Caecilium*).

  ✷ *Causa* is an ablative.

3/ Its use with prepositions

We can use prepositions with a relative in the same way as we would use them with any noun; observe this example:

\[ \text{The city in which I live is nice.} \]

The main clause, *The city is nice*, will be easily translated as *Urbs pulchra est*.

The relative clause, *in which I live*, should offer no difficulty: *I live = habito*. With respect to the relative *in which*, if the sentence were *I live in the city*, we would translate *in the city* by *in urbe* (in + ablative), so we must do the same with the relative: *in which = in qua*: *qua* because it must be feminine singular, as *urbs* is feminine singular, and because in this relative clause we need it in ablative after in.

The final result will be

\[ \text{Urbs in qua habito pulchra est.} \]
Let’s see some examples a little more difficult:

- **Omnes ad eam domum in quaiste deversabatur profecti sunt** (Cicero, *In Verrem*).

- **Itaque ad te litteras statim misi, per quas... gratias tibi egi** (Cicero, *Epistulae ad Familiaris*).

- **Estne quisquam omnium mortalium de quo melius existimes tu?** (Cicero, *Pro Roscio Comoedo*).

- **Quibus rebus Micipsa tametsi initio laetus fuerat, ...** (Sallust, *Bellum Iugurthinum*).

- **Caeparium Terraciniensem. Qui in Apuliam ad concitanda servitia proficisci parabat ...** (Sallust, *Catilinae Coniuratio*).

- **Quem iste conlegam nisi habuisset, lapidibus coopertus esset in foro** (Cicero, *In Verrem*).

Remember that **litterae** is plural.

- **Itaque ad te litteras statim misi, per quas... gratias tibi egi** (Cicero, *Epistulae ad Familiaris*).

  - **S O I SENT YOU A LETTER BY MEANS OF WHICH I THANKED YOU** (Cicero, *Epistulae ad Familiares*).

- **Quem iste conlegam nisi habuisset, lapidibus coopertus esset in foro** (Cicero, *In Verrem*).

  - **IF THIS MAN HAD NOT HAD HIM FOR A COLLEAGUE, HE WOULD HAVE BEEN STONED IN THE FORUM** (Cicero, *In Verrem*).

- **Quibus rebus Micipsa tametsi initio laetus fuerat, ...** (Sallust, *Bellum Iugurthinum*).

  - **ALTHOUGH AT THE BEGINNING MICIPSA HAD BEEN HAPPY WITH THESE THINGS, ...** (Sallust, *Bellum Iugurthinum*).

  - **In this sentence Eis rebus has been replaced by Quibus rebus.**

  - **In other words: the connective relative is a relative that replaces the expected form of the demonstrative hic, haec, hoc or of is, ea, id:** I SEE THE GENERALS. THESE (OR THEY) CAME YESTERDAY.

  - **In other words: the connective relative is a relative that replaces the expected form of the demonstrative hic, haec, hoc (this is why some grammars call this a demonstrative relative) or of the anaphoric is, ea, id.**

It should be noted that this need of putting the relative as the first word of the new clause makes it appear before it should; for instance, in the former example, the **Quibus rebus** belongs to the concessive clause introduced by tametsi.

- **Itaque ad te litteras statim misi, per quas... gratias tibi egi** (Cicero, *Epistulae ad Familiaris*).

  - **S O I SENT YOU A LETTER BY MEANS OF WHICH I THANKED YOU** (Cicero, *Epistulae ad Familiares*).

  - **In this sentence Eis rebus has been replaced by Quibus rebus.**

  - **Another way of saying this is: I SENT YOU A LETTER BY MEANS OF WHICH I THANKED YOU.**

- **Estne quisquam omnium mortalium de quo melius existimes tu?** (Cicero, *Pro Roscio Comoedo*).

  - **IS THERE ANYONE AMONG ALL MORTALS ABOUT WHOM YOU THINK BETTER?** (Cicero, *Pro Roscio Comoedo*).
2/ Relative of characteristic (generic relative)

a/ A relative clause may have its verb in subjunctive, and this has the effect of describing the antecedent with respect to the group to which it belongs. A double example will make it clear:

\[
\text{Cicero non est qui hoc } dicit. \\
\text{This is a normal relative sentence, with its verb in } \textit{indicative}, \text{ and it means something as simple as } \textit{Cicero is not the one who says this,} \text{ in the assumption that somebody is saying something but it is not Cicero, it is somebody else. But if we put the verb of the relative in } \textit{subjunctive}, \text{ the sentence will mean } \textit{Cicero is not the kind of person who may say this.} \\
\text{So, we describe the antecedent with respect to the group to which it belongs: } \textit{Cicero does not belong to the group of people who would say this.}
\]

b/ It may also be that the antecedent is not somebody identified but rather somebody indefinite (and not even expressed), like for instance in the sentence

\[
\text{Sunt qui } \textit{dicant} \text{ Ciceronem malum oratorem esse.} \\
\text{In this case, the translation should be } \textit{There are the kind of people who may say that Cicero is a bad orator.} \\
\diamondsuit \text{ We could leave it as } \textit{There are people who say that Cicero is a bad orator.}
\]

- \text{Sunt } \textit{qui dicant}, \textit{Quirites, a me eiectum esse Catilinam} \textit{There are people who say, citizens, that Catilina has been expelled by me} (Cicero, \textit{In Catilinam}).

Another example of indefinite antecedent (in this case, the antecedent is mentioned through \textit{nemo}):

- \textit{Nemo est qui Ciceronem non amet} \textit{There is no one who does not love Cicero.} \\
\diamondsuit \text{ In the sense of } \textit{There is not this kind of person who would not love Cicero.} \\
\diamondsuit \text{ Catilina would have loved this example of relative of characteristic ...}

- \textit{Nemo est qui ullam spem salutis reliquam esse arbitretur} \textit{There is no one who thinks that there is any hope for salvation left} (Cicero, \textit{In Verrem}).

\[
\text{c/ The relative of characteristic can even be used to replace } \textit{ut} \text{ in a } \textit{clause of result}: \]

- \textit{Nemo est tam crudelis \textit{ut} Caesarem necare velit} \textit{There is nobody so cruel that he wants to kill Caesar.} \\
\diamondsuit \text{ In the sense of } \textit{There is not the kind of person so cruel who would like to kill Caesar.} \\
\diamondsuit \text{ ... and Cassius would have loved this other example.}

- \textit{Nemo est tam stultus \textit{qui non intellegat}} \textit{There is nobody so foolish that he does not understand ...} (Cicero, \textit{Philippicae}). \\
\diamondsuit \text{ We could have found } \textit{ut non intellegat}, \textit{but in this kind of sentences starting with Nemo est tam ... it is much more common to find the relative rather than } \textit{ut}.}
In case it is a negative clause of result, like in the last example, *qui non* / *quae non* / *quod non* can be replaced by *quin*:

- *Nemo est tam fortis, quin rei novitate perturbetur*  
  *There is nobody so strong that he is not disturbed by the news* (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).

3/ Relative of purpose

The so-called *relative of purpose* with the verb in subjunctive is in fact a derivation of the relative of characteristic. A relative clause with its verb in subjunctive may have the meaning of purpose; observe this example:

- *Catilina misit homines *qui* Ciceronem *necarent*  
  *Catilina sent the kind of men who would kill Cicero*.

If we replace the relative by *ut*, we will have a perfect *purpose clause*:

- *Catilina misit homines *ut* Ciceronem *necarent*  
  *same meaning*.

Observe that in all these examples the relative could be replaced by *ut* and this would produce perfect *purpose clauses*:

- *Tibi mitto libros *quos* legas*  
  *I am sending you some books which you may read* = *I am sending you some books so that you may read them*.

- *Legatos ad eum mittunt nobilissimos civitatis ... *qui* dicerent sibi esse in animo sine ullo maleficio iter per provinciam facere*  
  *They send ambassadors to him to say that they were planning to cross the province without causing any harm* (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).

- *Apponit ... quendam *qui* dicat se Diodorum Melitensem rei capitalis reum velle facere*  
  *He appoints somebody to say that he wants to institute a prosecution against Diodorus of Melita* (Cicero, *In Verrem*).

Both the relative of characteristic and of purpose are in fact lateral uses of the *potential meaning of the subjunctive* when used on its own (*Hoc dicam* I *would/could say this*, etc.).

4/ Lack of antecedent

If the antecedent is any generic form of *is*, *ea*, *id* in the role of nominative, it is very normal that it is elided. In general lines, it would be the same as if the English sentence

**Those whom I saw yesterday have won the championship** became **Whom I saw yesterday have won the championship**.

Observe the following example (there is a possessive dative in the relative clause, which we have translated literally, but this is independent of the presence or absence of antecedent):

- *Semper in civitate, *quibus* opes nullae sunt,* bonis invident*  
  *In a state, those for whom there is no wealth always envy the well-off men* (Sallust, *Catilinae Coniuratio*).

With the antecedent (unnecessary in Latin), the sentence would have been *Semper in civitate *ei,* quibus...* with *ei* meaning *those*, but there is no need to put the demonstrative *ei* in Latin (although we must write *those* in English); observe this example:
• *Qui de scelere suspicari eius nihil potuerunt, socium offici metuere non debuerunt*  Those who could not suspect anything about his wickedness ought not to have feared his partner in his duties (Cicero, *Pro Roscio Amerino*).

◊ As before, the demonstrative *Ei* *Those* is omitted in Latin (the sentence would have been *Ei, qui de...*).

5/ Inclusion of the antecedent in the relative clause

We may find that the antecedent is repeated inside the relative clause (and in the same case as the relative, no matter in what case the antecedent is in the main clause). This resource adds emphasis by making clear what the relative refers to:

• *Lex Porcia aliaeque leges paratae sunt, quibus legibus exilium damnatis permissum est*  The Porcian Law and other laws were provided, by which laws exile was allowed to condemned people (Sallust, *Catilinae Coniuratio*).

◊ The forced English translation *by which laws* is in fact a literal translation of this repetition.

• *Huc adcedebat munificentia animi atque ingeni sollertia, quibus rebus sibi multos ex Romanis familiari amicitia coniunxerat*  To this was added the magnificence of his spirit and the ability of his talent, by which qualities he had joined to his side many of the Romans by means of a intimate friendship (Sallust, *Bellum Iugurthinum*).

◊ *Rebus* means the *munificentia* and the *sollertia*. In this case, more than repeating the antecedent, what Sallust has made has been put side by side with the relative a word that summarises the two antecedents *munificentia* and *sollertia*.

9. Comparative clauses

a) First type

1/ The first type of comparatives would include what has already been seen in the corresponding chapter of correlatives, with the use of *talis ... qualis*, etc.:

• *Amicos non habeo quales tu habes*  I haven’t got friends such as you have.

◊ Meaning *of the same kind as you have*.

Apart from what has been said in that chapter, there are other adverbs used in pairs to produce some type of comparison; for instance, *quotiens ... totiens*  as many times as ... so many times:

• *Quotiens enim dicimus, totiens de nobis iudicatur*  As many times as we speak, so many times people judge us (Cicero, *De Oratore*).

◊ A more free translation could be *People judge us every time we speak*, the above translation was somewhat forced to translate both terms.

2/ It is worth remembering the adverbial use of the neuters *tantum ... quantum*:

• *Tantum possem in te dicere quantum in litteris invenissem*  I would be able to say against you as much as I had found in these letters (Cicero, *In Verrem*).
b) Second type

The second type is the one that deals with the simultaneous intensification of some kind of activities, in the sense of the "more... the more...". The usual ways to express this are:

\[
\begin{align*}
&\text{quo} + \text{comparative} \\
&\text{quanto} + \text{comparative}
\end{align*}
\]

- *Quo minus petebat gloriam, eo magis illum adsequebatur*  
  The less he sought glory, the more he achieved it  
  (Sallust, *Catilinae Coniuratio*).
- *Quanto vita illorum praeclarior, tanto horum socordia flagitosior*  
  The more illustrious the life of those is, the more ignominious the dullness of these is  
  (Sallust, *Bellum Iugurthinum*).

Notes

1/ *eo* and *tanto* are sometimes omitted.
2/ The two parts of the comparison can be reverted (*tanto ... quanto* instead of *quanto ... tanto*, etc.).

c) Third type

1/ The third type deals with the comparison of two events that happen in the same way; the comparative clause is introduced by *ut, sicut(i), quomodo* or *tamquam* in the same way as, and it is frequent to find a counterbalancing word in the main clause, which is usually *ita* or *sic*, both meaning so:

- *Sicuti mari portibusque Caesarem prohibebat, ita ipse omni terra earum regionum prohibebatur*  
  In the same way as he prevented Caesar from having access to sea and harbours, so he himself was prevented from going ashore in the whole region  
  (Caesar, *Bellum Civile*).

In fact, the use of only *ut* in some expressions is nothing else than an elliptic use:

- *Te amo ut fratrem*  
  I love you like a brother.

This sentence is just a shorter way of saying this other one:

- *Sic te amo ut fratrem amo*  
  I love you so in the same way as I love a brother.

2/ Inside this third type we must include the comparisons based on a supposition, usually introduced in English by *as if*; being a supposition, the comparative clause will have its verb in *subjunctive*, and they are introduced by any of these conjunctions: *quasi, tamquam, tamquam si, ut si, velut si* (observe that, with the exception of *tamquam*, all of them have *si* as component, whether as an independent word or not):

- *Semper loquitur quasi dux sit*  
  He is always speaking as if he were a general.
- *Descenderunt ut istum, tamquam si esset consul, salutarent*  
  They came down in order to salute this one, as if he were consul  
  (Cicero, *Philippicae*).

Note that in this kind of sentences it is very common not to find any anticipatory adverb in the main sentence.
3/ It is also worth mentioning the combination of a main sentence introduced by a comparative expression (magis, for instance) with quam si introducing the comparative clause, in order to achieve the meaning MORE... THAN IF:

- **Magis laboras quam si servus esses**  
  You work more than if you were a slave.
- **Quamvis multi sint, magis tamen ero solus quam si unus esses**  
  Even if they are many, nevertheless I will be more alone than if only you were here (Cicero, *Epistulae ad Atticum*).

**d) Fourth type**

The fourth type is used to express THE SAME AS, and this is achieved by the use of the identity pronoun idem, eadem, idem in the main clause and atque/ac or a relative pronoun introducing the comparative clause:

- **Postulavit deinde eadem, quae legatis in mandatis dederat**  
  Then he demanded the same he had told the ambassadors in his instructions (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).
- **Gallorum eadem atque Belgarum oppugnatio est haec**  
  The besieging tactic of the Gauls, the same as that of the Belgae, is this one (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).

✧ In this example, the comparative clause does not have its own verb.

**10. Fear clauses**

The main verbs that will be followed by a fear clause are timeo, metuo and the deponent vereor (a lot of times vereor has more a meaning of TO BE AFRAID OF in the sense of TO FEEL RESPECT FOR, but it can also be used in the normal meaning of TO FEAR).

**a/** Fear clauses are introduced by ne, but this ne must be translated by THAT, without carrying any negative sense (in other subordinates, ne has a negative sense); the verb must be in subjunctive, and we will follow the rules of the consecutio temporum:

- **Timeo ne pater veniat**  
  I fear that my father may come.
- **Timebam ne pater veniret**  
  I feared that my father might come.
- **Timeo ne male facta antiqua mea sint inventa omnia**  
  I fear that all my previous bad deeds may have been found out (Plautus, *Truculentus*).
- **Timeo ne C. Verres ... omnia quae fecit impune fecerit**  
  I fear that C. Verres may have done all he has done with impunity (Cicero, *In Verrem*).

**b/** If we fear that something may not happen, the conjunction to be used is ut, which we must translate by THAT ... NOT (in some cases we can find ne ... non instead of ut):

- **Timeo ut nostri milites vincant**  
  I am afraid that our soldiers may not win.
- **Omnis labores te excipere video; timeo ut sustineas**  
  I see that you are taking on all the tasks; I fear that you may not endure (Cicero, *Epistulae ad Familiares*).
- **Vereor ut Dolabella ipse satis nobis prodesse possit**  
  I fear that Dolabella himself may not be of any use to us (Cicero, *Epistulae ad Familiares*).
c/ We should insist on the *consecutio tempore*:  
- *Metuerunt ne hostes urbem delevissent*  
  They were afraid that the enemy might have destroyed the city.

If this sentence had the main verb in primary tense, the verb of the subordinate would also have been in primary tense:  
- *Metuunt ne hostes urbem deleverint*  
  They are afraid that the enemy may have destroyed the city.

Points to be taken into account:

1/ Although the main sentence may be negative, this does not affect the choice of *ut* or *ne* for the subordinate:

- *Non timeo ne pater veniat*  
  *I do not fear that my father may come.*
- *...ne pater veniat remains unchanged, because we want to say  … THAT MY FATHER MAY COME; whether the main sentence is *Timeo* I FEAR or *Non timeo* I DO NOT FEAR is indifferent.*
- *Non timeo ne quis inveniatur*  
  *I am not afraid that somebody may be found*  
  (Petronius, *Satyricon*).

2/ We should not forget that these verbs can also rule a normal direct object:

- *Timebat iram senatus*  
  *He feared the anger of the senate*  
  (Sallust, *Bellum Iugurthinum*).
- *Nemo tribunos aut plebem timebat*  
  *Nobody feared the tribunes or the plebs*  
  (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).

11. Indefinite clauses

a) Indefinite clauses of repeated action

When we want to express temporal sentences that imply a repeated action, like for instance Every time they come to Rome, they bring presents to the children, we make use of a special combination of indicative tenses.

1/ Repeated action in the present  
Every time they come to Rome, they bring presents for the children

- The verb of the main clause will be in *present indicative*, as expected: …, dona pueris ferunt.
- The subordinate clause will be introduced by *cum*, and it will have the verb in *perfect indicative*.

The whole sentence will be:  
*Cum Romam venerunt, dona pueris ferunt.*

Observe that, word by word, it means When they have come to Rome, they bring presents for the children.

- *Cum dixi ficus, rides quasi barbarica verba et dici ficos, Laetiliane, iubes*  
  Every time I say “Ficus”, you laugh as if at a wrong expression and you, Laetilianus, say that it must be said “Ficos”  
  (Martial, *Epigrammata*).

  *Martial is discussing with Laetilianus about the spelling of a word, as this word ficus can be declined through the 2nd or the 4th declension (there is some sarcasm about a double meaning of this word, but it is not necessary to comment on it here).*
2/ Repeated action in the past

Every time they came to Rome, they brought presents to the children.

⇒ The verb of the main clause will be in *imperfect indicative*, as expected: ..., *dona pueris ferebant*.
⇒ The verb of the subordinate clause will be in *pluperfect indicative*.

The whole sentence will be:  
*Cum Romam *venerant*, *dona pueris ferebant*.

Observe that, word by word, it means *when they had come to Rome, they brought (were bringing) presents for the children.*

• *Cato ille noster, cum *venerat* ..., *visere soledat* ...  
  *Our glorious Cato, every time he came, he observed ...*  
  (Cicero, *De Republica*).

3/ Repeated action in the future

Every time they come to Rome, they will bring presents for the children.

⇒ The verb of the main clause will be in *future*, as expected: ..., *dona pueris ferent*.
⇒ The subordinate clause will have the verb in *future perfect*.

The whole sentence will be:  
*Cum Romam *venerint*, *dona pueris ferent*.

Observe that, word by word, it means *when they will have come to Rome, they will bring presents for the children.*

⇒ In other words:  
  *The verb of the main clause will be in the same tense as in English, while the verb of the subordinate clause will be the tense that will be found immediately at its right side in the verbal table, taking as a model the usual table of distribution of tenses.*

b) Indefinite clauses of single action (“ever” clauses)

1/ When the notion of indefinite falls on a specific part of the sentence (*whoever, wherever...*) rather than somebody executing the same action several times, we make use either of the indefinite relative pronoun *quicumque* (in any necessary case, gender and number) or of an indefinite relative adverb (*ubicumque, quandocumque*, etc.). The verb of the “ever” clause will usually be in the *indicative*:

• *Ubicumque res postulabat, praesidium inpositum (est)*  
  *Wherever the situation required it, a garrison was set*  
  (Sallustius, *Bellum Iugurthinum*).

• *Quicumque hoc fecit, supplicio dignus est*  
  *Whoever has done this, deserves to be punished*  
  (Cicero, *In Verrem*).

2/ In “ever” clauses referring to the future, it is very common that also the indefinite clause has its verb in future, while English would use a present tense:

• *Cetera, quotiescumque voletis, et hoc loco et aliis parata vobis erunt*  
  *The rest will be ready for you both in this place and in other places however often you want*  
  (Cicero, *Tusculanae Disputationes*).

  ❖ Literally,  *... however often you will want.*

• Romulus, after killing Remus:  
  *Sic deinde, quicumque alius transiliet moenia mea*  
  *And thus from now on, whichever other one jumps across my walls*  
  (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).

  ❖ Literally,  *... will jump across my walls.*
12. Proviso clauses

Proviso clauses are sometimes considered a sub-group of the conditional clauses, with some temporal sense also, and they introduce the idea of AS LONG AS, PROVIDED THAT. The verb must be in subjunctive, and the main conjunctions that introduce them are dum, modo and dummodo, and the negative to be used is ne:

- ... querentibus et Hippocratem atque Epicydem abire seu Locros seu quo alio mallent, dummodo Sicilia cederent ... requesting that both HIPPOCRATES and EPICYDES should depart to LOCRI or to wherever they would prefer, AS LONG AS THEY WITHDREW FROM SICILY (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).
- Dummodo sit dives, barbarus ipse placet AS LONG AS HE IS RICH, A STRANGER HIMSELF IS WELCOME (Ovid, *Ars Amatoria*).
- Dum ne ob male facta peream, parvi aestumo AS LONG AS I DO NOT PERISH BECAUSE OF MY BAD DEEDS, I DO NOT CARE (Plautus, *Captivi*).

13. Quominus and quin clauses

Quominus and quin are usually studied together, as in some case they can alternate without any alteration of meaning. The best way is to divide their study into expressions of doubting (and similar) and of preventing (and similar).

Please refer to *Point 6 Result clauses* to see an additional usage of quin.

a) Expressions of doubting

A sentence in which the main verb expresses doubt can be either positive or negative with respect to this main verb:

I DOUBT THAT ... or I DO NOT DOUBT THAT ...

1/ If the sentence is positive, the subordinate will follow the same structure as an indirect question; some examples will make this clear:

- Dubito utrum Caesar venire velit necne I DOUBT WHETHER CAESAR WANTS TO COME OR NOT.
- Dubitas quis optimus senator sit? DO YOU DOUBT WHO THE BEST SENATOR IS?
- Itaque de Ciceronibus nostris dubito quid agam THEREFORE I AM NOT SURE WHAT I SHOULD DO ABOUT "CICEROS" (Cicero, *Epistulae ad Atticum*).
- Antea dubitabam venturae essent PREVIOUSLY, I DOUBTED WHETHER THEY WOULD COME (Cicero, *Epistulae ad Familiares*).

Observe in all these examples that the subordinate clause has the usual structure of an indirect question with the verb in subjunctive; in fact, instead of Dubito we could have written Volo scire or any other expression that introduces an indirect question.

2/ If the sentence is negative, the subordinate is usually introduced by quin + subjunctive:

- Non dubito quin Caesar venturus sit I DO NOT DOUBT THAT CAESAR WILL COME.
- Non dubito quin vobis satis fecerim, iudices I DO NOT DOUBT THAT I HAVE DONE ENOUGH FOR YOU, JUDGES (Cicero, *In Verrem*).
Non dubium est *quin* Quintus totam veritatem *dixerit*  
There is no doubt that Quintus has spoken all the truth.

• Observe in this last example that *non dubium est* is an expression that has the same force as a verb of doubting.

• Non dubium est, *quin* M. Tullius omnium *sit* eloquentissimus  
There is no doubt that M. Tullius is the most eloquent of all (A. Gellius, *Noctes Atticae*).

But it can be that, rather than introducing a statement, it introduces an indirect question, even if the main sentence is negative; then, obviously it will be followed by an indirect question instead of by a *quin* clause:

• Non dubium est *utrum iudices an iuris consulti vituperandi sint*  
There is no doubt whether the judges or the lawyers must be blamed (Cicero, *Pro Caecina*).

3/ Observe this apparent contradiction:

• Num ergo dubium est *quin ei obtulerint hanc praedam Chrysogono?*  
Is there any doubt that they offered this booty to Chrysogonus? (Cicero, *Pro Roscio Amerino*).

There is no negative word with the expression *dubium est*, and even so the subordinate is introduced by *quin*, as if the main sentence were positive. The explanation is that even if the main sentence is positive it is a question after which a negative answer is expected, so that, if not grammatically, at least in its background it can be considered negative (and therefore a *quin* clause follows).

4/ Let’s remember that the verb *dubito* can be used also in the sense of *to hesitate*, and then it is followed by an infinitive, whether the main clause is negative or not:

• *Ea nubere illi dubitabat*  
She hesitated to marry him (Sallust, *Catilinae Coniuratio*).

• *Latro in hac controversia non dubitabat facere primam quaestionem*  
The brigand, in this dispute, did not hesitate to ask the first question (Seneca senior, *Controversiae*).

b) Expressions of preventing

By expressions of preventing we mean not only verbs of preventing with this direct meaning, like *deterreo* *to deter, to dissuade*, *obsto* *to hinder*, *impedio* *to prevent*, but also other adjacent idiomatic expressions.

1/ If the sentence is positive, the subordinate can be introduced by either *quominus* or *ne* (either of them with the verb in *subjunctive*:)

• *Te deterreo quominus/ne Romam abeas*  
I deter you from departing to Rome.

• *Tum vir optimus Sex. Naevius hominem multis verbis deterret ne auctionetur*  
Then Sextus Naevius, an excellent man, by making a long speech, dissuades the man from holding an auction (Cicero, *Pro Quinctio*).

• *Antea deterrere te ne popularis esses non poteramus*  
Before, we could not deter you from becoming a popular man (Cicero, *Philippicae*).

• *Deterre eum voluit ... quominus medicamentum biberet*  
He tried to dissuade him from drinking the medicine (Curtius Rufus, *Historiae Alexandri Magni*).
2/ But if the sentence is negative, the subordinate will be introduced by either *quominus* (so, *quominus* can be used after positive and negative main clauses) or *quin*:

- **Non te deterreo *quominus*/*quin* hoc facias** I DO NOT PREVENT YOU FROM DOING THIS.
- **Me homo nemo deterrebit, *quin ea sit* in his aedibus** NO MAN WILL PREVENT ME FROM HAVING HER IN THIS HOUSE (Plautus, *Miles Gloriosus*).
  - ♦ Literally, ... PREVENT ME THAT SHE MAY BE IN THIS HOUSE.

3/ Let’s see some examples with related verbs:

- **Omnia ... confessus est neque recusavit *quominus* legis poenam *subiret*** HE CONFESSED EVERYTHING AND DID NOT REFUSE TO RECEIVE THE PUNISHMENT OF THE LAW (Nepos, *Vitae*).
  - ♦ In this example, the sense of preventing is in the verb *recuso* TO REFUSE, in its sense of trying to prevent something from happening (in this case, a punishment).

- ***Quominus* ad ultimam senectutem perveniant, non prohibentur** THEY ARE NOT PREVENTED FROM REACHING THE LAST STAGE OF OLD AGE (Celsus, *De Medicina*).
  - ♦ Celsus is writing about the lack of effect of some medicines.
  - ♦ *Prohibeo* not only means TO FORBID but also TO PREVENT.

14. **Summary of the uses of *cum***

After finding this conjunction used in several subordinate clauses, it would be worth making a summary of its uses (apart from its use as a preposition, WITH).

**a) With indicative**

1/ Its meaning is always WHEN OR WHENEVER / EVERY TIME THAT

We have seen that *cum* + indicative means WHEN (and EVERY TIME THAT if used in that combination of tenses to express repeated action):

- ***Cum de iure et legitimis hominum controversiis loquimur,* ...** WHENEVER WE SPEAK ABOUT LAW AND DISPUTES AMONG MEN, ... (Cicero, *Pro Caecina*).

2/ The inverted *cum*

*Cum* + indicative has a special construction (apart from that one in which it will mean EVERY TIME THAT ...); in this special construction it will still mean WHEN, but it will have some characteristics. Observe these examples:

- **Nondum Hannibal e castris exierat *cum* pugnantium clamorem *audivit*** HANNIBAL HAD NOT GONE OUT OF THE CAMP YET WHEN HE HEARD SHOUTS OF PEOPLE FIGHTING (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).
- **Domi cenabamus *cum* repente audimus Caesarem necatum esse** WE WERE HAVING DINNER AT HOME, WHEN SUDDENLY WE HEARD THAT CAESAR HAD BEEN KILLED.
  - ♦ Observe that the use of the *historic present* in the main sentence is usual in this construction.
Observe these characteristics:

- The *cum* clause comes second (usually it comes first).
- The main information is in the *cum* clause rather than in the main one (that we were having dinner is really irrelevant).
- As said, the *cum* clause is in the indicative.

If the sentence has these three characteristics, it is called an *inverted cum* (*cum inversum*). See this example from Livy:

- *Iam montani ... conveniebant, cum repente conspiciunt alios ...* THE MOUNTAINEERS WERE ALREADY GATHERING, when suddenly they see others ... (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).

- The choice of translating the verb in the *cum* clause by a present tense they see (so, keeping the Latin tense) or by a past tense they saw may be a matter of personal taste.

b) With subjunctive

With the subjunctive, the uses and meanings of *cum* may produce some confusion. The best way to schematise the meanings of *cum* in subjunctive is by means of this table that we will clarify after presenting it:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Perfect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>– Concessive</td>
<td>– Concessive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Causal</td>
<td>– Causal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imperfect</th>
<th>Pluperfect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>– Concessive</td>
<td>– Concessive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Historic <em>cum</em></td>
<td>– Historic <em>cum</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1/ *Cum* can have *concessive* meaning in any of the four tenses of the subjunctive:

- *Cum dives sis, tamen non te amo* ALTHOUGH YOU MAY BE RICH, NEVERTHELESS I DO NOT LOVE YOU.
- *Saepe officium est sapientis desciscere a vita, cum sit beatissimus* OFTEN THE DUTY OF A WISE MAN IS TO LEAVE LIFE, ALTHOUGH HE MAY BE VERY HAPPY (Cicero, *De Finibus*).

2/ With any of the two tenses above, it may also have *causal* meaning:

- *Cum dives sis, te amo* AS YOU ARE RICH, I LOVE YOU.
- *Nimis abes diu, praesertim cum sis in propinquis locis* YOU HAVE BEEN ABSENT FOR TOO LONG, ESPECIALLY AS YOU ARE IN PLACES NEARBY (Cicero, *Epistulae ad Atticum*).

3/ And with any of the two tenses below it may be a *Historic cum*. This kind of subordinate clause has a meaning that can be described like *causal and temporal* at the same time:

- *Cum Caesar venisset, milites Gallos vicerunt* WHEN/BECAUSE CAESAR HAD COME, THE SOLDIERS DEFEATED THE GAULS.

It is called *Historic cum* because it is mainly used in the description of past events; usually the sense will be more temporal than causal, but sometimes a causal sense can be detected; a usual way of translating this double sense is by using *as*: *As Caesar had come, ...*
More examples of *Historic cum*:

- **Ea cum Ciceroni nuntiarentur; ... rem ad senatum refert**  
  *When these affairs were announced to Cicero, ... he reported it to the senate*  
  (Sallust, *Catilinae Coniuratio*).
  
  ◊ In this example, the sense is clearly temporal rather than causal, so we can use *when* instead of *as*, but either would suit.

- **Eo cum venisset, ea quae fore suspicatus erat facta [esse] cognovit**  
  *As he arrived there, he got to know that those deeds that he had suspected that would happen had happened*  
  (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).
  
  ◊ Although here the main meaning of *cum* is *when*, one could argue that Caesar got to know those events because he had come.

- **Caesar cum in Asiam venisset, reperiebat T. Ampium conatum esse**  
  *As Caesar arrived in Asia, he found out that T. Ampius had tried ...*  
  (Caesar, *Bellum Civile*).
  
  ◊ The same as in the sentence above: both causal and temporal meaning make sense.

- **Cum haec agerem, repente ad me venit Heraclius**  
  *When I was dealing with these matters, suddenly Heraclius came to me*  
  (Cicero, *In Verrem*).
  
  ◊ Clear temporal sense: Heraclius comes not *because* I am dealing with this, but *when* I am dealing with this.

### 15. Summary of the uses of *ut*

As we have done with *cum*, it would be worth making a summary of the uses of *ut*.

#### a) With indicative

1/ Temporal

- **Homo, ut haec audivit, ...**  
  *The man, when he heard this, ...*  
  (Cicero, *In Verrem*).

- **Eorum ut quiscue primus venerat, sub muro consistebat**  
  *When each one of them first came, he stood beneath the wall*  
  (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).

Although *cum* can also be used in the meaning of *when* with an indicative, it is more common to find *ut*.

2/ Comparative

This use, apart from comparative, could also be called *modal*, as in fact it is telling us *in what way* something is done.

- **Certum scio esse ita ut dicis**  
  *I know that it is certain, as you say*  
  (Lucilius, *Saturae*).

- **Faciam ita ut vis**  
  *I will do so as you want*  
  (Plautus, *Amphitruo*).

- **Pompeius ... aciem instruebat, semper, ut videbatur, expectans, si ...**  
  *Pompeius arranged the battle-array, always expectant, as it seemed, in case ...*  
  (Caesar, *Bellum Civile*).

Inside this use of *ut* as comparative we should include sentences like

- **Tibi pareo ut consuli**  
  *I obey you as consul / I obey you in your role of consul.*

This is nothing else than **Tibi pareo ut consuli pareo** but with the last verb omitted. Look further down, in the section of uses of *ut* + subjunctive, for another kind of similar clauses.
3/ Translating both temporal and comparative

Some grammars say that \textit{ut + indicative} should be always translated by \textit{AS}, because it comprehends both meanings, \textit{temporal} and \textit{comparative} (observe that the examples of its use with temporal meaning could have been translated by \textit{AS}). In any case, it seems reasonable to distinguish the two different meanings, even if translated by the same English word.

b) With subjunctive

1/ Result (consecutive)

- \textit{Tantum labore suo frumenti exarabant ut populo Romano totique Italiae suppeditare possent} 

\textit{With their work they produced so much corn that they could supply the Roman people and all of Italy} (Cicero, \textit{In Verrem}).

2/ Comparative

A similar case to what we have seen above in the uses with the indicative. Observe this sentence:

- \textit{Paulus Octaviam amat ut sororem} \textit{P}AUL \textit{LOVES OCTAVIA LIKE A SISTER.}

This is nothing else than an abbreviation of

- \textit{Paulus Octaviam amat ut sororem amaret (si ea soror esset)} \textit{P}AUL \textit{LOVES OCTAVIA AS HE WOULD LOVE A SISTER (IF SHE WERE HIS SISTER).}

This is a case more complicated than the simple \textit{Tibi pareo ut consuli} \textit{THAT WE HAVE SEEN ABOVE. SEE WHY:}

In the case \textit{Paulus Octaviam amat ut sororem}, it is understood that Octavia is not a sister of Paul, and this is why, if the sentence were complete, it would use the \textit{potential subjunctive}. This is why we have included the use of \textit{ut} in comparative sense both in the section of indicative and in the section of subjunctive, although in fact, as the verb is usually omitted, neither an indicative nor a subjunctive will be seen.

- ... \textit{quem veretur ut deum ... amat verum ut sodalem, ut fratrem ...} \textit{WHOM HE REVERES LIKE A GOD ... BUT LOVES LIKE A COMRADE, LIKE A BROTHER} (Cicero, \textit{Pro Plancio}).

3/ Purpose

- \textit{Edictum et litteras ad consulem misit ut exercitus idibus Martiis Ariminorum adesset in castris} \textit{HE SENT AN ORDER AND A LETTER TO THE CONSUL SO THAT THIS ARMY WOULD BE IN THE CAMP AT ARIMINUM BY THE IDES OF MARCH (Livy, \textit{Ab Urbe Condita}).}

4/ Concessive

- \textit{Nihil enim est profecto homini prudentia dulcius, quam, ut cetera auferat, adfert certe senectus} \textit{ACTUALLY THERE IS NOTHING SWEETER FOR A MAN THAN PRUDENCE, WHICH OLD AGE BRINGS ON, ALTHOUGH IT DEPRIVES OF THE REST OF THINGS} (Cicero, \textit{Tusculanae Disputationes}).
5/ Completive

Some verbs of ordering or desiring require a THAT clause, introduced in Latin by ut + subjunctive:

- **Allobrogibus imperavit ut iis frumenti copiam facerent**  
  He ordered the Allobroges to provide them (with) a supply of corn (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).

  From a strictly grammatical point of view, the ut clause is the direct object of imperavit: this is what he ordered.

- **Volo ut mihi respondeas**  
  I want you to answer to me (Cicero, *In Vatinium*).

- **Optamus ut quam primum te in Italia videamus**  
  We wish to see you in Italy as soon as possible (Cicero, *Epistulae ad Familiares*).

- **Di faciant ut id bibatis quod vos numquam transeat pass through you**  
  May the gods grant that you drink what will never pass through you (Plautus, *Persa*).

- **... nisi ... caveant ne possessione urbis pellantur**  
  ... UNLESS THEY TAKE CARE THAT THEY ARE NOT EXPELLED FROM THE ESTATE OF THE CITY (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).

16. Completive quod clauses

There are a series of completive clauses introduced by quod. The literal sense of this *quod* is THE FACT THAT, but we will have to adapt the translation to produce a sentence acceptable in English.

- **Multum eos adiuvabat, quod Liger ex nivibus creverat**  
  It was of much help to them that the Loire had increased [its level of water] because of the snow (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).

- **Quod sapiens est negare non possum**  
  I can not deny that he is wise.

- **Opportunissime res accidit, quod postridie ... Germani ... ad eum in castra venerunt**  
  Something happened very opportunely, that on the following day the Germans came to him to the camp (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).

- **Hoc enim uno praestamus vel maxime feris, quod conloquimur inter nos**  
  Only in one thing we are ahead of beasts, that we speak among ourselves (Cicero, *De Oratore*).

Although these kinds of *quod* clauses have been included in this section of subordinate clauses, as a general rule they are not considered subordinate clauses but *completive*, as they are part of the main clause (subject, object, or additional information on either).

Observe these functions with respect to the former examples:

- **First example:** The *quod* clause plays the role of subject (the fact that the Loire had increased its level of water is what had helped them).

- **Second example:** The *quod* clause plays the role of direct object (that he is wise is what I can not deny).

- **Third example:** The *quod* clause is an explanation of the subject res (it tells us what the res is).

- **Fourth example:** The *quod* clause is an explanation of the circumstantial object hoc uno (it tells us what the hoc uno is).
c) Infinitive clauses

1. General principles

a) The use of the infinitive

1/ An infinitive is a verbal noun; as chair indicates an object, to write indicates an action. So, it is usually defined as a verbal noun.

We must be aware that in English sometimes we use the form ending in -ING to indicate an action:

*To read books is convenient for children / reading books is convenient for children.*

The *reading* in the second example denotes the action, and in Latin it will be expressed by an infinitive. We must not confuse this with a *gerund*, which answers the question *How?*:

*Reading books, you will learn a lot.*

2/ The use of the infinitive in Latin is quite parallel to its use in English; for instance,

- *Volo edere* I want to eat.
- *Volo scire* I want to know (Plautus, Aulularia).
- *Edere bonum est* Eating is good.
- *Non enim vivere bonum est, sed bene vivere* Certainly, it is not living that is good, but living well (Seneca iunior, Epistulae Morales ad Lucilium).

Observe that we use the infinitive as we could use any noun; we have said I want to eat as we could have said I want a book. *Edere* in the first sentence is direct object of *volo*, and in the second one it is the subject of *est*; so, it can perform different functions.

3/ There are several verbs that can use an infinitive. Observe how we can add an infinitive after any of these verbs, for instance:

- *Volo* to want
- *Nolo* not to want
- *Malo* to prefer
- *Praefero* to prefer

- *Nolo cetera ... recitare* I do not want to recite the other matters (Cicero, Pro Plancio).
- *Malo enim plus dare quam ...* I prefer to give more than ... (Cicero, In Verrem).
b) Infinitive clauses

When we say *infinitive clauses*, we do not mean the simple use we have seen above, but a more complicated construction that has a good parallel in English.

1/ To say *I WANT TO EAT*, we have just translated each element: *Volo edere*. Observe that the person who will perform the action expressed by the infinitive is the same as the subject of the main verb: *I WANT*, and it is *me* who will eat. But if we want to say *I WANT THE CHILD TO EAT*, in this case the person who will perform the action of the infinitive is not the subject of the main verb (*I*), but somebody else (*THE CHILD*).

In this case, we will say that *THE CHILD* is the subject of the infinitive (it is *him* who will eat), and we will express it in accusative (this is why usually an infinitive clause is also called *accusative + infinitive construction*):

\[
\text{Volo puerum edere.}
\]

\* It could be humorously argued that the sentence means *I WANT TO EAT A CHILD*; it is normal that in some cases confusion may arise, and the context should clarify which one of both meanings must be considered.

2/ An infinitive is a verbal noun, but at the same time it goes on being a verb, which means that it can have the same objects it has when used normally as a verb; so, we can add a direct object, for instance, to the former example:

\[
\text{I WANT THE CHILD TO EAT THE DINNER.}
\]

All we have to do is put this direct object in the same case we would put it if the infinitive were used as a verb, which in this case is in accusative (because *THE DINNER* is the direct object of the verb *TO EAT*):

\[
\text{Volo puerum cenam edere.}
\]

Note that in this example we end up having two accusatives: one, *puerum*, because we must put in accusative the subject of the infinitive, and the other one, *cenam*, for obvious reasons: it is a direct object. Usually, the sense will help us to see which one is the subject and which one is the direct object (*I WANT THE DINNER TO EAT THE CHILD* would not make any sense unless you like *Alice in Wonderland*).

\* *Meminisse ego hanc rem vos volo* \* I WANT YOU TO REMEMBER THIS THING \* (Plautus, *Cistellaria*).

\* *Vos* is the accusative *subject* of the infinitive, and *hanc rem* is the accusative *direct object* of the infinitive *meminisse*.

An infinitive can have all kinds of objects, also prepositional objects:

\* *Te cupio perire mecum* \* I WANT YOU TO PERISH WITH ME \* (Plautus, *Epidicus*).

3/ We may find some cases where either could be the subject or the direct object; for instance:

\[
\text{Volo Caesarem Pompeium vincere.}
\]

Do I want Caesar to defeat Pompeius or Pompeius to defeat Caesar? In these cases, the general practice is that the first one is the subject of the infinitive and the second one the direct object (it is the general practice, but not a golden rule: there may be exceptions).
c) Indirect statement

The most frequent use of the infinitive clauses is in the *indirect statement*. In the cases seen above, in which we have been using verbs that express desire, we have seen constructions parallel in English and in Latin:

- *Volo pueros libros legere*  I WANT THE CHILDREN TO READ BOOKS.

But in indirect statement we find that in English the statement starts with the word *THAT*:

- Direct statement:  CAESAR IS WRITING A LETTER.
- Indirect statement:  I SAY THAT CAESAR IS WRITING A LETTER.

In this case, what Latin does is transforming the *THAT* clause, i.e. the information we are reproducing, into an *accusative + infinitive construction*:

\[
\text{Dico Caesarem epistulam scribere.}
\]

More examples:

- *Dux dicit milites in urbe esse*  THE GENERAL SAYS THAT THE SOLDIERS ARE IN THE CITY.
- *Dico eum esse apud me*  I SAY THAT HE IS AT MY PLACE (Plautus, Captivi).

In the chapter on *Indirect Speech* this is dealt with in more amplitude.

d) An unexpected agreement

We may find that some impersonal verbs are followed by an infinitive and that this infinitive may have a subject in accusative or dative, depending on the construction (see the section on *Impersonal Verbs*). If the subject of the infinitive is in dative and moreover the infinitive has a predicative object, it will usually be in dative (therefore agreeing with its subject) rather than in accusative:

- *Quieto tibi licet esse*  YOU CAN REMAIN CALM (Plautus, Epidicus).

Observe the several options:

- *Quietum licet esse*  IT IS POSSIBLE TO REMAIN CALM.
  - Quietum in accusative, following the usual rule that the predicative object of an infinitive must be in accusative.
- *Quietum te licet esse*  YOU CAN REMAIN CALM.
  - Licet can rule an accusative (*te*), and quietum agrees with it.
- *Quieto tibi licet esse*  YOU CAN REMAIN CALM.
  - Licet can also rule a dative (*tibi*), and in this case quieto agrees with it.

There are in fact small differences between the use of accusative or dative, please see the section on *Impersonal Verbs* for more details.
2. Which tense of infinitive?

a) With verbs other than of indirect statement

1/ As we know, there are six infinitives in Latin, and we will use in each case the one that corresponds to what must be expressed; for instance, if we want to say

I WANT TO DESTROY THIS BRIDGE

it is quite obvious that we will translate *TO DESTROY* by the present active infinitive *delere*, which is the one that corresponds to the usual English infinitive (*to read, to write, to run, to sleep*, etc.), and we will write

\[ \text{Volo hunc pontem delere.} \]

\*Observe that \text{hunc pontem} is the *direct object* of \text{delere}.

Supposing that we wanted to say *I WANT THIS BRIDGE TO BE DESTROYED*, we see that *TO BE DESTROYED* has a passive meaning, so we would use the present passive infinitive *deleri*:

\[ \text{Volo hunc pontem deleri.} \]

\*Observe that now \text{hunc pontem} is the *subject* of \text{deleri}: the subject must be in accusative.

2/ It may be that we need to express another tense rather than the present; for instance, if we want to say

I WANT THIS BRIDGE TO HAVE BEEN DESTROYED BEFORE NIGHT

we will have to make use of the passive past tense (*TO HAVE BEEN DESTROYED, past action*), and the final result will be

\[ \text{Volo hunc pontem ante noctem deletem esse.} \]

Nevertheless, this use of tenses other than the usual present is more normal in infinitive clauses introduced by verbs of indirect statement.

b) With verbs of indirect statement

This will be more widely dealt with in the corresponding chapter on indirect speech (indirect statement is just a part of indirect speech), but we give here some basic guidelines.

1/ In the case of reproducing a former direct statement, the infinitive must be in the same tense (and voice) as it was in the direct statement. For instance:

\* Direct statement: \textit{Caesar Gallos vicit} \hspace{1cm} \textit{CAESAR DEFEATED THE GAULS.}

\* Indirect statement: \textit{Dico Caesarem Gallos vicisse} \hspace{1cm} \textit{I SAY THAT CAESAR DEFEATED THE GAULS.}

\* The indirect statement uses the *perfect infinitive* because the *vicit* in the direct statement was a perfect tense.
More examples:

- **Direct statement:** Caesar Gallos vincet †
  CAESAR WILL DEFEAT THE GAULS.
- **Indirect statement:** Dico Caesarem Gallos victurum esse
  I SAY THAT CAESAR WILL DEFEAT THE GAULS.
- The indirect statement uses the *future infinitive* because vincet in the direct statement was a future tense.

- *Metellum in Capitolium venisse dixit* †
  HE SAID THAT METELLUS HAD COME TO THE CAPITOLIUM (Gellius, *Noctes Atticae*).
  ✷ In some cases the translation into English can be flexible. For instance, in this example we could have said CAME instead of HAD COME.

2/ Now let’s see two examples worked backwards:

a/ First example

- ... tribus istis clarissimis philosophis, quos Romam venisse dixisti ... THESE THREE VERY FAMOUS PHILOSOPHERS, WHOM YOU SAID HAD COME TO ROME (Cicero, *De Oratore*).
  ✷ It seems that somebody said at some point something like this:
  - Clarissimi philosophi Romam venirent †
    THREE VERY FAMOUS PHILOSOPHERS HAVE COME TO ROME.
  - As the direct statement uses a perfect tense (venirent), in the indirect statement we must use a *perfect infinitive*.

b/ Second example

- P. Clodium meo consilio interfectum esse dixisti †
  YOU SAID THAT P. CLODIUS HAD BEEN KILLED BY MY CONTRIVANCE (Cicero, *Philippicae*).
  ✷ The direct statement said by the person Cicero is addressing was probably something like this:
  - P. Clodium tuo consilio interfectus est †
    P. CLODIUS HAS BEEN KILLED BY YOUR CONTRIVANCE.
  - Interfectus est is passive and perfect, so the infinitive in the indirect statement must be *passive and perfect*.

3. **Where there is no change of subject**

a/ If the subject of the infinitive happens to be the same as that of the main verb and the infinitive has a predicative object, it is not necessary to add an accusative as subject of the infinitive; in this case, the predicative object, if any, will be in nominative:

- *Bonus volo iam ex hoc die esse* †
  I WANT TO BE A GOOD PERSON NOW FROM THIS DAY ON (Plautus, *Persa*).
  ✷ The subject of esse is the same as the subject of volo (I), so there is no need to put the accusative me as subject of esse (in the same way as if we want to say I WANT TO EAT we will just say Volo edere, we do not need to say Volo me edere), and the predicative object bonus will be in nominative.

b/ But if the subject is mentioned, even if it is the same subject as the main verb, it must be in *accusative*, and the predicative object also:

- *Cupio ... me esse clementem* †
  I WANT TO BE MERCIFUL (Cicero, *In Catilinam*).
  ✷ In other words: If Cicero had skipped the me, the predicative object would have been in nominative (there would have been no me with which to agree in case), and the sentence would have been Cupio esse clemens.
Another example using the 3rd person:

- **Clamabat ille miser *se cивem esse Romаниm***  *That poor man was shouting that he was a Roman citizen* (Cicero, *In Verrem*).
  
  ✷ *Se* is the same person as the subject of *clamabat*; as there is a *se* as subject of *esse*, the predicative object *cивem* must be in accusative, like *se*.

### 4. Historical infinitive

It is normal that in the course of a long narrative of continuous short events (for instance, the recount of a battle, with its non-stop stream of actions) the verbs that would be in past tense (usually imperfect tense) appear in *infinitive*, although usually the very last one of the events appears in imperfect.

Let’s see a very long example and a short one:

- **Interea Catilina cum expeditis in prima acie *vorsari*, laborantibus *succurrere*, integros pro sauciis *arcessere*, omnia *providere*, multum *pugnare*, saepe hostem *ferire*: strenui militis et boni imperatoris officia simul *exequebatur***  *Meanwhile Catilina was going up and down with his light troops in the first line, he was helping those who were struggling, replacing the wounded soldiers with fresh ones, he was providing everything, he himself was charging, wounding the enemy often: he was performing at the same time the duties of a brave soldier and of a good general* (Sallust, *Catilinae Coniuratio*).
  
  ✷ Observe that *exequebatur*, the very last one, is in *imperfect tense*.

- **Ego *instare ut mihi responderet quis esset*** (Cicero, *In Verrem*).

### 5. Exclamatory infinitive

Usually accompanied by a subject in accusative, it expresses indignation, surprise, etc.:

- **Me *hoc videre!*  *That I have to see this!* (Cicero, *Epistulae ad Familiare*).

- **Te ... in tantas aerumnas propter me *incidisse!*  *That you have fallen into such calamities because of me!* (Cicero, *Epistulae ad Familiares*).

- **Siculosne milites ... *eo cibo esse usos!*  *That the soldiers of Sicily have got to make use of that food!* (Cicero, *In Verrem*).
  
  ✷ Cicero is complaining that, when Verres was governor of Sicily, the soldiers were compelled to eat anything but healthy food, when in fact Sicily was the main corn supplier for the whole of Italy.
  
  ✷ The addition of *-ne* (something usually used as an opening question mark) is normal in these exclamative sentences.
d) Participle clauses

1. General principles

a/ If we have defined an infinitive as a verbal noun, we can define a participle as a *verbal adjective*. A participle tells us that the noun with which it agrees is executing (or will execute, or has received) the action expressed by it.

Observe this simple example:

- *Video puerum altum*  I SEE A TALL BOY.

If we replace the adjective *altum* by a participle, let’s say the present participle of *scribeo*, we will have this sentence:

*Video puerum scribentem.*

The participle *scribentem* is an adjective (so, it agrees in gender, number and case with *puerum* as *altum* did), and therefore it is qualifying *puerum*; the way to translate a participle may be not as direct as expected: a Latin participle is only one word, but maybe we will need some kind of periphrasis to express the same in English. A relative clause is a very useful resource, and this example would mean

*I see a boy that writes.*


✧ The case agreement makes it clear that who was running up was *one of the crowd*, not the crowd itself.

b/ The present participle can sometimes be translated by the English -ING form, if the context allows it:

- *Video puerum currentem*  I SEE THE BOY THAT RUNS / I SEE THE RUNNING BOY / I SEE THE BOY RUNNING.

✧ The case agreement of *currentem* with *puerum* makes it clear that the one who is running is *the boy*, not me; so, a translation like RUNNING, I SEE THE BOY would be wrong.

- *Exeuntium filium video meum*  I SEE MY SON GOING OUT  (Plautus, Mercator).

**Note**

Do not confuse this use of the -ING form (THE WRITING BOY, THE READING GIRL, THE ASTONISHING EVENT, etc.) with its use as a gerund (I HAVE ACHIEVED THIS STUDYING A LOT) or even replacing an infinitive (TO STUDY / STUDYING IS GOOD); this is a coincidence of three meanings of the -ING form in English.

c/ The passive perfect participle can be translated more easily by only one word, as it corresponds to the equivalent English participle:

- *Video pontem deletum*  I SEE A DESTROYED BRIDGE.

d/ The future participles will need again a periphrasis to be translated:

- *Video puerum scripturum*  I SEE A BOY THAT IS ABOUT TO WRITE.
2. The participle is impersonal

We have seen that the participle, although it is a verbal form, is simply an adjective, therefore corresponds directly to the noun with which it agrees, whichever person it is. See these examples, in which the participle is in the nominative case, therefore providing information about the subject, whichever person it is (I, YOU, HE, etc.):

- Per urbem *ambulans, amicum vidi*  Taking a walk through the city, I saw my friend.
- Per urbem *ambulans, amicum vidisti*  Taking a walk through the city, you saw your friend.
- Per urbem *ambulans, amicum vidit*  Taking a walk through the city, he saw his friend.

In the following examples, the participle is in the *accusative* case, therefore providing information about the *direct object*, whichever person it is (I, YOU, HE, etc.):

- *Manilium nos etiam vidimus ... ambulantem* (Cicero, *De Oratore*).  We also saw Manilius [when he was] taking a walk
- *Eum nos etiam vidimus ambulantem*  We also saw him [when he was] taking a walk.
- *Te nos etiam vidimus ambulantem*  We also saw you [when you were] taking a walk.

3. The temporal correlation

a) Use of the present participle

1/ The use of the present participle indicates that the action takes place at the same time as the main verb (whether the main verb is present, past or future). Therefore, “present” means *simultaneous*, rather than *now*. For instance, let’s see this sentence where the main verb is in the imperfect, but a present participle has been used:

- *Multas litteras mittebam ad Caesarem in Gallia pugnantem*  I used to send many letters to Caesar when he was fighting in Gaul.

The participle is translated into English using the imperfect tense, but since it is in the present tense it signifies that the action expressed by the participle was taking place *at the same time* as the action of the main verb. Both actions take place simultaneously. More examples:

- *Undique suis laborantibus succurrebant*  They helped their companions who were struggling on all sides  (Caesar, *Bellum Civile*).
- *Hic Quinctium simul pugnantem hortantemque suos, ... hasta transfigit*  This man pierced with a spear Quinctius, who was at the same time fighting and encouraging his men  (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).

2/ In some cases, the action expressed by the participle is an action that takes place habitually (not only at one point in time), in which case the participle can be translated by the present tense even in a sentence where the main verb is in a past tense:

- *Ego semper admirabar magistros bene docentes*  I always used to admire the teachers that teach / taught well.
- *Petrus loquebatur semper de hominibus patriam defendentibus*  Peter was always talking about the people who defend / defended the homeland.
b) **Use of the future participle**

Aside from the other uses that will be studied subsequently, the future participle has the following two functions:

1/ The person / thing etc. to whom / which the participle refers is expected to perform the action *in the future.* Compare the following pairs:

- **Video hominem scribentem** I see a man who is writing.
- **Video hominem scripturum** I see a man who will write / who is about to write.

- **Pugnantes milites cotidie exercent** The soldiers that fight practice every day.
- **Pugnaturi milites cotidie exercent** The soldiers that will fight / that are about to fight practice every day.

Note that *in the future* means the future time with respect to the moment in which the action of the main verb takes place. For instance:

- **Do arma mulieribus pugnaturis** I give the weapons to the women who will fight / who are about to fight.
- **Dedi arma mulieribus pugnaturis** I gave the weapons to the women who would fight / who were about to fight.

In the last sentence, if a future participle has been used, it means that the action of fighting was to take place *after* somebody had given the women the weapons; therefore, the English translation must be adapted to express this temporal relation.

Another example:

- **Nunc video puerum scripturum** Now I see a boy that is about to write.
- **Heri vidi puerum scripturum** Yesterday I saw a boy that was about to write.

*Scripturum* is a future participle, which means that the action of the participle will take place after the action of the main verb, so the boy is not writing yet when we see him, but later, and we have to adapt the translation of the participle. Observe the translation of the second example: maybe the boy wrote something ten years ago, but in the very moment in which I saw him he had not written it yet; in that moment, when I saw him, he still had to write it, so the action of writing was future *then,* no matter if it is a past action with respect to now.

A more complicated example:

- **Nunc video hominem moriturum** Now I see a man who is going to die.
- **Cras videbo hominem moriturum** Tomorrow I will see a man who will (be going to) die.

*Morturum* is a future participle, so it means that this action will take place after the action of the main verb; if the main verb is already a future action, then the action of the participle means “still more future”, and the translation must reflect it somehow.

A couple of original examples:

- **Cui consuli in Hispaniam ituro haec prodigia acciderunt** To this consul, when he was about to go to Hispania, these portents happened (Valerius Maximus, *Facta et Dicta Memorabilia*).
  
  ♦ In this example, the future participle accompanies a noun (*consuli*).
• ... ut alienos equos pugnaturis distribuat ... so that he may distribute other people's horses to those going to fight (Curtius Rufus, Historiae Alexandri Magni).
  ✦ In this example, the future participle does not accompany any noun, so we must add the term those to the translation in order to make it make sense.

2/ The other use is when the future participle has a purpose meaning (in order to):

• Galli multitudine ingenti ad Clusium venerunt legionem Romanam castraque oppugnaturi The Gauls came to Clusium in a large number in order to fight the Roman legion and the camp (Livy, Ab Urbe Condita).

• Ipse per agrum Campanum mare inferum petit, oppugnaturus Neapolim He himself goes through the field of Campania towards the lower sea in order to besiege Naples (Livy, Ab Urbe Condita).

In the following example, the future participle is in the passive voice, which always compels us to make some hard adaptation of the translation, sometimes really far away from the literal translation:

• Postquam oppressam metu civitatem vidit, advocat consilium de oppugnandis Argis After he saw the city oppressed by fear, he called the council [in order to debate] about the besieging of Argos (Livy, Ab Urbe Condita).
  ✦ Literally, it says ... about Argos that must be besieged.

3/ A very important use of the future participle is found in the so-called periphrastic conjugation (also called Periphrastic Construction). This is dealt with in another chapter.

c) Use of the perfect (or past) participle

1/ The perfect participle is used frequently, especially to indicate an action that has taken place before the action mentioned by the main verb, and let's remember that there is only perfect passive participle, there is no perfect active participle (except in the case of the deponent verbs, dealt with in the corresponding chapter). Obviously, here we will have a look at the use of the perfect participle when used on its own, not to its use when forming the passive voice of some tenses that make use of this participle in order to form it.

• Reliquias eum esse duorum exercituum ante paucos dies deletorum succurrebat It came to his mind that these were the remnants of the two armies wiped out a few days before (Livy, Ab Urbe Condita).
  ✦ Idiomatic expression: me succurrit It comes to my mind.

• Undecim volumina epistularum, ... ad Atticum missarum Eleven volumes of letters, sent to Atticus (Nepos, Vitae).

It is very usual that the participle appears on its own, with a fitting noun to be supplied:

• De ... necatis plura dicenda sunt About the killed ones more details must be mentioned (Cicero, Pro Rabirio).

2/ When a perfect participle is used, the entirety of the sentence does not necessarily have to refer to past events. Indeed, it may concern the future:

• Tibi dabo scriptum librum I will write the book and I will give it to you.
  ✦ Literally, I will give you the written book.
Maybe I have not written it yet, but whenever I may have written it I will give it to you; it is obvious that the event of the participle will already belong to the past (the book will have already been written) whenever the event of the main verb (I will give the book to you) may take place.

3/ We find an important use of the perfect participle in the construction of the *ablative absolute*, dealt with further down.

4. Participle as a verb

a) It can have objects

1/ We should insist on the fact that a participle is an adjective, but at the same time it goes on being a verb (like the infinitive is a noun but at the same time it is also a verb), and as a verb it may have the same kind of objects it may have when used as the verb of a sentence.

So, if we retake the simple example of the beginning above, *Video puerum scribentem* I SEE A BOY THAT WRITES, we can make the participle a direct object:

- *Video puerum scribentem librum* I SEE A BOY THAT WRITES A BOOK.

We can make it have also an indirect object, or a prepositional object:

- *Video puerum scribentem librum tibi* I SEE A BOY THAT WRITES A BOOK FOR YOU.
- *Carmen in Iunone reginam canentes ibant* THEY WALKED SINGING A SONG IN HONOUR OF GODDESS IUNO.

² As a general rule, the participle and any object depending on it is what is usually called a *participial clause*. A participle alone, as in *Video puerum scribentem*, is in fact a participial clause without any object, but we use the expression *participial clause* usually when we have a participle and at least some object depending on it.

Some examples by Cicero:

- *Quibuscum me, iudices, pugnantem more meo pristino non videbitis* YOU WILL NOT SEE ME, O JUDGES, FIGHTING WITH THESE MEN IN MY FORMER FASHION (Cicero, *Pro Plancio*).
  ♦ This participle has two objects depending on it: *Quibuscum* and *more meo pristino*. The participial clause would be the participle and anything that depends on it: *Quibuscum ... pugnantem more meo pristino*.
  ♦ It is not unusual that part of the participial clause appears before the participle itself; in fact, in this case it happens because *Quibuscum* is a connecting relative and a connecting relative must appear at the very beginning of the sentence.
- *Redeuntem a cena senem saepe videbam* I OFTEN SAW THE OLD MAN COMING BACK FROM DINNER (Cicero, *Cato Maior de Senectute*).
  ♦ In this example, *a cena* depends on *redeuntem*.
- *Quid aut de Codro dubitare possimus aut de ceteris qui pugnantes pro patriae libertate ceciderunt?* WHAT DOUBT MAY WE HAVE ABOUT CODRUS OR ABOUT THE OTHERS WHO FELL FIGHTING FOR THE FREEDOM OF THEIR COUNTRY? (Cicero, *De Natura Deorum*).
  ♦ *Pro patriae libertate* depends on the participle *pugnantes*. 
b) Replacing the infinitive

In some cases in which we would normally use the infinitive, for instance in

- *Video te scribere* I SEE THAT YOU WRITE

we can use the participle (making it agree with the necessary object):

- *Video te scribentem* I SEE YOU WRITING.

The difference is that in the second sentence we emphasise the physical perception, I DO SEE YOU IN THE VERY MOMENT WHEN YOU ARE WRITING.

c) It can be translated by a subordinate clause

1/ Sometimes translating the participle in the simple ways we have seen up to now may produce an unnatural sentence; observe this example:

Caesar *victum* Sextum Romam misit.

We could translate it as CAESAR SENT THE *DEFEATED* SEXTUS TO ROME, but this does not sound natural, we should rather translate it as CAESAR SENT SEXTUS TO ROME AFTER DEFEATING HIM. The participle is a past participle, so it is obvious that Sextus was first defeated and, later, Caesar sent him to Rome (we assume that the person who defeated him was Caesar). So, we have transformed the participle into a *temporal clause* (observe that we have to change the structure upside down: the participle was a passive one, but there is no sign of the passive voice in the new translation, etc.).

Let’s see another example:

Urbem *captam* Caesari dux dabit.

*Option 1:* The direct meaning is THE GENERAL WILL GIVE TO CAESAR THE CAPTURED CITY, and this will be a perfect translation if the general has already captured it and he is deciding what to do with it.

*Option 2:* But supposing that the battle has not taken place yet (so, the city has not been captured yet) and that these are just the plans that the general has if he captures it, we could translate the participle by a *conditional clause* : IF HE CAPTURES THE CITY, THE GENERAL WILL GIVE IT TO CAESAR (observe again that we have got to introduce strong changes in the structure).

An example from Cicero:

- *Non multo ante urbem captam exaudita vox est a luco Vestae* NOT LONG BEFORE THE CITY WAS CAPTURED A VOICE WAS HEARD COMING FROM THE SACRED WOOD OF VESTA (Cicero, *De Divinatione*).

   ✷ In this case, we have transformed the prepositional object into a temporal subordinate clause.

2/ A future participle can be translated by a *purpose clause* :

- *Mei amici venerunt visuri urbem* MY FRIENDS CAME (IN ORDER) TO SEE THE CITY.

   ✷ In fact there would be no way of giving a direct translation; anything like MY FRIENDS CAME THAT WOULD SEE THE CITY would not make any sense.
Also if it is passive:

- **Tibi dabo librum *legendum***  I WILL GIVE YOU A BOOK TO READ / I WILL GIVE YOU A BOOK SO THAT YOU MAY READ IT.
  ✷ The direct translation I WILL GIVE YOU A BOOK THAT MUST BE READ would sound unnatural, unless we mean a book that is worth reading.

- **Dabo meum testamentum *legendum* cui voluerit**  I WILL GIVE MY LAST WILL TO READ TO WHOMEVER WANTS / I WILL GIVE MY LAST WILL TO WHOMEVER WANTS, SO THAT HE MAY READ IT  (Cicero, *Epistulae ad Atticum*).

3/ Sometimes we must even use the resource of forming an abstract noun of the action expressed in the participle and then making the necessary changes to reflect the sense; observe this example:

Semper de Caesare necato loquitur.

Literally, it means HE IS ALWAYS TALKING ABOUT CAESAR MURDERED, but a more natural translation could be HE IS ALWAYS TALKING ABOUT THE MURDER OF CAESAR.

And let’s remember the famous title: Ab urbe *condita* (Livy’s book title). Literally, it means FROM THE FOUNDED CITY, but a more natural translation would be FROM THE FOUNDATION OF THE CITY.

An example from Sallust:

- **Ante Carthaginem *deletam* populus et senatus Romanus placide modesteque inter se rem publicam tractabant**

5. **Participle as a noun**

a) Use and meaning

1/ We know that any adjective can be used on its own, without any noun, and adopting therefore the role of noun:

- **Boni Romam non produnt**
  GOOD PEOPLE DO NOT BETRAY ROME.
  ✷ No need to say *Boni cives* GOOD CITIZENS, for instance.

Being an adjective, a participle can do the same:

- **Necesse est [hominem] patriam *defendentibus* laudare**
  PEOPLE WHO DEFEND THEIR HOMELAND MUST BE PRaised.
  ✷ We can easily get rid of *hominest* and the sentence will keep its meaning.

- **Miseris et *laborantibus* negare nihil possimus**
  WE CAN DENY NOTHING TO THE POOR PEOPLE AND TO THOSE WHO STRUGGLE  (Cicero, *Pro Plancio*).

2/ In any case, sometimes we must keep the noun to avoid losing precision:

- **Necesse est *militibus* patriam *defendentibus* laudare**
  SOLDIERS WHO DEFEND THEIR HOMELAND MUST BE PRaised.
  ✷ We can get rid of *milites*, but then we lose the precision that we mean SOLDIERS, not just people in general.
3/ Sometimes we can use English nouns to translate participles (if used on their own):

- *Legentes semper in bibliotheca sunt*  
  Readers are always in the library.
  — No need to translate *Legentes* by *THOSE WHO READ*.

- *Gesta Augusti narrare volo*  
  I want to narrate the exploits of Augustus.
  — No need to translate *Gesta* by *THE DONE THINGS*.

- *Quam facile irati verbo mutantur amantes*  
  How easily lovers change because of an angry word!  
  (Propertius, *Elegiae*).

b) Also as nouns they can have objects

As expected, these substantivised participles can also have objects (as they continue being verbs):

- *Currentes per bibliotecam molestiam legentibus afferunt*  
  Those who run through the library disturb the readers.
  — Observe that in this case the translation by *THOSE WHO RUN* for the first participle makes more sense than *THE RUNNERS*; for the second participle, we could have left *THOSE WHO READ*.

- *Carmina scribentes semper domi sunt*  
  Writers of poems are always at home.
  — Observe how the translation of *scribentes* by *WRITERS* compels us to translate *Carmina* preceded by *OF*.
  If we had kept the translation as *THOSE WHO WRITE*, this would not have been necessary.

6. The ablative absolute

a) Normal use

1/ There is a special construction in Latin that consists of a participle and a noun, both of them in ablative and grammatically disconnected from the rest of the sentence; it is called an *ablative absolute* (from *absolutus* disconnected), and it informs us of the circumstances adjacent to the action expressed in the main sentence. Almost always the ablative absolute will be the equivalent of a *temporal* or a *causal* clause:

```
Duce necato, milites fugerunt.
```

The main sentence *milites fugerunt* is really simple: *The soldiers fled*. With respect to *Duce necato* (the noun *GENERAL* and the passive past participle *KILLED*), it is telling us that there is (or was) a general and that he has been killed; the participle is past, so this action has taken place before the action of the main sentence.

The most direct way of translating it would be: *KILLED THE GENERAL, ...*
  — Note: *KILLED* in *participial* sense as in *WRITTEN*, not in *perfect tense* sense as in *WROTE*.

From here on, we can make use of several possibilities:

- *THE GENERAL HAVING BEEN KILLED, ...*
- *AFTER THE GENERAL HAD BEEN KILLED, ...*
- *WHEN THE GENERAL HAD BEEN KILLED, ...*
- *AS THE GENERAL HAD BEEN KILLED, ...*
Participle clauses

We can even make use of a noun derived from the verbal meaning of the participle:

**After the general’s death, …**

Let’s see a couple of examples from Caesar, in which the final translation makes use of the device of making the subject of the main sentence also the subject of the ablative absolute:

- **Cognito Caesaris adventu, Ariovistus legatos ad eum mittit**  
  **After hearing of Caesar’s arrival, Ariovistus sends ambassadors to him** (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).
  ✧ Literally,  
  **Caesar’s arrival having been known, Ariovistus sends ambassadors to him.**

- **Hoc response dato discessit**  
  **Having given this answer, he departed** (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).
  ✧ Literally,  
  **This answer having been given, he departed.**

2/ Let’s suppose now the same sentence as before, but with the main verb in future tense:

**Duce necato, milites fugent.**

Now the main sentence means  
**The soldiers will flee.** The participle goes on being past, which means that its action (that the general has died) must have taken place before the action of the main verb (the soldiers fleeing). Not all of the former possible translations would be valid now; some possible translations would be (observe that some of the translations are a whole subordinate clause):

- **The general having been killed, the soldiers will flee.**
- **After the general has been killed, the soldiers will flee.**
- **As the general has been killed, the soldiers will flee.**
- **When the general has been killed, the soldiers will flee.**
- **After the general’s death, the soldiers will flee.**

✧ Observe that some of them suppose that the general has already died, other suppose that he will probably die at some point in the future; context should help to choose.

3/ Let’s see now an example with a present participle:

**Puero legente, in horto ludebamus.**

The main sentence means  
**We were playing in the garden.** With respect to **Puero legente**, this construction tells us of the existence of a boy and of his action of reading; the participle is present, so the action of reading must take place at the same time as the action of the main clause.

A possible translation would be:  
**While the boy was reading, we were playing in the garden** (observe the verb  
**was:** it makes clear that both actions, **the boy reading and us playing in the garden**, take place at the same time).

As any participle, participles that form an ablative absolute can also have their own objects (they continue being verbs):

- **Puero librum tibi scribente, in horto ludebamus**  
  **While the boy was writing a book for you, we were playing in the garden.**

4/ Now let’s see the same example but with the main verb in present tense:

**Puero legente, in horto ludimus.**
The main sentence now means *we are playing in the garden*, so that in order to make clear that both actions happen at the same time (because the participle is in present tense) the ablative absolute should now be translated as *While the boy is reading*. Observe that the ablative absolute is the same one in both examples, present tense in both, but (as happens with any participle, whether ablative absolute or not) we must adapt the translation to make both actions keep their temporal relationship.

An example from Livy:

- *Forte potantibus his apud Sex. Tarquinium, ... incidit de uxoribus mentio*  
  **By chance, while they were having drinks at the tent of Sextus Tarquinius, ... they started talking about their wives** (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).

5/ The use of a future participle in an ablative absolute is not common, but we offer an example:

*Patre ituro Romam, servi omnia parant.*

The main sentence means *the slaves prepare everything*. The ablative absolute tells us of a father and of his going to Rome; the participle is future, which means that his going to Rome must happen after the action of the main verb (the slaves preparing everything). To reflect this temporal relationship, we can translate it so:

- As the father is about to go to Rome, the slaves prepare everything.
- When the father is about to go to Rome, the slaves prepare everything.
- With the father about to go to Rome, the slaves prepare everything.
- Before the father goes to Rome, the slaves prepare everything.

Note that in none of the possible translations of *ituro* have we used the English future tense, but the expression of this action taking place after the main one is achieved by other means: *to be about to..., before..., etc.*

6/ Important: The noun of the ablative absolute can not be part of the main sentence; for instance, if we want to say

*YESTERDAY I SAW QUINTUS WHILE HE WAS WORKING IN THE FIELD*

it would be wrong to translate it as

*Quinto in agro laborante heri vidi*  

because *QUINTUS* is the direct object of *I SAW* in the English sentence, it is part of the main clause, it is not “disconnected” from it and so it can not be part of an ablative absolute. This Latin sentence would mean *While Quintus was working in the field, I saw...* (I saw what / whom?). The right sentence would be

*Quintum in agro laborantem heri vidi.*

The sentence

- *Quinto in agro laborante Petrum heri vidi*  
  **Yesterday I saw Peter while Quintus was working in the field**

would be right, as now *QUINTUS* has nothing to do with *I SAW*.

**Note**

The noun in ablative can be referred to as the “subject” of the participle; it seems strange to call something that is in ablative a subject, but from a functional point of view it would be the subject if the ablative absolute were a whole sentence: *QUINTUS was working in the field, The boy is reading, etc.*.
b) The type  

**Cicerone consule**

1/ Let’s suppose that we want to translate in Latin

**While Cicero was consul, the citizens were happy.**

The main sentence would be something as simple as *cives laeti erant*. We can translate *While Cicero was consul* by means of an ablative absolute, all we need to say is *Cicero* in ablative, *consul* in ablative, and the present participle of *sum* in ablative (so, we will be saying something like *Cicero being consul, ...*):

*Cicerone consule ...*

**Problem:** The verb *sum* does not have a present participle. The solution is really simple: leave the translation as it is, with only the subject and the predicative object in ablative and without any participle (we can not put a participle that does not exist). The final sentence would then look like

*Cicerone consule, cives laeti erant.*

*Cicerone consule* can be translated in several ways:

- **With Cicero as consul,**...
- **Cicero being consul,** ...
- **When Cicero was consul,** ...
- **During the consulship of Cicero,** ...

✧ Observe in the last translation the use of a noun, *consulship*, and the corresponding adaptation of the rest of the translation.

A couple of examples from Cicero:

- *Fuit adsiduus mecum praetore me*  **He was always at my side when I was praetor**  (Cicero, *Pro Caelio*).
- *Te praetore Siculi milites palmarum stirpibus ... alebantur*  **When you were praetor, soldiers in Sicily were fed with palm-tree roots**  (Cicero, *In Verrem*).

2/ This system was widely used by writers to specify the year during the late period of the Republic, in which two consuls were in office simultaneously: rather than saying the number of the year, they said the name of the two consuls in office on that year:

*Marco et Antonio consulibus, respublica magno in periculo erat.*

✧ Observe that *consulibus* must be in plural, agreeing with two subjects.

Possible translations:

- **When M. and A. were consuls, the state was in great danger.**
- **In the year when M. and A. were consuls, the state was in great danger.**
- **During the consulship of M. and A., the state was in great danger.**
An example of this in Caesar:

- *Is M. Messalla et M. Piso consulibus ... coniurationem nobilitatis fecit*  
  He, during the consulship of M. Messalla and M. Piso, ... formed a conspiracy of the nobility (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).

**Note**

In some books, the name *ablative absolute* is reserved for only this specific type of ablative absolute without participle (because of the lack of a present participle for *sum*), and the other ablative absolute uses we have seen (those in which there is a participle, like *puero legente*) are then called *participle absolute*.

c) Reasons for its abundance

It will be observed that Latin makes a wide use of the ablative absolute with the passive past participle, and this has a simple explanation. The use of a time clause to express an event previous to that of the main verb is very common in any language; let's suppose that we want to translate this sentence into Latin:

**After writing the book, Caesar went into the Senate.**

As we know, the table of participles is this one (taking the verb *scribo* as a paradigm):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Past</th>
<th>Future</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td><em>scribens, -ntis</em></td>
<td>non-existent</td>
<td><em>scripturus, -a, -um</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WRITING, THAT WRITES</td>
<td></td>
<td>THAT IS ABOUT TO WRITE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive</td>
<td>non-existent</td>
<td><em>scriptus, -a, -um</em></td>
<td><em>scribendus, -a, -um</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>THAT HAS BEEN WRITTEN</td>
<td>THAT MUST BE WRITTEN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We could translate *After writing the book* by means of a time clause, *Postquam librum scripsit*, but if we want to make use of a participle we find that there is not an active past participle that would mean *HAVING WRITTEN* and that would agree with *Caesar*. So, the only solution (if we want to use a participle) is to restructure the whole sentence this way:

- *Libro scripto, Caesar in Senatum ivit*†  
  Literally:  The book having been written, Caesar went into the Senate.

In the new construction, *libro* THE BOOK has nothing to do with what is the main sentence, so we use an ablative absolute. Of course, when translating from Latin we should avoid these rough translations in the style of THE BOOK HAVING BEEN WRITTEN. Let’s see an example:

- *Ponte deleto, milites cenam parare coeperunt.*  
  ⇒ Literal translation:  THE BRIDGE HAVING BEEN DESTROYED, THE SOLDIERS STARTED TO PREPARE DINNER.  
  ⇒ More natural translation:  AFTER DESTROYING THE BRIDGE, THE SOLDIERS STARTED TO PREPARE DINNER.

Observe again how the lack of an active past participle that would have meant *HAVING DESTROYED THE BRIDGE* and that would have agreed with *milites* compels Latin to rephrase the structure if we want to use a participle. The lack of an active past participle is what makes Latin have so many ablative absolutes.
d) Common expressions

The use of the ablative absolute was so common that some uses of it became fixed idioms. Some examples of these expressions are:

- **proelio facto**  
  **AFTER THE BATTLE**  
  ✧ Literally, **THE BATTLE HAVING BEEN DONE**

- **me puero**  
  **WHEN I WAS A CHILD**  
  ✧ Literally, **ME BEING A CHILD**

- **his nuntiatis**  
  **AFTER THIS HAD BEEN ANNOUNCED**  
  ✧ Literally, **THESE THINGS HAVING BEEN ANNOUNCED**

- **me absente**  
  **WHILE I WAS ABSENT**  
  ✧ Literally, **ME BEING ABSENT**

Let's see some examples:

- **In aedis meas me absente neminem volo intro mitti**  
  I DO NOT WANT ANYBODY TO BE SENT INTO MY HOUSE WHILE I AM ABSENT  
  (Plautus, *Aulularia*).

- **Itaque Pharsalico proelio facto a Pompeio discessit**  
  THEREFORE, AFTER THE BATTLE OF PHARSALIA HAD TAKEN PLACE, HE DEPARTED FROM POMPEIUS  
  (Cicero, *Pro Rege Deiotaro*).

- **His rebus in Italiam Caesari nuntiatis, ... in Transalpinam Galliam profectus est**  
  WHEN THIS NEWS WAS BROUGHT TO ITALY TO CAESAR, HE DEPARTED TO TRANSALPINE GAUL  
  (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).
e) Indirect speech

1. General remarks

a) Concept of indirect speech

1/ Indirect speech is the reproduction of somebody's words, the reproduction of a former direct speech; for instance:

- **Direct speech:** I WANT TO GO HOME.
- **Indirect speech:** HE / SHE SAYS THAT HE / SHE WANTS TO GO HOME
  I SAY THAT I WANT TO GO HOME
  I SAID THAT I WANTED TO GO HOME
  etc.

As we can see, the introducer of the indirect speech does not have to be the same person as the one who made the direct speech: I myself can reproduce what I said, or somebody else can reproduce what I said, or I can reproduce somebody else's words, etc.

2/ Nevertheless, as a general rule, it is admitted that indirect speech does not always mean that somebody has said some words and that later somebody else (or the same person) reproduces them, because in the concept of indirect speech we include also the reproduction of somebody's thought.

If somebody says I SAID THAT OUR SOLDIERS WOULD WIN TODAY ... ✧ Indirect speech
... it is obvious that at some point somebody had said OUR SOLDIERS WILL WIN TODAY. ✧ Direct speech

But I can say I THOUGHT THAT OUR SOLDIERS WOULD WIN TODAY.

This is also indirect speech, but there is no verb of saying; I am not reproducing any former direct speech because none was made. In fact I am just reproducing somebody's thought (my own, in this example), which, in case it had been orally expressed, would indeed have been OUR SOLDIERS WILL WIN TODAY.

b) Parts of indirect speech

1/ Indirect statement clauses: The examples we have been using up to now are reproductions of somebody's words, thought, etc. We reproduce a former statement (or an imaginary former statement). They will usually be introduced by expressions of the kind I THINK THAT..., HE SAID THAT..., etc. Strictly speaking, the indirect statement, obviously, is just what comes from the THAT on, and I THINK / HE SAID etc. is in fact the main clause.

2/ Indirect question clauses: As their name indicates, it is the reproduction of a former question; see this example:

- **Direct question:** WHERE IS CAESAR?
- **Indirect question:** HE ASKED WHERE CAESAR WAS.

Strictly speaking, HE ASKED is the main clause, and WHERE CAESAR WAS is the indirect question.
As in the indirect statement, we can find a sentence that includes an indirect question and maybe this question was in fact never asked in a direct way; for instance, I can say *Tell me where Caesar is*, or *Tell me whether you have won*, and maybe nobody has asked previously *Where is Caesar?* or *Have you won?*

3/ **Indirect command clauses**: The reproduction of somebody’s orders; for instance:

- **Direct command**: DO NOT KILL THE PRISONERS!
- **Indirect command**: HE ORDERED THEM NOT TO KILL THE PRISONERS

As expected, NOT TO KILL THE PRISONERS is the indirect command, and HE ORDERED THEM is the main clause.

### 2. Indirect statement clauses

**a) Main concept**

1/ As seen in the examples, it is the reproduction of a former statement or of somebody’s thought. The way Latin expresses an indirect statement is by means of the *accusative + infinitive* construction: the subject of the original statement must be put in accusative and the verb must be put in infinitive.

The most important point is that the tense of the original statement must be kept. For example, let’s suppose that somebody says *Urbs deleta est* **THE CITY HAS BEEN DESTROYED**.

Supposing, for instance, that somebody (let’s say Caesar) wants to inform us about it, the steps to put this direct statement in the indirect statement form *Caesar says that the city has been destroyed* will be:

- **Urbs** must be written in *accusative: urbem*.
- **deleta est** is a past tense in passive voice, so we will have to use the *passive past infinitive* for the indirect statement. The passive past infinitive for the verb *deleo* is *deletum, -am, -um + esse*; as the participial part of this compound infinitive must agree with the accusative *urbem*, we will choose the option *deletam esse*.

The final result will be *Caesar dicit urbem deletam esse*.

Another example:

Supposing that the direct statement had been *Galli urbem delebunt* **THE GAULS WILL DESTROY THE CITY**, the steps to follow to reach the indirect statement *Caesar says that the Gauls will destroy the city* would be:

- **Galli** moves into *accusative: Gallos*.
- **Delebunt**, a future active indicative, moves into *future active infinitive*; the future active infinitive of the verb *deleo* is *deleturum, -am, -um + esse*; as it must agree with *Gallos*, we will choose the option *deleturos esse*.

The final result will be *Caesar dicit Gallos urbem deleturos esse*.

Let’s see a simple original example:

- **Civis Romanos necatos esse arguo** I Assert that Roman citizens have been killed (Cicero, *In Verrem*).
And now a double example:

- ... unde audissent imperatores Romanos in Asia captos [esse] ab Antiocho rege et exercitum deletum esse ...  
  FROM WHERE THEY HEARD THAT THE ROMAN COMMANDERS IN ASIA HAD BEEN CAPTURED BY THE KING ANTIOCHUS AND THAT THE ARMY HAD BEEN DESTROYED (Livy, Ab Urbe Condita).
  ✦ The omission of esse when using a compound infinitive is very common.

2/ Remember also that an indirect statement does not always need to come from a direct one, especially if it reflects some thought:

- Neque ego umquam fuisse tale monstrum in terris ullam puto  
  AND I DO NOT THINK THAT THERE HAS EVER BEEN SUCH A MONSTER ON THE EARTH (Cicero, Pro Caelio).

Cicero is expressing what he thinks; the presence of an indirect statement (THAT THERE HAS EVER BEEN SUCH A MONSTER ON THE EARTH) does not mean that somebody at some point expressed orally the direct statement Numquam fuit tale monstrum in terris ullam THERE HAS NEVER BEEN SUCH A MONSTER ON THE EARTH.

A final example:

- Cuius causa scriptam esse legem putatis?  
  BECAUSE OF WHOM DO YOU THINK THAT THE LAW WAS WRITTEN? (Fabius Quintilianus, Declamationes Minores).

b) Translation

We must remember that the translation of the infinitive into English must be adapted to its relationship with the introductory verb. For instance, let’s see the example Dux urbem delebit THE GENERAL WILL DESTROY THE CITY introduced by different tenses:

- Caesar dicit ducem urbem deleturum esse  
  CAESAR SAYS THAT THE GENERAL WILL DESTROY THE CITY.
- Caesar dixit ducem urbem deleturum esse  
  CAESAR SAID THAT THE GENERAL WOULD DESTROY THE CITY.

Observe that we have got to adapt the translation of the Latin future infinitive. It has not changed in Latin, but the sense of future with respect to the main verb must be reflected in the translation.

Let’s see an example from Sallust:

- Iturum [esse] se dixit  
  HE SAID THAT HE WOULD GO (Sallust, Catilinae Coniuratio).
  ✦ The omission of esse when using a compound infinitive is very common.

More examples, with a past infinitive:

- Cives dicunt Pompeium victum esse  
  THE CITIZENS SAY THAT POMPEIUS HAS BEEN DEFEATED.
- Cives dixerunt Pompeium victum esse  
  THE CITIZENS SAID THAT POMPEIUS HAD BEEN DEFEATED.
  ✦ Observe again how we have to change the English tense to make clear the condition of past with respect to the main verb: in the moment they said it, Pompeius had already been defeated.
- Catilina ubi eos, quos paulo ante memoravi, convenisse videt ...  
  CATILINA, WHEN HE SEES THAT THOSE I HAVE MENTIONED ABOVE HAVE / HAD GATHERED ... (Sallust, Catilinae Coniuratio).
  ✦ The infinitive clause has a relative clause inside. This is quite normal.
c) Negative statement

Dico must not be used with the negative non in the reproduced statement; if we wish to express I SAY THAT ... NOT..., we must replace dico by nego I DENY:

- Direct statement: Caesar non venit CAESAR HAS NOT COME.
- Wrong indirect statement: Dico Caesarem non venisse I SAY THAT CAESAR HAS NOT COME.
- Right indirect statement: Nego Caesarem venisse I SAY THAT CAESAR HAS NOT COME.

* But Non dico Caesarem venisse would be a perfectly right sentence, as in this case what we are denying is the introductory verb and what we are saying is I DO NOT SAY THAT CAESAR HAS COME, in the sense of "I AM SAYING SOMETHING ELSE, BUT NOT THIS".

A couple of examples from Sallust and Cicero:

- Ille animo feroci negat se totiens fusum Numidam pertimescere HE, WITH FIERCE SPIRIT, SAYS THAT HE DOES NOT FEAR THE NUMIDIAN, SO MANY TIMES DEFEATED (Sallust, Bellum Iugurthinum).
- Negavit umquam se bibisse iucundius HE SAID THAT HE HAD NEVER DRUNK SO HAPPILY (Cicero, Tusculanae Disputationes).

d) Verbs that can be followed by an indirect statement

This list is not exhaustive, but the most frequent verbs that can introduce an indirect statement are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Latin Verb</th>
<th>English Meaning</th>
<th>Latin Verb</th>
<th>English Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>affirmo, -are, -avi, -atum</td>
<td>TO DECLARE</td>
<td>nego, -are, -avi, -atum</td>
<td>TO DENY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arbitrator, -ari, arbitratus sum</td>
<td>TO THINK</td>
<td>nescio, -ire, -ivi (no supine)</td>
<td>NOT TO KNOW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>audio, -ire, -ivi, -itum</td>
<td>TO HEAR</td>
<td>nuntio, -are, -avi, -atum</td>
<td>TO ANNOUNCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cognosco, -ere, cognovi, cognitum</td>
<td>TO ASCERTAIN</td>
<td>puto, -are, -avi, -atum</td>
<td>TO THINK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>credo, -ere, credidi, creditum</td>
<td>TO BELIEVE</td>
<td>reor, reri, ratus sum</td>
<td>TO THINK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dico, -ere, dixi, dictum</td>
<td>TO SAY</td>
<td>scio, -ire, -ivi, -itum</td>
<td>TO KNOW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>existimo, -are, -avi, -atum</td>
<td>TO THINK</td>
<td>sentio, -ire, -ivi, -itum</td>
<td>TO PERCEIVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intellego, -ere, intellexi, intellectum</td>
<td>TO UNDERSTAND</td>
<td>video, -ere, vidi, visum</td>
<td>TO SEE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Indirect command clauses

[The terms command and order are used indistinctly here.]

a) Indirect commands

1/ They are expressed by means of ut + subjunctive; if the introductory verb is a primary tense, we will use the present subjunctive; if it is a secondary tense, the imperfect subjunctive.

In other words: they will have the same structure as purpose clauses. Let’s see an example:

- Direct order: Veni! COME!
- Indirect order (intr. verb in primary tense): Mihi imperat ut veniam HE ORDERS ME TO COME.
- Indirect order (intr. verb in secondary tense): Mihi imperavit ut venirem HE ORDERED ME TO COME.
Observe that in both cases we have translated the *ut* + subjunctive by an English infinitive, but the Latin form is a subjunctive, and moreover different in each sentence, depending on the introductory verb.

Two original examples:

- **Allobrogibus imperavit *ut* iis frumenti copiam *facerent*** \(\rightarrow\) **He ordered the Allobroges to produce to them**
  A SUPPLY OF CORN (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).

- **... suisque imperavit *ne* quod omnino telum in hostes *reicerent*** \(\rightarrow\) **... and he ordered his men not to throw back**
  ANY WEAPON AT ALL AGAINST THE ENEMY (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).

\[\text{\ding{202}}\text{ It could be argued that this is in fact an } \textit{indirect prohibition}, dealt with further down, but as the introductory verb is } \textit{impero} \text{ we classify it here under } \textit{Indirect commands}.\]

2/ There is an exception: the verb *iubeo* **TO ORDER** is followed by an *accusative + infinitive* structure; observe this example:

\[\text{\ding{202}}\text{ Direct order: } \textit{Lege hunc librum!} \quad \text{Read this book!} \]

\[\text{\ding{202}}\text{ Indirect order (intr. verb in primary tense): } \textit{Me hunc librum legere iubet} \quad \text{He orders me to read this book.} \]

\[\text{\ding{202}}\text{ Indirect order (intr. verb in secondary tense): } \textit{Me hunc librum legere iussit} \quad \text{He ordered me to read this book.} \]

We can see that in this construction it is indifferent whether the introductory verb is in primary or secondary tense: after *iubeo*, an *accusative + infinitive* will be used.

Two examples from Cicero:

- **Prendi hominem iussit** \(\rightarrow\) **He ordered the man to be arrested** (Cicero, *Pro Rege Deiotaro*).
  
- **Domum ad se venire iussit centuriones*** \(\rightarrow\) **He ordered the centurions to come to him to his house***
  (Cicero, *Philippicae*).

3/ The verb *impero* **can sometimes be found also ruling an infinitive rather than an *ut* clause:**

- **Imperavit frumentum et alia, quae bello usui forent, *comportare*** \(\rightarrow\) **He ordered to gather corn and other assets**
  THAT COULD BE OF SOME USE FOR WAR (Sallust, *Bellum Iugurthinum*).

\[\text{\ding{202}}\text{ To make it more complicated, there is no accusative performing the role of subject of the infinitive.} \]

4/ The most common verbs that are used to introduce indirect orders are:

- hortor, -ari, hortatus sum **TO URGE**
- impero, -are, -avi, -atum (+ Dat.) **TO ORDER**
- moneo, -ere, monui, monitum **TO ADVISE**
- oro, -are, -avi, -atum **TO ENTREAT**
- persuadeo, -ere, persuasi, persuasum (+ Dat.) **TO PERSUADE**
- rogo, -are, -avi, -atum **TO ASK**

Observe that this list includes verbs that do not have a direct sense of ordering: this concept of *indirect command* includes also sentences introduced by verbs of *advising, persuading*, etc.
b) Indirect prohibitions

1/ A first method of expressing an indirect prohibition is using the same verbs that can be used to introduce indirect commands, but followed by ne instead of by ut (obviously, ne is translated with a negative meaning). But in fact the construction we get is not a real indirect prohibition, this is just a request *not to do something*, rather than a prohibition to do something. It would go on being an indirect command. For instance:

- Direct command: Noli me ingratum existimare  
  Do not consider me an ungrateful person.
- Indirect command: Rogo ne me ingratum existimes  
  (Seneca iunior, *De Beneficiis*).

2/ But this is not the same as *I forbid you to consider me an ungrateful person*. The real way of expressing an indirect prohibition is by means of the verbs prohibeo and veto. Let’s start with prohibeo.

If Seneca had wanted to express a real indirect prohibition, he could have said:

- Te prohibeo ne me ingratum existimes  
  I forbid you to consider me an ungrateful person.

Observe that prohibeo is followed by ne, but with the verb prohibeo the negative sense of ne must not be translated. In fact, it is the same phenomenon as with *fear clauses* in the style of *Timeo ne ...*

But possibly Seneca did not mean to give such a strict order, so he used the construction we have seen above, with the verb rogo. Let’s see an easier example, this time meaning a real prohibition:

- Direct prohibition: Noli legere hunc librum!  
  Do not read this book!
- Indirect prohibition (intr. verb in *primary* tense): Me prohibit ne hunc librum legam  
  He forbids me to read this book.
- Indirect prohibition (intr. verb in *secondary* tense): Me prohibuit ne hunc librum legerem  
  He forbad me to read this book.

An original example from Cicero:

- Quem in locum prohibui ne venires  
  I forbad you to come to this place  
  (Cicero, *Pro Caecina*).

  ❖ In this case, Cicero really meant a prohibition to do something rather than a request not to do it.

3/ Verbs of forbidding can also be introduced by quominus, and if they are negative they can be introduced also by quin. As this is part of the complicated uses of quominus and quin, to avoid repetition here please refer to the corresponding Section 13 in the chapter on Subordinate Clauses.

4/ With respect to the verb veto TO FORBID, it is followed by an infinitive (as happened with the verb iubeo for indirect commands):

- Me vetat hunc librum legere  
  He forbids me to read this book.
- Me vetuit hunc librum legere  
  He forbad me to read this book.
- Ille me vetuit domum venire  
  He forbad me to come home  
  (Plautus, *Epidicus*).
- Hic me ... vetuit contemnere Musas  
  He forbad me to despise the Muses  
  (Propertius, *Elegiae*).

**Note**

*To order somebody not to do something* must be translated by veto, not by iubeo and a negative: *Me hunc librum non legere iubet* would be a wrong translation for *He orders me not to read this book.*
4. Indirect question clauses

An indirect question can be introduced in several ways, depending on whether the original question was a *yes/no* question (*DO YOU WANT TO COME WITH US?*), an adverbial question (*WHERE IS THAT BOOK?*), etc. But, whichever kind of indirect question we have, the most important rule of an indirect question is that its verb must be in *subjunctive*.

They can be introduced by several verbs, not only by verbs with a meaning of asking, and even by some combined expression; some of them are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>verb</th>
<th>meaning</th>
<th>example</th>
<th>translation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rogo, -are, -avi, -atum</td>
<td>TO ASK</td>
<td>nescio, -ire, nescivi (no supine)</td>
<td>NOT TO KNOW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interrogo, -are, -avi, -atum</td>
<td>TO ASK</td>
<td>scire volo</td>
<td>TO WANT TO KNOW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quaero, -ere, quaesivi, quaesitum</td>
<td>TO ASK</td>
<td>videre volo</td>
<td>TO WANT TO SEE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miror, -ari, miratus sum</td>
<td>TO WONDER</td>
<td>incertum est</td>
<td>IT IS UNCERTAIN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that some of these verbs, like *rogo*, that can also be used to introduce an indirect order, and in each case the corresponding construction must be used (in fact, the same as in English with the verb *TO ASK*: *I ask you to remain here* or *I ask you whether he has returned*).

a) Adverbial or pronominal questions

After the introductory verb, we must reproduce the direct question but with the verb in *subjunctive*.

1/ If the introductory verb is in *primary* tense, we keep the same tense as we had in the original question, but of course in subjunctive:

- Direct question: *Quem librum legis?* WHICH BOOK ARE YOU READING?
- Indirect question: *Rogo quem librum legas* I ASK WHICH BOOK YOU ARE READING.

  - *Quaerito quid fieri possit* ASK WHAT CAN BE DONE (Porcius Cato, De Agri Cultura).
  - *Quaerito* is a future imperative, not a very common form.

The direct question would probably have been *Quid fieri potest?* WHAT CAN BE DONE? and *potest* has moved from present indicative to present subjunctive in order to become an indirect question.

2/ If we reproduce a future indicative, it’s obvious that we can not move it to future subjunctive, as it does not exist. In this case, we will use the *future participle* with the verb *sum* in subjunctive:

- Direct question: *Quem librum leges?* WHICH BOOK WILL YOU READ?
- Indirect question: *Rogo quem librum lecturus sis* I ASK WHICH BOOK YOU WILL READ.

In fact, we are respecting the former rule: a future indicative has been “rephrased” to the equivalent periphrastic construction (*Quem librum lecturus es?*) and the verb *sum* has been moved to the present subjunctive.

- *Nescis quid ego acturus sim* YOU DO NOT KNOW WHAT I WILL DO (Plautus, Bacchides).

The direct question would probably have been *Quid ages?* WHAT WILL YOU DO? and the future indicative has moved to the periphrasis *acturus sim*, where *sim* is in subjunctive and the future participle conveys the sense of future.
3/ Exception: an original imperfect moves to perfect, it does not remain imperfect:

- Direct question:  Quem librum legebas?  WHICH BOOK WERE YOU READING?
- Indirect question:  Rogo quem librum legeris  I ASK WHICH BOOK YOU WERE READING.

4/ If the indirect question is introduced by a verb in a secondary tense, the tense of the verb of the original direct question must be changed not only to the subjunctive but also to another tense. The rules for this change are as follows:

- **Present moves to imperfect:**
  
  - Direct question:  Quem librum legis?  WHICH BOOK ARE YOU READING?
  - Indirect question:  Rogavi quem librum legeres  I ASKED WHICH BOOK YOU WERE READING.

  The present legis has moved to imperfect legeres.

  - Quis esset aut quid vellet quaesivit  HE ASKED WHO HE WAS OR WHAT HE WANTED  (Caesar, *Bellum Civile*).

  The original question had probably been  Quis es aut quid vis?  WHO ARE YOU AND WHAT DO YOU WANT?

  Both verbs are in the present indicative. In order to make it an indirect question, they move to subjunctive, and moreover, as the introductory verb is in a secondary tense, they move one step backwards: from present to imperfect.

- **Imperfect or perfect move to pluperfect:**
  
  - Direct question:  Quem librum legisti?  WHICH BOOK HAVE YOU READ?
  - Indirect question:  Rogavi quem librum legisses  I ASKED WHICH BOOK YOU HAD READ.

  The perfect legisti has moved to pluperfect legisses.

  - Quaesivi quem ad modum revertissent  I ASKED IN WHAT CONDITION THEY HAD RETURNED  (Cicero, *In Verrem*).

  The original sentence was probably  Quo modo reverteurunt?  IN WHAT CONDITION DID THEY RETURN?

**Note**

As a general rule, quo modo becomes quem ad modum in an indirect question.

- **Future moves to periphrastic future with verb sum in imperfect:**
  
  - Direct question:  Quem librum leges?  WHICH BOOK WILL YOU READ?
  - Indirect question:  Rogavi quem librum lecturus esses  I ASKED WHICH BOOK YOU HAD READ.

  The same phenomenon as before: we transform the future tense into the equivalent periphrastic expression, and the present indicative of sum moves into subjunctive, and one step backwards in time: esses (imperfect) instead of sis (present).

  - Quaesivi ... quem ad modum illum agrum esset distributurus  I ASKED IN WHAT WAY HE WOULD DISTRIBUTE THAT LAND  (Cicero, *De Lege Agraria*).

  The original sentence was probably  Quo modo hunc agrum distribues?  IN WHAT WAY WILL YOU DISTRIBUTE THIS LAND?
5/ As already mentioned above, two interrogative words that experience modifications when used in an indirect question are cur why and quomodo how: cur becomes quam ob rem (which can be written as a single word) and quomodo (or quo modo) becomes quem ad modum:

- **Direct question:** Cur et quomodo hoc fecisti? Why and how have you done this?
- **Indirect question:** Scire volo quam ob rem et quem ad modum hoc feceris I want to know why and how you have done this.

  - Nunc ... quam ob rem venerim dicam Now I will say why I have come (Plautus, Amphitruo).

**b) Double questions**

In the case of double-choice questions (Do you want to come with us or not? Do you prefer wine or water?), the same rules of change of tense are followed, but the indirect question must be introduced by some specific conjunctions; as in English the question Is Caesar here?, if asked indirectly, must be introduced by if or whether, He asks if Caesar is here, the same happens in Latin.

1/ In a yes/no question, the English **if** is translated by num (it is obvious that in this case num will not have any meaning of expectancy of a negative answer as when used in a direct question):

- **Direct question:** Hunc librum legisti? Have you read this book?
- **Indirect question:** Rogo num hunc librum legeris I ask if you have read this book.

  - Rogavit num mortuum ferrent He asked if they were carrying a corpse (Gellius, Noctes Atticae).

2/ When two options are offered, the English **whether** is translated by utrum (and the **or** is translated by an):

- **Direct question:** Vis nobiscum venire an hic manere? Do you want to come with us or to remain here?
- **Indirect question:** Rogo utrum velis nobiscum venire an hic manere I ask whether you want to come with us or to remain here.

  - Nunc quaero utrum vestras iniurias an rei publicae persequamini Now I ask whether you are trying to avenge your offences or [those] of the state (Cicero, Pro Ligario).

If the second option is just the usual form of **annon** or not, annon changes to necne in an indirect question:

- **Direct question:** Vis nobiscum venire annon? Do you want to come with us or not?
- **Indirect question:** Rogo utrum velis nobiscum venire necne I ask whether you want to come with us or not.

  - Hoc primum quaero, venerit ea res in hoc iudicium necne First I ask this, whether this matter has come to court or not (Cicero, Pro Tullio).

  - Observe that in this case the utrum is elided: the presence of necne makes it clear that there is a double choice, and the marker for the first choice can be considered unnecessary.

3/ Apart from all this, we should remember that it is normal to place utrum at the beginning of the direct question: Utrum vis nobiscum venire an hic manere? Do you want to come with us or to remain here? Of course, in this case the presence of utrum in the indirect question is automatic.
5. Subordinate clauses in indirect speech

The verb of a subordinate clause that depends on a former main clause that now has become indirect speech must be in *subjunctive*. Let’s examine the three possible cases.

a) Subordinate clauses in indirect statements

1/ We know that when we pass a sentence from direct into indirect statement it must be rewritten as an infinitive clause. Observe this sentence:
   
   • Librum legi dum pater Romae erat  I read the book while my father was at Rome.

In indirect statements, such as those introduced by the verb *dico*, an infinitive with an accusative subject must be used in the main sentence:

   Dico me librum legisse... I say that I read the book...

With respect to the subordinate clause ...while my father was at Rome that depended on the main one (which has now become an infinitive clause), it must have its verb in *subjunctive*, and the final result would be

   • Dico me librum legisse dum pater Romae esset  I say that I read the book while my father was at Rome.

2/ Let’s see an example from Cicero:

   • Dixit se istum publice laudare, quod sibi ita mandatum esset  He said that he praised this man in public because it had been ordered to him thus (Cicero, *In Verrem*).

Probably, the direct statement had been  Ego istum publice laudo, quod mihi ita mandatum est  I praise this man in public because it has been ordered to me thus.

In Cicero’s sentence, the verb *mandatum esset* is in subjunctive because now it depends on the infinitive *laudare* (the former *laudo* that now, having become an indirect statement, has moved to an infinitive).

b) Subordinate clauses in indirect questions

Apart from the main rule stated above (the verb of a subordinate clause that depends on a clause in indirect statement has to be in subjunctive), an indirect question must have its verb in subjunctive, and as a general rule any subordinate clause that depends on a sentence with a verb in subjunctive will also have its own verb in subjunctive, so that in fact the verb will be in subjunctive for two reasons.

   • Quaesivi quid dubitaret proficisci eo quo iam pridem pararet  I asked why he hesitated to go where he long ago was preparing to go (Cicero, *In Catilinam*).

The direct question would probably have been  Quid dubitas proficisci eo quo iam pridem paras?  Why do you hesitate to go where you are long preparing to go?

In Cicero’s sentence, the verb *pararet* is in subjunctive because now in depends on *dubitaret* (the former *dubitat* that now, having become an indirect question, is in subjunctive).
c) Subordinate clauses in indirect commands [424]

1/ As above, the verb must be in subjunctive, no matter whether the indirect command is expressed by means of an infinitive clause or by means of an ut clause. Observe this direct command:

- Da mihi librum quem heri tibi dedi  
  GIVE ME THE BOOK THAT I GAVE YOU YESTERDAY.

If expressed as an indirect command, it will become

- Imperavit ut sibi librum dare quem pridie ei dedisset  
  HE ORDERED HIM TO GIVE HIM THE BOOK THAT HE HAD GIVEN HIM THE DAY BEFORE.
  ◆ Example with infinitive clause.
- Iussit eum sibi librum dare quem pridie ei dedisset  
  (same meaning).
  ◆ Example with ut clause.

2/ Sometimes it is possible to find examples in which the verb is in indicative, like these two: [425]

- Flaccum praetorem scrinium cum litteris, quas a legatis acceperat, eodem adferre iubet  
  HE ORDERS FLACCUS, THE PRAETOR, TO BRING THE BOX WITH THE LETTERS THAT HE HAD RECEIVED FROM THE LEGATES (Sallust, Catilinae Coniuratio).
- Imperat lictoribus ut Sopatrum de porticu, in qua ipse sedebat, praecipitem in forum deiciant  
  HE ORDERS THE LICTORS TO THROW SOPATER DOWN FROM THE PORTICO ON WHICH HE HIMSELF WAS SITTING (Cicero, In Verrem).

The explanation is very simple: the verb is in indicative because the information given in the relative clause is not part of what had been the direct speech but additional information supplied by the author. It is obvious, for instance, that Verres did not say THROW SOPATER DOWN FROM THE PORTICO ON WHICH I AM SITTING but just THROW SOPATER DOWN FROM THE PORTICO: the relative clause in qua ipse sedebat has been added by Cicero as additional information for the audience.

In the first example, possibly the consul had ordered something like GIVE ME THE BOX WITH THE LETTERS. If he had ordered GIVE ME THE BOX WITH THE LETTERS THAT YOU HAVE RECEIVED FROM THE LEGATES, the verb would have been accepisset, in subjunctive. In this case, the relative clause quas a legatis acceperat, explaining that the letters were those which had been received from the legates, is additional information supplied by Sallust.

6. A special technique: Oratio Obliqua

a) Introduction [426]

We have seen how to express statements, questions and commands in reported speech, but these were examples of reporting one statement, one question or one command. Nevertheless, a lot of times reported speech does not limit itself to reporting only one statement, only one question or only one command, but a long string of sentences expressed in a continuous way, a string in which there may be a combination of the three elements, and most probably with several of each of them (maybe, for instance, a paragraph with five or six consecutive statements with a question in the middle, etc.).
In this circumstance, most languages, and Latin among them, do not reproduce each sentence introducing it with the usual verb of saying, as this would produce a very burdensome accumulation of these introductory expressions, an accumulation like  

\[ \text{HE SAID THAT... AND HE SAID THAT... AND HE ORDERED... AND HE ASKED... AND HE SAID THAT... AND HE ASKED, AND HE SAID THAT... etc.} \]

What is done in these cases is that the whole narrative is introduced only in its very first sentence by one of these expressions, and the other introductory expressions are skipped (and sometimes even the very first sentence lacks this introductory expression): each of the sentences that are being reproduced are written one after another, producing a continuous reproduction of somebody’s words, whether statements or questions or commands, without any introductory  

\[ \text{AND HE SAID THAT... AND HE ASKED WHETHER... etc.} \]

This technique is called  \textit{Oratio Obliqua}. Nevertheless, the rules of transforming a direct speech into indirect will not be the same as when we transformed single sentences, especially with respect of the reproduction of questions. First we will examine what happens to each one of the three kinds of sentences (statements, questions and commands) and later we will see an example of a combination of all of them in a single paragraph. We will pay special attention to the difference between reproducing a sentence using the rules of normal reported speech and reproducing the same kind of sentence in the middle of the reproduction of a long list of sentences.

As a general rule, Oratio Obliqua is supposed to be always in \textit{secondary sequence}. Therefore, the choice of tenses in the subjunctive will be made according to this.

\[ \textbf{b) What happens to statements?} \]

This is the simplest case: we follow the same rule as for the reproduction of a single statement in reported speech: we put it in \textit{accusative and infinitive}. The only difference is that we will not have the introductory expression  

\[ \text{HE SAID THAT...} \]

\[ \begin{array}{ll}
\rightarrow \text{Direct statement:} & \cdot \textit{Caesar hostes vicit} \quad \text{CAESAR DEFEATED THE ENEMIES.} \\
\rightarrow \text{Indirect statement:} & \cdot \textit{Dixit Caesarem hostes vicisse} \quad \text{HE SAID THAT CAESAR HAD DEFEATED THE ENEMIES.} \\
\rightarrow \text{Oratio Obliqua:} & \cdot \textit{Caesarem hostes vicisse} \quad \text{HE SAID THAT CAESAR HAD DEFEATED THE ENEMIES.} \\
& \uparrow \text{Observe the absence of the introductory Dixit (unless this sentence were the very first one opening the whole paragraph).} \\
\end{array} \]

Now let’s see an original example taken from the famous interview between Caesar and Ariovistus. Obviously, we do not have the direct statement, but we can deduce it:

\[ \begin{array}{ll}
\rightarrow \text{Oratio Obliqua:} & \cdot \textit{Non sese Gallis sed Gallos sibi bellum intulisse} \quad \text{HE HAD NOT BROUGHT WAR TO THE GAULS BUT THE GAULS TO HIM} \quad \text{(Caesar, \textit{De Bello Gallico}).} \\
& \uparrow \text{Again, observe the absence of Dixit.} \\
\rightarrow \text{Direct statement:} & \cdot \textit{Non ego Gallis sed Galli mihi bellum intulerunt} \quad \text{I DID NOT BRING WAR TO THE GAULS, BUT} \\
& \text{THE GAULS TO ME.} \\
\end{array} \]
Another example from the same text:

\[\text{Oratio Obliqua:} \quad \text{Provinciam suam hanc esse Galliam, sicut illam nostram} \quad \text{THIS [PART OF] GAUL WAS HIS PROVINCE, JUST AS THAT ONE WAS OURS (Caesar, De Bello Gallico).} \]

\[\text{Direct statement:} \quad \text{Provincia mea haec est Gallia, sicut illa vestra} \quad \text{THIS [PART OF] GAUL IS MY PROVINCE, JUST AS THAT ONE IS YOURS.} \]

c) What happens to questions? [428]

1/ This is probably the point in which Reported Speech and Oratio Obliqua differ most:

In Reported Speech:

- All questions become indirect questions in subjunctive.
- There is no difference with respect to whether an answer is expected or not.
- They lose their question mark.

In Oratio Obliqua:

- Some questions become indirect questions in subjunctive, while others become accusative + infinitive clauses.
- There is difference with respect to whether an answer is expected or not.
- They keep their question mark.

2/ As we can see, the most complicated point is when a direct question becomes an indirect question in subjunctive and when it becomes an accusative + infinitive clause. It depends on whether an answer is expected or not:

\[\text{If an answer is expected, it will become an indirect question in subjunctive.} \]
\[\text{If no answer is expected (rhetorical questions), it will become an accusative + infinitive construction.} \]

As a general rule, questions formulated in 2nd person will become indirect questions in subjunctive, as usually an answer is expected from somebody to whom we are asking something.

With respect to questions formulated in 1st or 3rd person, they may have both constructions, because for instance we can ask a deliberative question, like Quid faciamus? WHAT ARE WE TO DO? (an answer is expected, no matter whether it is finally given or not) or a rhetorical question, like Huic stulto praemium dare debo? DO I HAVE TO GIVE A PRIZE TO THIS FOOLISH MAN? (although the tone of the question is clearly pointing to a negative answer, no real answer is expected).

Let’s see this in a triple example, with a question in the 2nd person:

\[\text{Direct question:} \quad \text{Quem librum legis?} \quad \text{WHAT BOOK ARE YOU READING?} \]
\[\text{Indirect question:} \quad \text{Quaesivit quem librum legeret} \quad \text{HE ASKED WHAT BOOK HE WAS READING.} \]
\[\text{Oratio Obliqua:} \quad \text{Quem librum legeret?} \quad \text{WHAT BOOK WAS HE READING?} \]

It was a normal question with an answer expected, so it has become an indirect question in subjunctive, but observe the two key points in its result as Oratio Obliqua:

- Lack of introductory verb like Quaesivit.
- The question mark remains.
Indirect speech

3/ Original example from Caesar:

⇒ Oratio Obliqua: • Cur in suas possessiones veniret? Why did he come into his dominion?
(Caesar, De Bello Gallico).
⇒ Direct statement: • Cur in meas possessiones venis? Why do you come into my dominion?

An answer is expected (as almost always happens with 2nd person questions), so it becomes an indirect question in subjunctive.

Another triple example, this time a question in the 1st person:

⇒ Direct question: • Quid dicam nunc? What am I to say now?
⇒ Indirect question: • Dubitavit quid diceret tunc He doubted what he was to say then.
⇒ Oratio Obliqua: • Quid diceret tunc? / Quid se dicere tunc? What was he to say then?

– If the direct question was considered a deliberative question (i.e., I am trying to reach an answer), it becomes an indirect question in subjunctive.

– If the direct question was considered a rhetorical question (i.e., I know that I will not be able to say anything), it becomes an accusative + infinitive construction. The result is really unusual: an accusative + infinitive construction playing the role of a question, and even with its own question mark. Observe, by the way, that we have got to add se in order to provide a subject (in accusative) for the infinitive.

4/ This time an example with a question in the 3rd person:

⇒ Direct question: • Quis hoc uno die perficere potest? Who can do this in one day?
⇒ Indirect question: • Quaesivit quis illud uno die perficere posset He asked who could do that in one day.
⇒ Oratio Obliqua: • Quis illud uno die perficere posset? / Quem illud uno die perficere posse? Who could do that in one day?

– If the direct question was considered a normal question (i.e., we really want to know the identity of the person capable of doing it in one day, for instance in order to hire his/her services), it becomes an indirect question in subjunctive.

– If the direct question was considered a rhetorical question (i.e., it is clear that nobody can do that in one day), it becomes an accusative + infinitive construction.
d) What happens to commands?

Commands (and prohibitions) become an imperfect subjunctive clause:

- Direct commands:
  - Veni mecum  
  - Noli dormire

- Indirect commands:
  - Ili imperavit *ut secum veniret*  
  - Vetuit *illum dormire*

- Oratio Obliqua:
  - Secum *veniret*
  - *Ne dormiret*

  > ♦ Observe that for a command we do not write the *ut*, but for a prohibition we must write the *ne*.

An example from Caesar (for the sake of practice, we also add a statement, as it happens to be the following sentence):

- Oratio Obliqua:
  - *Uterque cum equitatu veniret: alia ratione sese non esse venturum*  
  

- Direct command:
  - *Uterque cum equitatu veniat: alia ratione non veniam*

² Observe that for a command we do not write the *ut*, but for a prohibition we must write the *ne*.

e) What happens to pronouns and adverbs?

1/ If a direct statement like *I HAVE NOW DEFEATED MY ENEMIES HERE* is written in Oratio Obliqua, the result will be *HE HAD THEN DEFEATED HIS ENEMIES THERE*. The changes are obvious:

I has become  
NOW has become

MY has become  
HERE has become

A basic table of correspondences of pronouns would be this one:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>singular</th>
<th>becomes</th>
<th>plural</th>
<th>becomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ego</td>
<td>se</td>
<td>nos</td>
<td>se</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tu</td>
<td>ille, illa, illud</td>
<td>vos</td>
<td>illi, –ae, –a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd sing.</td>
<td>is, ea, id</td>
<td>3rd plural</td>
<td>ei, eae, ea</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2/ A special note should be made about the 1st person:

Maybe a 1st person pronoun is not mentioned in the direct statement, but we must write *se* in the Oratio Obliqua:

- Direct statement:  
  - Hostes vici  
  - Se hostes *vicisse*

  > ♦ Se is replacing an imaginary *ego* in the direct statement.

3/ A basic table of correspondence to adverbs would be this one:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>singular</th>
<th>becomes</th>
<th>plural</th>
<th>becomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>heri</td>
<td>pridie</td>
<td>nunc</td>
<td>tunc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hodie</td>
<td>illo die</td>
<td>hic</td>
<td>ibi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cras</td>
<td>postero die</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
f) A final collective example

Let’s see a paragraph with a combination of the three types of sentences (statements, questions and commands) transformed into Oratio Obliqua. Observe these three points:

– The change of structures.
– The change of pronouns and adverbs.
– The absence of introductory forms of the kind Dixit..., Quaesivit..., etc.

Direct speech:

  I HAVE ALWAYS GIVEN YOU MONEY. WHY DO YOU SAY THIS NOW? TOMORROW I WILL GIVE YOU MORE MONEY. DO YOU DOUBT ABOUT ME? DO NOT DOUBT, BELIEVE IN ME.

Oratio Obliqua:

  HE HAD ALWAYS GIVEN HIM MONEY. WHY WAS HE SAYING THAT THEN? ON THE FOLLOWING DAY HE WOULD GIVE HIM MORE MONEY. DID HE DOUBT ABOUT HIM? HE SHOULD NOT DOUBT, HE SHOULD BELIEVE IN HIM.

Observations about the two questions:

1/ Cur nunc hoc dicis?
It can be considered a question for which an answer is expected, so it has become an indirect question in subjunctive.

2/ Num de me dubitas?
It can be considered a rhetorical question, so it has become an infinitive + accusative construction.
**f) Uses of the gerund and gerundive**

1. **Definition and forms**

   a/ We have been using the infinitive as subject (for instance, *Legere bonum est* READING / TO read is good) or as direct object (for instance, *Volo legere* I WANT TO READ).

   But if we want to say for instance *I HAVE WRITTEN A BOOK ABOUT THE ART OF READING* we will write *Librum scripsi de arte ...*

   How should we translate *OF READING*? This sounds like we need the genitive of the infinitive, but how can an infinitive be declined?

   The *gerund* can be defined as the declension of the present active infinitive (only of the *present active* one: *amare, habere*, etc.). This declension has these four characteristics (we have already seen some examples of the gerund in the chapter on the verbal conjugation):

   - It has only four cases: accusative, genitive, dative and ablative.
   - Its declension endings are those that correspond to a neuter noun of the 2nd declension.
   - Remember that an infinitive is a neuter noun.
   - The accusative is *almost* always preceded by the preposition *ad*.
   - It has only singular.

   For instance, the gerund of *scribo* would be:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nom.</td>
<td>scribere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acc.</td>
<td><em>ad</em> scribendum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen.</td>
<td>scribendi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dat.</td>
<td>scribendo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abl.</td>
<td>scribendo</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   The inclusion of the infinitive as nominative in the above table is of course symbolic, just as an indication that the gerund corresponds to the declension of the infinitive.

   b/ With respect to the gerundive, it is just the *future passive participle*, also called gerundive because of the similarities it has with the gerund:

   *scribendus, -a, -um*

   The forms of the gerund (*-ndum, -ndi, -ndo*) coincide with some masculine and neuter singular forms of the gerundive.

   c/ The difference between them is obvious: the gerund is a neuter *noun* and it declines only through four cases (Acc., Gen., Dat. and Abl.) and only in singular, while the gerundive is a *participle* (and therefore an adjective) and it declines thoroughly in all cases, genders and numbers, like *bonus, -a, -um.*
2. Uses of the gerund

a) Accusative

We have seen that, for the role of direct object, we use the infinitive as such:

- *Volo legere* I WANT TO READ.

Then, if as direct object we do not use the gerund in accusative (usually we need a noun in the accusative if it is to perform the role of direct object, but it is not so if it is an infinitive), what do we use the accusative of the gerund for?

The use of the accusative of the gerund has nothing to do with direct objects (let’s remember that it is usually preceded by the preposition *ad*). In fact it is the only case of the gerund which is not used for the usual function of that case, and the meaning it has is one of purpose:

- *Venio ad ludendum* I COME TO PLAY.
- *Milites ad pugnandum venient* THE SOLDIERS WILL COME TO FIGHT.
- *Studium ad pugnandum virtusque deerrat* (Caesar, *Bellum Civile*). THE DESIRE FOR FIGHTING AND BRAVERY WAS ABSENT
- *Exercitus Romanorum ad pugnandum concitatus est* (Iulius Frontinus, *Strategemata*). THE ROMAN ARMY WAS INCITED TO FIGHT
- *Scio te ... ad occidendum me venisse* (Petronius, *Satyricta*). I KNOW THAT YOU HAVE COME TO KILL ME

It must be remembered that purpose can also be expressed by *ut* + subjunctive:

- *Venio ut ludam* I COME TO PLAY

and moreover there are more methods of expressing purpose, which we will see further ahead.

b) Genitive

The use of the gerund in the genitive is identical to the use of any noun in the genitive:

- *Librum scripsi de arte legendi* I HAVE WRITTEN A BOOK ABOUT THE ART OF READING.
- *Cupidus sum bibendi* I AM DESIROUS OF DRINKING.
- *Bomalcar, ... cupidus incepta patrandi ..., litteras ad eum per homines fidelis mittit* BOMILCAR, DESIROUS OF CARRYING OUT WHAT HAD ALREADY BEGUN, SENDS HIM A LETTER BY MEANS OF FAITHFUL MEN (Sallust, *Bellum Iugurthinum*).

Its use after the ablatives *causa* or *gratia* is very common, and the construction as a whole will express purpose:

- *Domum iniit dormiendi causa/gratia* HE WENT INTO THE HOUSE FOR THE SAKE OF SLEEPING.
- *Si praedandi causa ad eos venissent, ...* (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*). IF THEY HAD COME TO THEM FOR THE SAKE OF PLUNDERING, ...
c) Dative

Its use in dative is not very frequent; we may find it for instance depending on expressions that must be followed by a dative, like these:

- **Operam do legendo**  
  *I give attention to reading.*
- **Hic locus idoneus est pugnando**  
  *This place is adequate for fighting.*
- **Solvendo enim non erat**  
  *He was not able to pay*  
  *(Cicero, *Epistulae ad Atticum*).*
  - ♦ **Solvendo sum**  
    *means*  
    *to be able to pay.*


d) Ablative

If used without a preposition, it corresponds to the English gerund: it is the equivalent to the -ING form which answers to the question  *How?*  
*(do not confuse them with the -ING forms of the kind of  *The running man*  or  *Running is good).*  

For instance,

- **Hoc obtinui laborando**  
  *I have obtained this by working.*
- **Legendo multa disces**  
  *By reading you will learn many things.*
- **Hominis autem mens discendo alitur et cogitando**  
  *(Cicero, *De Officiis).*
  *The human mind is nourished by learning and thinking.*

It can be used with the preposition in with the same meaning:

- **In legendo multa disces**  
  *By reading you will learn many things.*
- **Quis est tam in scribendo impiger quam ego?**  
  *(Cicero, *Epistulae ad Familiares).*
  *Who is so active as I in writing?*

Other prepositions will give to the gerund the same meaning they would give to a noun:

- **Librum scripsi de pugnando**  
  *I have written a book about fighting.*
- **Nihil de resistendo cogitabat**  
  *He did not think anything about resisting*  
  *(Caesar, *Bellum Civile).*

3. Gerundive replacing the gerund

a/ The gerundive is the *future passive participle*  
*(amandus, -a, -um, for instance).  
As such, we can find it used as any other participle; for instance:

- **Heri captivos necandos vidi**  
  *Yesterday I saw prisoners that were about to be killed.*

Its use for the *passive periphrastic conjugation*  
*(see the corresponding chapter)* is also very common, but its most common use is as a replacement for the gerund.

b/ We have seen in the former chapter several uses of the gerund, like for instance:

- **Cupidus sum scribendi**  
  *I am desirous of writing.*
In those examples, the gerund did not have any object. Let’s suppose that we want to add a direct object to the former gerund, for instance the noun *epistulam* (letter):

- Cupidus sum *scribendi epistulam*  I AM DESIROUS OF WRITING A LETTER.

Although this sentence is grammatically right, Latin has a tendency to avoid using a gerund with a direct object. The way to avoid it is to rephrase the sentence by means of the gerundive, and the steps to follow are these:

- What would be the direct object of the gerund is put in the case in which the gerund was.
- The gerund becomes a gerundive agreeing (as any adjective) with the former object.

So, our former example would now be:

- Cupidus sum *epistulae scribendae*  I AM DESIROUS OF WRITING A LETTER.
  - Literal translation:  I AM DESIROUS OF THE LETTER THAT HAS TO BE WRITTEN.

Another example:

Construction with *gerund + direct object* (acceptable, but not common):

- Venio *ad videndum hos libros*  I COME TO SEE THESE BOOKS.

Construction with *gerundive* (preferred):

- Venio *ad hos libros videndos*  (same meaning)
  - Literal translation:  I COME TO THESE BOOKS THAT MUST BE SEEN.

Let’s see more complex examples:

- Quam putamus fuisse causam conscribendae legis huiusce?  WHAT DO WE THINK WAS THE REASON FOR SETTING THIS LAW?  (Quintilianus, *Declamationes Minores*).
  - What would have been *... causam conscribendi hanc legem*, in order to avoid the gerund *conscribendi* having an object, has been changed into a gerundive construction.

- Ipse in citeriorem Galliam *ad conventus agendos* profectus est  HE HIMSELF SET OUT FOR CISALPIN G AUL TO HOLD THE MEETINGS  (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).
  - What would have been *... ad agendum conventus* has been changed into *... ad conventos agendos*.

- *Ad eas res conficiendas* Orgetorix deligitur  ORGETORIX WAS CHOSEN TO FULFILL THESE OBJECTIVES  (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).
  - What would have been *Ad conficiendum eas res*... has been changed into a gerundive construction.

*c/ In some cases, it may happen that the replacement does not produce any visual change; observe this sentence:*

- Venio *ad videndum amicum*  I COME TO SEE A FRIEND OF MINE.

If we want to avoid *videndum* having a direct object (*amicum*) and we make the replacement, we will find that the result is the same: Venio *ad amicum videndum* (just the word order may be different), because *amicum* is put in accusative but it was already in accusative, and *videndum* must be in accusative (it already was) and masculine singular (which looks like the neuter gerund *videndum*).
4. Exceptions to the replacement

There are two cases in which this replacement does not take place.

a) Neuter adjectives or pronouns

If the direct object is a neuter adjective or pronoun, then we will leave the structure gerund + direct object without changing it into a gerundive structure in order to avoid a confusion. For example:

- *Cupidus sum videndi multa*  I AM DESIROUS OF SEEING MANY THINGS.

If we change it into a gerundive construction, we will have

Cupidus sum multorum videndorum.

This sentence could come either from (1) *Cupidus sum videndi multos* or from (2) *Cupidus sum videndi multa*, and it would be unclear whether it means I AM DESIROUS OF SEEING MANY PEOPLE (option 1) or I AM DESIROUS OF SEEING MANY THINGS (option 2).

b) Cacophonic reasons

The last example connects directly with the second reason. Let’s depart from a similar example:

- *Cupidus sum scribendi multos libros*  I AM DESIROUS OF WRITING MANY BOOKS.

If we change it into the gerundive structure, we will have

Cupidus sum multorum librorum scribendorum

and Latin tries to avoid these combinations of consecutive -orum or -arum; so we would leave it as it is, accepting a gerund with a direct object.

- *Mihi de memet ipso tam multa dicendi necessitas quaedam imposita est ab illo*  THE NEED OF SPEAKING SO MUCH ABOUT MYSELF HAS BEEN IMPOSED BY HIM (Cicero, *Pro Sulla*).

But, curiously, Cicero himself seems to skip this rule from time to time:

- ... si sunt ad rem militarem apti et cupidi bellorum gerendorum  ... IF THEY ARE APT FOR MILITARY LIFE AND EAGER FOR WAGING WARS (Cicero, *De Officiis*).

- We could have expected ... *cupidi gerendi bella*, but for some reason Cicero in this case preferred the other option in spite of the -orum ... -orum effect.
g) The periphrastic conjugation and the supine

1. The active periphrastic

a/ The active periphrastic conjugation is nothing else than the use of the active future participle in the role of predicative object with the verb sum; in other words, let’s imagine the sentence

- Petrus altus est  
  Peter is tall.

If we replace altus by an active future participle, let’s say scripturus, we will have

- Petrus scripturus est.

Altus is very easily translated by TALL, but we know that the translation of a future participle on its own (scripturus would mean THAT IS ABOUT TO WRITE) does not make much sense, so we will have to rephrase the resulting translation.

Word by word, it says PETER IS THAT IS ABOUT TO WRITE; instead of telling us is that Peter is tall, or clever, or whatever, it tells us that he is about to execute a specific action, the one expressed by the future participle. Obviously, the translation should be rephrased into PETER IS ABOUT TO WRITE.

More examples:

- Heri mea soror lectura erat hunc librum  
  Yesterday my sister was about to read this book.

- Nunc itura sum Romam  
  I am about to go to Rome.

- Quod vero Flavius tibi daturus est?  
  [The amount] that indeed Flavius is going to give you? (Cicero, Pro Roscio Comoedo).

- Castra posituri erant  
  They were about to pitch a camp (Livy, Ab Urbe Condita).

- Eiusdem iuris esse debent, qui sub eodem rege victuri sunt  
  Those who are going to live under the same king must have the same law (Curtius Rufus, Historiae Alexandri Magni).

b/ Another possible translation is TO HAVE THE INTENTION OF; for instance:

- Nunc audituri sumus hunc poetam  
  Now we have the intention of listening to this poet.

Now we are about to listen to this poet would also be perfectly suitable. Sometimes the context will tell us which option is better.
2. The passive periphrastic

The passive periphrastic conjugation follows the same parameters as the active one, but obviously the future participle will be passive; so, it will imply the use of a *passive future participle in the role of predicative object* with the verb *sum*; moreover, as it is normal in the passive future participle, there will be a sense of obligation, the sentence tells us that the subject must undergo some action. The double translation of the first example will show us the way to rephrase the literal translation:

- *Carthago delenda est*  
  CARThAGE IS THAT MUST BE DESTROYED  
  ◦ Simplified,  
  CARthAGE MUST BE DESTROYED.

- *Tres libri scribendi sunt*  
  THREE BOOKS MUST BE WRITTEN.

- *Caesar necandus erat*  
  CAESAR HAD TO BE MURDERED.

- *Ego autem si omnia quae dicenda sunt libere dixero, ...*  
  BUT IF I SAY FREELY EVERYTHING THAT MUST BE SAID, ...  
  (Cicero, *Pro Roscio Amerino*).

- *Impetus faciendus erat*  
  AN ATTACK HAD TO BE MADE  
  (Liv y, *Ab Urbe Condita*).

- *Eius modi civis laudandus ac diligendus est*  
  A CITIZEN OF THIS KIND MUST BE PRAISED AND ESTEEMED  
  (Cicero, *In Verrem*).

- *A iudicibus condemnandus est*  
  HE MUST BE CONDEMNED BY THE JUDGES  
  (Cicero, *Pro Plancio*).

Triple note for both active and passive periphrastic

a/ The use of a future participle is not enough to make it a periphrastic conjugation; for instance,

- *Heri quinque captivos necandos vidi*  
  YESTERDAY I SAW FIVE PRISONERS THAT ARE (WERE) ABOUT TO BE KILLED

is not an example of periphrastic conjugation, as the participle is not performing any role of predicative object (in this example, it is just giving some information about the direct object).

b/ Being in nominative is not enough either:

- *Necandi captivi fugerunt*  
  THE PRISONERS THAT WERE ABOUT TO BE KILLED FLED.

*Necandi* gives us information about the subject, but it is not a predicative object with the verb *sum* (which is not even in the sentence).

c/ But observe this example:

- *Captivi necandi sunt*  
  THE PRISONERS MUST BE KILLED.

This is a periphrastic conjugation (passive, in this example); now *necandi* does perform the role of predicative object with the verb *sum*. 
3. The supine in -um

The supine, also used for the formation of some participles, is on its own a verbal noun, but it is not used in the same way as the infinitive or the gerund (both verbal nouns also), as its use is very restricted to a couple of possibilities.

The first one of its two possible forms is the supine in accusative, with the ending -um. It is used with verbs of movement and it has a meaning of purpose; as a general rule, it will not have any object (only some scarce cases can be found in which it has a direct object):

- Venio lectum  I come to read.
- Milites praedatum ierunt  The soldiers went to plunder.
- Cubitum eo  I go to sleep.
- Postquam rediit a cena domum, abimus omnes cubitum  After he came back home from the dinner, all of us went to sleep (Plautus, Mostellaria).
- Illi oppugnatum venturi erant?  Were those going to come to fight? (Cicero, Pro Tullio).
- Legatos ad Iugurtham de iniuriis questum misit  He sent ambassadors to Iugurtha to complain about the offences (Sallust, Bellum Iugurthinum).

4. The supine in -u

This form is supposed to be dative, and it is used after a reduced group of adjectives; in English, the translation after these adjectives will depend on the usual way of expressing a concept after each one of them:

- Hoc est facile dictu  This is easy to say.
- Hic liber dignus lectu est  This book is worth reading.
- Grave est hoc dictu  This is unpleasant to say (Cicero, Pro Sulla).
- Quaerunt quid optimum factu sit  They ask what is the best thing to do (Cicero, In Verrem).

◊ In this last example, the supine is inside an indirect question.

A double example:

- O rem non modo visu foedam sed etiam auditu!  O, what a horrible thing not only to see but also to hear! (Cicero, Philippicae).
h) Combination of negatives

1. Tendencies in the use of negatives

a/ Apart from the combination of negatives that we will see in the following points, it is worth mentioning that Latin has a peculiar tendency to advance the sense of negativity as much as possible in the sentence. For instance:

- **Qui sic purgatus erit, diutina valetudine utetur, neque ullus morbus veniet** — *Who is cleansed in this way will enjoy lasting health, and no other illness will fall upon* (Porcius Cato, *De Agricultura*).

Observe that we could have expected ***..., atque nullus morbus veniet*** ..., and no other illness will fall upon

but the negative sense of *nullus* is applied to *atque* (and, obviously, *atque* and becomes *neque* nor and *nullus* no one becomes *ullus* any):

***..., neque ullus morbus veniet*** ..., which literally means *nor any illness will fall upon*.

b/ The same can happen with an adverb:

- **Memini neque umquam obliviscar noctis illius cum ...** — *I remember and I will never forget that night when ...* (Cicero, *Pro Plancio*).

We could have expected ***Memini atque numquam obliviscar*** I remember and I will never forget

but the negative sense of *numquam* is applied to *atque* (and, obviously, *atque* and becomes *neque* nor and *numquam* never becomes *umquam* ever):

***Memini neque umquam obliviscar*** ..., which literally means *I remember nor I will ever forget*.

2. Negatives cancelling or reinforcing each other?

The combination of the main negative *non* with another negative word may have two different results, depending on the position of the main negative adverb *non*:

a/ If *non* follows another negative word, it **cancels** the negative meaning of this word and makes it positive. The first translation that we offer, a literal translation, will make clear why:

- **Nemo non venit** — *Nobody did not come = Everybody came.*
- **Nihil non feci** — *Nothing have I not done = I have done everything.*
- **Numquam meos amicos non amabo** — *Never will I not love my friends = I will always love my friends.*
- **Eripere vitam nemo non homini potest** (Seneca iunior, *Phoenissae*) — *There is no one that can not take away the life from a man.*
• Aperte enim adulantem nemo non videt There is no one, to be sure, that does not see an open flatterer (Cicero, Laelius de Amicitia).

• Nihil non facere debuisti secundum meam voluntatem There is nothing you did not have to do / you had to do everything according to my desire (Quintilianus, Declamationes Minores).

In fact, the effect we achieve is much stronger. For instance, saying Nemo non venit has a much stronger effect than saying Omnes venerunt All came. By saying Nemo non venit we are making very clear that there was not a single person who did not come: in Latin, the double negative produces an affirmative sense much stronger than an affirmative sentence itself.

b/ But if non precedes a negative word, it reduces partially the negative meaning of this word:

- Non nemo venit Not nobody came = somebody came.
- Non feci nihil I have not done nothing = I have done something.
- Non nihil commoveor I am a little moved (Cicero, Pro Quinctio).
- Non numquam in Germaniam ibo I will not never go to Germany = I will go to Germany sometime.
- Quod fortasse non nemo vestrum audierit, ... What maybe some of you will have heard, ... (Cicero, In Verrem).
- In ipsa, inquam, curia non nemo hostis est In the Senate itself, I say, there is some enemy (Cicero, Pro Murena).

- Non nihil enim me levant tuae litterae hoc tempore Your letter alleviates me a little in this period (Cicero, Epistulae ad Atticum).

While the cancellation in a/ looked logical, this combination in which the main negative non precedes the other negative may be a little more difficult to grasp, but the explanation is quite simple. Observe the first example from above:

Non nemo venit [it is] not [the case that] nobody came, which means that at least somebody came.
ALIA

a) Peculiarities and idioms
1. General remarks
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3. Verbal expressions

b) Words that are easily confused
1. Non-verbal forms
2. Verbal forms
1. General remarks

Latin has some peculiarities and idioms that may present some difficulty to the student. Some involve a verb, while others do not.

In the case of those that are not linked to a definite verb, a participle, an infinitive or even a personal verbal form may still be found, but note that the peculiarity or idiom does not depend on this or that verb. Here, they have been grouped under *Non-verbal expressions*, and then subdivided according to several concepts.

Some expressions could have been placed under more than one heading; for instance, *re bene gesta* could have been placed under *Nouns involved* (with respect to the noun *res*) or under *Adjectives or participles involved* (with respect to the participle *gesta*).

In the case of those that are linked to a specific verb, they have been grouped under *Verbal expressions* and classified by alphabetical order of that verb (compound verbs will be found also inside the group of the verb of which they are a compound). We have alternated both orders of *verb + object*, as this variety is typical of Latin; therefore, for example, you can find either *iram condere* or *careo morte*. Moreover, some will be introduced just by mentioning the words that form the idiom, and others will be introduced by a whole sentence.

2. Non-verbal expressions

a) Nouns involved

- **dies, -ei**  
  *DAY*
  
  *diem de die*  
  *DAY AFTER DAY*

- *Cum is diem de die differret dum Hippocrates atque Himilco admoverent castra ...*  
  *AS HE WAS DELAYING THE ACTION UNTIL HIPPOCRATES AND HIMILCO MIGHT MOVE THEIR CAMP ...*  
  (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).

- **domus, -us**  
  *HOUSE*

  1/ **domi militiaeque**  
  *IN PEACE AND IN WAR*

- *Igitur domi militiaeque boni mores colebantur*  
  *THEREFORE GOOD CUSTOMS WERE PRACTISED IN PEACE AND IN WAR*  
  (Sallust, *Catilinae Coniuraio*).

  2/ **Unde domo?**  
  *FROM WHICH COUNTRY?*

  *WHERE ARE YOU GOING? WHAT PEOPLE ARE YOU? FROM WHICH COUNTRY?*  
  (Vergil, *Aeneis*).
nullum locum praetermitte  NOT TO MISS ANY OPPORTUNITY

... de qua planius paulo post suo loco dicemus, nunc breviter ...  ... ABOUT WHICH A LITTLE LATER WE WILL SPEAK MORE CLEARLY WHEN THE RIGHT MOMENT ARRIVES, NOW JUST BRIEFLY ... (Anon., Rhetorica ad Herennium).

Reliquos obsidum loco secum ducere decreverat  HE HAD DECIDED TO TAKE THE REMAINING ONES WITH HIM AS HOSTAGES (Caesar, De Bello Gallico).

Tamen is ad id locorum talis vir ... consulatum adpetere non audebat  NEVERTHELESS HE, UP TO THEN SUCH A MAN, DID NOT DARE TO STRIVE FOR THE CONSULATE (Sallust, Bellum Iugurthinum).

Te interea loci cognovi  MEANWHILE I BECAME ACQUAINTED WITH YOU (Terentius Afer, Eunuchus).

Neque post id locorum Iugurthae dies aut nox ulla quieta fuit  AFTERWARDS IUGURTHA DID NOT HAVE ANY DAY OR NIGHT IN CALM (Sallust, Bellum Iugurthinum).

Quo modo me ludos fecisti de illa conducticia fidicina?  WHY DID YOU MOCK ME ABOUT THAT HIRED LYRE-PLAYER? (Plautus, Epidicus).

Relinque istum ludum litterarium philosophorum  LEAVE THAT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL OF PHILOSOPHERS (Seneca iunior, Epistulae Morales ad Lucilium).

There are two ways of saying to mock someone:

aliquem/alicui ludos facere

Quo modo me ludos fecisti de illa conducticia fidicina?  WHY DID YOU MOCK ME ABOUT THAT HIRED LYRE-PLAYER? (Plautus, Epidicus).

Let’s remember that ludus may also mean SCHOOL:

ludus litterarum or ludus litterarius  ELEMENTARY SCHOOL (where children learnt the basics of writing and reading)

Relinque istum ludum litterarium philosophorum  LEAVE THAT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL OF PHILOSOPHERS (Seneca iunior, Epistulae Morales ad Lucilium).
opus est / usus est  IT IS NECESSARY

1/ These two impersonal expressions, both of them meaning  IT IS NECESSARY, are usually followed by a past participle in ablative instead of by an infinitive:

- Tibi ut opus est factum, fac  DO AS IT IS NECESSARY FOR YOU TO DO  (Cicero, De Finibus Bonorum et Malorum).
- Tacere nequeo misera quod tacito usus est  I CAN’T KEEP SILENT, POOR ME, WHAT NEED IS THERE TO BE SILENT (Plautus, Cistellaria).

2/ But we can find it also followed by an infinitive clause (which sounds more normal to our ears):

- Quid me tibi adesse opus est?  WHY DO I HAVE TO HELP YOU  (Plautus, Bacchides).

poena, -ae  PUNISHMENT

1/ poenas do

Although the first impression is that it means to impose a punishment, in fact it means to pay a penalty, to be punished:

- Praedones multi saepe poenas dant  MANY PIRATES OFTEN ARE PUNISHED  (Cicero, De Natura Deorum).

2/ The idiom that means TO IMPOSE A PENALTY is poenas peto (but there are many verbs that can be used instead of peto: expeto, sumo, capio, reposco, etc.):

- Forsitan poenas petet irata Iuno  MAYBE THE ANGRY JUNO WILL IMPOSE A PUNISHMENT  (Seneca iunior, Hercules Oetaeus).

3/ And the maximum punishment:

capitis poena  DEATH PENALTY

- Capitis poenam iis qui non paruerint constituit  HE ESTABLISHED THE DEATH PENALTY FOR THOSE WHO WOULD NOT OBEY (Caesar, De Bello Gallico).

res, rei  THING

As with dies, the noun res combines in many idioms:

1/ re vera  IN FACT

- Dat praeterea potestatem verbo praetoriam, re vera regiam  AFTERWARDS HE CONCEDES THEORETICALLY PRAETORIAN POWER, BUT IN FACT ROYAL POWER  (Cicero, De Lege Agraria).

2/ non ab re est  IT IS NOT DESPICABLE

- Id quoque notasse non ab re est  IT IS NOT DESPICABLE TO HAVE NOTED IT  (Pliny, Historia Naturalis).

Notasse = notavisse
3/ res publica    THE STATE

- A quo periculo prohibete *rem publicam*    KEEP THE STATE AWAY FROM THIS DANGER  (Cicero, *Pro Lege Manilia*).

4/ rerum potior    TO SEIZE POWER

- Dominationem tamen exspectant, *rerum potiri* volunt    THEY EXPECT TO HAVE CONTROL, THEY WANT TO SEIZE POWER  (Cicero, *In Catilinam*).

5/ res gestae    EXPLOITS, ACHIEVEMENTS

- Thucydides enim *rerum gestarum* proununtiator sincerus et grandis etiam fuit    THUCYDIDES WAS A SINCERE AND ALSO GREAT NARRATOR OF EXPLOITS  (Cicero, *Brutus*).

6/ res familiaris    THE HOUSEHOLD

- *Res familiaris* sua quemque delectat    EVERYBODY LIKES HIS OWN HOUSEHOLD  (Cicero, *Post Reditum ad Populum*).

7/ res rustica    AGRICULTURE

- Totae autem *res rusticae* eius modi sunt    ALL ASPECTS OF AGRICULTURE ARE OF THIS KIND  (Cicero, *In Verrem*).
  ✧ Literally, ALL AGRICULTURES ARE...

8/ res militaris    THE ART OF WAR, MILITARY AFFAIRS

- Demus igitur imperium Caesari sine quo *res militaris* administrari ... non potest  LET’S GIVE THE COMMANDMENT TO CAESAR, WITHOUT WHICH MILITARY AFFAIRS CAN NOT BE DEALT WITH  (Cicero, *Philippicae*).

9/ res manifesta    EVIDENCE

- *Res manifestas* quaeris    YOU DEMAND EVIDENCE  (Cicero, *In Verrem*).

☐ senatus, –us    SENATE

1/ senatu movere    TO EXPEL OUT OF THE SENATE
  ✧ Not in a physical sense but in the sense of removing from somebody the rank of senator.

2/ senatus frequens    THE WHOLE OF THE SENATE
  ✧ In the sense of a session in which most of its members are present, not in the sense of a totally unanimous decision:

  - Decrevit *senatus frequens* de meo reditu    THE WHOLE OF THE SENATE DECIDED ABOUT MY RETURN  (Cicero, *Pro Sestio*).

3/ senatus datus est    means that somebody was given the opportunity of speaking to the senate:

  - Ubi est Romam ventum, in Capitolio eis *senatus datus est*    WHEN HE CAME TO ROME, HE WAS GIVEN THE OPPORTUNITY OF SPEAKING TO THE SENATE  (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).
tempus, -oris  TIME

1/ id temporis  AT THAT TIME

• ... quos ego iam ... ad me id temporis venturos esse praedixeram ... WHOM I HAD ALREADY PREDICTED WOULD COME TO ME AT THAT TIME (Cicero, In Catilinam).

2/ The sense of tempus as CHANCE, OPPORTUNITY is very frequent:

tempore capto  TAKING ADVANTAGE OF THE OPPORTUNITY

• Cum ad Flaccum in castra venissent ut inde tempore capto abirent, ... WHEN THEY HAD GONE TO FLACCUS TO HIS CAMP IN ORDER TO DEPART FROM THERE AT THE RIGHT MOMENT, ... (Livy, Ab Urbe Condita).

temps habes  YOU HAVE A GOOD OPPORTUNITY

• Tempus habes tale quale nemo habuit umquam YOU HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY SUCH AS NOBODY EVER HAD (Cicero, Philippicae).

3/ ex quo tempore  FROM THE TIME WHEN

• Ex quo tempore tu me diligere coepisti ... FROM THE TIME WHEN YOU BEGAN TO ESTEEM ME ... (Cicero, Epistulae ad Familiares).

4/ ad tempus  AT THE APPOINTED TIME

• Accurrunt tamen ad tempus tutores THE DEFENDERS COME RUNNING AT THE APPOINTED TIME (Cicero, In Verrem).

5/ ad hoc tempus  UP TO NOW / THEN

• Nero princeps iussaret colosseum se pingi CXX pedum linteo, incognitum ad hoc tempus NERO, THE RULER, HAD ORDERED A COLOSSAL IMAGE OF HIM TO BE PAINTED IN A CLOTH OF 120 FEET, SOMETHING UNKNOWN UP TO THEN (Pliny, Historia Naturalis).

◊ Literally, it says ... HAD ORDERED THAT HE HIMSELF BE PAINTED ...; pingi is a passive infinitive.

via, -ae  WAY

se in viam dare  TO SET OFF

• In viam quod te des hoc tempore nihil est YOU SHOULD NOT SET OFF IN THIS TIME OF THE YEAR (Cicero, Epistulae ad Familiares).
b) Adjectives or participles involved

- **captus, -a, -um**  
  *CAPTURED*

  The participle *captus* offers us several idioms, all of them with the background meaning of *AFFECTED*:

1/ **mente captus, animi captus**  
  *SILLY, FOOLISH*

  - Quis potest esse .. tam *mente captus* qui neget ...?  
    *WHO CAN BE SO FOOLISH WHO MAY DENY ...?*  
    (Cicero, *In Catilinam*).

2/ **oculis captus**  
  *BLIND*

  - ... si *oculis captus* sit, ut Tiresias fuit, ...  
    *IF HE IS AS BLIND AS WAS TIRESIAS ...*  
    (Cicero, *De Divinatione*).

3/ **membris omnibus captus**  
  *DISABLED (in physical sense)*

  - Cum denique Q. Scaevola ... *membris omnibus captus ac debilis* ...  
    *WHEN FINALLY Q. SCAEVOLA, DISABLED AND WEAK ...*  
    (Cicero, *Pro Rabirio*).

- **certus, -a, -um**  
  *CERTAIN, AWARE*

1/ **certiorem facere**  
  *TO INFORM SOMEBODY*

  - ... perveniunt atque eum de rebus gestis *certiorem faciunt* ...  
    *THEY ARRIVE AND INFORM HIM ABOUT WHAT HAD BEEN DONE*  
    (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).

2/ **pro certo negare**  
  *TO DENY CATEGORICALLY*

  - Omnia quae recta non erunt *pro certo negato*  
    *EVERYTHING THAT IS NOT RIGHT, DENY IT CATEGORICALLY*  
    (Cicero, *Epistulae ad Atticum*).

  ✷ An example of future imperative, something difficult to find except in Cicero.

3/ **pro certo scire**  
  *TO KNOW AS SOMETHING SURE*

  - Quid rei esset nemo satis *pro certo scire*  
    *WHAT THE AFFAIR WAS, NOBODY KNEW FOR CERTAIN*  
    (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).

4/ **mihi certum est**  
  *I HAVE DECIDED*

  - *Mihi certum est ... illius uti confessione et testimoniiis*  
    *I HAVE DECIDED TO MAKE USE OF HIS CONFESSION AND OF THE WITNESSES*  
    (Cicero, *Pro Caecina*).

- **coeptus, -a, -um**  
  *BEGUN*

  The participle of *coepi* forms several temporal fixed expressions as ablative absolutes:

1/ **coepta luce**  
  *AT THE BEGINNING OF THE DAY*

  - *Coepta luce missae in latera legiones ... locum deseruere*  
    *AT THE BEGINNING OF THE DAY THE LEGIONS THAT HAD BEEN SENT TO THE FLANKS ABANDONED THE PLACE*  
    (Tacitus, *Annales*).

2/ **coepta hieme**  
  *AT THE BEGINNING OF THE WINTER*
dictus, -a, -um  
Said

Its neuter dictum, -i is used as a noun:

dicta dare  
TO PRONOUNCE WORDS

- Haec ubi *dicta dedit*, ...  
WHEN HE HAD SAID THESE WORDS, ...  (Vergil, *Aeneis*).

gestus, -a, -um  
DONE, MADE

1/ re bene gesta  
AFTER THE VICTORY HAD BEEN ACHIEVED

- omnibus locis *re bene gesta*, ... triumphants in urbem rediit  
AFTER VICTORY HAD BEEN ACHIEVED EVERYWHERE, HE CAME BACK INTO THE CITY AMONG CELEBRATIONS  (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).

2/ re male gesta  
AFTER SUFFERING A DEFEAT

- *Ita re male gesta* Cn. Pompeius filius naves inde avertit  
THUS, AFTER SUFFERING A DEFEAT, C. POMPEIUS, THE SON, DIVERTED HIS SHIPS FROM THERE  (Anon., *Bellum Africum*).

3/ res gestae  
EXPLOITS, ACHIEVEMENTS

- *Tuae res gestae* ita notae sunt ut ...  
YOUR EXPLOITS ARE SO WELL-KNOWEN THAT ...  (Cicero, *Epistulae ad Familiares*).

laborantes, -ium  
(Several meanings)

It may have the sense of  STRUGGLING: *milites laborantes*  
SOLDIERS THAT ARE STRUGGLING FOR THEIR LIFE.

- Tertiam aciem laborantibus nostris subsidio misit  
HE SENT THE THIRD LINE TO HELP OUR SOLDIERS THAT WERE STRUGGLING  (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).

magnus, -a, -um  
LARGE, BIG

1/ magna loqui  
TO SPEAK PROUDLY

- Cur non arma capiit, dat, quod vaga turba sequatur? Non erat hoc nimium numquam nisi *magna loquenti*  
WHY DOES HE NOT TAKE UP ARMS, SO THAT THE HESITANT CROWD MAY FOLLOW HIM? THIS WOULD NOT BE TOO MUCH FOR ONE WHO SPEAKS PROUDLY  (Ovid, *Metamorphoses*).

2/ magno emere  
TO BUY AT A HIGH PRICE

- Si *magno emerat*, ...  
IF HE HAD BOUGHT IT AT A HIGH PRICE, ...  (Cicero, *In Verrem*).

3/ magni aestimare / facere  
TO HAVE IN HIGH ESTEEM

- ... quod tu scis, quod ego *magni aestimo*  
WHAT YOU KNOW, WHAT I HAVE IN HIGH ESTEEM  (Cicero, *Epistulae ad Atticum*).

4/ magna voce  
ALOUD, LOUDLY

- *Magna voce dicere solet*  
HE USED TO SAY ALOUD ...  (Cicero, *Tusculanae Disputationes*).
natus, -a, -um  BORN

1/ post homines natos  SINCE MANKIND WAS CREATED
   - ... optimus multo post homines natos gladiator ...  THE BEST GLADIATOR, BY MUCH, SINCE MANKIND EXISTS
     (Lucilius, Saturae).

2/ natus may also mean  SON, and nata may mean  DAUGHTER.

pugnans, -antis  (several meanings)

pugnantia loquor  TO SAY CONTRADICTORY THINGS:
   - Pugnantia te loqui non vides?  DO YOU NOT SEE THAT YOU ARE SAYING CONTRADICTORY THINGS?
     (Cicero, Tusculanae Disputationes).

c) Cases of unexpected agreement  [455]

1/ When a predicative object is an adjective, we may find that the subject and predicative object do not agree in gender
   as one would expect. First let's take a look at what would be the expected case:
   - Victoria pulchra est  VICTORY IS BEAUTIFUL.
   But if it is written  Victoria pulchrum est  the meaning will be  VICTORY IS SOMETHING BEAUTIFUL.
   In this case, although victoria is feminine, the predicative object pulchrum is in neuter, and rather than just qualifying
   victoria it is telling us what victoria is.

2/ A similar phenomenon is the use of a masculine or feminine demonstrative form instead of the expected neuter:
   - Eius belli haec fuit causa  THIS WAS THE REASON FOR THIS WAR  (Caesar, De Bello Gallico).
   We could have found  ... hoc fuit causa,  THIS WAS THE REASON ..., with hoc meaning  THIS  in a general sense (some
   circumstance, some event, etc.), but it is made to agree in gender with causa.

d) The personal construction of the infinitive  [456]

With verbs of reporting, instead of the impersonal construction of the infinitive, such as

Dicitur Caesarem venisse  IT IS SAID THAT CAESAR HAS COME

where dicitur is used impersonally (although, grammatically speaking, the infinitive clause Caesarem venisse is the
subject), we can find the personal construction of the infinitive:

Caesar dicitur venisse  IT IS SAID THAT CAESAR HAS COME
   ✷ Literally,  CAESAR IS SAID TO HAVE COME.
   ✷ Observe that now the verb dicitur has a personal subject (Caesar).
Let’s see a double example in which moreover there is a predicative object:

- Impersonal construction:  
  \[
  \text{Dicitur Graecos gratos esse}
  \]
  IT IS SAID THAT THE GREEKS ARE GRATEFUL.

- Personal construction:  
  \[
  \text{Graeci dicuntur grati esse}
  \]
  IT IS SAID THAT THE GREEKS ARE GRATEFUL.

- Literally, THE GREEKS ARE SAID TO BE GRATEFUL.
- Observe that now the predicative object \text{grati} is in nominative, as now it refers to the subject of the main verb.

Some examples of personal construction

- \text{Dicitur eo tempore glorians apud suos Pompeius dixisse ...}  
  IT IS SAID THAT AT THAT TIME POMPEIUS, BOASTING AMONG HIS MEN, SAID ...  
  (Caesar, \textit{Bellum Civile}).

- \text{C. Verres per triennium ... fana spoliasse dicitur}  
  IT IS SAID THAT VERRES PLUNDERED THE TEMPLES FOR THREE YEARS  
  (Cicero, \textit{In Q. Caecilium}).

- \text{Hi centum pagos habere dicuntur}  
  THESE ARE SAID TO HAVE ONE-HUNDRED DISTRICTS  
  (Caesar, \textit{De Bello Gallico}).

- \text{Septem fuisses dicuntur uno tempore, qui sapientes et haberentur et vocarentur}  
  IT IS SAID THAT THEY WERE SEVEN AT THE SAME TIME, WHO WERE BOTH CONSIDERED AND CALLED WISE  
  (Cicero, \textit{De Oratore}).

\textbf{e) The uses of \textit{et}}

1/ The usual meaning of AND:

Not much to comment here, as this is the most well-known meaning of \textit{et}:

- \text{In Graecia Lacedaemonii \textit{et} Athenienses coepere urbis atque nationes subigere}  
  IN GREECE SPARTANS AND ATHENIANS STARTED TO SUBDUE CITIES AND NATIONS  
  (Sallust, \textit{Catilinae Coniuratio}).

2/ The meaning of ALSO:

- \text{Non solum meum patrem, \textit{et} me necare conati sunt}  
  THEY TRIED TO KILL NOT ONLY MY FATHER, BUT ALSO ME.

3/ The meaning BOTH ... AND:

When repeated, they must be translated by BOTH ... AND:

- \text{L. Catilina, nobili genere natus, fuit magna vi \textit{et} animi \textit{et} corporis}  
  L. CATILINA, BORN FROM NOBLE ORIGIN, WAS A MAN OF GREAT STRENGTH BOTH OF SOUL AND OF BODY  
  (Sallust, \textit{Catilinae Coniuratio}).

\textbf{f) Quod si}

This combination means BUT IF. The meaning BUT is not one of the usual meanings of \textit{quod}, although in this case we must accept that this combination produces this meaning:

- \text{Quod si ille suas proferet tabulas, proferet suas quoque Roscius}  
  BUT IF HE SHOWS HIS ALSO  
  (Cicero, \textit{Pro Roscio Comoedo}).
g) Futurum esse / fore ut

1/ We have seen in the section on infinitive clauses that future infinitives can be used like in this example:

- Direct statement: Octavia libros Caesari dabit Octavia will give the books to Caesar.
- Indirect statement: Puto Octaviam libros Caesari daturam esse I think that Octavia will give the books to Caesar.

The problem would come if the verb is in the passive voice, like in this example:

- Direct statement: Pons delebitur a Caesare The bridge will be destroyed by Caesar.
- Indirect statement: Puto pontem deletum iri a Caesare I think that the bridge will be destroyed by Caesar.

Although this is grammatically right, the passive future infinitive is not much used for reported speech, and in exchange this kind of statements about a future action are usually expressed in another way: by means of futurum esse, the future infinitive of sum in its neuter singular form, followed by a result clause (obviously, introduced by ut).

- The sense of future is in the infinitive futurum esse (or fore).
- The action to be performed is expressed in the ut clause.

The final result would be Puto fore ut pons a Caesare deleatur.

✧ Literally: I think that it will be that the bridge be destroyed by Caesar.

- Sperant fore ut patris litteris nuntiisque filius ab illo furore revocetur They hope that the son may be called off from that insanity by means of letters and messengers from his father (Cicero, In Verrem).

2/ This device is also used in the active voice, in case we need a future active infinitive of a verb that has no supine (and that therefore can not have a future active infinitive):

- Direct statement: Marcus Caesarem timebit Marcus will fear Caesar.
- Indirect statement: Puto Marcum Caesarem + future inf. of timeo I think that Marcus will fear Caesar.

The verb timeo has no supine, so it does not have a future infinitive. The only option we have is to use the aforementioned construction with fore (futurum esse) ut:

Puto fore ut Marcus Caesarem timeat I think that Marcus will fear Caesar.

✧ Literally: I think that it will be that Marcus fear Caesar.

3/ So, the usage of fore ut is a matter either of avoiding the unusual passive future infinitive or of solving the problem of the absence of a future active infinitive. For example, the deponent verb ulciscor to avenge has no future infinitive; most deponent verbs can have a future infinitive, like conaturum, -am, -um esse, but not all of them have it, and ulturum, -am, -um esse (derived from its perfect form ultus sum) is not found:

- Clamabant fore ut ipsi se di immortales ulciscerentur They were shouting that the immortal gods themselves would avenge them (Cicero, In Verrem).
3. Verbal expressions

As specified at the beginning of the chapter, the verbal expressions are listed alphabetically, grouping together the expressions based on the same verb; some additional explanation has been added when it has been considered necessary.

The list of verbal expressions can be endless, so we have included only those which students are more liable to come across.

- admitto
  - *equo admiss* ad eum accurrit *Considius runs to him at full gallop* (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).

- aestimo
  1/ As part of the genitive of value, we can find a strange construction to mean that we value something at very little: instead of using the genitive *minimi*, we use the genitive *assis* of an *as* (minimum monetary unit, like a penny) or the genitive *flocci* of a lock of wool:
    - *Rumores senum severiorum omnes unius aestimemus assis* let’s value all the gossip of the elderly people, rather austere, at one *as* (Catullus, *Carmina*).
    - *Rumorem, famam flocci fecit* he gave no importance to gossip and fame (Sex. Pompeius Festus, *De Verborum Significatione*).
  2/ In this idiom it is common to find the phenomenon of the unnecessary negative (*a non* that must not be translated):
    - *Non ego te flocci facio* i give no importance to you (Plautus, *Curculio*).
      ✧ Without the *Non*, it would go on meaning the same.

Of course, if we want to translate this *Non*, then we must remove the negative meaning from *flocci*: I do not give any importance to you. We have changed from no importance to any importance.

- ago
  1/ *vitam ago* to spend one’s life
  2/ *gratias ago* to say thanks
    - *Maximas tibi omnes gratias agimus* we all are very grateful to you (Cicero, *Pro Marcello*).
  3/ *animam agere* to die
    - *Hortensius, cum has litteras scripsi, animam agebat* hortensius, while I wrote this letter, was dying (Cicero, *Epistolae ad Familiares*).
  4/ *causam agere* to defend a judicial case
    - *Cicero ipse etiam causam egit ad populum* cicero himself defended the case in front of the people (Asconius Pedianus, *Pro Milone*).
• *Dic, amabo te, ubi est Diniarchus?* **Tell me, please, where is Diniarchus?** (Plautus, *Truculentus*).

This verb, that has the meaning of *to summon*, may have the meaning of *to accuse*, in the sense of *calling to court*, and the accusation is in genitive:

• *pecuniae captae arcessere* **to accuse of bribery**

• ... *quos pecuniae captae arcessebat*, ... ... *whom he accused of bribery*, ... (Sallust, *Bellum Iugurthinum*).

• *audio*

1/ *male audire* **to have a bad reputation**

• *Nullo in loco male audit misericordia* **Mercy has bad fame nowhere** (Publilius Syrus, *Sententiae*).

2/ *male loqui* **to speak in bad terms about somebody**

• *At etiam, furcifer, male loqui mi audes?* **And you, rascal, do you dare to speak in bad terms about me?**

※ Observe that the person about whom we speak in bad terms must be in *dative* (and here *mi* = *mihi*).

• *capio*

1/ *portum capere* **to arrive in harbour**

• *Hae naves euro ... portum capere prohibebantur* **These ships were prevented from arriving in harbour by the Eurus**

   (Anon., *Bellum Alexandrinum*).

   ※ The Eurus was a kind of wind (but Eurosceptics may like this example about the bad effects of the Euro...).

2/ *tempus capere* **to make use of the opportunity**

• *Commode tempus ad te cepit adeundi* **He suitably made use of the opportunity of approaching you**

   (Cicero, *Epistulae ad Familiares*).

• *cero*

• *carere morte* **to be immortal**

• *Carmina morte carent* **Poems are immortal** (Ovid, *Amores*).

• *cogo*

• *Si res cogat, ... If it is necessary* ※ Literally, *if the situation compels, ...*
... bello quoque *si res cogat* ... ALSO BY MEANS OF WAR, IF THE SITUATION MAKES IT NECESSARY (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).

1. *condo*  
   **TO HIDE YOUR ANGER**
   
   - *Adeo iram condiderat* To such an extent he had hidden his anger (Tacitus, *Annales*).

2. *in carcerem condere*  
   **TO IMPRISON**
   
   - *Hominibus acceptis et in carcerem conditis* ... After the men had been taken and imprisoned ... (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).

1. *deleo*  
   **TO PUT A COMPLETE END TO THE WAR**
   
   - *Non modo praesentia, verum etiam futura bella delevit* Not only did he put an end to the current wars, but even to the future ones (Cicero, *Laelius de Amicitia*).

1. *vela dare*  
   **TO SET SAIL**
   
   - *Hanc quoque deserimus sedem paucisque relictis vela damus* We leave also this settlement and, after leaving behind a few people, we set sail (Vergil, *Aeneis*).

2. *poenas dare*  
   **TO SUFFER THE PUNISHMENT**
   
   - *Praedones multi saepe poenas dant* Often many pirates suffer punishment (Cicero, *De Natura Deorum*).

1. *uxorem ducere*  
   **TO GET MARRIED**
   
   Related to this meaning, we have these idioms:

   - *ducere ex plebe* **TO MARRY A WOMAN FROM THE PLEBS**  
     ✧ Observe the absence of direct object.
     
     - *... nec ducendo ex plebe neque vestras filias sororesque ecnubere sinendo ...* Neither marrying women from the plebs nor allowing your daughters and sisters to marry ... (Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*).

   - *ex latere uxorem ducere* **TO GET MARRIED WITH A COUSIN**

2. *fossam ducere*  
   **TO DIG A DITCH**
   
   - *Duxit fossam latitudine pedum C* He dug a ditch one hundred feet wide (Plinius Secundus, *Naturalis Historia*).
Apart from the normal meaning of *to go out*, this verb can also mean *to exceed*, and we can find this meaning in these idioms:

1/ **modum egredi**  
*to exceed the limit*

- *Sed copia quoque modum egressa vitiosa est*  
  *But also excess*  
  (literally, *abundance that has exceeded the limit* is a fault)  
  (Quintilianus, *Institutio Oratoria*).

2/ **decem annos egressus**  
*at the age of ten years*

- **exigo**

  1/ With expressions of time (accusatives of extension), it has the meaning of *to spend*:

  - *Noctem domi exegi*  
    *I spent the night at home.*

  2/ **secum aliquid exigere**  
  *to meditate about something with yourself*

  - *Dum talia secum exigit Hippomenes, ...*  
    *While Hippomenes meditates about such matters with himself, ...*  
    (Ovid, *Metamorphoses*).

- **experior**

  **experior extrema omnia**  
  *to make use of the very last resources*

  - *Constituit bellum facere et extrema omnia experiri*  
    *He decided to wage war and make use of the very last resources*  
    (Sallust, *Catilinae Coniuratio*).

- **facio**

  1/ **potestatem facere**  
  *to authorise*

  - *Caesar iis, quos in castris retinuerat, discendendi potestatem fecit*  
    *Caesar gave permission to leave to those whom he had retained in the camp*  
    (Caesar, *De Bello Gallico*).

  2/ **ab/cum aliquo facere**  
  *to support someone*

  - *Si ratio mecum facit, ...*  
    *If I am right, ...*  
    (Cicero, *De Divinatione*).

  ✫ Literally,  
  *If reason supports me, ...*

  3/ **naufragium facere**  
  *to suffer shipwreck*

  - *Inprobe Neptunum accusat, qui iterum naufragium facit*  
    *He who suffers shipwreck a second time accuses Neptune improperly*  
    (Publilius Syrus, *Sententiae*).
1/ bellum gero  TO WAGE WAR

- Magna cum hominum multitudine bellum gerere conantur  THEY TRY TO WAGE WAR WITH A LARGE MULTITUDE OF MEN (Caesar, De Bello Gallico).

2/ When used reflexively, it means  TO BEHAVE:

- Se bene gessit  HE BEHAVED WELL.
- Ita se gessit, ut ea facere ei liceret  HE BEHAVED THUS, AS IF IT WERE ALLOWED TO HIM TO DO THAT (Cicero, Tusculanae Disputationes).

1/ Ludibrio aliquem habeo  TO MOCK SOMEBODY

- Ludibrio, pater, habeor  I AM BEING MOCKED, FATHER  (Plautus, Menaechmi).

2/ orationem habere  TO MAKE A SPEECH
- oratione habita, ...  AFTER THE SPEECH, ...
- verba habere  TO SAY A FEW WORDS.

- M. Porcius Cato ... sententiam huiusce modi orationem habuit  M. PORCIUS CATO MADE A SPEECH IN THIS WAY (Sallust, Catilinae Coniuratio).

3/ vitam/aetatem habere  TO SPEND YOUR LIFE

- Qui ... in obscuro vitam habent, ...  THOSE WHO SPEND THEIR LIFE IN AN IGNoble WAY ...
(Cassius, Catilinae Coniuratio).

4/ bene se habere  TO FEEL WELL

- Imperator, inquit, bene se habet  THE EMPEROR, HE SAID, FEELS WELL  (Seneca senior, Suasoriae).

5/ res sic se habet  THIS IS THE STATE OF AFFAIRS

- Sic enim res se habet  THIS IS THE STATE OF AFFAIRS  (Cicero, De Natura Deorum).

6/ persuasum habeo  TO BE PERSUADED

- Romanos ... culmina Alpium occupare conari ... persuasum habebant  THEY WERE PERSUADED THAT THE ROMANS WERE TRYING TO OCCUPY THE SUMMITS OF THE ALPS  (Caesar, De Bello Gallico).

1/ iaceo  PRICES ARE VERY LOW

- Accepit enim agrum temporibus eis cum iacerent pretia praediorum  HE TOOK POSSESSION OF A FIELD IN THOSE TIMES WHEN THE PRICES OF FARMS WERE VERY LOW  (Cicero, Pro Roscio Comoedo).
Peculiarities and idioms

1/ lego

vestigia legere
TO FOLLOW THE TRACK

- ..., qui sparsa ducis vestigia legit..., WHO FOLLOWED THE SCATTERED TRACKS OF THE GENERAL (Lucan, Bellum Civile).

2/ In its more basic meaning of TO PICK UP, it may also mean TO STEAL:

sacra legere
TO STEAL SACRED OBJECTS

- Sacrilegus dicitur, qui sacra legit HE WHO STEALS SACRED OBJECTS IS CALLED SACRILEGIOUS (Servius Honoratus, In Vergilii Bucolicon Librum).

2/ mitto

1/ vocem pro aliquo mittere
TO SPEAK IN SOMEBODY’S DEFENCE

- Haec ergo cum viderem, ... vocem pro me ac pro re publica neminem mittere, ... SO, WHEN I SAW THESE THINGS, THAT NOBODY SPOKE IN MY DEFENCE OR IN DEFENCE OF THE STATE, ... (Cicero, Pro Sestio).

2/ manu mittere
TO GIVE FREEDOM

- Omnes illos in testamento meo manu mitto IN MY WILL, I GIVE FREEDOM TO ALL THOSE (Petronius, Satyrica).

2/ moveo

1/ gradum moveo
TO WALK A STEP

- Move formicinum gradum MAKE AN ANT’S STEP! (Plautus, Menaechmi).

2/ castra movere
TO DISMANTLE THE CAMP

- Duodecimo die castra movet ON THE TWELFTH DAY HE DISMANTLES THE CAMP (Caesar, De Bello Gallico).

3/ lacrimas movere
TO MAKE CRY

- Ego fortasse illi lacrimas movebo MAYBE I WILL MAKE HIM CRY (Seneca iunior, Epistulae Morales ad Lucilium).

- Observe that the person affected must be in dative.

2/ mutari in peius
TO GET WORSE

- Bona facile mutatur in peius GOOD THINGS GET WORSE EASILY (Quintilianus, Institutio Oratoria).
narro

male/bene narrare  TO BRING GOOD/BAD NEWS

- Male narras de Nepotis filio  YOU BRING BAD NEWS ABOUT NEPOS’ SON  (Cicero, Epistulae ad Atticum).

nubo

As this verb is used when talking about a woman (in nominative) marrying a man (in dative), we can find this funny idiom:

- Uxor nubere nolo meae  I DO NOT WANT TO BE UNDER MY WIFE’S CONTROL  (Martial, Epigrammata).

◊ The grammatical interchange of functions produces this image of a woman and a man exchanging the familiar roles: the woman has become the husband and the man has become the wife.

oportet

Preceded by atque, very frequently it has the meaning of  WHEN IT IS CONVENIENT:

- ... alio tempore atque oportuerit  ... AT A MOMENT WHEN IT WAS CONVENIENT  (Caesar, De Bello Gallico).

pello

1/ sitim pello  TO PUT AN END TO YOUR THIRST

- ... nec sitim pellit  ... AND HE DOES NOT PUT AN END TO HIS THIRST  (Horace, Carmina).

2/ famem pello  TO PUT AN END TO YOUR HUNGER

peto

1/ ima petere  TO SINK

- Ima petunt piscis  FISH SINK  (Ovid, Metamorphoses).

2/ altum petere  TO GO OUT TO DEEP SEA

- Lustrata classe ... altum petit  AFTER THE FLEET HAD BEEN PURIFIED HE PUT OUT TO SEA  (Livy, Ab Urbe Condita).

3/ poenas ab aliquo petere  TO SEEK REVENGE ON SOMEBODY

- ... etiam si poenas a populo Romano ob aliquod delictum expetiverunt ...  EVEN IF THEY SOUGHT REVENGE ON THE ROMAN PEOPLE BECAUSE OF SOME CRIME  (Cicero, Pro Marcello).

profiteor

1/ Although this verb usually means  TO CONFESS, it can also have the meaning of working in a specific specialisation:

- Medicinam profiteor  I WORK AS A PHYSICIAN.
- Ii, qui rationalem medicinam profitentur, ...  THOSE WHO PRACTISE RATIONAL MEDICINE ...

2/ It may also mean  TO OFFER:

- Operam profiteor  I OFFER MY SUPPORT.
recipio

se recipere  TO GO, TO WITHDRAW

- Germani ... trans Rhenum sese receperunt  THE GERMANS WITHDREW ACROSS THE RHINE (Caesar, De Bello Gallico).

revertor

ad sanatatem reverti  TO RETURN TO A SOUND MIND

- Caesar ... eum ad sanatatem reverti arbitrabantur  CAESAR THOUGHT THAT HE WAS RETURNING TO A SOUND MIND (Caesar, De Bello Gallico).

rogo

1/ legem rogare  TO PROPOSE A LAW

- Q. Papirius, qui hanc legem rogavit, ...  Q. PAPIRIUS, WHO PROPOSED THIS LAW, ... (Cicero, De Domo Sua).

2/ Uti rogas  AS YOU PROPOSE (an affirmative vote)

- Tabellae ministrabantur ita ut nulla daretur 'uti rogas'  VOTING TABLETS WERE DISTRIBUTED SO THAT NO ONE TABLET OF “AS YOU PROPOSE” MIGHT BE GIVEN (Cicero, Epistulae ad Atticum).

sto

1/ magno pretio stare  TO COST A LOT

- Quinque talentis stat  IT COSTS FIVE TALENTS.
- Polybius scribit centum talentis eam rem Achaeis stetisse  POLYBIUS WRITES THAT THIS COST ONE-HUNDRED TALENTS TO THE ACHAEANS (Livy, Ab Urbe Condita).

2/ Mihi sententia stat hoc facere  I HAVE DECIDED TO DO THIS.

- Hannibal, postquam ipsi sententia stetit pergere, ...  HANNIBAL, AFTER HE DECIDED TO PROCEED, ... (Livy, Ab Urbe Condita).

studeo

1/ nobis rebus studere  TO DESIRE A REVOLUTION

- Cupiditate regni adductus novis rebus studebat  MOVED BY THE DESIRE OF POWER, HE DESIRED A REVOLUTION (Caesar, De Bello Gallico).
- ◊ Let’s remember that studeo rules dative.

2/ It may also mean  TO SUPPORT (for instance, in elections):

- Hegesaretos ... Pompeianis rebus studebat  HEGESARETOS SUPPORTED POMPEIUS’ SIDE (Caesar, Bellum Civile).
sum

1/ opus est  TO BE NECESSARY
This construction is followed by a dative of the person who needs something:

Opus est mihi ... I NEED ...

and the thing needed can be either in *nominative* or in *ablative*:

- *Opus est mihi amicus* / *Opus est mihi amico* I NEED A FRIEND.
- *Quid opus est mihi libris?* WHAT NEED DO I HAVE OF CHILDREN? (Plautus, *Miles Gloriosus*).

In a few cases, we can find the needed thing in genitive:

- *Opus est mihi amici* (same meaning).

2/ fructui est  TO BE AN ASSET
This is one of the usual constructions of double dative, but with a very strong idiomatic meaning:

- *Nihil est quod tibi maiori fructui gloriaeque esse possit* THERE IS NOTHING THAT COULD BE A GREATER ASSET AND GLORY TO YOU (Cicero, *Epistulae ad Familiareas*).

3/ cum imperio esse  TO BE IN COMMAND

- *Ipse autem Caesar ... erat cum imperio* CAESAR HIMSELF WAS IN COMMAND (Cicero, *Pro Sestio*).

supero
It may have the meaning of  TO OUTLIVE:

- *Marcus Caesari vita superavit* MARCUS LIVED LONGER THAN CAESAR.
  ✡ Vita must be in *ablative*, and the person who has been outlived must be in *dative*.

tollo

1/ signa tollere  TO GET IN MOTION (an army as subject)

- *Altera ex duabus legionibus ... signa sustulit seseque Hispalim recept* ONE OF THE TWO LEGIONS GOT IN MOTION AND WENT TO Hispalis (Caesar, *Bellum Civile*).

2/ in crucem tollere  TO CRUCIFY

- *Pastorem ... in crucem sustulit* HE CRUCIFIED A SHEPHERD (Quintilianus, *Institutio Oratoria*).

3/ aliquem tollere  TO KILL SOMEONE

- *Sustulit hic matrem, sustulit ille patrem* THIS ONE KILLED HIS MOTHER, THAT ONE KILLED HIS FATHER (Suetonius, *De Vita Caesarum*).
valeo

It may mean TO HAVE INFLUENCE:

- Apud Gallos Vercingetorix multum valebat VERCINGETORIX HAD A GREAT INFLUENCE AMONG THE GAULS.

venio

1/ in consuetudinem venire TO BECOME NORMAL PRACTICE

- Quod quoniam iam in consuetudinem venit ... AS THIS HAS BECOME NORMAL PRACTICE ... (Cicero, Pro Caecina).

2/ in odium Caesari venire TO BECOME AN OBJECT OF HATRED FOR CAESAR

- Tu non vides ... nomen huic populo in odium venisse regium? DO NOT YOU SEE THAT THE ROYAL NAME HAS BECOME AN OBJECT OF HATRED FOR THIS COUNTRY? (Cicero, De Republica).

verto

sententiam vertere TO CHANGE YOUR MIND

- Maxime tamen sententiam vertisse dicitur Ti. Gracchus IT IS SAID THAT NEVERTHELESS T. GRACCHUS CHANGED HIS MIND COMPLETELY (Livy, Ab Urbe Condita).

voco

This verb may have the meaning of making somebody end up in this or that situation:

in discrimen vocare TO PUT IN DANGER

- Eum ... in discrimen omnium fortunarum vocavisti? DID YOU PUT HIM IN DANGER OF ALL HIS FORTUNES? (Cicero, Pro Flacco).
b) Words that are easily confused

In Latin, we encounter words that seem to be almost identical, and which therefore may lead to confusion in meaning. These words differ only very slightly, perhaps in one letter or maybe even they look equal letter by letter (there may be a difference in the length of some vowels, but this is not reflected in texts).

1. Non-verbal forms

- We include participles, although they are obviously verbal adjectives.
- In the cases in which the dictionary entry forms coincide, we have written the word just once.

- aetas, -atis and aestas, -atis
  a/ aetas, -atis means AGE, PERIOD.
  b/ aestas, -atis means SUMMER.

- forte
  a/ It can be the neuter of the adjective fortis, -e STRONG.
  b/ It can also be the adverb that means BY CHANCE.

- liber
  a/ It can be the noun liber, libri BOOK.
  b/ It can also be the masculine of the adjective liber, -a, -um, and we should remember also that in plural liberi, -orum is used to mean CHILDREN:

  • Cum meos liberos et uxorem me absente ... defendisses, ... AS YOU DEFENDED MY CHILDREN AND MY WIFE WHILE I WAS ABSENT, ... (Cicero, Pro Plancio).

- natus
  a/ natus, -us is a noun of the 4th declension, and it means BIRTH. It is much used in the ablative, in the sense of WITH RESPECT TO BIRTH:

  • De istis rebus ... maiores nato consulemus LET'S CONSULT THOSE OLDER BY BIRTH / BY AGE ABOUT THESE MATTERS (Livy, Ab Urbe Condita).
  
  b/ natus, -a, -um is the past participle of the verb nascor, -i, natus sum TO BE BORN. Moreover, it is used also in the sense of SON (natus) and DAUGHTER (nata).
Words that are easily confused

- **nusquam** and **numquam**
  - **nusquam** is an adverb that means **NOWHERE**:
    
    - Tu censeo Luceriam venias; **nusquam** eris tutius
    
    *I SUGGEST THAT YOU COME TO LUCERIA; NOWHERE WILL YOU BE MORE IN SAFETY* (Cicero, *Epistulae ad Atticum*).
  
  - **numquam** means **NEVER**:
    
    - Numquam te antea vidimus
    
    *WE HAVE NEVER SEEN YOU BEFORE* (Cicero, *Divinatio in Q. Caecilium*).

- **populus**, -i
  - **It can be the noun** populus, -i, masculine, **PEOPLE**.
  - **It can be the noun** populus, -i, feminine, **POPLAR-TREE**. It is worth remembering that nouns of trees are usually feminine.

- **relictus** and **reliquus**
  - **relictus** is the past passive participle of the verb relinquo, -ere, reliqui, relictum **TO LEAVE BEHIND, TO ABANDON**, therefore it means **ABANDONED, LEFT BEHIND**.
  
  - **reliquus** is an adjective that means **REMAINING**.

  The problem with these two words is that **reliquus** has a much greater resemblance to the verb relinquo, but the form that comes from this verb happens to be the other one, **relictus**.

- **victus**, -a, -um
  - **Past passive participle of** vinco, -ere, vici, victum **TO CONQUER**.
  
  - **Past passive participle of** vivo, -ere, vixi, victum **TO LIVE**  
    
    *This verb is scarcely used in the passive.*

- **vir** and **vis**
  - **vir**, -i, **2nd declension** **MAN**
  
  - **vis**, -- **3rd declension and irregular** **STRENGTH**  
    
    *The genitive vis belongs to late Latin.*

A usual mistake when translating a text of military content is to translate a sentence like Urbem oppugnavit omnibus viribus by HE BESIEGED THE CITY WITH ALL HIS MEN instead of ... WITH ALL HIS FORCES, for the simple reason that the first translation sounds logical.

- **quidam** and **quidem**
  - **quidam** is the masculine of the indefinite pronoun quidam, quaedam, quoddam **A CERTAIN**.
  
  - **quidem** is an adverb that means **INDEED** (and let’s remember that the combination ne ... quidem means NOT EVEN).
2. Verbal forms

- **fugio** and **fugo**
  - **fugio, -ere, fugi** *(no supine)*: **TO FLEE** ⚫ It is an intransitive verb.
  - **fugo, -are, -avi, -atum**: **TO PUT TO FLIGHT** ⚫ It is a transitive verb.

- **cado, caedo** and **occido**
  - **cado, -ere, cecidi, casum**: **TO FALL** (therefore also **TO DIE**) ⚫ It is an intransitive verb.
  - **caedo, -ere, cecidi, caesum**: **TO MAKE FALL** (therefore also **TO KILL**) ⚫ It is a transitive verb.

These two verbs have a compound each, with the same meaning, not only sharing the perfect but also the present tense:

- **occido, -ere, occidi, occas** TO FALL, TO DIE ⚫ Intransitive verb
- **occido, -ere, occidi, occisum** TO MAKE FALL, TO KILL ⚫ Transitive verb

- **pareo, paro, pario** and **parco**
  - **pareo, -ere, parui** *(no supine)* has two meanings:
    - **TO APPEAR, TO BE EVIDENT** ⚫ In this meaning, it is intransitive.
    - **TO OBEY** ⚫ In this meaning, the person one obeys is in dative.
  - **paro, -are, -avi, -atum**: **TO PREPARE** ⚫ It is therefore transitive.
  - **pario, -ere, peperi, partum**: **TO GIVE BIRTH** ⚫ It is transitive.
  - **parco, -ere, peperci, parsum**: **TO SPARE** ⚫ Usually its object is in *dative*.

- **redeo** and **reddo**
  - **redeo, -ire, -ii, -itum**: **TO GO BACK, TO COME BACK** ⚫ It is intransitive.
  - **reddo, -ere, reddidi, redditum**: **TO GIVE BACK** ⚫ It is transitive.

- **servio** and **servo**
  - **servio, -ire, -ivi, -itum**: **TO SERVE** ⚫ Usually it has its object (the person whom one serves) in dative.
  - **servo, -are, -avi, -atum**: **TO SAVE** ⚫ It has its object in accusative.

- **sto** and **sisto**
  - **sto, stare, steti, statum**: **TO STAND** ⚫ It is intransitive.
  - **sisto, -ere, stiti, statum**: **TO CAUSE TO STAND** ⚫ It is transitive.

- **video** and **viso**
  - **video, -ere, vidi, visum**: **TO SEE**
  - **viso, -ere, visi, visum**: **TO GO TO SEE** and **TO BEHOLD**

The similarity in meaning between these two verbs sometimes makes students think that the perfect tense of the frequent verb *video* is *visi* instead of *vidi*, and the fact that its supine features also an “s”, *visum* (as the supine of *viso*), adds to this confusion.
### Index of grammatical terms

This index contains the English terms and expressions that have been used in the presentation of Latin grammar.

In some cases, the same item can be found under two or more different entries; for instance, *Personal construction of the infinitive* can be found under *Infinitive* and under *Personal construction*. This will help students to find the requested item more easily. Also, in some cases it makes more sense to name the grammatical item in the singular or in the plural, independently from whether the main entry is in singular or plural; for instance, under the entry of *Prepositions* (it is customary to use the plural when introducing this concept) we find the sub-entry *Lack of prep.*, obviously *Lack of preposition*, while further down we find the sub-entry *Preps. of one case*, obviously *Prepositions of one case*. The presence or absence of a final -s will make it clear.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a / ab /abs</th>
<th>auxilia /-um</th>
<th>Delphi</th>
<th>facillimus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>abeo</td>
<td>bene</td>
<td>desum</td>
<td>fasti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>abhinc</td>
<td>bini</td>
<td>deus</td>
<td>fatur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>absum</td>
<td>bis</td>
<td>dicitur</td>
<td>fero</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ad</td>
<td>bonus</td>
<td>dictus</td>
<td>fertur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adeo</td>
<td>cado</td>
<td>dies</td>
<td>festinatim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adeptus</td>
<td>caedo</td>
<td>difficilimus</td>
<td>fido</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adsum</td>
<td>captus</td>
<td>diffido</td>
<td>filia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aedes /-is</td>
<td>castra /-um</td>
<td>dissimilimus</td>
<td>filius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aetias</td>
<td>cave</td>
<td>ditor</td>
<td>fines /-is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aetas</td>
<td>celeberrimus</td>
<td>ditissimus</td>
<td>fio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age</td>
<td>centiens</td>
<td>diu</td>
<td>fluctuo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aio</td>
<td>certus</td>
<td>dives</td>
<td>fore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alicui</td>
<td>circa</td>
<td>divitiae</td>
<td>forte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alicunde</td>
<td>circa</td>
<td>divus</td>
<td>fugio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aliqua</td>
<td>circum</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>fugio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aliquando</td>
<td>citra</td>
<td>domus</td>
<td>futurum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aliquantum</td>
<td>clam</td>
<td>donec</td>
<td>frater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aliquantus</td>
<td>coepi</td>
<td>dum</td>
<td>gaudeo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aliquis</td>
<td>coepitus</td>
<td>dummodo</td>
<td>gestus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aliquo</td>
<td>comitatus</td>
<td>duo</td>
<td>grandinat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aliquot</td>
<td>compector</td>
<td>duplex</td>
<td>haud</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aliquotiens</td>
<td>confero</td>
<td>e / ex</td>
<td>hic, haec, hoc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alius</td>
<td>confido</td>
<td>edo</td>
<td>humus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alter</td>
<td>contra</td>
<td>effero</td>
<td>iam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>an</td>
<td>copia /-ae</td>
<td>ego</td>
<td>ibi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>animal</td>
<td>coram</td>
<td>eo (adverb)</td>
<td>idem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>annon</td>
<td>cum (conj.)</td>
<td>eo (verb)</td>
<td>idem, eadem, idem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ante</td>
<td>cum (prep.)</td>
<td>erga</td>
<td>ille, illa, illud</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>antequam</td>
<td>[316-317], [319]</td>
<td>et</td>
<td>impedita /-um</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>apud</td>
<td>[254]</td>
<td>etiamsi</td>
<td>impero</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arma</td>
<td>de</td>
<td>etsi</td>
<td>in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athenae</td>
<td>dea</td>
<td>exeo</td>
<td>inde</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>audeo</td>
<td>decet</td>
<td>extra</td>
<td>ineo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aufero</td>
<td>dedecet</td>
<td>exulo</td>
<td>inferi</td>
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<td>[46]</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>veto</td>
<td>[414]</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>vetus</td>
<td>[46]</td>
<td></td>
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<td>[46]</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
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